Epigenetic Mechanisms for Breakdown of Self-Incompatibility in Interspecific Hybrids

June B. Nasrallah,^{*,1} Pei Liu,^{*} Susan Sherman-Broyles,^{*} Renate Schmidt[†] and Mikhail E. Nasrallah^{*}

*Department of Plant Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853 and [†]Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), D-06466 Gatersleben, Germany

> Manuscript received December 9, 2006 Accepted for publication January 13, 2007

ABSTRACT

As a major agent of rapid speciation, interspecific hybridization has played an important role in plant evolution. When hybridization involves species that exhibit self-incompatibility (SI), this prezygotic barrier to self-fertilization must be overcome or lost to allow selfing. How SI, a normally dominant trait, is lost in nascent hybrids is not known, however. Here we demonstrate that hybrid self-fertility can result from epigenetic changes in expression of the Slocus genes that determine specificity in the SI response. We analyzed loss of SI in synthetic hybrids produced by crossing self-fertile and self-incompatible species in each of two crucifer genera. We show that SI is lost in the stigmas of *A. thaliana–lyrata* hybrids and their neo-allotetraploid derivatives and in the pollen of *C. rubella–grandiflora* hybrids and their homoploid progenies. Aberrant processing of Slocus receptor kinase gene transcripts as detected in Arabidopsis hybrids and suppression of the S-locus cysteine-rich protein gene as observed in Capsella hybrids are two reversible mechanisms by which SI might break down upon interspecific hybridization to generate selffertile hybrids in nature.

THE origin of many plant species may be traced to sexual hybridization between more or less diverged species (STEBBINS 1959; RIESEBERG 1997, 2001). Stable self-fertile diploid (homoploid) hybrids are sometimes produced by hybridization between closely related species that have similar genomes and chromosome complements (GROSS and RIESEBERG 2005). More frequently, however, several barriers to gene flow between species must be overcome before fertile interspecific hybrids are generated. Most commonly discussed are postzygotic barriers that lead to sterility in F1 hybrids (BUSHELL et al. 2003), often resulting from aberrant meiotic pairing between highly divergent parental genomes. In these cases, chromosome doubling restores normal meiosis and generates fertile allopolyploids, a process that is thought to underlie at least 4% of speciation events in flowering plants (RIESEBERG 2001). Neither homoploid nor allopolyploid hybrids can form, however, unless prezygotic barriers to hybridization are overcome, including pollination barriers that prevent pollen tubes from forming at the stigma surface, growing within the pistil, and reaching the ovules. A major prezygotic pollination barrier is genetic self-incompatibility

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/ GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos. EF530735 and EF530736.

¹Corresponding author: Department of Plant Biology, 228 Plant Science Bldg., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. E-mail: jbn2@cornell.edu (SI), which, although primarily known as an intraspecific barrier to self-fertilization in many obligate outcrossing plants, is also important in the context of interspecific hybridization. Indeed, when interspecific hybridization involves self-incompatible species, the generation of self-fertile hybrids, whether homoploid or allopolyploid, is dependent on the breakdown of SI.

The crucifer (Brassicaceae) family, which includes predominantly self-fertilizing species and self-incompatible species, provides several examples of self-fertile interspecific hybrids that occurred spontaneously or were produced artificially in breeding programs. Self-fertile allopolyploids are particularly common. Examples of allotetraploids include Brassica napus, derived by hybridization between B. oleracea and B. campestris (syn. B. rapa), and Arabidopsis suecica, derived by hybridization between self-fertile A. thaliana and self-incompatible A. arenosa (MUMMENHOFF and HURKA 1995; O'KANE et al. 1996). In addition, self-fertile homoploid F_1 hybrids occur in this family. For example, crosses between selfincompatible Capsella grandiflora and self-fertile C. rubella produce self-fertile diploid F₁ hybrids that can be selfed to establish F_2 populations (RILEY 1934; ACARKAN et al. 2000; KOCH and KIEFER 2005).

The crucifer family is particularly well suited for investigating the breakdown of SI in interspecific hybrid progenies of self-incompatible species, not only because of the prevalence of interspecific hybridization events in this family, but also because the crucifer SI system is well characterized. SI specificity has been shown to be determined by the highly polymorphic protein products of two genes that are tightly linked within the S-locus complex (NASRALLAH 2005; TAKAYAMA and ISOGAI 2005). Allele-specific interaction between two proteins, the stigma-expressed S-locus receptor kinase (SRK) and its pollen ligand, the S-locus cysteine-rich protein (SCR, also known as SP11; TAKAYAMA and ISOGAI 2005), triggers a signaling cascade within the stigma epidermis that leads to pollen inhibition. Importantly, these two proteins have also been shown to be the primary determinants of the outcrossing mating system in the family as demonstrated by the successful transfer of the SI trait into self-fertile A. thaliana by transformation with an SRK-SCR gene pair from self-incompatible A. *lyrata* (NASRALLAH *et al.* 2002, 2004).

To understand the molecular basis of breakdown of SI in interspecific hybrids, we focused on interspecific hybrids of Arabidopsis and Capsella. Previous studies had shown that crosses between A. thaliana and A. lyrata, on the one hand, and between C. rubella and C. grandiflora, on the other hand, produced interspecific hybrids in controlled pollinations (ACARKAN et al. 2000; NASRALLAH et al. 2000). Here, we document the behavior of pollen tubes in the hybrids upon self-pollinations and reciprocal crosses to the parental species. We also report on the isolation of Slocus genes from C. grandiflora and the use of these genes and of previously isolated A. lyrata SRK and SCR genes for a molecular analysis of interspecific hybrids. We demonstrate that both A. thaliana-lyrata and C. rubellagrandiflora hybrids exhibit a loss of SI, on the stigma side in the first case and on the pollen side in the second case. The expression patterns of Slocus genes in these hybrids suggest at least two different mechanisms by which SI might break down to generate fertile interspecific hybrids in nature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and generation of interspecific hybrids: For interspecific hybridization of Arabidopsis species, we used *A. thaliana* accession Col-0 and self-incompatible *A. lyrata SaSb* plants (described by KUSABA *et al.* 2001), which were descended from accessions collected in Michigan (kindly provided by Charles Langley, University of California at Davis). The generation of *A. thaliana–lyrata* hybrids by pollinating *A. thaliana* stigmas with pollen from *A. lyrata*, followed by ovule rescue, was described previously (NASRALLAH *et al.* 2000). The *C. rubella* and *C. grandiflora* strains used in this analysis were described previously (ACARKAN *et al.* 2000) and *C. rubella–grandiflora* hybrids were generated by manual crosspollinations, which produced seed that could be germinated directly in soil.

Pollination analyses and determination of SI phenotype: Stigmas were examined for absence of contaminating pollen under a stereoscope, and appropriate pollen was manually applied to their surface. Two hours after pollination, flowers were fixed for 30 min in a 3:1 mixture of ethanol and acetic acid, softened for 30 min in 1 M NaOH at 65°, washed for 30 min in water, stained in decolorized aniline blue, and mounted on slides for examination by epifluorescence microscopy (KH0 and BAER 1968). Under these conditions, an incompatible response is typically manifested by <10 pollen tubes per stigma while compatible pollinations exhibit numerous pollen tubes per stigma.

Construction and screening of genomic libraries: For genomic library construction, *C. grandiflora S7S8* DNA was partially digested with *Sau3A1*, and a fraction containing fragments of 9–20 kb was cloned into the *Bam*HI site of the λ DASHII vector (Stratagene, LaJolla, CA). The library was screened with a ³²P-labeled probe containing a mixture of fragments derived from the first exons of the *A. byrata SRKa* and *SRKb* genes (KUSABA *et al.* 2001).

DNA gel-blot analysis: DNA was isolated from leaves according to MURRAY and THOMPSON (1980). Digested DNA (~3 µg) was run on 0.8% (w/v) agarose gels, transferred to GeneScreen Plus membrane (DuPont-New England Nuclear, Boston) using an alkaline transfer method. The blots were prehybridized and hybridized at 65° in 10% (w/v) dextran sulfate, 330 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, and 5% (w/v) SDS. Probes were labeled with ³²P using the Random Priming kit (Roche, Indianapolis). After washing in a solution containing 0.2× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl and 0.015 M sodium citrate) and 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 65°, the blots were exposed to phosphor screens and developed using a Molecular Dynamics (Sunnyvale, CA) PhosphorImager.

RNA analysis: *SRK* transcripts were detected in poly(A) + RNA isolated from stigmas as previously described (KUSABA *et al.* 2001), while *SCR* transcripts were detected in total RNA isolated from anthers using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, San Diego). RNA gel blot analysis was performed as previously described (KUSABA *et al.* 2001) by subjecting the RNA [~1 µg of poly(A) RNA for *SRK* detection and ~15 µg of total RNA for *SCR* detection] to denaturing electrophoresis on 1% (w/v) agarose, transfer to GeneScreen Plus membrane (DuPont-New England Nuclear, MA), and hybridization with ³²P-labeled *SRK* or *SCR* probes as described above. Quantitation of *SRK* and *SCR* signal intensity was performed with a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager using the ImageQuant software package and normalization of hybridization signals was performed using an actin probe.

For reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT– PCR) analysis of *SRKa* transcripts, stigma RNA was treated with DNase I to eliminate contaminating genomic DNA, reverse transcribed, and amplified using the SuperScript one-step RT–PCR kit (Invitrogen) and *SRKa*-specific intron-flanking primers. The effectiveness of DNase digestion was verified by RT–PCR using actin intron-flanking primers, and only samples lacking contaminating DNA were used for RT–PCR of *SRKa*.

Sequence analysis and database searches: DNA sequencing was performed at the Cornell University BioResource Center using an Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) automated sequencer. Sequences were manipulated and aligned using DNASTAR Lasergene software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI). BLAST searches were performed on the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (http://www.ncbi.nih.gov).

RESULTS

A.thaliana-lyrata interspecific hybrids: Analysis of SI in A. thaliana-lyrata hybrid stigmas: We used two hybrids produced from a cross between A. thaliana Col-0, which is homozygous for a defective Shaplotype (KUSABA et al.

TABLE 1

	ੇ A. lyrata SaSa	S A. lyrata SbSb	♂ A. thaliana–lyrata		
			$\mathbf{F_1}^b$	Allotetraploid SaSaS0S0	
\bigcirc A. lyrata SaSa	$< 10^{a}$	+++	MS	<10	
\bigcirc A. lyrata SbSb	+++	<10	MS	+++	
\bigcirc A. thaliana–lyrata					
$\mathbf{F_1}^b$	+++	+++	MS	ND	
\mathbf{BC}^{c}	<10	+++	MS	ND	
Allotetraploid SaSaS0S0	+++	+++	MS	+ + +	

Pollination analysis of Arabidopsis species hybrids and parental species

^{*a*} The number of pollen tubes per pollinated stigma: <10, incompatible pollination; +++, compatible pollination; MS, male sterile; ND, not determined because previous generations were no longer available.

^b \mathbf{F}_1 , interspecific hybrids from the A. thaliana \times A. lyrata cross.

^e BC, plants from a backcross of A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids to A. lyrata.

2001) designated SO, and an A. lyrata SaSb plant (NASRALLAH et al. 2000). On the basis of gel-blot analysis of genomic DNA, the two hybrids were determined to have inherited the A. lyrata Sa haplotype (data not shown) and were therefore designated SaSO. These hybrids failed to produce pollen and were male sterile, consistent with the divergent chromosome number and genome organization of the two parental species (KUITTINEN et al. 2004; YOGEESWARAN et al. 2005). While male sterility precluded analysis of pollen from these SaSO hybrids, hybrid stigmas were functional, allowing assays of cross-incompatibility responses by manual pollination with A. lyrata SaSa and SbSb pollen. Both pollinations resulted in equally prolific pollen tube growth (Table 1), demonstrating that the stigmas of A. thaliana-lyrata SaSO hybrids failed to recognize and reject Sa pollen. Interestingly, backcrossing of these hybrids to A. lyrata restored the stigma SI response within one generation (Table 1).

Loss of SI was also exhibited by a neo-allotetraploid that arose spontaneously on one A. thaliana-lyrata SaSO hybrid (NASRALLAH et al. 2000). This allotetraploid, which was shown by cytological analysis to have 26 chromosomes (or double the chromosome number of the A. thaliana-lyrata F₁ hybrids) (NASRALLAH et al. 2000), produced functional pollen due to restoration of normal meiosis upon chromosome doubling. Selfing of this plant generated self-fertile allotetraploid progeny (NASRALLAH et al. 2000). Reciprocal pollinations to A. lyrata SaSa plants demonstrated that the pollen of the allotetraploid and its progeny retained Sa specificity while its stigmas allowed confluent Sa pollen tube growth (Table 1). This pollination phenotype was stable and persisted over four allotetraploid generations analyzed. Thus, loss of SI in allotetraploids-and, by inference, in the original A. thaliana-lyrata hybrid-was stigma specific.

Molecular basis of SI breakdown in A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids: To investigate the molecular basis of breakdown

in hybrid stigmas, we compared expression of the SRKa gene in each of the two A. thaliana-lyrata SaSO hybrids and in A. lyrata by gel-blot analysis of stigma poly(A) +RNA using an SRKa-specific probe derived from the SRKa first exon. Self-incompatible A. lyrata Sa stigmas exhibit an SRK transcript profile (KUSABA et al. 2001) consisting of a fully spliced 3-kb SRKa transcript that encodes the full-length SRKa receptor and a 10-fold less abundant 1.6-kb alternative transcript derived from the first exon of the gene, which results from the use of an alternative poly(A) addition site within the first intron of SRK and encodes a soluble form of the SRK ectodomain. The stigmas of A. thaliana-lyrata SaSO hybrids and allotetraploids differed from those of A. lyrata Sa stigmas in two respects (Figure 1). They exhibited an additional 4-kb transcript species (Figure 1), at least some of which correspond to unspliced SRKa transcripts (expected size: 3.920 kb) on the basis of RT-PCR using



FIGURE 1.—Association of aberrant *SRK* transcript processing with self-fertility in *A. thaliana–lyrata* hybrids and allotetraploid derivatives. Gel blots of stigma poly(A) + RNA were hybridized with a probe derived from exon 1 of *A. lyrata SRKa* as previously described (KUSABA *et al.* 2001). Al, *A. lyrata*; H1 and H2, first-generation hybrids; BC, a first-generation plant from a backcross of H2 to *A. lyrata*; allo1, allo2, and allo3, three consecutive allotetraploid generations. The 3- and 1.6-kb transcripts typically produced by *SRK* genes are indicated. Note the 4-kb aberrant *SRKa* transcripts produced by stigmas of F₁ hybrids (asterisks) and allotetraploid derivatives (arrow).

	් C. rubella SOSO	♂ C. grandiflora S7S7 [®]	് F2		
			SOSO	\$7\$0	<i>S7S7</i>
\bigcirc C. rubella SOSO	$+++^{a}$	+++	+++	+++	+++
$\bigcirc C. grandiflora S7S7^{b}$	+++	<10	+++	+++	<10
$\begin{array}{c} & \downarrow \\ & F_2 \\ SOSO \end{array}$	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
S7S0	+++	<10	+++	+++	<10
S7S7	+++	< 10	+++	+++	< 10

Pollination analysis of Capsella species hybrids and parental species

^{*a*} The number of pollen tubes per pollinated stigma: <10, incompatible pollination; +++, compatible pollination; MS, male sterile; ND, not determined because previous generations were no longer available.

^{*b*} *C. grandiflora* S7S7 homozygotes were used because the *C. rubella–grandiflora* F_2 population segregated for the S7 allele and only pollination assays with S7S7 plants are relevant.

intron-flanking primers (data not shown). They also exhibited dramatically reduced levels of the fully spliced 3-kb transcripts, which at best equaled those of the alternative 1.6-kb transcripts (Figure 1). Importantly, these 3-kb *SRKa* transcripts accumulated to levels that were <10% ($6.4\% \pm 0.5$) of those detected in *A. byrata Sa* stigmas.

To examine further the association of aberrant *SRK* transcript profiles with loss of SI, we analyzed *SRKa* expression in the stigmas of self-incompatible plants produced by backcrossing each of the *A. thaliana–lyrata SaS0* hybrids to the *A. lyrata* parent. Interestingly, the correct *SRK* transcript profile (Figure 1) was restored along with SI (Table 1) in first-generation backcross plants. These observations suggest that aberrant splicing of *SRKa* transcripts, and in particular the dramatic reduction in correctly spliced full-length transcripts, is the cause of the breakdown of SI in *A. thaliana–lyrata SaS0* hybrids.

C. rubella-grandiflora interspecific hybrids: A cross between a C. rubella plant and a C. grandiflora plant produced fertile F₁ hybrids that set seed spontaneously, as previously described (RILEY 1934; ACARKAN et al. 2000; KOCH and KIEFER 2005). The fertility of C. rubellagrandiflora hybrids is not surprising, given that these plants have the same basic chromosome number (n=8)and are very similar at the molecular level (HURKA and NEUFFER 1997). Despite these similarities, however, C. rubella and C. grandiflora are recognized as separate species (HURKA and NEUFFER 1997). In this context, it should be noted that the concept of a strict biological species, which is defined by the ability of its members to produce viable and fertile progeny upon crosshybridization, has not been recognized by plant biologists as a useful species concept (GRANT 1981), and hybridization between plants belonging to well-recognized species is common (Arnold 1997).

Genetic analysis of SI in C. grandiflora, C. rubella, and interspecific hybrid populations: Prior to analysis of C.

rubella-grandiflora interspecific hybrids, it was necessary to perform a genetic analysis of the parental strains used in our interspecific cross. First, we generated a C. grandiflora population of plants that segregated for SI specificity by forced self-pollination in young floral buds prior to the developmental onset of SI in stigmas. These plants were analyzed by microscopic examination of pollen tube growth in self-pollinations and reciprocal cross-pollinations among all siblings and with the parental plant. On the basis of these pollinations, we confirmed early reports (BATEMAN 1955) that the SI system of Capsella, like that of other crucifers, is under single-locus sporophytic control, whereby the pollen SI phenotype is determined by the diploid genotype of its parent plant. We also determined that the C. grandiflora plant used in our interspecific cross carried two SI specificities, arbitrarily designated S7 and S8, with S7 and S8 exhibiting codominance in the stigma and S7 being dominant to S8 in pollen (Table 2). Finally, we analyzed several progeny derived from the spontaneous selfing of the C. rubella parent and confirmed that all of these plants were self-compatible, as expected (Table 2).

Genetic analysis was then carried out on the C. rubella \times C. grandiflora cross. Starting with a C. rubella-grandiflora F_1 hybrid, we produced an F_2 population of 74 plants that segregated for SI and self-compatibility (SC). Analysis of the F_1 and F_2 plants by self-pollinations, autonomous seed set, and reciprocal pollinations with C. grandiflora S7S7 and S8S8 tester plants showed that this population inherited the S7 allele and that SC segregated as a simple dominant trait (53 SC:21 SI, approximating a 3:1 ratio; $\chi^2 = 0.45$; P = 0.5) linked to the Slocus (Table 2). Reciprocal pollinations of the selfincompatible F₂ plants with tester C. grandiflora S7S7 and S8S8 homozygotes showed that pollinations with S8S8 plants were compatible while those with S7S7 plants were incompatible, indicating that the plants expressed S7 specificity in stigma and pollen (Table 2). The stigmas of 36 (or 2/3) of the self-compatible F_2 plants inhibited pollen from the S7S7 tester, but the



2.-Molecular analysis of FIGURE selfincompatibility and self-fertility in Capsella species hybrids. (A and B) DNA gel blots of C. rubella (Cr), C. grandiflora (Cg), and two populations of C. rubella-grandiflora F_2 plants probed with the CgSRK7 exon 1 probe (A) and CgSCR7 (B). Only F₂ plants indicated with asterisks were selfincompatible and genotypically S7S7 on the basis of pollination assays. All other F2 plants were selfcompatible and could be grouped into two classes on the basis of pollination analysis: self-fertile F₂ plants that hybridized with the probes were S7S0 while those that did not hybridize were SOSO, as with C. rubella. The small differences in electrophoretic mobility of the hybridizing fragments observed between some of the lanes are due to irregular migration of DNA fragments, which is often associated with the use of relatively impure DNA obtained by mini-preparation methods. (C) Stigma-specific expression of the 3.0-

and 1.6-kb *CgSRK7* transcripts detected by gel-blot analysis of poly(A) + RNA from leaf tissue (lf), floral buds with pistils removed (fb), and stigmas (st) of *C. grandiflora S7S7*. The cross-hybridizing band (circle) common to the three samples represents transcripts from an *SRK*-related gene. (D) Gel-blot analysis of *CgSCR7* in total RNA from *C. grandiflora* (*S7S7*) and *C. rubella* (*S0S0*) whole floral buds (left, lanes 1 and 2) and anthers (left, lanes 3 and 4) and in the anthers of a representative sample of *C. rubella-grandiflora* F₂ plants (right). Note the lack of hybridization signal in *S0S0* plants and the drastic reduction in the steady-state levels of *CgSCR7* in the anthers of *S7S0* F₂ plants (right, lanes 3–8). Hybridization with actin was used as a loading control.

pollen of these plants germinated and produced pollen tubes on S7S7 stigmas (Table 2). The remaining 17 (or 1/3) of the self-compatible F₂ plants were reciprocally cross-compatible with both S8S8 and S7S7 plants (Table 2).

The data, confirmed by seed counts and selfpollinations in 106 additional F_2 plants, are consistent with the following interpretation. The self-fertile *C. rubella* parent is homozygous for a nonfunctional *S* haplotype, designated *S0*, and crossing it to the *C. grandiflora S7S8* plant produces an *S7S0* F_1 hybrid. In the F_2 generation, *S7S7* plants are self-incompatible, *S0S0* plants are self-compatible, and *S7S0* plants express *S7* specificity in the stigma (their stigmas inhibit pollen from *S7S7* plants) but are self-compatible due to the breakdown of SI in pollen (their pollen germinates and produces tubes on *S7S7* stigmas).

Molecular cloning of S-locus genes from C. grandiflora: The availability of SRK and SCR genes is essential for a molecular analysis of SI and its breakdown. To isolate SRK and SCR genes from the C. grandiflora S7 haplotype, a C. grandiflora genomic library constructed from an S7S8 plant was screened with a probe derived from the first exons of the A. lyrata SRKa and SRKb genes (KUSABA et al. 2001). Sequence analysis of a subset of positive clones revealed them to contain an SRK-like gene in close proximity to an SCR-like gene. DNA gelblot analysis with probes from these genes confirmed that they were derived from the S7 haplotype. A probe corresponding to exon 1 of the C. grandiflora SRK-like gene hybridized most strongly with plants previously classified by pollination analysis as carrying the S7 haplotype, and it detected a restriction fragment length polymorphism that cosegregated with the S7 haplotype in C. grandiflora F₂ plants segregating for the S8 and S7 haplotypes (data not shown). In the *C. rubella* \times *C. grandiflora* F₂ population, the *SRK* and *SCR* probes hybridized only to plants carrying the *S7* haplotype (Figure 2B) but not to plants predicted to be homozygous for the *C. rubella*-derived *S0* haplotype. This lack of hybridization, even with the *SRK* probe, suggests that the nonfunctional *S0* haplotype carries either deleted or highly diverged SI genes.

Our molecular cloning of S-locus genes from C. grandiflora confirmed the expectation that SI in this genus has the same molecular basis as other crucifers. The C. grandiflora SRK7 and SCR7 genes (hereafter designated CgSRK7 and CgSCR7), which represent the first SRK-SCR gene pair identified in the Capsella species, contain all sequence motifs characteristic of SRK and SCR genes (Figure 3). The CgSRK7 sequence represents a new allele distinct from the partial C. grandiflora SRK sequences that have been reported recently (PAETSCH et al. 2006). BLAST searches show CgSRK7 and CgSCR7 to be most similar to the SRKa and SCRa genes of A. lyrata (KUSABA et al. 2001). The exons of CgSRK7, on average, share $\sim 84\%$ nucleotide and $\sim 86\%$ amino-acid sequence identity with the corresponding exons of AlSRKa, while CgSCR7 exons 1 and 2 are $\sim 85\%$ and $\sim 74\%$ identical to AlSCRa exons 1 and 2 in nucleotide and amino-acid sequence, respectively. Interestingly, the C. grandiflora S7 haplotype is also similar to the A. lyrata Sa haplotype in the position and spacing of the SRK and SCR genes relative to each other (KUSABA et al. 2001). In both Shaplotypes, the two genes are arranged tail to tail and are separated by a relatively small intergenic region (1006 bp in C. grandiflora S7 and 1500 bp in A. lyrata Sa). However, neither the region between CgSRK7 and CgSCR7 nor the introns

A Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	<u>MRSEGPNKHYSYTFAFLFFFVTLFPDVCIS</u> ANTLSATDSLTSNKTLVSPGDVFELGFFKILSDSWYLGIWYKTLPQ <u>PNKHHYYSFSFVFLFFFLILFPDFSIS</u> TNTLSATESLTISSNKTIVSLGDVFELGFFTILGDSWYLGIWYKKIPE
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	KTYVWIANRDNPLFGSTGVLKISNANLILQSQTDTLVWSTNLYGAVRAPMVAELLDNGNFVLRDSKTNGSDGFLWQSFDF KTYVWVANRDNPISTSTGILKISNANLVLLNHFDTPVWSTNLTAEVKSPVVAELLDNGNFVLRDSKTNGSDEFLWQSFDF
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	$\label{eq:pttlpqmklgrdhkrkldrfltswkssfdlsngdylfkletqglpefflwkkfwilyrsgpwdgsrfsgmseiqqwdd \\ ptdtllpqmklgldhkkrlnkflrswkssfdmssgdylfkietlglpeffiwmsdfrvfrsgpwngirfsgmlemqkwdd \\ \end{structure}$
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	IIYNLTDNSEEVAFTFRLTDHNLYSRLTINDAGLLQQFTWDSTNQEWNMLWSTPKEK-CDYYDPCGPYAYCDMSTSPMCN IIYNLTENKEEVAFTFRPTDHNLYSRLTINYAGLLQQFTWDPIYKEWNMLWSTSTDNACETYNPCGPYAYCDMSTSPMCN
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	CIEGFAPRNSQEWASGIVRGRCQRKTQLSCGGDRFIQLKKVKLPDTTEAIVDKRLGLEDCKKRCATNCNCTAYATMDIRN CVEGFKPRNPQEWALGDVRGRCQRTTPLNCGRDGFTQLRKIKLPDTTAAILDKRIGFKDCKERCAKTCNCTAFANTDIRN
		466 77
Cg	SRK7	GGLG C VIWIGRFVDIRNYAATGQDLYVRLAAAD <u>IG</u> DKRNIIG <u>KIIGLIIGVSLMLLMSFIIMYRF</u> WRKNQKRAIAAP <u>I</u>
Al	SRKa	GGSG C VIWIGRFVDIRNYAADGQDLYVRVAAAN <u>IG</u> DRKHISG <u>QIIGLIVGVSLLLLVSFI-MYWF</u> WKKKQKQARATAAPN 414 91
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	$\frac{117}{\underline{V}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}\underline{Y}Y$
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	89 SSQGTNEFKNEVRLIARLQHINLVRLLSCCIYADEKILIYEYLGEWKPPILI <u>YL</u> KNPKRSRLNWQKRFNIINGIARGLLY SLQGVNEFKNEVKLIARLQHINLVRLFSCCIYADEKILIYEYLENGSLDSHL <u>FKK</u> -VQSSKLNWQKRFNIINGIARGLLY 115 07
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	97 LHQDSRFKIIHRDLKASNVLLDKDMTPKISDFGMARMFERDETEANTRKVVGTYGYMSPEYAMDGIFSVKSDVFSFGVLV LHQDSRFKIIHRDLKASNVLLDKDMTPKISDFGMARIFEREETEASTKKVVGTYGYMSPEYAMDGIFSVKSDVFSFGVLV 96
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	$\begin{array}{c} 80\\ {\tt LEIVSGKRNRRNSYNSNQENNPSLATTWDNWKEGKGLEIVDPVIVGNSSSFSTFQPHEVLRCLQIGLLCVQERAEDRPKM}\\ {\tt LEIVSGKRNRGFYNSNQDNNLLS}\underline{Y\underline{T}}WDHWKEGKWLEIADPIIVGTSSSSSTFRPHEVLRCLQIGLLCVQERAEDRPKM\\ \hline 86 \end{array}$
Cg Al	SRK7 SRKa	SSVVLMLGNETGEIHQPKLPGYCVGRSFFETESSSSTQRDSESLTVNQFTVSVIDAR SSVVFMLGNEKGEIPQPKPPGYCIGRSFLETDSSSSTQRNESS-TINQFTVSVINAR
B Cg Al	SCR7 SCRa	1202 <u>MRCGIFFVVSYVLMSFLISHVQG</u> VETQKWKKECRGNFPGRCEGKGDEQCRHDLTEDGNKPSQCHCTTHDLQRFCYCKYCKISV <u>MRCSVLFVVSYVIMSLLISHVQG</u> MEDQKWKKVCNLEGNFPGRCVGNGDEQCKRDLTEDGNNPSKCRCRFRAGRRHCRCIYCEVFG 1500

FIGURE 3.—Alignment of the predicted SRK (A) and SCR (B) amino-acid sequences from the *C. grandiflora S7* haplotype (CgSRK7 and CgSCR7; accession nos. 892031 and 894476) and the *A. lyrata Sa* haplotype (KUSABA *et al.* 2001) [AlSRKa (accession no. AB052755) and AlSCRa (accession no. AB052753)]. Cysteine residues that are conserved in SRKs and SCRs are shown in boldface type. Signal sequences and transmembrane domains are double underlined. The location of introns in the genomic sequences is shown by underlined residues that flank each intron with numbers indicating intron size in base pairs.

of the two genes, which are similar in size and placement (Figure 3), share appreciable sequence identity according to pairwise BLAST alignments (data not shown).

Molecular basis of SI breakdown in C. rubella–grandiflora hybrids: The molecular cloning of CgSRK7 and CgSCR7 allowed us to compare their expression in self-incompatible and self-compatible plants. In self-incompatible plants, these genes exhibited hybridization patterns characteristic of other SRK and SCR genes. CgSRK7 transcripts were detected in the pistils, but not in the leaves or anthers, of plants carrying the S7 haplotype (Figure 2C), irrespective of whether the plants were self-incompatible (*i.e.*, S7S7) or self-compatible (*i.e.*, S7S0). CgSCR7 transcripts were detected in anthers or young floral buds of C. grandiflora S7S7 plants and self-incompatible S7S7 C. rubella–grandiflora F₂ plants (Figure 2D). In contrast, all 4 S0S0 F₂ plants analyzed failed to hybridize with the CgSCR7 probe and all 10 S7S0 F₂ plants analyzed produced only a weak hybridization signal that was 10to 12-fold lower in self-compatible *S7S0* plants relative to their self-incompatible *S7S7* sibs (Figure 2D).

DISCUSSION

We investigated two cases of breakdown of SI upon interspecific hybridization in Arabidopsis and Capsella. In both cases, loss of SI was due to reversible changes in expression of the S-locus recognition genes, SRK or SCR. In A. thaliana–lyrata SaSO hybrids and their allotetraploid derivatives, stigmas exhibited aberrant SRKa transcript profiles. In particular, the levels of the fully spliced 3.0-kb SRKa transcripts were reduced to ~6% of the levels detected in A. lyrata Sa stigmas, while the levels of the 1.6-kb transcripts were slightly enhanced. The role of the soluble forms of the extracellular domain of SRK (designated eSRK) that are encoded by the 1.6-kb transcripts is not understood. However, there is no evidence that they have a negative effect on SRK function; rather, they might contribute to the stabilization of the full-length SRK receptor, as previously suggested for the Brassica S-locus glycoprotein SLG, which shares a high degree of sequence similarity with the extracellular domain of SRK (DIXIT et al. 2000). Thus, any increased accumulation of eSRKa that might result from the slight increase in 1.6-kb transcript levels observed in A. thalianalyrata SaSO hybrid stigmas is not expected to cause loss of SI through dominant-negative effects. In contrast, the \sim 94% reduction in the levels of fully spliced 3.0-kb SRKa transcripts, which is much greater than the 75% reduction that was previously shown to cause loss of SI in Brassica (CONNER et al. 1997), is expected to result in absence or suboptimal accumulation of SRKa protein and, consequently, in the breakdown of SI in the stigmas of A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids and their neo-allotetraploid derivatives. Strong support for this conclusion is provided by the observation that reestablishment of SI in the firstgeneration backcross of A. thaliana-lyrata SaSO hybrids to A. lyrata was accompanied by restoration of normal SRKa transcript profiles.

Species-specific differences in pre-mRNA splicing have been reported (LAVERDIERE et al. 2000; PAN et al. 2005), but their consequences for gene expression in interspecific hybrids had not been previously explored. The production of some 3-kb SRKa transcripts in A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids indicates that the correct SRKa initiation, termination, and splice sites are utilized, albeit inefficiently, by the transcription and processing machinery of hybrid stigmas. Similarly, the production of 1.6-kb SRKa transcripts indicates that this machinery recognizes and utilizes the alternative poly(A) addition site within the SRKa first intron that generates this transcript species. At present, the cause of aberrant SRKa transcript processing is unclear. It cannot be due to global defects in transcript synthesis and processing in all tissues of A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids, because these hybrids do not exhibit major developmental or physiological abnormalities. Nor can it be ascribed to dilution of the A. lyrata-derived machinery by A. thaliana factors that cannot process A. lyrata SRK transcripts, because the SRKb transgene is processed correctly in A. thaliana stigmas (NASRALLAH et al. 2002). Although analysis of additional A. lyrata SRK alleles and their processing in A. thaliana-lyrata hybrids is required, it is possible that this phenomenon reflects incompatibilities between the A. thaliana and A. lyrata RNA processing machineries resulting from independent changes that accumulated in the two species since their divergence from a common ancestor ~ 5 million years ago (KOCH *et al.* 2000).

While breakdown of SI occurred in the stigmas of A. *thaliana–lyrata* hybrids, it occurred in pollen of C. *rubella–grandiflora* hybrids in correlation with a 10- to 15-fold reduction in CgSCR7 gene expression in S7S0 anthers. This suppression of CgSCR7 is similar to, albeit

not as complete as, the silencing of *SCR* alleles from pollen-recessive *S* haplotypes observed in intraspecific heterozygotes (KUSABA *et al.* 2002; SHIBA *et al.* 2002, 2006; FUJIMOTO *et al.* 2006). Notably, a functional *SCR* allele has been reported in Brassica, which is silenced in the presence of a nonfunctional *SCR* allele (FUJIMOTO *et al.* 2006), similar to the situation described here. Why particular combinations of *SCR* alleles cause silencing while others do not is not understood. In any case, it is evident that pollen recessiveness based on *SCR* suppression, which allows an *S* haplotype to evade *SRK* surveillance in intraspecific pollinations, can also cause loss of SI upon interspecific hybridization.

Interestingly, the sequences of CgSRK7 and CgSCR7 are most similar to the SRK and SCR genes of the A. lyrata Sa haplotype, which also exhibits pollen recessiveness resulting from silencing of its SCRa gene, at least in SaSb heterozygotes (KUSABA et al. 2001). In previous studies, comparison of S haplotypes from Brassica and Raphanus identified several cases of trans-genus polymorphisms, whereby pairs of S haplotypes were found to determine the same recognition specificity (SATO et al. 2004). In one of these intergeneric pairs, the SRKs and SCRs exhibited $\sim 88\%$ and $\sim 70\%$ amino-acid sequence identity, respectively (SATO et al. 2004). CgSRK7 and CgSCR7 exhibit similarly high 86 and 74% amino-acid sequence identity to the A. lyrata SRKa and SCRa genes, respectively. On the basis of this extensive sequence identity and on the basis of a similar genomic organization of SRK and SCR genes, it is possible that the C. grandiflora S7 and A. lyrata Sa haplotypes may be descended from one ancestral haplotype that existed before the divergence of Capsella and Arabidopsis species ~ 10 million years ago (KOCH *et al.* 2000).

The 3:1 ratio of self-compatible to self-incompatible progeny observed in the C. rubella-grandiflora F₂ population is noteworthy for two reasons. First, the dominance of SC over SI inferred from this ratio is unusual for a loss-of-function trait and it also violates the general, albeit not absolute, rule of dominance of SI over SC inferred from intraspecific crosses (NASRALLAH 1974; NASRALLAH et al. 1992, 2004). Second, the 3:1 ratio, although clearly consistent with the segregation of self-fertility as a single S-locus-linked trait, is not a simple case of dominance of one allele over another. Rather, this ratio has a more complex basis, with two different phenomena contributing to the majority self-fertile class: homozygosity for the nonfunctional C. rubella SO locus, on the one hand, and suppression of the SCR7 gene in S7S0 heterozygotes, on the other hand. In any case, the segregation of self-fertility as a single S-locuslinked trait in the C. rubella-grandiflora F_2 population indicates that the C. rubella strain used in our study has not suffered major mutations at other loci required for SI. The strain is therefore similar to the A. thaliana C24 accession, which becomes self-incompatible when transformed with functional SRK and SCR genes from A.

lyrata (NASRALLAH *et al.* 2004). Whether other strains of *C. rubella*, like other accessions of *A. thaliana* (NASRALLAH *et al.* 2002, 2004), have accumulated additional mutations at SI modifier loci remains to be determined.

In summary, our analysis has revealed two mechanisms for loss of SI upon interspecific hybridization in crucifers. While the well-documented changes in genome structure and gene expression (Song et al.1995; SOLTIS and SOLTIS 1999; WENDEL 2000; RANZ et al. 2004; LAI et al. 2006; WANG et al. 2006) that occur upon merging of divergent genomes have been reasonably proposed to underlie phenotypic variability in interspecific hybrids and their allopolyploid derivatives, our results provide a concrete case in which de novo changes in expression of specific genes are correlated directly with a specific and adaptive change in phenotype. Although analysis of additional interspecific hybrids generated by crossing plants carrying different S haplotypes is required, it is unlikely that the aberrant SRK RNA processing in hybrid stigmas and downregulation of SCR in hybrid anthers that we observed are restricted to the AlSRKa and CgSCR7 alleles analyzed in this study. Indeed, C. rubella-grandiflora S8S0 heterozygotes also exhibit breakdown of SI in pollen, suggesting that the CgSCR8 allele might also be silenced in heterozygous anthers. However, confirming this hypothesis will require the isolation of the CgSCR8 allele, which has not been accomplished as yet. Because of the extensive polymorphisms of SRK and SCR, the behavior of individual Shaplotypes in interspecific hybrids will have to be determined empirically on a case-by-case basis.

The two mechanisms underlying breakdown of SI described here do not result from changes in DNA sequence, and they are reversible and therefore epigenetic in nature. These mechanisms can allow establishment of stable self-fertile hybrid genotypes, promote their reproductive isolation from parental species, and thus facilitate hybrid speciation in nature. Unlike mutation or deletion of S-locus recognition genes, which cause irreversible evolutionary switches from SI to self-fertility, these epigenetic processes can cause reversible loss of SI. In addition to allowing self-fertile interspecific hybrids to act as bridges for transfer of functional S haplotypes between species, this reversibility would provide nascent interspecific hybrids with flexibility in their selection of mating system, possibly contributing to reproductive success in the face of uncertain ecological conditions.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. 0414521 to M.E.N. and J.B.N.

LITERATURE CITED

- ACARKAN, A., M. ROSSBERG, M. KOCH and R. SCHMIDT, 2000 Comparative genome analysis reveals extensive conservation of genome organization for *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Capsella rubella*. Plant J. 23: 55–62.
- ARNOLD, M. L., 1997 Natural Hybridization and Evolution. Oxford University Press, London/New York/Oxford.

- BATEMAN, A.J., 1955 Self-incompatibility systems in angiosperms. III. Cruciferae. Heredity **9:** 53–68.
- BUSHELL, C., M. SPIELMAN and R. J. SCOTT, 2003 The basis of natural and artificial postzygotic hybridization barriers in Arabidopsis species. Plant Cell 15: 1430–1442.
- CONNER, J. A., T. TANTIKANJANA, J. C. STEIN, M. K. KANDASAMY, J. B. NASRALLAH *et al.*, 1997 Transgene-induced silencing of S-locus genes and related genes in *Brassica*. Plant J. 11: 809–823.
- DIXIT, R., M. E. NASRALLAH and J. B. NASRALLAH, 2000 Posttranscriptional maturation of the S receptor kinase of *Brassica* correlates with co-expression of the S-locus glycoprotein in the stigmas of two *Brassica* strains and in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Physiol. **124**: 297–311.
- FUJIMOTO, R., T. SUGIMURA, E. FUKAI and T. NISHIO, 2006 Suppression of gene expression of a recessive SP11/SCR allele by an untranscribed SP11/SCR allele in Brassica selfincompatibility. Plant Mol. Biol. 61: 577–587.
- GRANT, V., 1981 *Plant Speciation*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- GROSS, B. L., and L. H. RIESEBERG, 2005 The ecological genetics of homoploid hybrid speciation. J. Hered. 96: 241–252.
- HURKA, H., and B. NEUFFER, 1997 Evolutionary processes in the genus *Capsella* (Brassicaceae). Plant Syst. Evol. 206: 295–316.
- KHO, Y. O., and J. BAER, 1968 Observing pollen tubes by means of fluorescence. Euphytica 17: 298–302.
- KOCH, M., and M. KIEFER, 2005 Genome evolution among cruciferous plants—a lecture from the comparison of the genetic maps of three diploid species: *Capsella rubella, Arabidopsis lyrata* ssp. *petraea* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Am. J. Bot. **92**: 761–767.
- KOCH, M., B. HAUBOLD and T. MITCHELL-OLDS, 2000 Comparative evolutionary analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in *Arabidopsis, Arabis,* and related genera (Brassicaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. **17:** 1483–1498.
- KUITTINEN, H., A. A. DE HAAN, C. VOGL, S. OIKARINEN, J. LEPPALA et al., 2004 Comparing the linkage maps of the close relatives Arabidopsis lyrata and A. thaliana. Genetics 168: 1575–1584.
- KUSABA, M., K. D. DWYER, J. HENDERSHOT, J. VREBALOV, J. B. NASRALLAH et al., 2001 Self-incompatibility in the genus Arabidopsis: characterization of the S locus in the outcrossing A. lyrata and its autogamous relative A. thaliana. Plant Cell 13: 627–643.
- KUSABA, M., C.-W. TUNG, M. E. NASRALLAH and J. B. NASRALLAH, 2002 Monoallelic expression and dominance interactions in anthers of self-incompatible *Arabidopsis lyrata*. Plant Physiol. 128: 17–20.
- LAI, Z., B. L. GROSS, Y. ZOU, J. ANDREWS and L. H. RIESEBERG, 2006 Microarray analysis reveals differential gene expression in hybrid sunflower species. Mol. Ecol. 15: 1213–1227.
- LAVERDIERE, M., J. BEAUDOIN and A. LAVIGNEUR, 2000 Speciesspecific regulation of alternative splicing in the C-terminal region of the p53 tumor suppressor gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 1489– 1497.
- MUMMENHOFF, K., and H. HURKA, 1995 Allopolyploid origin of *Arabidopsis suecica* (Fries) Norrlin: evidence from chloroplast and nuclear genome markers. Bot. Acta 108: 449–456.
- MURRAY, M. G., and W. F. THOMPSON, 1980 Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8: 4321–4325.
- NASRALLAH, J. B., 2005 Recognition and rejection of self in plant self-incompatibility: comparisons to animal histocompatibility. Trends Immunol. 26: 412–418.
- NASRALLAH, M. E., 1974 Genetic control of quantitative variation in self-incompatibility proteins detected by immunodiffusion. Genetics 76: 45–50.
- NASRALLAH, M. E., M. K. KANDASAMY and J. B. NASRALLAH, 1992 A genetically defined trans-acting locus regulates S-locus function in *Brassica*. Plant J. 2: 497–506.
- NASRALLAH, M. E., K. YOGEESWARAN, S. SNYDER and J. B. NASRALLAH, 2000 Arabidopsis species hybrids in the study of species differences and evolution of amphiploidy in plants. Plant Physiol. 124: 1605–1614.
- NASRALLAH, M. E., P. LIU and J. B. NASRALLAH, 2002 Generation of self-incompatible Arabidopsis thaliana by transfer of two Slocus genes from A. lyrata. Science 297: 247–249.
- NASRALLAH, M. E., P. LIU, S. SHERMAN-BROLYES, N. A. BOGGS and J. B. NASRALLAH, 2004 Natural variation in expression of

self-incompatibility in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: implications for the evolution of selfing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101**: 16070–16074.

- O'KANE, S. L., JR., B. A. SCHAAL and I. AL-SHEHBAZ, 1996 The origins of *Arabidopsis suecica* (Brassicaceae), as indicated by nuclear rDNA. Syst. Bot. **21**: 559–566.
- PAETSCH, M., S. MAYLAND-QUELLHORST and B. NEUFFER, 2006 Evolution of the self-incompatibility system in the Brassicaceae: identification of S-locus receptor kinase (SRK) in selfincompatible *Capsella grandiflora*. Heredity **97**: 283–290.
- PAN, Q., M. A. BAKOWSKI, Q. MORRIS, W. ZHANG, B. J. FREY *et al.*, 2005 Alternative splicing of conserved exons is frequently species-specific in human and mouse. Trends Genet. **21**: 73–77.
- RANZ, J. M., K. NAMGYAL, G. GIBSON and D. L. HARTL, 2004 Anomalies in the expression profile of interspecific hybrids of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila simulans*. Genome Res. 14: 373–379.
- RIESEBERG, L. H., 1997 Hybrid origins of plant species. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 28: 359–389.
- RIESEBERG, L. H., 2001 Polyploid evolution: keeping the peace at genomic reunions. Curr. Biol. 11: R925–R928.
- RILEY, H. P., 1934 A further test showing the dominance of selffertility to self-sterility in shepherd's purse. Am. Nat. 68: 60–64.
- SATO, Y., S. OKAMOTO and T. NISHIO, 2004 Diversification and alteration of recognition specificity of the pollen ligand SP11/SCR in self-incompatibility of *Brassica* and *Raphanus*. Plant Cell **16**: 3230– 3241.
- SHIBA, H., M. IWANO, T. ENTANI, K. ISHIMOTO, H. SHIMOSATO *et al.*, 2002 The dominance of alleles controlling self-incompatibility

in Brassica pollen is regulated at the RNA level. Plant Cell 14: 491–504.

- SHIBA, H., T. KAKIZAKI, M. IWANO, Y. TARUTANI, M. WATANABE *et al.*, 2006 Dominance relationships between self-incompatibility alleles controlled by DNA methylation. Nat. Genet. **38**: 297–299.
- SOLTIS, D. E., and P. S. SOLTIS, 1999 Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. 14: 348– 352.
- SONG, K., P. LU, K. TANG and T. C. OSBORN, 1995 Rapid genome change in synthetic polyploids of *Brassica* and its implications for polyploidy evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 7719– 7723.
- STEBBINS, G.L., 1959 The role of hybridization in evolution. Proc. Am. Philos. Soc. 103: 231–251.
- TAKAYAMA, S., and A. ISOGAI, 2005 Self-incompatibility in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **56:** 467–489.
- WANG, J., L. TIAN, H. S. LEE, N. E. WEI, H. JIANG et al., 2006 Genomewide nonadditive gene regulation in Arabidopsis allotetraploids. Genetics 172: 507–517.
- WENDEL, J. F. 2000 Genome evolution in polyploids. Plant Mol. Biol. 42: 225–249.
- YOGEESWARAN, K., A. FRARY, T. L. YORK, A. AMENTA, A. H. LESSER et al., 2005 Comparative genome analyses of Arabidopsis spp.: inferring chromosomal rearrangement events in the evolutionary history of A. thaliana. Genome Res. 15: 505–515.

Communicating editor: J. F. DOEBLEY