Cyclin and Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Substrate Requirements for Preventing Rereplication Reveal the Need for Concomitant Activation and Inhibition

Amy E. Ikui, Vincent Archambault, Benjamin J. Drapkin, Veronica Campbell and Frederick R. Cross¹

The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021 Manuscript received November 15, 2006 Accepted for publication December 20, 2006

ABSTRACT

DNA replication initiation in S. cerevisiae is promoted by B-type cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) activity. In addition, once-per-cell-cycle replication is enforced by cyclin-Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of the prereplicative complex (pre-RC) components Mcm2-7, Cdc6, and Orc1-6. Several of these controls must be simultaneously blocked by mutation to obtain rereplication. We looked for but did not obtain strong evidence for cyclin specificity in the use of different mechanisms to control rereplication: both the S-phase cyclin Clb5 and the mitotic cyclins Clb1-4 were inferred to be capable of imposing ORC-based and MCM-based controls. We found evidence that the S-phase cyclin Clb6 could promote initiation of replication without blocking reinitiation, and this activity was highly toxic when the ability of other cyclins to block reinitiation was prevented by mutation. The failure of Clb6 to regulate reinitiation was due to rapid Clb6 proteolysis, since this toxic activity of Clb6 was lost when Clb6 was stabilized by mutation. Clb6-dependent toxicity is also relieved when early accumulation of mitotic cyclins is allowed to impose rereplication controls. Cell-cycle timing of rereplication control is crucial: sufficient rereplication block activity must be available as soon as firing begins. DNA rereplication induces DNA damage, and when rereplication controls are compromised, the DNA damage checkpoint factors Mre11 and Rad17 provide additional mechanisms that maintain viability and also prevent further rereplication, and this probably contributes to genome stability.

NA replication must take place only once per cell cycle in eukaryotes. This mechanism is controlled at the level of prereplicative complex (pre-RC) formation and origin firing. The pre-RC is assembled at replication origins. The origin recognition complex (Orc1-6) is bound to DNA replication origins throughout the cell cycle in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (DIFFLEY et al. 1994). The Cdc6, Cdt1, and the mini-chromosome maintenance proteins (MCM) Mcm2-7 are sequentially recruited to the origin to form the pre-RC (COCKER et al. 1996; APARICIO et al. 1997; DEVAULT et al. 2002; TANAKA and DIFFLEY 2002; TANAKA et al. 1997). The assembled pre-RC further recruits Cdc45, DNA polymerase α , Sld2, and other factors required for initiation and elongation (Bell and DUTTA 2002). Activation of two kinases, Dbf4-Cdc7 and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK), coupled with B-type cyclins are necessary to form replisomes at two nascent replication forks and to initiate DNA replication (Bell and DUTTA 2002).

Multiple mechanisms prevent cells from starting a second round of initiation by inhibiting pre-RC forma-

tion (BROEK et al. 1991; HAYLES et al. 1994; DAHMANN et al. 1995). In S. cerevisiae, phosphorylation of MCMs by Cln-Cdk1 and Clb-Cdk1 kinases causes their exclusion from the nucleus (LABIB et al. 1999; NGUYEN et al. 2000; LIKU et al. 2005). Phosphorylation of Orc2 and Orc6 by Clb-Cdk1 is thought to prevent efficient binding of other pre-RC subunits (NGUYEN et al. 2001). Binding of Clb5 to Orc6 via its RXL cyclin-binding motif contributes to prevention of rereplication (WILMES et al. 2004). Phosphorylation targets of Clb5-Cdk1 likely include Orc6 itself as well as Orc2 and Orc1 (NGUYEN et al. 2001; ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2004; WILMES et al. 2004). Finally, Cdc6 is inhibited by multiple mechanisms through its N terminus. N-terminal phosphorylation of Cdc6 promotes its degradation by the proteasome (DRURY et al. 1997; ELSASSER et al. 1999; CALZADA et al. 2000; DRURY et al. 2000), and binding of Cdc6p to mitotic cyclins inactivates Cdc6 for origin loading; Cdc6cyclin binding is dependent on N-terminal phosphorylation of Cdc6 (MIMURA et al. 2004). Additionally, Cdc6 has an N-terminal nuclear localization signal, which is critical for its degradation (Luo et al. 2003). When multiple disruptions of these mechanisms are combined, cells undergo extensive rereplication (NGUYEN et al. 2001; WILMES et al. 2004). In other eukaryotes,

¹Corresponding author: The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Ave., Box 237, New York, NY 10021. E-mail: fcross@rockefeller.edu

similar but distinct mechanisms combine to control rereplication (KEARSEY and COTTERILL 2003).

We recently reported that a synthetic genetic array (SGA) analysis identified genes required for viability when rereplication controls were partially compromised by combining the ORC6-rxl mutation blocking Clb5 binding to Orc6, and the *CDC6* Δ *NT* mutation, an N-terminal truncation resulting in abrogation of Cdk control of Cdc6 activity (see above). This background is initially slow growing but viable; we searched the haploid viable deletion set for deletions that would result in tight inviability in an *ORC6-rxl CDC6* ΔNT background. We found two major clusters of genes: one related to DNA damage response and one related to cell-cycle regulation (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b). Further experiments suggested that the DNA damage response cluster was detected because cells partially compromised for rereplication control suffered double-strand breaks and required DNA damage surveillance mechanisms for viability (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b). Rereplication can induce extensive DNA damage and could lead to genome instability (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b; GREEN and LI 2005).

Here, we investigate the significance of the cell-cycle regulation cluster detected in our SGA analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strain construction: Strain list was provided in Table 1. Standard methods were used for mating, tetrad analysis, and transformations. The ORC6-rxl allele has mutations at R178A and L180A; these mutations strongly reduce the specific interaction between Clb5 and the ORC complex (Wilmes et al. 2004). The ORC6-ps allele has phosphorylation site mutations at S106A, S116A, S123A, and T146A (WILMES et al. 2004). GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs (Δ 2-48) (single copy) and GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm (multiple copy) were constructed by transformation using linearized RS305-based GAL-CDC6 Δ 2-48-HA plasmid (WILMES et al. 2004). These constructs allow strong unregulated expression and accumulation of Cdc6. In all strains with GAL-CDC6 constructs, the wild-type CDC6 gene was also present to allow viability on glucose medium where the GAL-CDC6 constructs were not expressed. The MCM7-NLS allele, allowing cell-cycleconstitutive nuclear residence of the Mcm complex, was described in NGUYEN *et al.* (2001). *CLB6* Δ *3P* has three mutations at S6A, T39A, and S147A, stabilizing the protein by blocking Cdk phosphorylation and Skp1/Cull/F-Box protein (SCF)-dependent degradation (JACKSON et al. 2006).

Serial dilution: Each strain was grown to stationary phase in 3 ml YPD. After the cell concentration was normalized on the basis of OD measurement, 5 μ l of 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto YEP-D or YEP-G (glucose or galactose) plates. The plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°.

Rereplication assay: For induction of rereplication, cells were grown in liquid YEP-D (glucose) media overnight, washed, and transferred to YEP-R (raffinose) for 8 hr. *GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* was then induced by adding 3% galactose (final concentration) for 4 hr.

Microscopy and DNA flow cytometry analysis: Ddc2-GFP foci were observed under a DeltaVision microscope as described (ARCHAMBAULT *et al.* 2005b). DNA flow cytometry analysis was performed as described (EPSTEIN and CROSS 1992).

RESULTS

Genetic interactions between rereplication controls and the cell-cycle regulators SIC1 and CLB5: The RXL motif of Orc6 interacts with Clb5 to locally prevent origin refiring (WILMES et al. 2004). Combining a mutation disrupting the Orc6-Clb5 interaction (ORC6-rxl) with another mutation stabilizing Cdc6 and preventing its sequestration by Clb2-Cdk1 ($CDC6\Delta NT$) causes reduced viability and proliferation. Similarly, deletion of CLB5 in a $CDC6\Delta NT$ background is lethal in tetrad analysis (WILMES *et al.* 2004), and *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT* cells were nearly inviable on galactose plates (YEP-G) (Figure 1, A and B). In both experiments, removing Clb5 had a more profound effect than removing the Orc6-RXL (Clb5binding) in the CDC6 ΔNT background. Clb5 could engage in residual binding with Orc6-rxl or could have other functions in preventing rereplication that are independent of Orc6 binding (discussed below).

In our previous study, we conducted a genomewide screen using the ORC6-rxl GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAs strains. (GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAs is a single copy of GAL-CDC6; in some experiments, we also use a multicopy GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm allele, as described by ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b.) We identified a cluster of genes related to DNA damage checkpoints that are strongly required for viability in this background (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b). In addition, we detected a cluster of genes that were related to cell-cycle regulation, including CLB5 and SIC1 (Figure 1A).

Deletion of SIC1 in the ORC6-rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs background markedly decreased cell viability on YEP-G (Figure 1A, top left). The main function of Sic1 is to inhibit Clb5/Clb6-Cdk in late G1 (MENDENHALL 1993; SCHWOB et al. 1994). Since the Clb5-Orc6 interaction is already disabled in the ORC6-rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs background, lack of Sic1 in this context may be toxic through a mechanism that is independent of this interaction. We speculated that this is due to an elevated or a premature activation of Clb5,6 activity. The sic1 deletion in ORC6-ps, rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm [ORC6-ps, rxl lacks both the RXL sequence and the Cdk phosphorylation sites described in NGUYEN et al. (2001) and WILMES et al. (2004)] also reduced viability (Figure 1A, top right). Consistent with the idea that premature Clb5,6 activity was responsible for lethality of ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm sic1, the lethality was rescued by additional deletion of both CLB5 and CLB6 (Figure 1A, top right). We speculate that the possible mechanism of premature activation of Clb5,6 activity in the sic1 ORC6-ps, rxl GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm leads to initiation without blocking origin reloading (due to ORC6-ps, rxl mutation), leading to rereplication.

Induction of rereplication requires stabilized Cdc6 (*CDC6* Δ *NT*) in most genetic combinations tested in this study. This implies that degradation of Cdc6 plays a significant role in the inhibition of DNA rereplication. Interestingly, in tetrad analysis, deletion of *CLB5* in the

TABLE 1

Strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	
RUY121	Wild type; MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 trp1-1 leu2-3,112 can1-100	
RUY139	$MAT\alpha \ sic1::HIS3$	
RUY275	MATα orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm	
RUY384	MAT? orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm sic1::HIS3	
RUY385	MAT? orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm sic1::HIS3 clb5::URA3 clb6::KanMX	
RUY386	MATa orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm sic1::HIS3 clb6::KanMX	
RUY387	MATα orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm clb5::URA3 clb6::KanMX	
RUY140	$MAT\alpha \ cdh1$:: LEU2	
RUY263	$MAT_{\alpha} URA3::GAL-CDC6\DeltaNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1$	
RUY227	MAT_{α} clb5::URA3 URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY174	MATa clb5::URA3 clb6::KanMX URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY228	MATa MCM7-NLS URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY179	MATa $MCM7-NLS$ clb5:::URA3 clb6::KanMX URA3::GAL-CDCE Δ NI-HAm DDC2-GPP::TRP1	
RUY170	MATa orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxt URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY181	MAT α orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl clb5::URA3URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY184	MATa orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl clb5::URA3 clb6::KanMX URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY169	MAT α MCM7-NLS orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY191	MATa MCM7-NLS orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxt clb3::URA3 clb6::KanMX URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm DDC2-GFP::TRP1	
RUY325	MAT α MCM7-NLS clb5::URA3 URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm	
RUY326	MATα clb5::URA3 URA3:: GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm MCM7-NLS cdh1::LEU2	
RUY172	MATa $clb5$:: URA3 URA3:: GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm	
RUY329	MATa $clb5::URA3$ URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm $cdh1::LEU2$	
RUY332	MATa clb5::URA3 URA3::GAL-CDC6∆NT-HAm orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl	
RUY334	MATa clb5::URA3 URA3::GAL-CDC6∆NT-HAm orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl cdh1::LEU2	
RUY415	MATa MCM7-NLS clb5::URA3 URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm CLB6Δ3p-HA::URA3	
RUY435	MATa rad17∷KanMX clb5∷URA3 URA3∷GAL-CDC6∆NT-HAm	
RUY436	MATa rad17::KanMX orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm	
RUY437	MATα rad17::KanMX clb5::URA3 orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm	
RUY438	MAT? $mre11::KanMX$ $clb5::URA3$ $URA3::GAL-CDC6\DeltaNI-HAm$	
RUY439	MAT? mre11::KanMX orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxl URA3::GAL-CDC6ΔNT-HAm	
RUY440	MAT: $mre11$:: KanMX clb5:: URA3 orc6:: HIS3:: LEU2:: ORC6-ps,rxt URA3:: GAL-CDC6 Δ N1-HAm	
RUY299	MATQ orc6::HIS3::LEU2::ORC6-ps,rxt MCM7-NLS URA3::GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm rad17::KanMX	
RUY302	MAI? ordo::HIS5::LEU2::ORCo-ps,rxt MCM7-NLS URA5::GAL-CDC6AN1-HAm mre11::KanMX	
KU1303 DLW990	$MATa = 0000 \cdot HIS5 \cdot LEU2 \cdot ORCO-ps, rxi MCM - NLS URA5 \cdot GAL-CDC6\Delta NT HAM mre11 \cdot KanMA raa1 / \cdot KanMA MATa = 0.1 a 0000 a 1.1 a 1.4 \cdot (1.5 a 2 \cdot : KanMA III) a 1.4 \cdot (1.5 a 2 \cdot : KanMA I$	
KU1280	$MATa \ cuot \ cuoz(is) \ cuoz. TRPT \ cuotnispRunnia \ UKA5GAL-CDCo\Delta NT-HAM \ or coHISpLEU2OKCo-ps, rxi$	
Alleles used in this study Description and references		
ORC6-rxl	Linealized pRS405 (LEU marked)-based ORC6-rxl plasmid was integrated into orc6::HIS3MX strain.	
GAL-CDC6ANT-HA	Deletion of N-terminal region of CDC6 allows its stable expression due to insufficient phosphorylation	
0/11-01/00/11/1-11/1	by CDKs. The plasmid was kindly provided by L Diffley. The single integration of <i>GAL-CDC6</i> A2-	
	48-HA was confirmed by Southern blotting. Endogenous conv of CDC6 exists in addition to CAL	
	$CDC6\Lambda$ 2-48 in the strain (ArcHAMBAULT <i>et al.</i> 2005a b)	
ORC6-bs rvl	OPC645 rel contains OPC6 Cdk phosphorelation site mutations in addition to OPC64rel nRS405-based	
0100 p3,12	ORC6-bs rxl plasmid was linealized and integrated into the $orc6::HIS3MX$ strain (WIMES et al. 2004).	
GAL-CDC6ANT-HA	Multiple copy of $GAL-CDC6\Delta$ 2-48-HA was integrated on the basis of Southern blotting. Otherwise the	
	feature of this strain is as the same as that of $GAL-CDC6\Lambda NTHAs$ (WI MES et al. 2004).	
MCM7-NLS	Endogenous copy of Mcm7 was fused at its C terminus to two tandem copies of the SV40 nuclear	
	localization signal allowing constitutive nuclear localization of Mcm7 (NGUYEN et al. 2001).	
$CLB6\Delta 3P$	Three series or three ones of the phosphorylation sites in Clb6 were mutated to alanine, and the	
	resulting $CLB6\Delta 3P$ was expressed from the endogenous $CLB6$ promoter (IACKSON <i>et al.</i> 2006). The	
	$CLB6\Delta 3P$ stabilizes Clb6p and escapes from SCF-dependent degradation.	



All strains contain GAL-CDC6ANT-HAm and DDC2-GFP

FIGURE 1.—The survival of cells with disrupted rereplication controls depends on cell-cycle regulators. (A) ORC6-rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs cells (top left) rely on SIC1 for their limited survival when GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs is induced by galactose. Ten-fold serial dilutions on galactose-containing or glucose-containing plates (YEP-G or YEP-D) were performed. Deletion of SIC1 in ORC6ps,rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm caused synthetic lethality. The lethality was rescued by deleting both CLB5 and CLB6 (top right). The viability of clb5 GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAs cells (bottom) is rescued by deletion of CLB6 or CDH1 on YEP-G. (B) Viability of various strains with disruptions of mechanisms preventing rereplication in the presence or absence of S-phase cyclins. All strains contain GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm and DDC2-GFP (analyzed in Figure 2). Cell viability was tested as in A.

ORC6-ps,rxl sic1 background was lethal (even with wildtype *CDC6* as the sole source of *CDC6*) (data not shown). Clb6p is responsible for this lethality, since additional deletion of *CLB6* in the *ORC6-ps,rxl sic1 clb5* background restored viability (Figure 1A, top right, YEP-D, third row).

Deletion of *CLB6* or *CDH1* rescues defects caused by *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT*: The lethality of the *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAs* strain in galactose was efficiently rescued by additional deletion of *CLB6* (Figure 1A, bottom left). The genetic combinations that caused reduced viability involving perturbed rereplication control and *CLB5* deletion (*GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT clb5 sic1* and *CDC6* Δ *NT clb5*) were rescued by additional deletion of *CLB6* (data not shown). All of these backgrounds share deregulation of Cdc6 and prevention of Clb5-Orc6 interaction by *clb5* deletion. These results support the idea that in the presence of stabilized Cdc6, Clb6 is toxic when Clb5-based rereplication inhibition mechanisms are abolished. This implies that Clb5 has greater ability to block rereplication than Clb6, despite sequence similarity and the close evolutionary relationship of Clb5 and Clb6 (SCHWOB and NASMYTH 1993).

Cdh1 is known to target residual mitotic B-type cyclins (Clb1-4) for degradation early in the cell cycle (VISINTIN *et al.* 1997; SCHWAB *et al.* 2001; WASCH and CROSS 2002). Deleting *CDH1* in *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NTs* cells completely rescued their proliferation on YEP-G (Figure 1A, bottom right). Deletion of *CDH1* in this background also rescued the rereplication phenotype determined by DNA flow cytometry analysis (see below). Consistently, inviability of *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT* (endogenous gene replacement) or slow growth of *ORC6-rxl CDC6* Δ *NT* segregants in tetrad analysis was efficiently rescued by deletion of *CDH1* (data not shown). In the absence of Cdh1, mitotic

cyclins are present at a nearly constitutive level through the cell cycle, including in early G₁, most likely due in part to inheritance of cyclin from the previous cell cycle; levels later in the cell cycle are quite similar to levels in wild-type cells (CROSS *et al.* 2002; WASCH and CROSS 2002). Therefore, we attribute the effects of *CDH1* deletion on replication control as being due to early presence of mitotic cyclins. Increasing the level of mitotic cyclins early in the cell cycle may improve the viability of *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT* or *ORC6-rxl CDC6* Δ *NT* cells by eliminating a temporal gap between S-Clb-Cdk and M-Clb-Cdk activation. This could allow a stronger reinitiation block at the time of replication initiation, compensating for the disruption of the Clb5-Orc6 interaction.

The absence of Cdh1 is likely to result in stabilization of several proteins in addition to B-type cyclins. To test whether an enhanced presence of mitotic cyclin in early S-phase could rescue *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT* inviability, we placed *CLB2* instead of *CLB5* under the control of the *CLB5* promoter (*CLB5pCLB2*, where *CLB5* is absent) (CROSS *et al.* 1999). *CLB5pCLB2 CDC6* Δ *NT* spores formed small colonies, while *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT* spores were completely inviable (supplemental Table S1 at http://www. genetics.org/supplemental/). Thus two independent means of inducing early accumulation of mitotic cyclins relieved inviability of *clb5 CDC6* Δ *NT*.

As noted in our previous work (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b), a concern with these experiments is that the mutations that we are using to deregulate rereplication control are in proteins (S-phase cyclins, pre-RC components) that also positively control replication, and some of the toxicity that we detect could be due to poor initial origin usage, rather than to rereplication. We tested this by assessing bulk DNA replication in synchronized cells and found at most modest defects in replication initiation (supplemental Figure S1 at http://www.genetics. org/supplemental/). Recently, GREEN et al. (2006) showed little or no replication initiation defects associated with ORC, MCM, and CDC6 mutations deregulating replication control by a microarray-based assay. Thus, overall we consider it unlikely that replication initiation defects contribute significantly to the results presented here.

Rereplication and accumulation of Ddc2-GFP DNA damage foci: The previous results suggest that the relative timing of onset of S-phase cyclin and mitotic cyclin activities is crucial for viability in strains in which specific mechanisms preventing rereplication have been disrupted. To confirm this idea, we examined DNA rereplication directly by DNA flow cytometry and indirectly by accumulation of Ddc2-GFP (DNA damage foci) in the presence or absence of S-phase cyclin, accompanied by inactivation of various rereplication controls. We and others showed previously that Ddc2-GFP foci accumulate under rereplication conditions, even in the absence of overt rereplication detectable by DNA flow cytometry (ARCHAMBAULT *et al.* 2005b; GREEN and LI 2005). In the following sections, we describe how we used these assays to examine rereplication and accumulation of DNA damage in various backgrounds.

Clb5 blocks Clb6-dependent rereplication in cells expressing stable Cdc6: DNA flow cytometry analysis showed an accumulation of cells with a signal increased to slightly more than 2C DNA content in clb5 GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm when cells were incubated in galactose (Figure 2A, 2), indicating moderate rereplication. We were concerned that this apparent rereplication signal could be spurious and due to drifts in the DNA flow cytometry signal in cells that enlarged due to cell-cycle arrest, even without actual rereplication. We observed, however, that Ddc2-GFP foci also accumulated in these cells (Figure 2B, 2, and 2C, 2), consistent with activation of rereplication (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b; GREEN and LI 2005). Also, no apparent rereplication signal was detected using these methods with the clb1,3,4-del clb2-ts block, previously characterized as a G₂ block without rereplication (AMON et al. 1993) (supplemental Figure S2 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). For these reasons, we consider that this DNA flow cytometry signal likely represents authentic rereplication, but we recognize that in borderline cases it is hard to be sure of this. Recently, GREEN et al. (2006), using a microarray method, showed that rereplication at some specific loci could be detected even in cells without DNA flowcytometry-detectable rereplication, and such an assay may ultimately be necessary to definitively prove rereplication in cases that are borderline by DNA flow cytometry.

These interpretations led to the idea that Clb5 blocks rereplication in cells with stabilized Cdc6. The DNA flow cytometry phenotype, as well as the Ddc2-GFP accumulation, was rescued by additional deletion of *CLB6*, implying that, in *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* cells, Clb6 induces inviability, rereplication, and DNA damage (Figure 1B; compare Figure 2A, 2 with 3; 2B, 2 with 3; and 2C, 2 with 3). This result implies that Clb6 can induce replication without blocking rereplication.

Clb5 blocks Clb6-dependent rereplication in cells containing a constitutively nuclear Mcm complex: We tested the cyclin requirements for rereplication control when Mcm7 is constitutively localized in the nucleus. The MCM7-NLS mutation prevents cell-cycle-dependent Clb-Cdk regulation of Mcm complex localization. Mcm localization contributes to control of rereplication in parallel to Cdc6- and ORC-based mechanisms (LABIB et al. 1999; NGUYEN et al. 2000, 2001; WILMES et al. 2004). $GAL-CDC6\Delta NT-HAm MCM7-NLS$ cells were viable on YEP-G, and these cells did not exhibit DNA rereplication or Ddc2-GFP foci (Figure 1B and Figure 2A, 4, 2B, 4, and 2C, 4), consistent with previous results (NGUYEN et al. 2001). Deleting CLB5 in this background caused rereplication, DNA damage, and inviability (Figure 1B and Figure 2A, 5, 2B, 5, and 2C, 5). Consistent with the results above, additional deletion of CLB6 partially rescued inviability, largely prevented DNA rereplication,



FIGURE 2.-Induction of DNA rereplication and recruitment of Ddc2-GFP foci. (A) Cells were grown in YEP-D and transferred to YEP-R for 8 hr. Galactose at the final concentration of 3% was added for 4 hr. Cells were fixed and DNA content was measured by DNA flow cytometry analysis. The approximate position of 2C DNA content was determined on the basis of the major 2C peak in the control (1; GAL- $CDC6\overline{\Delta}NT$ -HAm strain). This position is indicated by a bar. All samples were processed in parallel, and data were collected using the same set up (voltage 780 and total cell number 20,000 cells) and gating. As a rough empirical guide, we consider the DNA flow cytometry profile to represent over-replication if the main peak is shifted rightward compared to the bar. Each histogram is numbered on the basis of the strain numbering in Figure 1B. (B) The same strains, numbered as in A, were induced with galactose for 4 hr, and cells were observed under a Delta-Vision microscope. Image stacks were deconvolved and processed at maximum projection. (C) Percentages of the cells showing DDC2-GFP foci in the presence of glucose or galactose. At least 100 cells were counted for each experiment, and three experiments were repeated. Average number and standard deviation were plotted. Open bars show percentages of DDC2-GFP foci when incubated in glucose, and shaded bars show the same when incubated in galactose.

and reduced accumulation of Ddc2-GFP foci (Figure 1B, 2A, 6, 2B, 6, and 2C, 6). Inducing early accumulation of the mitotic cyclins Clb1–4 by deletion of *CDH1* partially rescued the lethality in *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm MCM7-NLS* and largely rescued the rereplication profile (Figure 3, A and B, top).

Clb5 blocks Clb6-dependent rereplication in cells with unphosphorylatable Orc6 lacking a cyclin-binding motif: We next examined the consequences of deleting S-phase cyclins in the presence of the *ORC6-ps,rxl* mutation, which eliminates cyclin binding and phosphorylation of Orc6 (WILMES *et al.* 2004). Introducing *ORC6-ps,rxl* in *GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* cells caused an accumulation of 2C DNA cells (Figure 2A, 7) and moderate slow growth (Figure 1B). Additionally deleting *CLB5* in this background induced complete inviability (Figure 1B) and overt rereplication (Figure 2A, 8), indicating that Clb5 has Orc6-independent targets preventing rereplication. Potential additional targets for Clb5 include Orc2 phosphorylation and Mcm complex phosphorylation leading to Mcm nuclear export (see above). Lethality, rereplication, and DNA damage in this background were dependent on Clb6 (Figure 1B; compare Figure 2A, 8 with 9, and 2C, 8 with 9).

Cyclin requirements for rereplication in cells lacking both Mcm control and Orc control: *MCM7-NLS* in a *clb5 ORC6-ps,rxl* background led to lethality in tetrad analysis even without overexpression of stabilized Cdc6 (data not shown); thus these strains could not be assayed directly for the rereplication phenotype, since in all other experiments we used conditional expression of stabilized Cdc6 to trigger rereplication and lethality. As in other contexts, however, additional deletion of *CLB6* rescued the inviability of this background, in the absence



FIGURE 3.—Cdh1 can promote inviability and rereplication in the absence of specific replication control mechanisms. (A) Serial dilutions as in Figure 1 for *clb5 GAL-CDC6*Δ*NT-HAm ORC6ps,rxl* and *clb5 GAL-CDC6*Δ*NT-HAm MCM7-NLS*, with and without *CDH1*. (B) DNA flow cytometry analysis performed for selected strains after *GAL-CDC6*Δ*NT-HAm* induction, as in Figure 2.

but not in the presence of $GAL-CDC6\Delta NT$ expression (Figure 1B). These results broaden our findings to a context not requiring Cdc6 stabilization.

*GAL-CDC6*Δ*NT-HAm MCM7-NLS ORC6-ps,rxl* induced lethality, extensive rereplication, and multiple Ddc2-GFP foci per cell (Figure 1B; Figure 2A, 10, and 2B, 10), as reported previously (NGUYEN *et al.* 2001; WILMES *et al.* 2004; ARCHAMBAULT *et al.* 2005b; GREEN and LI 2005). Additional deletions of both *CLB5* and *CLB6* in this background did not rescue these phenotypes efficiently (Figure 1B; Figure 2A, 11, 2B, 11, and 2C, 11), indicating that rescue by *CLB5* and *CLB6* deletions in other backgrounds was largely dependent on regulation of Cdc6, MCM, or ORC.

Clb6-dependent rereplication can be rescued by early accumulation of mitotic cyclins: Above, we described three backgrounds with impaired control of rereplication in which Clb6 was deduced to cause rereplication, DNA damage foci, and loss of viability: *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm*, *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm MCM7-NLS*, and *clb5 ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm*. In all of these backgrounds, additional deletion of *CDH1* significantly rescued the phenotypes (Figures 1 and 3). Thus, we infer that the damaging rereplication induced by Clb6 in these backgrounds is suppressed by the simultaneous presence of mitotic cyclins along with Clb6, and we infer that the mitotic cyclins must therefore be able to effectively impose rereplication control by either the ORCbased or the Mcm-based mechanisms.

Stabilized Clb6 substitutes efficiently for Clb5 in regulating replication: Clb5 and Clb6 degradation are regulated by distinct mechanisms (JACKSON *et al.* 2006). Clb6p is mostly degraded at the G_1/S transition through the SCF^{Cdc4} ubiquitin ligase complex, while Clb5 is stable until mitosis and is degraded by APC^{Cdc20}. Mutation of three phosphorylation sites in Clb6 (*CLB6* Δ *3P*) leads

to Clb6 stabilization by preventing SCF^{Cdc4} binding (JACKSON *et al.* 2006). As shown above, inviability and rereplication in the *MCM7-NLS clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* background are strongly dependent on the absence of *clb5* (Figures 1 and 2). We found that substitution of *CLB6* Δ *3P* for *CLB6* in the *MCM7-NLS clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* background completely rescued its strong lethality and also rescued its apparent rereplication defect as judged by DNA flow cytometry (Figure 4). Thus, Clb6 and Clb5 probably have similar intrinsic abilities to regulate rereplication, but Clb6 is normally unable to carry out this role due to its rapid degradation early in the cell cycle.

A DNA damage response including Mre11 and Rad17 functions to limit overt rereplication when cyclindependent mechanisms fail: We previously showed that viability of ORC6-rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NTs cells depends on genes required for DNA damage response (ARCHAMBAULT et al. 2005b). Ddc2-GFP foci occurred in clb5 GAL- $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm and ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6 ΔNT -HAm strains, suggesting that the DNA damage checkpoint might be activated (Figure 2B, 2 and 7, and 2C, 2 and 7). To test if the viability of *clb5* GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm and ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6 ANT-HAm depends on DNA damage signaling through the MRX complex or the 9-1-1 complex (LOWNDES and MURGUIA 2000), cell viability was examined with or without MRE11 (MRX complex) or RAD17 (9-1-1 complex). The ORC6-ps, rxl GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm strain showed reduced viability in the absence of MRE11 or RAD17 (Figure 5A), suggesting that DNA damage occurs and is signaled or repaired by MRX and 9-1-1 complexes in those strains. Deleting MRE11 or *RAD17* in the *clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* had little effect, perhaps because the viability of clb5 GAL-CDC6 Δ NT-HAm is already quite low. Deleting MRE11 or RAD17 had little effect on replication profiles in either background



bility and replication control due to absence of Clb5. (A) Serial dilutions for *MCM7-NLS clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* with *CLB6* Δ *3P* [gene replacement, encoding Clb6 stabilized due to mutation of phosphorylation sites (JACKSON *et al.* 2006)] or *CLB6-wt*. (B) DNA content was measured by DNA flow cytometry analysis, as in Figure 2A. There is a minor peak at 4C DNA content in the *CLB6* Δ *3P MCM7-NLS clb5 GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* strain. Microscopically, we did not detect significant clumping or division problems in this strain (data not shown). At present we do not know the explanation of this peak.

FIGURE 4.—Stabilized Clb6 reverses loss of via-

(Figure 5, B and C), suggesting that the increased inviability in the *ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* background caused by the *MRE11* or *RAD17* background is not caused by further deregulation of rereplication, but probably rather by response to DNA breaks generated by S-phase-cyclin-dependent rereplication.

In contrast, in the *ORC6-ps,rxl MCM7-NLS GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* background (shown above to induce rereplication largely independent of S-phase cyclins), deleting *MRE11* or *RAD17* caused increased rereplication (Figure 5D), as reported previously (ARCHAMBAULT *et al.* 2005b).

The double deletion of *MRE11* and *RAD17* did not significantly enhance the rereplication seen in single deletion of either *MRE11* or *RAD17* (Figure 5D). We conclude that *MRE11* and *RAD17* are in largely overlapping pathways that can contribute to limit the extent of DNA rereplication when cyclin-Cdk-dependent rereplication blocks at the pre-RC level fail.

We assessed the ability of the MRX or 9-1-1 complexes to protect against the deleterious effects of loss of replication control by pulsing *ORC6-ps,rxl MCM7-NLS GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT-HAm* in galactose for short periods, followed by plating on glucose medium to assess viability. The *MRE11 RAD17* controls showed little lethality after 4 hr in galactose medium, despite some amount of detectable overreplication (Figure 5E). Deletion of *MRE11* in this background resulted in a 100-fold loss of viability upon short galactose pulses, while deletion of *RAD17* resulted in at most a 10-fold viability loss. *rad17 mre11* double mutants were not more sensitive than *mre11* single mutants.

DISCUSSION

Cell-cycle regulators determine the viability of cells with compromised rereplication controls: All of the mutant data presented in this article are summarized in Table 2, which emphasizes deductions that can be made from single additional deletions even in complex genetic backgrounds.

Several controls are known to function in preventing rereplication in *S. cerevisiae*. Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of Cdc6 on its N terminus promotes ubiquitination and degradation (DRURY *et al.* 1997, 2000; NGUYEN *et al.* 2001) and Cdc6 sequestration by Clb2-Cdk1 (MIMURA *et al.* 2004); Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of Orc6 and Orc2 is thought to hinder pre-RC formation (NGUYEN *et al.* 2001); and Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of MCM proteins causes cytoplasmic retention of the complex (LABIB *et al.* 1999; NGUYEN *et al.* 2000, 2001; LIKU *et al.* 2005). In addition, binding of Clb5 to Orc6 via a defined RXL motif contributes to preventing rereplication (WILMES *et al.* 2004).

Our results support the idea that the timing of Clb5 and Clb6 activity relative to other cyclins is crucial in determining the viability of rereplication-sensitized strains, in which Cdc6 is stabilized (CDC6 ΔNT) and Clb5-dependent replication control mechanisms are disrupted (ORC6-rxl or clb5). In such strains, Clb6 may activate an additional round of replication from some reloaded origins, since Clb1-4, which can efficiently limit rereplication even in these partially compromised strains, only accumulate later [their accumulation may be additionally delayed in *clb5* mutants due to prolonged Cdh1 activity (YEONG et al. 2001)]. In this interpretation, the earlier that Clb5,6 activity appears relative to mitotic cyclins in cells lacking Clb5-dependent mechanisms of control, the more damage is likely to be done. This could account for the enhanced lethality caused by deletions of SIC1. Inversely, the less time there is between Clb5,6 activity onset and mitotic cyclin accumulation, the less damage is done. This could account for the



FIGURE 5.—Requirement for DNA damage response genes *MRE11* and *RAD17* in rereplicating cells. (A) Cell viability was tested in the presence or absence of *MRE11* or *RAD17* in cells of the indicated genotypes, as in Figure 1. (B–D) DNA content was analyzed by DNA flow cytometry after galactose induction as in Figure 2. (E) Cells were grown in YEP-D and transferred to YEP-R for 8 hr. *Continued*

A. E. Ikui et al.

TABLE 2

Summary of conclusions derived from genetic interactions and comparisons

Strains and additional mutations (underlined)	Viability (serial dilution)	Rereplication (DNA flow cytometry analysis)
In $CDC6\Delta NT$ (no. 1)	Viable	No rereplication
<u><i>clb5</i></u> from no. 2	Clb5 stays alive	Clb5 blocks rereplication
<u>MCM7-NLS</u> from no. 4	Mcm regulation has no effect	Mcm regulation has no effect
<u>ORC6-ps,rxl</u> from no. 7	Orc regulation helps to stay alive	Orc regulation has no effect
<u>In CDC6ΔNT clb5 (no. 2)</u>	Reduced viability	Moderate rereplication
<u><i>clb6</i></u> from no. 3	Clb6 kills	Clb6 may drive rereplication
<u>MCM7-NLS</u> from no. 5	Mcm regulation helps viability	Mcm regulation blocks rereplication
<u>ORC6-ps,rxl</u> from no. 8	Orc regulation helps viability	Orc regulation may block rereplication
<u>cdh1</u> from Figure 1	Cdh1 kills	No data
In ORC6-ps,rxl CDC6ΔNT (no. 7)	Moderate reduced viability	No rereplication (2C DNA accumulation)
<u><i>clb5</i></u> from no. 8	Clb5 stays alive	Clb5 blocks rereplication
<u>MCM7-NLS</u> from no. 10	Mcm regulation stays alive	Mcm regulation blocks rereplication
<u>sic1</u> from Figure 1	Sic1 regulation stays alive	No data
In ORC6-ps,rxl clb5 CDC6ΔNT (no. 8)	Lethal	Rereplication
<u><i>clb6</i></u> from no. 9	Clb6 kills	Clb6 drives rereplication
<u>MCM7-NLS</u> from tetrad	Mcm regulation stays alive	Mcm cannot test
<u>cdh1</u> from Figure 3	Cdh1 may kill	Cdh1 drives rereplication
In MCM7-NLS $CDC6\Delta NT$ (no. 4)	Viable	No rereplication
<u><i>clb5</i></u> from no. 5	Clb5 stays alive	Clb5 blocks rereplication
<u>ORC6-ps,rxl</u> from no. 10	Orc regulation stays alive	Orc regulation blocks rereplication
In MCM7-NLS clb5 CDC6 Δ NT (no. 5)	Lethal	Rereplication
<u><i>clb6</i></u> From #6	Clb6 helps killing	Clb6 drives rereplication
<u>CLB6Δ3p</u> from Figure 4	Clb6 kills because of early	Clb6 cannot block rereplication
	proteolysis	because of early proteolysis
<u>cdh1</u> from Figure 3	Cdh1 helps to kill	Cdh1 drives rereplication
In ORC6-ps,rxl MCM7-NLS CDC6ΔNT (no. 10)	Lethal	Rereplication
<u>clb5 clb6</u> from no. 11	Clb5,6 have little effect	Clb5,6 have little effect
In ORC6-ps,rxl MCM7-NLS (no. 10 on YEP-D)	<u>Viable</u>	No rereplication
<u><i>clb5</i></u> from tetrad	Clb5 stays alive	Clb5 cannot be tested
In ORC6-ps,rxl MCM7-NLS clb5	Lethal	<u>Cannot test</u>
<u>clb6</u> from no. 11 on YEP-D	Clb6 kills	Clb6 cannot be tested
In clb5 clb6 GAL-CDC6ΔNT (no. 3)	<u>Viable</u>	No rereplication
<u>MCM7-NLS</u> from no. 6	Mcm regulation may stay alive	Mcm regulation has no effect
<u>ORC6-ps,rxl</u> from no. 9	Orc regulation has no effect	Orc regulation has no effect

All of the mutant strains presented in this study are summarized. Strains that are underlined were compared to those with a single additional mutation. Results from tetrad analysis, serial dilution (Figures 1B, 3, and 4), and rereplication analysis (Figure 2A) are considered. "no." refers to the strain from Figure 1B and Figure 2. "Orc regulation" refers to the ability to bind to and/or phosphorylate Orc6 and is based on the effects of the *ORC6-ps,rxl* mutation. "Mcm regulation" refers to the ability to regulate nuclear localization of the Mcm complex and is based on the effects of the *MCM7-NLS* mutation. See text.

rescue caused by simultaneous deletion of *CLB5* and *CLB6* (in which case both initiation and regulation of rereplication can be carried out by Clb1-4) and by deletion of *CDH1* or by replacement of *clb5* with *CLB5pCLB2* (in these cases, the putative unregulated replication initiation driven by Clb6 is temporally accompanied by accumulation of some of Clb1-4, which can block reinitiation). This interpretation also implies that origin reloading must be blocked throughout S-phase, not merely in the extended G₂ postreplicative

period; this was the biological rationale that we proposed previously for efficient Clb5 binding locally to fired origins in mid-S-phase, even while other neighboring origins remained unfired (WILMES *et al.* 2004). Recent results with a very different approach, genomewide mapping of DNA synthesis (GREEN *et al.* 2006), independently support the conclusion that control of origin reloading must function throughout S-phase.

Our results suggest that while Clb6 is active in promoting replication initiation, at least at some origins

Galactose at the final concentration of 3% was added. The samples were collected every hour after the galactose induction and were subjected to serial dilution experiments. The serial dilution was performed on YEP-D plates after the incubation in YEP-G media. As a control, cells were plated on YEP-G after the incubation in YEP-D media. Genotypes are as indicated.

(SCHWOB and NASMYTH 1993; DONALDSON *et al.* 1998), it is apparently much less able to block rereplication, due to its early, rapid proteolysis by SCF^{Cdc4} ubiquitin ligase (JACKSON *et al.* 2006) (Figure 4).

Previously, we concluded that the mechanism by which Clb5 blocks rereplication requires binding specifically to the regulated origin (WILMES et al. 2004). There is not a large excess of Clb5 over the probable number of replication origins, and Clb6 is present at only ~10% the level of Clb5 (CRoss et al. 2002), presumably due to its early proteolysis (JACKSON et al. 2006); therefore, Clb6 may simply not be present at a high-enough level to stoichiometrically inhibit origin reloading. The mechanism of promotion of origin firing, in contrast, probably does not involve stoichiometric Clb5,6 origin binding (WILMES et al. 2004) and may instead rely on catalytic phosphorylation of transacting replication factors such as Sld2 (MASUMOTO et al. 2002), allowing even low levels of Clb6, which escape SCF^{Cdc4}-dependent proteolysis later in S-phase, to positively promote rereplication from reloaded origins.

Multiple levels of control of replication reinitiation: In early S-phase, Clb5 and Clb6 initiate replication, and Clb5 simultaneously inhibits rereplication, probably acting through phosphorylation of Mcm and the Orc complex, since both are likely to be favored phosphorylation targets of Clb5 (WILMES *et al.* 2004; ARCHAMBAULT *et al.* 2005a; LOOG and MORGAN 2005).

A clb1 clb2(ts) clb3 clb4 strain (AMON et al. 1993) additionally containing ORC6-ps, rxl GAL-CDC6 $\Delta NT(m)$ showed G₂ accumulation and no rereplication at a nonpermissive temperature in galactose (supplemental Figure S2 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). In this strain, replication is driven exclusively by Clb5,6 (SCHWOB et al. 1994). This supports the hypothesis that S-phase cyclins, in addition to targeting Orc6, can regulate other rereplication-limiting substrates independently of Orc6 binding or Orc6 phosphorylation, such as the Mcm complex (LOOG and MORGAN 2005). In the converse situation, when initiation is driven in the absence of CLB5,6 and all replication is under control of Clb1-4 (SCHWOB et al. 1994), mitotic cyclins inhibit rereplication, presumably also through largely redundant regulation of Mcm and Orc (Figure 2; compare strains 3, 6, 9, and 11). Thus, there is redundancy among the B-type cyclins, and additionally among the multiple phosphorylation targets Cdc6, Orc2, Orc6, and the Mcm complex, and many of these cyclins and/or controls can be eliminated without strong induction of rereplication.

While our results clearly imply that different B-type cyclins are substantially redundant for rereplication control by multiple mechanisms, we were interested in the possibility of some degree of specificity. One result suggestive of specificity was the finding that *MCM7-NLS GAL-CDC6* Δ *NT* cells exhibited normal growth rates, but deletion of *CLB5,6* in this background caused reduced viability (compare strains 4 and 6 in Figure 1B); in sharp

contrast, ORC6-ps,rxl GAL-CDC6 NT cells exhibit slow growth, and deletion of CLB5,6 resulted in complete rescue to normal growth rates (compare strains 7 and 9 in Figure 1B). The opposite effects of CLB5,6 deletion in these backgrounds could be explained if replication under control of Clb5,6 specifically involved rereplication control via ORC, while replication under control of Clb1-4 specifically involved rereplication control via MCM. However, the fact that these viability phenomena were not reflected in DNA flow-cytometry-detectable rereplication (Figure 2A) reduces our ability to simply interpret these results. As discussed above, the results with DNA flow-cytometry-detectable rereplication are most consistent with all B-type cyclins (except Clb6, due to its rapid proteolysis) having the ability to regulate rereplication via either ORC or MCM.

Finally, we showed that viability of ORC6-ps,rxl $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm relies on the DNA damage checkpoint genes RAD17 and MRE11. However, the rereplication profile by DNA flow cytometry analysis in this background did not change when RAD17 or MRE11 was removed (Figure 5, B and C). This suggests that inhibition of rereplication by mitotic Clb1-4 kinases, and not the DNA damage response, is the primary mechanism preventing rereplication when Clb5-dependent mechanisms are disrupted. On the other hand, removing DNA damage signaling in MCM7-NLS ORC6-ps,rxl $CDC6\Delta NT$ -HAm enhanced rereplication (Figure 5D). Therefore, it appears that restraint of rereplication by a DNA-damage response pathway acts as a "last resort" mechanism when cyclin-CDK-dependent mechanisms fail.

Sensitive assays of the extent of rereplication of specific genomic regions under conditions of complete or partial deregulation of replication control have indicated that additional levels of control exist. Not all origins are equally used for rereplication, and some are used multiple times (GREEN et al. 2006; TANNY et al. 2006); even with only a few replication controls removed, where no detectable rereplication exists by DNA flow cytometry, rereplication of some regions can still be detected (GREEN et al. 2006). The latter result emphasizes the likely evolutionary reason for the apparent multiplicity of controls; it is unknown if different regions differ in their cyclin requirements for regulation of rereplication. Many origins are not used detectably for rereplication; additionally, it was shown that origin reloading with the Mcm complex may be necessary but not sufficient for overt rereplication (TANNY et al. 2006). Thus, there are probably additional controls blocking rereplication that remain to be identified.

We thank Steven Haase for the $CLB6\Delta 3P$ strain. Funding was provided by PHS grant GM047238 to F.R.C. A.E.I. was supported by National Institutes of Health training grant T32 CA09673.

LITERATURE CITED

AMON, A., M. TYERS, B. FUTCHER and K. NASMYTH, 1993 Mechanisms that help the yeast cell cycle clock tick: G2 cyclins transcriptionally activate G2 cyclins and repress G1 cyclins. Cell **74**: 993–1007.

- APARICIO, O. M., D. M. WEINSTEIN and S. P. BELL, 1997 Components and dynamics of DNA replication complexes in S. cerevisiae: redistribution of MCM proteins and Cdc45p during S phase. Cell 91: 59–69.
- ARCHAMBAULT, V., E. J. CHANG, B. J. DRAPKIN, F. R. CROSS, B. T. CHAIT et al., 2004 Targeted proteomic study of the cyclin-Cdk module. Mol. Cell 14: 699–711.
- ARCHAMBAULT, V., N. E. BUCHLER, G. M. WILMES, M. D. JACOBSON and F. R. CROSS, 2005a Two-faced cyclins with eyes on the targets. Cell Cycle 4: 125–130.
- ARCHAMBAULT, V., A. E. IKUI, B. J. DRAPKIN and F. R. CROSS, 2005b Disruption of mechanisms that prevent rereplication triggers a DNA damage response. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25: 6707–6721.
- BELL, S. P., and A. DUTTA, 2002 DNA replication in eukaryotic cells. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **71:** 333–374.
- BROEK, D., R. BARTLETT, K. CRAWFORD and P. NURSE, 1991 Involvement of p34cdc2 in establishing the dependency of S phase on mitosis. Nature 349: 388–393.
- CALZADA, A., M. SANCHEZ, E. SANCHEZ and A. BUENO, 2000 The stability of the Cdc6 protein is regulated by cyclin-dependent kinase/cyclin B complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 9734–9741.
- COCKER, J. H., S. PIATTI, C. SANTOCANALE, K. NASMYTH and J. F. DIFFLEY, 1996 An essential role for the Cdc6 protein in forming the prereplicative complexes of budding yeast. Nature **379**: 180–182.
- CROSS, F. R., M. YUSTE-ROJAS, S. GRAY and M. D. JACOBSON, 1999 Specialization and targeting of B-type cyclins. Mol. Cell 4: 11–19.
- CROSS, F. R., V. ARCHAMBAULT, M. MILLER and M. KLOVSTAD, 2002 Testing a mathematical model of the yeast cell cycle. Mol. Biol. Cell 13: 52–70.
- DAHMANN, C., J. F. DIFFLEY and K. A. NASMYTH, 1995 S-phasepromoting cyclin-dependent kinases prevent rereplication by inhibiting the transition of replication origins to a pre-replicative state. Curr. Biol. **5:** 1257–1269.
- DEVAULT, A., E. A. VALLEN, T. YUAN, S. GREEN, A. BENSIMON *et al.*, 2002 Identification of Tah11/Sid2 as the ortholog of the replication licensing factor Cdt1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Biol. **12**: 689–694.
- DIFFLEY, J. F., J. H. COCKER, S. J. DOWELL and A. ROWLEY, 1994 Two steps in the assembly of complexes at yeast replication origins in vivo. Cell **78**: 303–316.
- DONALDSON, A. D., M. K. RAGHURAMAN, K. L. FRIEDMAN, F. R. CROSS, B. J. BREWER *et al.*, 1998 CLB5-dependent activation of late replication origins in S. cerevisiae. Mol. Cell 2: 173–182.
- DRURY, L. S., G. PERKINS and J. F. DIFFLEY, 1997 The Cdc4/34/53 pathway targets Cdc6p for proteolysis in budding yeast. EMBO J. 16: 5966–5976.
- DRURY, L. S., G. PERKINS and J. F. DIFFLEY, 2000 The cyclin-dependent kinase Cdc28p regulates distinct modes of Cdc6p proteolysis during the budding yeast cell cycle. Curr. Biol. 10: 231–240.
- ELSASSER, S., Y. CHI, P. YANG and J. L. CAMPBELL, 1999 Phosphorylation controls timing of Cdc6p destruction: a biochemical analysis. Mol. Biol. Cell 10: 3263–3277.
- EPSTEIN, C. B., and F. R. CROSS, 1992 CLB5: a novel B cyclin from budding yeast with a role in S phase. Genes Dev. 6: 1695–1706.
- GREEN, B. M., and J. J. LI, 2005 Loss of rereplication control in Saccharomyces cerevisiae results in extensive DNA damage. Mol. Biol. Cell 16: 421–432.
- GREEN, B. M., R. J. MORREALE, B. OZAYDIN, J. L. DERISI and J. J. LI, 2006 Genomewide mapping of DNA synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae reveals that mechanisms preventing reinitiation of DNA replication are not redundant. Mol. Biol. Cell 17: 2401–2414.
- HAYLES, J., D. FISHER, A. WOOLLARD and P. NURSE, 1994 Temporal order of S phase and mitosis in fission yeast is determined by the state of the p34cdc2-mitotic B cyclin complex. Cell **78**: 813–822.
- JACKSON, L. P., S. I. REED and S. B. HAASE, 2006 Distinct mechanisms control the stability of the related S-phase cyclins Clb5 and Clb6. Mol. Cell. Biol. 26: 2456–2466.

- KEARSEY, S. E., and S. COTTERILL, 2003 Enigmatic variations: divergent modes of regulating eukaryotic DNA replication. Mol. Cell 12: 1067–1075.
- LABIB, K., J. F. DIFFLEY and S. E. KEARSEY, 1999 G1-phase and B-type cyclins exclude the DNA-replication factor Mcm4 from the nucleus. Nat. Cell Biol. 1: 415–422.
- LIKU, M. E., V. Q. NGUYEN, A. W. ROSALES, K. IRIE and J. J. LI, 2005 CDK phosphorylation of a novel NLS-NES module distributed between two subunits of the Mcm2–7 complex prevents chromosomal rereplication. Mol. Biol. Cell 16: 5026–5039.
- LOOG, M., and D. O. MORGAN, 2005 Cyclin specificity in the phosphorylation of cyclin-dependent kinase substrates. Nature 434: 104–108.
- LOWNDES, N. F., and J. R. MURGUIA, 2000 Sensing and responding to DNA damage. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10: 17–25.
- LUO, K. Q., S. ELSASSER, D. C. CHANG and J. L. CAMPBELL, 2003 Regulation of the localization and stability of Cdc6 in living yeast cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **306**: 851–859.
- MASUMOTO, H., S. MURAMATSU, Y. KAMIMURA and H. ARAKI, 2002 S-Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of Sld2 essential for chromosomal DNA replication in budding yeast. Nature **415**: 651–655.
- MENDENHALL, M. D., 1993 An inhibitor of p34CDC28 protein kinase activity from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Science 259: 216– 219.
- MIMURA, S., T. SEKI, S. TANAKA and J. F. DIFFLEY, 2004 Phosphorylationdependent binding of mitotic cyclins to Cdc6 contributes to DNA replication control. Nature 431: 1118–1123.
- NGUYEN, V. Q., C. Co, K. IRIE and J. J. LI, 2000 Clb/Cdc28 kinases promote nuclear export of the replication initiator proteins Mcm2–7. Curr. Biol. 10: 195–205.
- NGUYEN, V. Q., C. Co and J. J. LI, 2001 Cyclin-dependent kinases prevent DNA rereplication through multiple mechanisms. Nature 411: 1068–1073.
- SCHWAB, M., M. NEUTZNER, D. MOCKER and W. SEUFERT, 2001 Yeast Hctl recognizes the mitotic cyclin Clb2 and other substrates of the ubiquitin ligase APC. EMBO J. 20: 5165–5175.
- SCHWOB, E., and K. ŇASMYTH, 1993 ČLB5 and CLB6, a new pair of B cyclins involved in DNA replication in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 7: 1160–1175.
- Schwob, E., T. BOHM, M. D. MENDENHALL and K. NASMYTH, 1994 The B-type cyclin kinase inhibitor p40SIC1 controls the G1 to S transition in S. cerevisiae. Cell **79**: 233–244.
- TANAKA, S., and J. F. DIFFLEY, 2002 Interdependent nuclear accumulation of budding yeast Cdt1 and Mcm2–7 during G1 phase. Nat. Cell Biol. **4:** 198–207.
- TANAKA, T., D. KNAPP and K. NASMYTH, 1997 Loading of an Mcm protein onto DNA replication origins is regulated by Cdc6p and CDKs. Cell 90: 649–660.
- TANNY, R. E., D. M. MACALPINE, H. G. BLITZBLAU and S. P. BELL, 2006 Genomewide analysis of rereplication reveals inhibitory controls that target multiple stages of replication initiation. Mol. Biol. Cell 17: 2415–2423.
- VISINTIN, R., S. PRINZ and A. AMON, 1997 CDC20 and CDH1: a family of substrate-specific activators of APC-dependent proteolysis. Science 278: 460–463.
- WASCH, R., and F. R. CROSS, 2002 APC-dependent proteolysis of the mitotic cyclin Clb2 is essential for mitotic exit. Nature 418: 556– 562.
- WILMES, G. M., V. ARCHAMBAULT, R. J. AUSTIN, M. D. JACOBSON, S. P. BELL *et al.*, 2004 Interaction of the S-phase cyclin Clb5 with an "RXL" docking sequence in the initiator protein Orc6 provides an origin-localized replication control switch. Genes Dev. 18: 981–991.
- YEONG, F. M., H. H. LIM, Y. WANG and U. SURANA, 2001 Early expressed Clb proteins allow accumulation of mitotic cyclin by inactivating proteolytic machinery during S phase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 5071–5081.

Communicating editor: P. RUSSELL