Typical and Atypical Carcinoid Tumors of the Lung Are Characterized by 11q Deletions as Detected by Comparative Genomic Hybridization

Axel K. Walch,* Horst F. Zitzelsberger,*† Michaela M. Aubele,* Anita E. Mattis,§ Manfred Bauchinger,[†] Sonja Candidus, § Heinz W. Präuer,¹ Martin Werner,[§] and Heinz Höfler*§

*From the Institutes of Pathology** *and Radiobiology,*† *GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg; Institute of Radiation Biology,*‡ *Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich; and Institute of Pathology* § *and Department of Surgery,*¶ *Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany*

Neuroendocrine tumors of the lung represent a wide spectrum of phenotypically distinct entities with different biological characteristics such as typical carcinoid tumor (TC), atypical carcinoid tumor (AC), large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC), and small-cell lung carcinoma (SCLC). The histogenetic relationships between TC, AC, LCNEC, and SCLC are still unclear. This study was carried out to provide cytogenetic data about pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors and to evaluate their characteristic alterations and histogenetic relations for an improved understanding of the mechanisms of tumor development. Twenty-nine paraffin-embedded tumor samples of TC $(n = 17)$, AC $(n = 6)$, LCNEC $(n = 3)$, and SCLC $(n = 3)$ **were selected for isolation of tumor DNA and subsequent comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) analysis. To confirm the comparative genomic hybridization results for characteristic chromosomal imbalances, selected cases were additionally investigated by loss of heterozygosity analysis. For statistical evaluation, we also used comparative genomic hybridization data from 45 published SCLC cases. DNA underrepresentations of 11q were the most frequent findings in TC (8 of 17) and AC (4 of 6), whereas these aberrations were rare in LCNEC (1 of 3) and SCLC (0 of 3). Furthermore, AC showed DNA underrepresentation of 10q (3 of 6) and 13q (3 of 6). In contrast, SCLC and LCNEC were characterized by a different pattern of DNA losses (3p**2**, 4q**2**, 5q**2**, 13q**2**, and 15q**2**) and gains (5p**1**, 17p**1**, and** 1**20). Statistical analysis revealed significantly different occurrences of 11q deletions in TC/AC** *versus* **SCLC (45 published cases of SCLC** and our 3 cases; $P = 0.002$; Fisher's exact test). **Thus, TC and AC display frequent loss of 11q material**

including the *MEN1* **gene locus, which represents a characteristic genetic alteration in these tumors. Losses of 10q and 13q sequences allow a further cytogenetic differentiation between TC and AC. These additional changes might be responsible for the more aggressive behavior of AC. Three cases of LCNEC, the first to be analyzed by comparative genomic hybridization, exhibited similar complex abnormal patterns** $(4q^2, 5q^2, 10q^2, 13q^2, 15q^2)$ to those of SCLC. **Although neuroendocrine tumors of the lung share common phenotypic features, suggesting a genotypic relationship, they differ remarkably in their cytogenetic characteristics, highlighting an early fundamental molecular divergence during the development of these tumors.** *(Am J Pathol 1998, 153:1089–1098)*

The histogenetic relationship between the wide spectrum of phenotypically and biologically distinct neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) of the lung is still unclear and remains a subject of controversy. Although these tumors have well characterized cytomorphological and immunophenotypic features, $1-9$ there is little known about the cytogenetic and molecular genetic changes underlying their tumorigenesis.

In 1991, Travis et al 8 proposed a four-category scheme for classification of NETs including typical carcinoid tumor (TC), atypical carcinoid tumor (AC), large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC), and small-cell lung carcinoma (SCLC). Although this classification system is based on light microscopic, electron microscopic, immunohistochemical, and clinical aspects of these four tumor types, investigation of genetic abnormalities in NETs may reveal additional characteristics that might be helpful in improving the reliability of prognosis and in classification of these tumors. In particular, the classification of NETs of the lung is a complex and controversial problem.¹⁰ The lack of uniform acceptance of a classification scheme has led to the proposal of several approaches.^{2,9,11}

Several cytogenetic and molecular genetic alterations associated with SCLC have been reported. Recently,

Accepted for publication July 18, 1998.

Address reprint requests to Dr. Axel K. Walch, GSF-Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit, Institut für Pathologie, Ingolstädter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Oberschleissheim, Germany. E-mail: walch@gsf.de.

References	Tumor type	η	Material	Cytogenetic analysis*	Molecular genetic analysis
Teyssier et al $(1985)^{23}$	Lung carcinoid tumor	1	Cell line	Trisomy 7	
Johannsson et al $(1993)^{24}$	TC. AC	6	Cell lines	Chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 17p, 19, 21, 22, X, Y	
Lohmann et al (1993) ²⁶	TC, AC, LCNEC	25	Paraffin- embeded tissue		Mutation of $p53$ gene (4/25)
Gouver et al $(1994)^{28}$	TC, AC, LCNEC	11	Paraffin- embedded tissue		LOH in the Rb gene (6/11)
Lai et al $(1995)^{25}$	Bronchial carcinoid tumors	4	Cell lines	Chromosomes 3p, 13q, 17p	Occasional gene abnormalities in p53 gene and Rb gene
Hurr et al $(1996)^{29}$	TC, AC	17	Frozen tissue		No LOH at 3p loci
Jakobovitz et al (1996) ³⁰	Lung carcinoid tumors	23	Paraffin- embedded tissue		LOH on chromosome 11q (22/23)
Przygodzki et al (1996) ²⁷	TC, AC, LCNEC	51	Paraffin- embedded tissue		No mutation of p53 gene in AC or TC; mutation of p53 gene in LCNEC (4/15)
Debelenko et al (1997) ⁴⁰	TC, AC	11	Frozen tissue		LOH on $11q13(4/11)$; mutation of $MEN1$ gene $(4/11)$

Table 1. Overview of Previous Reports of Cytogenetic and Molecular Genetic Findings in Pulmonary Carcinoid Tumors

*Rearranged (abnormal) chromosomes are indicated if deletions, translocations, inversions or isochromosomes were detected.

comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) has also been used to identify chromosomal changes in SCLC.¹²⁻¹⁶ CGH allows the creation of copy number karyotypes of the entire tumor genome, even from archival specimens. Such studies, as well as conventional cytogenetic analyses,^{17,18} indicate losses on chromosomes 3p, 5q, 13q, and 17p as recurrent findings in SCLC. At the molecular genetic level, changes have been detected in oncogenes of the *myc* and *ras* families,19,20 as well as in tumor suppressor genes such as *p53*, *Rb*, and the recently identified *FHIT* gene.²¹ Additional chromosomal imbalances included underrepresentation (losses) of chromosomes 4q, 5q, 8q, 10q, and 15q and overrepresentation (gains) of 3q, 5p, 17q, and 19q (for reviews see Testa et al^{18} and Zitzelsberger et al²²). In contrast to SCLC, to date little is known of the cytogenetic and molecular events underlying the development or progression of TC, AC, and LCNEC. So far, only a few cell lines derived from TCs and ACs have been investigated by conventional cytogenetic analysis.^{23–25} These studies, however, were limited to cell lines and did not detect any characteristic chromosomal abnormalities in these pulmonary NETs (Table 1). Until now, no CGH data from TC, AC, and LCNEC are available. Several molecular studies have been performed to elucidate the role of potential tumor suppressor genes such as *p53*25–27 or *Rb*25,28 and the involvement of allelic losses on chromosome $3p^{29}$ and chromosome 11^{30} in the tumorigenesis of pulmonary carcinoid tumors (Table 1). The importance of some of these reported findings for tumor development is still unclear. Moreover, histogenetic relations between phenotypically distinct NETs of the lung exhibiting different biological characteristics are still unclear, because so far, there are insufficient cytogenetic data available for all entities.

In our study, we investigated 29 pulmonary NETs for chromosomal imbalances by CGH. For the first time we perform CGH on TCs, ACs, and LCNECs with confirmation of CGH findings by loss of heterozygosity (LOH) analysis of selected microsatellite loci.

The aims of this study were 1) to provide cytogenetic data on the rarely analyzed entities TC, AC, and LCNEC; 2) to identify possible genotypic relations within the entities of pulmonary NETs; and 3) to evaluate characteristic aberrations for each entity for an improved understanding of the mechanisms of tumor development.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Samples

The study was carried out on 29 NETs of lung from specimens obtained from 29 patients. The histopathological classification of the tumors was based on previously established criteria.^{8,10} The histopathological and clinical data from each case are summarized in Table 2. Follow-up information was available on 24 cases (mean follow-up, 51 months; range, 1 to 101 months). All samples investigated were derived from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues. Tissue sections (10 μ m) for DNA extraction were prepared on glass slides, deparaffinized, and rehydrated. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections were used to evaluate the tumor area of the samples, which were selectively trimmed to enrich the tumor cell content to a minimum of 80%. DNA was extracted according to previously published protocols.³¹

				Case Diagnosis Age/sex* Smoker [†] Tumor size Mitosis [‡] N [§]			TNM ¹	Therapyll	Survival**	Status
	SCLC	55/M	Y	4	39	$\overline{}$	T2N0M0	$OP + RCH$	24	Alive, metastases (brain)
\overline{c}	SCLC	52/M	Y	2.2	27		T1N0M1	$OP + CH$	27	Dead of disease
3	SCLC	76/F	Υ	$\overline{4}$	32		+ T2N2M1	$OP + RCH$	$\mathbf{2}$	Dead of disease
$\overline{4}$	LCNEC	65/F	N	$^{\rm ++}$	19		+ TXNXM1	$OP + CH$	$++$	$^{++}$
5	LCNEC	61/M	Υ	1.5	21		T1N0M0	$OP + CH$	30	Dead of disease
6	LCNEC	68/F	N	4.5	27		$-$ T3N0M0	OP	$^{++}$	$^{++}$
7	AC	71/F	N	2.5	6		$+$ T1N1M0	OP	$\mathbf{1}$	Dead of other causes
8	AC	70/F	N	9	3		T2N0M0	OP	61	Dead of other causes
$\mathcal{G}% _{M_{1},M_{2}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G})$	AC	42/F	N	4	4		$-$ T2N0M0	OP	61	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
10	AC	39/F	N	4	4		T2N1M0	OP	82	Dead of disease
11	AC	67/M	N	2.5	5		T1N0M0	OP	27	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
12	AC	58/M	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	4	TT.	TXNXMX	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{\rm ++}$
13	TC	34/M	N	3			$+ T1N1M0$	OP	90	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
14	TC	64/F	N	3.5			$+$ T2N1M0	OP	69	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
15	TC	53/M	Y	2.5			$+$ T1N1M0	OP	61	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
16	TC	35/F	N	1.5	0		T1N0M0	OP	38	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
17	TC	69/M	N	\overline{c}	0		$-$ T1N0M0	OP	36	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
18	TC	72/F	N	1.5			T1N0M0	OP	44	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
19	TC	72/M	N	1.8	0		$-$ T1N0M0	OP	$^{++}$	$^{\rm ++}$
20	TC	68/F	N	\overline{c}			$-$ T1N0M0	OP	54	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
21	TC	54/F	Y	2.5			$-$ T1N0M0	OP	$^{++}$	$^{++}$
22	TC	61/F	N	3.5	0		$-$ T2N0M0	OP	54	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
23	TC	58/M	Y	3.5	0		$-$ T2N0M0	OP	73	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
24	TC	58/M	N	4	0		T2N1M0	OP	57	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
25	TC	69/M	Υ	3	0		$-$ T1N0M0	OP	101	Dead of other causes
26	TC	67/F	N	4	0		$-$ T2N0M0	OP	74	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
27	TC	50/M	Y	6	0		T2N0M0	OP	20	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
28	TC	26/F	N	0.8			$-$ T1N0M0	OP	48	Alive, no evidence for recurrence
29	TC	54/F	N	2.5	0		$-$ T1N0M0	OP	81	Alive, no evidence for recurrence

Table 2. Summary of Clinical and Histopathological Characteristics of 29 Pulmonary NETs

*Age at diagnosis in years; M, male; F, female.

†A patient was considered a smoker if he/she was a habitual smoker, regardless of amount, either at presentation or in the preceding 15 years.
‡Mitosis per 10 high-power fields (2.0 mm² = 10 high-power fields).
§N: Lymph

 $N:$ Lymph node metastasis; +, positive; -, negative.
"TNM classification is given for all cases, although TNM classification only refers to lung carcinomas.

"OP, operation; R, radiotherapy; CH, chemotherapy.

**Months from diagnosis.

††Data not available.

Metaphase Preparation

Metaphase spreads were prepared according to standard protocols from phytohemagglutinin-stimulated peripheral blood lymphocytes of healthy female and male donors.

CGH

CGH of labeled tumor and normal DNA was performed according to Kallioniemi et al³² and DuManoir et al³³ with modifications. Isolated whole genomic DNAs (tumor DNAs and DNAs from formalin-fixed normal lung tissue) were labeled with biotin-16-dUTP using standard nick translation.34 Six hundred nanograms of biotin-16-dUTPlabeled DNA and 600 ng of SpectrumRed direct-labeled normal female or male total human genomic DNA (Vysis, Inc., Downers Grove, IL), as well as $25 \mu g$ of unlabeled Cot-1 DNA (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY), were hybridized to denatured normal lymphocyte metaphase spreads. CGH images were captured by a black/ white video charge-coupled device camera using chip integration. The three colors were digitized consecutively with specific single-color filter combinations that were automatically changed on a Zeiss Axioplan2 microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For processing of captured images, an image analysis software from MetaSystems (Altlussheim, Germany) was used. For one CGH analysis, at least 10 to 15 homologues of each chromosome were measured after 4'-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole karyotyping of 5 to 10 metaphases. Average ratio profiles were then calculated after automatically scaling the profiles of individual homologous chromosomes of the same length. Average ratio profiles were interpreted according to published criteria^{32,35} using statistical confidence limits based on *t*-statistics.

Controls

For each CGH experiment, biotinylated normal male or female DNA was hybridized against normal male or female reference DNA (SpectrumRed) as a control. Additionally, DNA was isolated from normal lung tissue of six cases and hybridized against normal reference DNA. No chromosomal changes were detected in these specimens. Three selected aberrant tumor cases were hybridized a second time by reverse labeling.

LOH Analysis

Eight polymorphic microsatellite markers along chromosome 11q were chosen to analyze 11 lung tumors and

Case	Diagnosis	DNA losses on chromosomes	DNA gains on chromosomes
1	SCLC	3p14-pter, 4q13-qter, 5q14-qter, 11p12-14, 13q21, 15q15-qter	$3q, 5p, +9, +18, +19$
\overline{c}	SCLC	3p12-pter, 4g12-gter, 5g15-gter, 13g14-gter	$17p, +19, +20$
3	SCLC	5q23-gter	20p
$\overline{4}$	LCNEC	4g26, 5g13-15, 8g22, 10g21, 13g21-32, 15g26	$+17, 19p, 20p$
5	LCNEC	5q31-34, 6q16-21, 8p12-21, 13q13-33	$+19$
6	LCNEC	4q22-26, 5q14-32, 11q14-23	5p
$\overline{7}$	AC	8q13-qter, 11q22-qter, 13q31-qter	1p, 19p
8	AC	$2q14$ -qter, -10 , 11q13-qter, 15q	$7q12-33, 9q, +17$
9	AC		19p, 20p
10	AC	3p14-pter, 10g22-25	2p16, 4p14, 20p
11 12	AC AC	10q12-qter, 11q13-qter, 13q21-31 2g23, -11, 12g15-22, 13g14-32	$+19$ $+17, +19$
13	TC		$16p, +17, +19$
14	TC	$10q25$ -gter	$+17, +18$
15	TC	6q14-qter, -11 , 13q13-qter	20p
16	TC	4g22-26, 8g13-21	\star
17	TC	5q22-23, 8q21-22, 8p12-23	$+19$
18	TC		\star
19	TC	$11q13$ -ater	\star
20	TC	1p22-31, 1q35-qter, 2q31-33, 6q, 6p, 10q23-qter, 11q13-22, 15q	7p
21	TC		\star
22	TC	3p24-pter, 10q25-qter, 11q, 12q23-qter	\star
23	TC	6q15-qter, -11, 13q14-qter	$17p, +19, +20$
24	TC		16p, $17p$, $+19$
25	TC	*	$+17. +19$
26	TC		$+2$, 6q, $+12$, 13q14–qter, $+18$
27	TC	4q26, 5q14-15, 6q22, 11q21-22, 12q14	$16p, +17, +19, +20$
28	TC	$11q13$ -gter	\star
29	TC	$-11, 13q$	14g23-24

Table 3. Summary of Chromosomal Imbalances Detected by CGH of 29 Pulmonary NETs

Changes in chromosome 11q are underlined.

*No detectable DNA changes.

their corresponding normal tissue. These microsatellite markers consisted of D11S4936 (11q13), D11S4933 (11q13), D11S987 (11q13), D11S901 (11q14), D11S1356 (11q23.3), D11S925 (11q23.3), D11S934 (11q23 to 11q24), and D11S968 (11q25). Markers D11S4933 and D11S4936 are tightly linked to the *MEN1* gene locus. Primer sequences were obtained from the genome database (http://gdbwww.gdb.org). The sense primer of each primer pair was fluorescent labeled. Microsatellite polymerase chain reaction was carried out in a total volume of 25μ with 75 ng of isolated genomic DNA, 10 pmol of each primer, 100μ mol/L of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 1.5 mmol/L of MgCl₂, and 1.25 U AmpliTag Gold polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Norwalk, CT) using a Perkin-Elmer thermal cycler (system 9600). After a "hot start" (94°C for 10 minutes), polymerase chain reaction consisting of 35 cycles was performed as follows: 94°C for 1 minute; 55°C, 57°C, 60°C, and 62°C for 30 seconds each; and 72°C for 30 seconds, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 7 minutes. After visualizing the resulting DNA products on a 3% agarose gel, an appropriate dilution of each sample was loaded on a 6% polyacrylamid denaturing gel and analyzed with an automated fluorescent ABI 377 sequencing apparatus (Perkin-Elmer). Evaluation of LOH was performed as described elsewhere.³⁶ A tumor was considered to be LOH positive, if the allele peak ratio was equal to or less than 0.6, indicating an allelic signal reduction of at least 40%. To exclude the possibility of contaminations or technical

artifacts, samples were reanalyzed by independent polymerase chain reactions and gel loadings.

Results

Chromosomal imbalances were detected in 27 of 29 cases of NET of the lung (Table 3). They occurred with a frequency of 4.2 aberrations per case on average (range, 0 to 11 aberrations per case). Two cases of TC (cases 18 and 21) revealed no DNA copy number changes. The average number of aberrations increased from 3.4 in TC (range, 0 to 9) and 4.8 in AC (range: 2 to 7) to 6.3 in SCLC/LCNEC (range, 2 to 11). In total, 71 DNA losses and 53 DNA gains were observed in all tumors analyzed, reflecting a predominance of DNA losses. Moreover, no high-level amplifications were diagnosed. These are defined by red/green ratios >2 or diagnostic profiles for chromosomal gain detectable also by visual inspection.³⁵ Representative profiles for typical chromosomal imbalances detected in each entity are demonstrated (see Figure 2).

TCs

Chromosomal imbalances observed in 17 cases of TC are shown in Figure 1 and Table 3. This entity exhibited losses on 19 chromosomes. Most frequently affected was chromosome 11, with losses in 8 of 17 cases (47%). Four

Figure 1. Summary of chromosomal imbalances detected by CGH in 17 TCs (blue lines) and 6 ACs (orange lines). The vertical lines to the left of the chromosome idiograms indicate losses, whereas lines to the right indicate gains of chromosomal regions.

of eight cases exhibited a deletion on 11q involving a common region on 11q13, whereas 3 of 8 cases showed the loss of one homologue of chromosome 11. Deletions on chromosome 6q occurred in 4 of 17 cases, which represent the second most frequent finding of DNA losses in TCs.

Gains of DNA sequences or whole chromosomes were additionally detected on chromosomes 19 (6 of 17), 17 (6 of 17), 16 (3 of 17), and 20 (3 of 17).

ACs

Chromosomal imbalances in six cases of AC are shown in Figure 1 and Table 3. Representative profiles for typical chromosomal imbalances detected in AC are demonstrated in Figure 2.

Chromosomal losses were observed on 15 chromosomes. Chromosome 11 was affected in four of six cases (66%). Again, the q arm was most frequently affected, with losses in two of six cases including the consensus region 11q13 as detected in TC. Deletions of 10q (including monosomy 10 in one case) and 13q occurred frequently in three cases of AC. The pattern of chromosomal gains was similar to the overrepresentations observed in TC.

SCLC and LCNEC

In contrast to TC and AC, a different pattern of DNA losses was observed in 3 SCLC and 3 LCNEC cases (Table 3). Although 11q was affected only in one case, 5q losses were observed in all cases. Additional frequent DNA losses became apparent on 13q (four of six cases), 4q (four of six cases), 3p (two of six cases), and 15q (two of six cases). In SCLC and LCNEC, gains were detected on chromosome 19 (four of six cases), chromosome 20 (three of six cases), chromosome 5p (two of six), and chromosome 17 (two of six cases).

LOH Analysis

We investigated eight different microsatellite loci along chromosome 11q in 11 cases (Table 4). The LOH analysis of these eight markers confirmed that this is a commonly deleted region in TC and AC. Eight of 11 cases (73%) displayed LOH along chromosome 11q. LOH on 11q13, affecting at least one polymorphic marker near the *MEN1* gene, was detected in 7 of 11 cases (63%) of TC and AC. Localization of microsatellite markers, results of LOH analysis, and comparison with CGH results for chromosome 11q are shown in Table 4.

AC

 $11q -$

SCLC

3p-13q+

del 4q22-qter

del 5q14-qter

 $11q -$

 $10q -$

Figure 2. Averaging ratio profiles for selected chromosomes exhibiting characteristic changes in TC, AC, and SCLC. For averaging profiles (white line), 95% statistical confidence limits are indicated to diagnose losses (red line) or gains (green line) of DNA sequences. Profiles of char-
acteristic changes in TC (11q-), AC (11q-) $10q$, $13q$) and SCLC are given.

		CGH analysis (DNA losses on	LOH analysis*								
	Case Diagnosis	chromosome 11)	D11S968	D11S934						D11S4936	
			11q25	$11q23 - 24$	11g23.3	11g23.3	11q14	11g13	11q13	11q13	
23	TC	-11									
20	TC	$11q13 - 22$									
19	ТC	$11q13$ -ater									
27	ТC	$11q21 - 22$									
22	ТC	$11a -$							\times		
21	TC	No DNA losses	Ω								
18	ТC	No DNA losses	\bigcirc								
14	TC	No DNA losses	∩								
11	АC	$11q13$ -qter									
8	AC	$11q13$ -gter									
10	AC	No DNA losses									

Table 4. Data on Allelic Deletions on Chromosome 11q Detected by CGH and LOH Analysis in Eight Cases of TC and Three Cases of AC

*Polymorphic markers from the left to right are listed from the telomeric to centromeric direction. Markers *D11S4933* and *D11S4936* tightly linked to the *MEN1* gene locus. \bullet , LOH; O, retention of constitutional heterozygosity; \times , not informative; $-$, not analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

The clincopathological data for individual cases are presented in Table 2. Correlation with frequent cytogenetic findings (deletions on 3p, 4q, 5q, 6q, 10, 11, and 13q) were analyzed using Fisher's exact test. The cytogenetic findings did not correlate with metastasis, death caused by disease, sex, or smoking history ($P > 0.05$ for each analysis).

SCLC/LCNEC and TC/AC differ significantly for 4q $(P = 0.006)$ and 5q $(P = 0.008)$ deletions. A further significant difference $(P = 0.002)$ could be observed for 11q deletions between our 23 TC/AC cases and 48 SCLC cases from the literature.

Discussion

In the present study, 29 cases of NETs of the lung have been investigated for characteristic chromosomal abnormalities in histologically distinct subgroups comprising TC, AC, LCNEC, and SCLC. SCLC is a cytogenetically well characterized tumor type with typical underrepresentation of 3p, 4q, 5q, 10q, 13q, and 17p and overrepresentation of 3q, 5p, 8q, and 17q detected by CGH^{12–16} and cytogenetic studies.^{17,18} Therefore, only three cases of SCLC were investigated in this study to ensure that with our CGH procedure a comparable pattern of abnormalities could be detected as those previously reported. In accordance with these studies, we most frequently found losses on 5q, 4q, and 3p and gains on chromosomes 19 and 20. Additional gains and losses on other chromosomes reflected a complex pattern of aberrations in each case (Table 3). In contrast to SCLC, TC as well as AC and LCNEC have only rarely been investigated for chromosomal aberrations. To our knowledge, this is the first CGH study on these entities of pulmonary NET.

Our CGH findings strongly suggest that distinctive chromosomal imbalances occur in the various subgroups, allowing a cytogenetic discrimination between TC/AC and SCLC/LCNEC. The most striking difference

are underrepresentations on chromosome 11q, which are frequent in TC (8 of 17) and AC (4 of 6) but rare in SCLC (0 of 3) and LCNEC (1 of 3). For statistical analysis we used published CGH data on 45 cases of SCLC¹³⁻¹⁵ in addition to our own CGH results on three cases of SCLC. Statistical analysis reveals a significant difference $(P =$ 0.002; Fisher's exact test) in the occurrence of 11q losses involving chromosomal region 11q13 between TC/AC (10 of 23; our cases) and SCLC (4/48; published cases and our cases). Thus, TCs and ACs are both characterized by underrepresentation of 11q, but ACs show further losses on 10q and 13q.

A first indication of 11q aberrations in pulmonary carcinoid tumors came from recent LOH studies.^{30,37} Based on these LOH studies, it was hypothesized that chromosomal losses in the *MEN1* gene-containing region might be significant in the pathogenesis of TC and AC, both associated and not associated with the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (*MEN1*) syndrome. The *MEN1* locus was previously localized to chromosome 11q13 $38-41$ and was recently cloned.⁴² A recent study of sporadic lung carcinoid tumors showed two inactivated copies of the *MEN1* gene in 4 of 11 cases.⁴³ These findings are now supported by our CGH data, which implicate DNA losses on chromosome 11q with the pathogenesis of sporadic lung NETs, representing a characteristic cytogenetic alteration in these tumors.

To date, 10q deletions have been reported for endometrial carcinomas,⁴⁴ malignant meningiomas,⁴⁵ gliomas,⁴⁶ and prostate carcinomas⁴⁷ and have been associated with tumor progression. For the much more aggressive SCLC, 10q losses have also recently been reported.13–16 These finding in SCLC provide a clue for the *MXI1* gene located on 10q24–25 as a potentially affected tumor suppressor gene, given that its function as a negative regulator of *myc* oncogenes coincides with frequent amplification and overexpression of *myc* oncogenes in advanced SCLC.19,20 *MXI1* has also been suggested to act as a tumor suppressor in prostate cancer.⁴⁷ 13q losses in AC include the *RB1* locus, which is a well known tumor suppressor gene, loss of which is associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis in several tumor types. LOH in the *RB1* locus has previously been detected in 92% of SCLCs, 80% of other neuroendocrine carcinomas, and 33% of carcinoid tumors.²⁸

Our three cases of LCNEC, representing a rare pulmonary tumor, exhibit similar chromosomal changes to our three SCLC cases. The chromosomal imbalances include preferential losses of chromosomes 4q, 5q, 13q, and 15q, which is in accordance with previously published CGH data from SCLC. We did not observe loss of chromosome 17p in any of the SCLC and LCNEC cases reported in this study. This possibly reflects the rather early tumor stages of our cases (pT1 and pT2). 17p losses were also absent in our subset of TC and AC, which is in good accordance with recent p53 studies of typical and atypical carcinoids, which did not show any p53 mutations.²⁷ However, Lohmann et al²⁶ reported mutations of the *p53* gene in pulmonary carcinoid tumors at a low frequency (4/25), whereas they occurred in more than 80% of the 27 SCLC cases they investigated.⁴⁸

Loss of the chromosomal region 5q13–21 has frequently been described in $SCLC$,^{12–16,49} and candidate tumor suppressor genes *APC* and *MCC* have been mapped to this region. 3p deletions are also very common in SCLC and have been demonstrated in several cytogenetic and molecular genetic studies identifying distinctive deleted subregions on 3p.^{17,18,12,13,15,16,50,5} They co-localize in our SCLC cases with chromosomal regions potentially harboring tumor suppressor genes such as the *FHIT* gene on 3p14.2,⁵² which was reported to exhibit deletions on several exons in 80% of SCLCs.²¹ Our CGH results on TC and AC suggest that 3p deletions are rare events in these tumors (1 of 17 TCs and 1 of 6 ACs). Previous cytogenetic studies of a few pulmonary carcinoid tumors did not detect any 3p deletions, 23,24 except for that of Lai et al,²⁵ who reported chromosomal abnormalities of 3p in four of four pulmonary NETs.

We confirmed our CGH results by LOH studies of microsatellite loci along 11q in 11 TC/AC (7 cases with 11q deletion and 4 cases without 11q deletion for controls). LOH findings on 11q loci are in good accordance with our CGH results (Table 4), except for two cases (cases 18 and 27, Table 4) with LOH in D11S4933 and D11S901, which exhibit either DNA loss in another chromosomal region (case 27) or no DNA loss on 11q (case 18). This can be explained by the extent of the deleted segment, which is possibly below the detection limit of CGH.

In this investigation we found no relationship between chromosomal DNA changes and clinical parameters as survival, metastasis, sex, or smoking history. This may be attributed to the number of cases in each tumor group, which is likely too small to show clear statistical correlations between clinical and CGH findings.

Our CGH results from TC, AC, and LCNEC together with published CGH data on SCLC characterize chromosomal aberration patterns for each subgroup with a predominant occurrence of 11q losses in TC and AC and different aberration patterns in SCLC and LCNEC. Therefore, it appears that carcinoid tumors and the high-grade tumors have substantially different chromosomal changes that could be explained either by different progenitor cells or differences in carcinogen exposure, such as cigarette smoking. Strong epidemiological differences between lung carcinoid tumors and SCLCs indicate a markedly different process of carcinogenesis, which casts doubt on the hypothesis of a common cell precursor.53,54

Although NETs of the lung share common histomorphological features, they differ greatly in their cytogenetic characteristics, highlighting a fundamental molecular divergence between these tumors.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Angermeier, S. Holthaus, S. Osswald, and S. Schulte-Overberg for their excellent technical assistance in processing the tumor specimens, and H. Braselmann for statistical analysis of the data.

References

- 1. Bensch KG, Corrin B, Pariente R, Spencer H: Oat-cell carcinoma of the lung: its origin and relationship to bronchial carcinoid. Cancer 1968, 22:1163–1172
- 2. Arrigoni MG, Woolner LB, Bernatz PE: Atypical carcinoid tumors of the lung. J Thorac Carciovasc Surg 1972, 64:413–421
- 3. Gould VER, Linnoila I, Memoli VA, Warren WH: Neuroendocrine cells and neuroendocrine neoplasms of the lung. Lab Invest 1983, 49:519–539
- 4. Warren WH, Memoli VA, Gould VE: Immunohistochemical and ultrastructural analysis of bronchopulmonary neuroendocrine neoplasms. I. Carcinoids. Ultrastruct Pathol 1984, 6:15–27
- 5. Warren WH, Memoli VA, Gould VE: Immunohistochemical and ultrastructural analysis of bronchopulmonary neuroendocrine neoplasms. II. Well-differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas. Ultrastruct Pathol 1984, 7:185–199
- 6. Warren WH, Memoli VA, Gould VE: Well differentiated and small cell neuroendocrine carcinomas of the lung: two related but distinct clinicopathologic entities. Virchows Arch B 1988, 55:299–310
- 7. Warren EW Jr, Faber LP, Gould VE: Neuroendocrine neoplasms of the lung: a clinicopathologic update. J Thorac Carciovasc Surg 1989, 98:321–332
- 8. Travis WD, Linnoila RI, Tsokos MG, Hitchcock CL, Cutler GB Jr, Nieman L, Chrousos G, Pass H, Doppman J: Neuroendocrine tumors of the lung with proposed criteria for large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: an ultrastructural, immunohistochemical, and flow cytometric study of 35 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 1991, 15:529–553
- 9. Bonato M, Cerati M, Pagani A, Papotti M, Bosi F, Busolati G, Capella C: Differential diagnostic patterns of lung neuroendocrine tumors: a clinico-pathological and immunohistochemical study of 122 cases. Virchows Arch A 1992, 420:201–211
- 10. Travis WD, Gal AA, Colby TV, Klimstra DS, Falk R, Koss MN: Reproducibility of Neuroendocrine Lung Tumor Classification. Hum Pathol 1998, 29:272–279
- 11. Capella C, Heitz PU, Höfler H, Solica E, Klöppel G: Revised classification of neuroendocrine tumors of the lung, pancreas and gut. Virchows Arch 1995, 425:547–560
- 12. Levin NA, Brzoska P, Gupta N, Minna JD, Gray JW, Christman MF: Identification of frequent novel genetic alterations in small cell lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 1994, 54:5086–5091
- 13. Ried T, Petersen I, Holtgreve-Grez H, Speicher MR, Schröck E, Du Manoir S, Cremer T: Mapping of multiple DNA gains and losses in primary small-cell lung carcinomas by comparative genomic hybridization. Cancer Res 1994, 54:1801–1806
- 14. Levin NA, Brzoska PM, Warnock ML, Gray JW, Christman MF: Identification of novel regions of altered DNA copy number in small cell lung tumours. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1995, 13:175–185
- 15. Petersen I, Langreck H, Wolf G, Schwendel A, Psille R, Vogt P, Reichel MB, Ried T, Dietl M: Small-cell lung cancer is characterized by a high incidence of deletions on chromosomes 3p, 4q, 5q, 10q, 13q and 17p. Br J Cancer 1997, 75:79–85
- 16. Schwendel A, Langreck H, Reichel M, Schröck E, Ried T, Dietl M, Petersen I: Primary small-cell carcinomas and their metastases are characterized by a recurrent pattern of genetic alterations. Int J Cancer 1997, 74:86–93
- 17. Whang-Peng J, Kao-Shan CS, Lee EC, Bunn PA, Carney DN, Gazdar AF, Minna JD: Specific chromosome defect associated with human small-cell lung cancer: deletion 3p(14–23). Science 1982, 215:181–182
- 18. Testa JR, Liu Z, Feder M, Bell DW, Balsara B, Cheng JQ, Taguchi T: Advances in the analysis of chromosome alterations in human lung carcinomas. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1997, 95:20–32
- 19. Brennan J, O'Connor T, Makuch RW, Simmons AM, Russel E, Linnoila RI, Phelps RM, Gazdar AF, Ihde DC, Johnson BE: myc family DNA amplification in 107 tumors and tumor cell lines from patients with small-cell lung cancer treated with different combination chemotherapy regimens. Cancer Res 1991, 51:1708–1712
- 20. Takahashi T, Obata Y, Sekido Y, Hida T, Ueda R, Watanabe H, Ariyoshi Y, Sugiura T, Takahashi T: Expression and amplification of myc gene family in small-cell lung cancer and its relation to biological characteristics. Cancer Res 1989, 49:2683–2688
- 21. Sozzi G, Veronese ML, Negrini M, Baffa R, Cotticelli MG, Inove H, Tornielli S, Pilotti S, De Gregorio L, Pastorino V, Pierotti MA, Ohta M, Huebner K, Croce CM: The FHIT gene at 3p14.2 is abnormal in lung cancer. Cell 1996, 85:17–26
- 22. Zitzelsberger H, Lehmann L, Werner M, Bauchinger M: Comparative genomic hybridization for the analysis of chromosomal imbalances in solid tumours and haematological malignancies. Histochem Cell Biol 1997, 108:403–417
- 23. Teyssier JR, Sadrin R, Nou JM, Buraeu G, Adnet JJ, Bagolle F, Pigeon F: Trisomy 7 in a lung carcinoid tumor: precocious index of malignant transformation? Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1985, 15:277–282
- 24. Johansson M, Heim S, Mandahl N, Hambraeus G, Johansson L, Mitelman F: Cytogenetic analysis of six bronchial carcinoids. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1993, 66:33–38
- 25. Lai SL, Brauch H, Knutsen T, Johnson BE, Nau MM, Mitsudomi T, Tsai CM, Whang-Peng J, Zbar B, Kaye FJ, Gazdar AF: Molecular genetic characterization of neureoendocrine lung cancer cell lines. Anticancer Res 1995, 15:225–232
- 26. Lohmann DR, Fesseler B, Pütz B, Reich U, Böhm J, Präuer H, Wünsch PH, Höfler H: Infrequent mutations of the $p53$ gene in pulmonary carcinoid tumors. Cancer Res 1993, 53:5797–5801
- 27. Przygodzki RM, Finkelstein SD, Langer JC, Swalsky PA, Fishback N, Bakker A, Guinee DG, Koss M, Travis WD: Analysis of p53, K.ras-2, and C-raf-1 in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors. Am J Pathol 1996, 148:1531–1541
- 28. Gouyer V, Gazzeri S, Brambilla E, Bolon I, Moro D, Perron P, Benabid AL, Brambilla C: Loss of heterozygosity at the RB locus correlates with loss of RB protein in primary malignant neuroendocrine lung carcinomas. Int J Cancer 1994, 58:818–824
- 29. Hurr K, Kemp B, Silver SA, El-Naggar AK: Microsatellite alteration at chromosome 3p loci in neuroendocrine and non-neuroendocrine lung tumors. Am J Pathol 1996, 149:613–620
- 30. Jakobovitz O, Nass D, DeMarco L, Barbosa A, Simoni FB, Rechavi G, Friedman E: Carcinoid tumors frequently display genetic abnormalities involving chromosome 11. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1996, 81: 3164–3167
- 31. Isola J, DeVries S, Chu L, Ghazvini S, Waldman F: Analysis of changes in DNA sequence copy number by comparative genomic hybridization in archival paraffin-embedded tumor samples. Am J Pathol 1994, 145:1301–1308
- 32. Kallioniemi OP, Kallioniemi A, Piper J, Isola J, Waldman FM, Gray JW, Pinkel D: Optimizing comparative genomic hybridization for analysis of DNA sequence copy number changes in solid tumors. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1994, 10:231–243
- 33. duManoir S, Kallinoiemi OP, Lichter P, Piper J, Benedetti PA, Carothers AD, Fantes JA, Garcia-Sagredo JM, Gerdes T, Giollant M, Hemery B, Isola J, Maahr J, Morrison H, Perry P, Stark M, Sudar D, van Vliet LJ, Verwoerd N, Vrolijk J: Hardware and software requirements for quantitative analysis of comparative genomic hybridization. Cytometry 1995, 19:4–9
- 34. Zitzelsberger H, Szücs S, Weier HU, Lehmann L, Braselmann H, Enders S, Schilling A, Breul J, Höfler H, Bauchinger M: Numerical abnormalities of chromosome 7 in human prostate cancer detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) on paraffin-embedded tissue section with centromere-specific DNA probes. J Pathol 1994, 172:325–335
- 35. Solinas-Toldo S, Wallrapp C, Müller-Pillasch F, Bentz M, Gress T, Lichter P: Mapping of chromosomal imbalances in pancreatic carcinoma by comparative genomic hybridization. Cancer Res 1996, 56: 3803–3807
- 36. Beckmann MW, Picard F, An HX, van Roeyen CR, Dominik SI, Mosny DS, Schnurch HG, Bender HG, Niederacher D: Clinical impact of detection of loss of heterozygosity of BRCA1 and BRCA2 markers in sporadic breast cancer. Br J Cancer 1996, 73:1220–1226
- 37. Dong Q, Delenko LV, Chandrasekharappa SC, Emmert-Buck MR, Zhuang Z, Guru SC, Manickham P, Skarulis M, Lubensky IA, Liotta LA, Collins FS, Marx SJ, Spiegel A: Loss of heterozygosity at 11q13: analysis of pituitary tumors, lung carcinoids, lipomas, and other uncommon tumors in subjects with familial multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997, 82:1416–1420
- 38. Larsson C, Skogseid B, Oberg K, Nakamura Y, Nordenskjold M: Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 gene maps to chromosome 11 and is lost in insulinoma. Nature 1988, 332:85–87
- 39. Courseaux A, Grosgeorge J, Gaudray P, Pannet AAJ, Forbes SA, Williamson C, Basset D, Thakker RV, Teh BT, Farnebo F, Shepherd J, Skogseid B, Larsson C, Giraud S, Zhang CX, Salandre J, Calender A: Definition of the minimal MEN1 candidate area based on a 5-Mb integrated map of proximal 11q13. Genomics 1996, 37:354–365
- 40. Debelenko LV, Emmert-Buck MR, Manickham P, Guru SC, Kester MB, DiFranco EM, Olufemi SE, Agarwal S, Lubensky IA, Zhuang Z, Burns AL, Spiegel AM, Liotta LA, Collins FS, Marx SJ, Chandrasekharappa SC: Haplotype analysis defines a minimal interval for multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (*MEN1*) gene. Cancer Res 1997, 57:1039–1042
- 41. Emmert-Buck MR, Lubensky IA, Dong Q, Manickham P, Guru SC, Kester MB, Olufemi SE, Agarwal S, Burns AL, Spiegel AM, Collins FS, Marx SJ, Zhuang Z, Liotta LA, Chandrasekharappa SC, Debelenko LV: Localization of the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (*MEN1*) gene based on tumor loss of heterozygosity analysis. Cancer Res 1997, 57:1855–1858
- 42. Chandrasekharappa S, Guru S, Manickham P, Olufemi SE, Collins FS, Emmert-Buck MR, Debelenko LV, Zhuang Z, Lubensky IA, Liotta LA, Crabtree J, Wang Y, Roe B, Weisemann J, Boguski M, Agarwal S, Kester MB, Kim Y, Heppner C, Dong Q, Spiegel AM, Burns AL, Marx SJ: Positional cloning of the gene for multiple endocrine neoplasia 1. Science 1997, 276:404–407
- 43. Debeleko LV, Brambilla E, Agarwal SK, Sawlwell JI, Kester MB, Lubensky IA, Zhuang Z, Guru SG, Manickham P, Olufemi SE, Chandrasekharappa SC, Crabtree JS, Kim YS, Heppner C, Burns AL, Spiegel AM, Marx SJ, Liotta LA, Collins FS, Travis WD, Emmert-Buck MR: Identification of MEN1 gene mutations in sporadic carcinoid tumors of the lung. Hum Mol Genet 1997, 13:2285–2290
- 44. Pfeiffer SL, Herzog TJ, Tribune DJ, Mutch DG, Gersell DJ, Goodfellow PJ: Allelic loss of sequences from the long arm of chromosome 10 and replication errors in endometrial cancers. Cancer Res 1995, 55:1922–1926
- 45. Rempel SA, Schwechheimer K, Davis RL, Cavanee WK, Rosenblum ML: Loss of heterozygosity for loci on chromosome 10 is associated with morphologically malignant menigioma progression. Cancer Res 1993, 53:2386–2392
- 46. Leenstra S, Bijlsma EK, Troost D, Oosting J, Westerveld A, Bosch DA, Hulsbos TJ: Allele loss on chromosomes 10 and 17p and epidermal growth factor receptor gene amplification in human malignant astrocytoma related to prognosis. Br J Cancer 1994, 70:684–689
- 47. Eagle LR, Yin X, Brothman AR, Williams BJ, Atkin NB, Prochnovnik EV: Mutation of the MXI1 gene in prostate cancer. Nat Genet 1995, 9:249–255
- 48. Sameshima Y, Matsuno Y, Hirohashi S, Shimosato Y, Mizoguchi H, Sugimura T, Terada M, Yokata J: Alterations of the p53 gene are common and critical events for the maintenance of malignant phenotypes in small-cell lung carcinoma. Oncogene 1992, 7:451–457
- 49. Miura I, Graziano SL, Cheng JQ, Doyle A, Testa JR: Chromosome alterations in human small-cell lung cancer: frequent involvement of 5q. Cancer Res 1992, 52:1322–1328
- 50. Yokota J, Wada M, Shimosato Y, Terada M, Sugimura T: Loss of

heterozygosity on chromosome 3, 13 and 17 in small cell carcinoma and on chromosome 3 in adenocarcinoma of the lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1987, 84:9252–9256

- 51. Mori N, Yokata J, Oshima M, Cavanee WK, Mizoguchi H, Noguchi M, Shimosato Y, Sugimura T, Terada M: Concordant deletions of chromosome 3p and loss of heterozygosity for chromosomes 13 and 17 in small cell lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 1989, 49:5130–5135
- 52. Ohata M, Inoue H, Cotticelli MG, Kastury K, Baffa R, Palazzo J,

Siprashvili Z, Mori M, Mccue P, Druck T, Croce CM, Huebner K: The FHIT gene, spanning the chromosome 3p14.2 fragile site and renal carcinoma-associated t(3;8) breakpoint, is abnormal in digestive tract cancers. Cell 1996, 84:587–597

- 53. Godwin JD II, Brown CC: Comparative epidemiology of carcinoid and oat-cell tumors of the lung. Cancer 1977, 40:1671–1673
- 54. Modlin IM, Sandor A: An analysis of 8305 cases of carcinoid tumors. Cancer 1997, 79:813–829