

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DAvH is supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust, Coeliac UK, and Hammersmith Hospitals Charitable Trustees. *Gut* 2006;**55**:444–445. doi: 10.1136/gut.2005.079335

Correspondence to: Dr David van Heel, Gastroenterology Section, Imperial College London (Hammersmith Campus), Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK; d.vanheel@imperial.ac.uk

Conflict of interest: None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Burton JD, Bamford RN, Peters C, *et al.* A lymphokine, provisionally designated interleukin T and produced by a human adult T-cell leukemia line, stimulates T-cell proliferation and the induction of lymphokine-activated killer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994;**91**:4935–9.
- Carson WE, Giri JG, Lindemann MJ, *et al.* Interleukin (IL) 15 is a novel cytokine that activates human natural killer cells via components of the IL-2 receptor. *J Exp Med* 1994;**180**:1395–403.
- Reinecker HC, MacDermott RP, Mirau S, *et al.* Intestinal epithelial cells both express and respond to interleukin 15. *Gastroenterology* 1996;**111**:1706–13.
- Ebert EC. Interleukin 15 is a potent stimulant of intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**115**:1439–45.
- Kennedy MK, Glaccum M, Brown SN, *et al.* Reversible defects in natural killer and memory CD8 T cell lineages in interleukin 15-deficient mice. *J Exp Med* 2000;**191**:771–80.
- Lodolce JP, Boone DL, Chai S, *et al.* IL-15 receptor maintains lymphoid homeostasis by supporting lymphocyte homing and proliferation. *Immunity* 1998;**9**:669–76.
- Kirman I, Nielsen OH. Increased numbers of interleukin-15-expressing cells in active ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;**91**:1789–94.
- Sakai T, Kusugami K, Nishimura H, *et al.* Interleukin 15 activity in the rectal mucosa of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:1237–43.
- Liu Z, Geboes K, Colpaert S, *et al.* IL-15 is highly expressed in inflammatory bowel disease and regulates local T cell-dependent cytokine production. *J Immunol* 2000;**164**:3608–15.
- Yoshihara K, Yajima T, Kubo C, *et al.* The role of interleukin 15 in colitis induced by dextran sulphate sodium in mice. *Gut* 2006;**55**:334–41.
- Mention JJ, Ben Ahmed M, Begue B, *et al.* Interleukin 15: a key to disrupted intraepithelial lymphocyte homeostasis and lymphomagenesis in celiac disease. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**125**:730–45.
- Maiuri L, Ciacci C, Auricchio S, *et al.* Interleukin 15 mediates epithelial changes in celiac disease. *Gastroenterology* 2000;**119**:996–1006.
- Maiuri L, Ciacci C, Ricciardelli I, *et al.* Association between innate response to gliadin and activation of pathogenic T cells in coeliac disease. *Lancet* 2003;**362**:30–7.
- Hue S, Mention JJ, Monteiro RC, *et al.* A direct role for NKG2D/MICA interaction in villous atrophy during celiac disease. *Immunity* 2004;**21**:367–77.
- Meresse B, Chen Z, Ciszewski C, *et al.* Coordinated induction by IL15 of a TCR-independent NKG2D signaling pathway converts CTL into lymphokine-activated killer cells in celiac disease. *Immunity* 2004;**21**:357–66.
- Kinoshita N, Hiroi T, Ohta N, *et al.* Autocrine IL-15 mediates intestinal epithelial cell death via the activation of neighboring intraepithelial NK cells. *J Immunol* 2002;**169**:6187–92.
- Di Sabatino A, Ciccocioppo R, Cupelli F, *et al.* Epithelium derived interleukin 15 regulates intraepithelial lymphocyte Th1 cytokine production, cytotoxicity, and survival in coeliac disease. *Gut* 2006;**55**:469–77.
- Ohta N, Hiroi T, Kweon MN, *et al.* IL-15-dependent activation-induced cell death-resistant Th1 type CD8 alpha beta+NK1.1+ T cells for the development of small intestinal inflammation. *J Immunol* 2002;**169**:460–8.
- Sturgess R, Day P, Ellis HJ, *et al.* Wheat peptide challenge in coeliac disease. *Lancet* 1994;**343**:758–61.
- Baslund B, Tvede N, Danneskiold-Samsøe B, *et al.* Targeting interleukin-15 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A proof-of-concept study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;**52**:2686–92.

## Histamine

## Histamine, mast cells, and the enteric nervous system in the irritable bowel syndrome, enteritis, and food allergies

J D Wood

There is altered expression of histamine H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes in mucosal biopsies from the terminal ileum and large intestine of patients with symptoms of food allergy and/or irritable bowel syndrome

The research article by Sander and colleagues<sup>1</sup> in this issue of *Gut*, reports their results for expression of histamine receptor subtypes in the human intestinal tract from normal individuals and patients with symptoms of the irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and/or food allergies (see page 498). Work of this nature was overdue because most of the available histological and functional data for histamine receptors in the small and large intestine were obtained from animal models. The authors' principal findings for the human bowel are in general agreement with the animal literature that reports on expression of the histamine H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>4</sub> receptor subtypes in the enteric nervous system (ENS), intestinal musculature, mucosal epithelium, and

immune/inflammatory cells. In contrast, the finding by Sander and colleagues<sup>1</sup> that histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptors are not expressed in the human bowel was unexpected in view of the clearcut evidence for functional involvement of the H<sub>3</sub> receptor subtype in the nervous control of motility, secretion, and blood flow in guinea pig intestine, which serves as the primary animal model.<sup>2–5</sup>

The authors' evidence for altered expression of histamine H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes in mucosal biopsies from the terminal ileum and large intestine of patients with symptoms of food allergy and/or IBS is consistent with current concepts for the involvement of histamine release from enteric mast cells and its paracrine signalling function in the ENS as an underlying

factor in these two disorders.<sup>5–8</sup> Histamine is not expressed by enteric neurones and is not a neurotransmitter in the ENS.<sup>9</sup> Its signalling function is paracrine in nature through release from enteric mast cells and inflammatory granulocytes. Mastocytosis and presumably elevated availability of histamine are present in microscopic colitis, parasitic infections, IBS, and no doubt additional functional gastrointestinal disorders associated with symptoms of cramping abdominal pain, watery diarrhoea, and defecation urgency.<sup>6, 8, 10–17</sup>

The appearance of histamine H<sub>2</sub> receptors in human myenteric ganglia is reminiscent of expression of the H<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype in the guinea pig ENS. Binding of histamine to H<sub>2</sub> receptors on enteric neuronal cell bodies in the guinea pig, either during exogenous application of histamine or by degranulation of neighbouring mast cells, elevates neuronal excitability characterised by firing of longlasting trains of nerve impulses.<sup>18–21</sup> In the case of submucosal secretomotor neurones, elevated firing rates increase the volume of mucosal secretions of electrolytes and H<sub>2</sub>O and thereby increase the liquidity of the intestinal contents, which in turn can underlie neurogenic secretory diarrhoea.<sup>22</sup> For musculomotor neurones in the myenteric plexus, histamine H<sub>2</sub> evoked firing alters contractile behaviour of the muscularis externa that is coordinated with organised secretory patterns.<sup>23, 24</sup> Similar outcomes for

release of histamine and its actions at the H<sub>2</sub> neuronal receptors, now reported by Sander and colleagues<sup>1</sup> for human ENS, can be reasonably assumed. Nevertheless, progress in understanding specific pathophysiological malfunctions and therapeutic improvisation requires that future human research be pursued along the lines of what has been done in basic science models.

Excitation of ENS neuronal perikarya is one of the significant actions of histamine at the H<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype. A second important action, which has been well documented in the guinea pig enteric ENS but not in humans, is suppression of synaptic transmission.<sup>2 19 25</sup> Exposure of the ENS to histamine, either by exogenous application *in vitro* or by release from sensitised mast cells in response to allergins (for example, food proteins or infectious organisms), suppresses neurotransmitter release at four important information transmission nodes in the neural microcircuitry. Which are: (1) fast excitatory nicotinic synapses; (2) slow excitatory synapses where serotonin, substance P, calcitonin gene related peptide, and ATP are among the putative neurotransmitters; (3) slow inhibitory synapses, especially on submucosal secretomotor neurones, where norepinephrine release from the sympathetic innervation and somatostatin released from intrinsic neurons are inhibitory neurotransmitters; and (4) sympathetic neurovascular junctions.

Inhibition of neurotransmission in each of these cases is presynaptic. Stimulation of presynaptic inhibitory receptors by histamine suppresses the release of neurotransmitter from the presynaptic axonal terminal and thereby inhibits transmission of neural signals. Inhibition of transmission at the multitude of nicotinic synapses in the enteric neural networks would be expected to prevent "call-up" of selective behavioural programmes or to selectively activate a specific programme in the ENS library of programmes (for example, intestinal defence).<sup>5</sup> Suppression of slow excitatory transmission, either at selective slow synapses or in combination with suppression of fast nicotinic transmission, is probably also involved in generation of the pattern of defensive intestinal behaviour, which can be demonstrated during exposure to sensitising antigens in previously sensitised animals. Slow inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) in submucosal secretomotor neurones impose a braking action on neurogenic secretion that is removed when histamine is applied experimentally or released from enteric mast cells in sensitised animals. Removal of the sympathetic brake from

secretomotor neurones is a factor underlying the diarrhoeal states associated with allergic responses and mucosal inflammation.<sup>2</sup> Suppression of norepinephrine release at submucosal neurovascular junctions removes the sympathetic braking action on blood flow, which in effect supports stimulation of neurogenic mucosal secretion.<sup>4</sup>

Several types of presynaptic inhibitory receptors are expressed in the ENS, one of which is a histaminergic receptor. The presynaptic histaminergic inhibitory receptor in the guinea pig ENS belongs to the histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor subtype. The slow IPSPs in guinea pig secretomotor neurones, which are mediated by release of norepinephrine and somatostatin, are suppressed by histamine.<sup>2</sup> Selective histamine H<sub>3</sub> agonists, but not histamine H<sub>1</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> agonists, act presynaptically to suppress IPSPs, and selective H<sub>3</sub> antagonists, but not H<sub>1</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> antagonists, block both the effects of exogenously applied histamine and the effects of histamine released from mast cells in sensitised animal preparations.<sup>2 19-21 25</sup> Likewise, suppression of excitatory neurotransmission at other neural synapses and neurovascular junctions reflects histamine H<sub>3</sub> mediated inhibition of neurotransmitter release.<sup>4</sup>

Absence of the histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor subtype from human bowel, as reported by Sander and colleagues,<sup>1</sup> was unexpected and is paradoxical in view of the evidence in the literature for its expression and importance in the animal model. Data to explain the paradox are not readily available. On the one hand, failure to find the human receptor with any of three valid methods (that is, immunohistochemistry, western blot, or reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction) strongly supports the conclusion that the H<sub>3</sub> receptor is not expressed in human bowel. On the other hand, evidence from physiological studies convincingly supports expression and important functional significance of the receptor in the guinea pig model. This is a dilemma raised by Sander and colleagues.<sup>1</sup>

The importance of histamine release from enteric mast cells in terms of intestinal symptoms, which are associated with human allergy, IBS and brain-gut interactions in stress is widely supported and convincing.<sup>12-15 26</sup> Symptoms of watery diarrhoea, urgency, cramping abdominal pain, and intestinal hypersensitivity to distension in humans appear in general to have a counterpart in animal models, whether it is a guinea pig, rat, or canine model.<sup>5 6</sup> These symptoms are perceived as side effects of the "running" of a specific ENS neural programme that has evolved

as a defensive mechanism for rapid expulsion from the intestine of a threat to the integrity of the whole animal. If this is indeed the case, then the mechanisms of histaminergic call-up of programmed intestinal defence are not expected to differ much across mammalian species. Most of the results reported by Sander and colleagues<sup>1</sup> are consistent with this concept, except for the absence of the histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor subtype. Histaminergic presynaptic inhibition that removes the sympathetic brake on secretion and mucosal blood flow would seem to be a necessary requirement in the "running" of the secretory component of the neural defence programme that "flushes" threatening agents and organisms from the mucosa and maintains them in suspension in a fluid filled intestine awaiting clearance by powerful propulsive motility.

In view of the importance of immune/inflammatory cells and histamine signalling in the ENS, thorough understanding for the human gut is imperative. A credible start in this direction has been made by Sander and colleagues.<sup>1</sup> Now, neurogastroenterological research must determine whether presynaptic inhibition in the ENS has the same significance for the common symptoms of food allergy, mucosal inflammation, and brain-gut interactions in stress in humans, as is known to exist in animal models. If this proves to be the case, then additional investigation will be needed to determine if it might be mediated by a histamine receptor other than the H<sub>3</sub> subtype.

*Gut* 2006;**55**:445-447.

doi: 10.1136/gut.2005.079046

Correspondence to: Professor J D Wood, Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, 304 Hamilton Hall, 1645 Neil Ave, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1218, USA; wood.13@osu.edu

Conflict of interest: None declared.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Sander LE, Lorentz A, Sellge G, *et al*. Selective expression of histamine receptors H1R, H2R, and H4R, but not H3R, in the human intestinal tract. *Gut* 2006;**55**:498-504.
- 2 Liu S, Xia Y, Hu H-Z, *et al*. Histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor-mediated suppression of inhibitory synaptic transmission in the guinea-pig submucous plexus. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2000;**397**:49-54.
- 3 Blandizzi C, Tognetti M, Colucci R, *et al*. Histamine (H<sub>3</sub>) receptors mediate inhibition of noradrenaline release from intestinal sympathetic nerves. *Br J Pharmacol* 2000;**129**:1387-96.
- 4 Ishikawa S, Sperelakis N. A novel class (H<sub>3</sub>) of histamine receptors on perivascular nerve terminals. *Nature* 1987;**327**:158-60.
- 5 Wood JD. Enteric neuro-immuno physiology and pathophysiology. *Gastroenterology* 2004;**127**:635-57.
- 6 Wood JD. Neuro-pathophysiology of IBS. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2002;**35**(suppl):11-22.
- 7 Barbara G, De Giorgio R, Stanghellini V, *et al*. New pathophysiological mechanisms in irritable

- bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;**20**(suppl 2):1–9.
- 8 **Barbara G**, Stanghellini V, De Giorgio R, *et al*. Activated mast cells in proximity to colonic nerves correlate with abdominal pain in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2004;**126**:693–702.
  - 9 **Panula P**, Kaartinen M, Macklin M, *et al*. Histamine-containing peripheral neuronal and endocrine systems. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1985;**33**:933–41.
  - 10 **O'Sullivan M**, Clayton N, Breslin NP, *et al*. Increased mast cells in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2000;**12**:449–57.
  - 11 **Baum CA**, Bhatia P, Miner PB Jr. Increased colonic mucosal mast cells associated with severe watery diarrhea and microscopic colitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1989;**34**:1462–5.
  - 12 **Siddiqui AA**, Miner PB Jr. The role of mast cells in common gastrointestinal diseases. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2004;**4**:47–54.
  - 13 **Weston AP**, Biddle WL, Bhatia PS, *et al*. Terminal ileal mucosal mast cells in irritable bowel syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;**38**:1590–5.
  - 14 **Libel R**, Biddle WL, Miner PB Jr. Evaluation of anorectal physiology in patients with increased mast cells. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;**38**:877–81.
  - 15 **Miner PB Jr**. The role of the mast cell in clinical gastrointestinal disease with special reference to systemic mastocytosis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1991;**96**:40–35.
  - 16 **Russell DA**, Castro GA. Immunological regulation of colonic ion transport. *Am J Physiol* 1989;**256**:G396–403.
  - 17 **Alizadeh H**, Castro GA, Weems WA. Intrinsic jejunal propulsion in the guinea pig during parasitism with *Trichinella spiralis*. *Gastroenterology* 1987;**93**:784–90.
  - 18 **Nemeth PR**, Ort CA, Wood JD. Intracellular study of effects of histamine on electrical behaviour of myenteric neurones in guinea-pig small intestine. *J Physiol* 1984;**355**:411–25.
  - 19 **Frieling T**, Cooke HJ, Wood JD. Histamine receptors on submucous neurons in guinea pig colon. *Am J Physiol* 1993;**264**:G74–80.
  - 20 **Frieling T**, Cooke HJ, Wood JD. Neuroimmune communication in the submucous plexus of guinea pig colon after sensitization to milk antigen. *Am J Physiol* 1994;**267**:G1087–93.
  - 21 **Frieling T**, Palmer JM, Cooke HJ, *et al*. Neuroimmune communication in the submucous plexus of guinea pig colon after infection with *Trichinella spiralis*. *Gastroenterology* 1994;**107**:1602–9.
  - 22 **Cooke HJ**. Neurotransmitters in neuronal reflexes regulating intestinal secretion. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000;**915**:77–80.
  - 23 **Cooke HJ**, Wang YZ, Rogers R. Coordination of Cl<sup>-</sup> secretion and contraction by a histamine H<sub>2</sub>-receptor agonist in guinea pig distal colon. *Am J Physiol* 1993;**265**:G973–8.
  - 24 **Bozarov AV**, Wang Y-Z, Javed N, *et al*. New method to study coordination of secretory and motility reflexes using sonomicrometry and voltage clamp apparatus in flat intestinal sheets. *Gastroenterology* 2005;**128**(suppl 2):A608.
  - 25 **Tamura K**, Palmer JM, Wood JD. Presynaptic inhibition produced by histamine at nicotinic synapses in enteric ganglia. *Neuroscience* 1988;**25**:171–9.
  - 26 **Santos J**, Saperas E, Nogueiras C, Malagelada JR, *et al*. Release of mast cell mediators into the jejunum by cold pain stress in humans. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:640–8.

## Crohn's disease

# Crohn's disease: why the disparity in mortality?

E V Loftus Jr

There has been no significant decrease in mortality in patients with Crohn's disease over the last several decades

It is well accepted that Crohn's disease is associated with a small but real risk of death. Population based reports from Sweden,<sup>1,2</sup> Denmark,<sup>3</sup> and Italy<sup>4</sup> indicate that Crohn's disease patients have a higher mortality rate than expected, although at least one notable exception from the UK demonstrated survival similar to the general population (table 1).<sup>5</sup> A preliminary report from Olmsted County, Minnesota, indicated a mortality rate that was about 20% higher (but not significantly different statistically) than that expected,<sup>6</sup> standing in contrast with the results of a previous report from the same location.<sup>7</sup> The largest study of mortality in Crohn's disease was from a cohort of approximately 6000 patients identified through the General Practice Research Database (GPRD), which contains the computerised medical records of 6% of the British population.<sup>8</sup> The annual mortality rate in Crohn's disease was 1.6% compared with 1.0% in age, sex, and practice matched controls. After adjusting for age, sex, and cigarette smoking, it appeared that the risk of death was 73% higher in Crohn's disease patients than in controls.<sup>8</sup> Although the large cohort size makes

this study important, its generalisability is limited by the fact that the cohort was a mixture of incidence and prevalence cases, the average age at entry into the cohort was 42 years (higher than the average age at diagnosis of Crohn's disease of late 20s/early 30s in most studies), and the average follow up was only three years. A recent systematic review of "hard end points" in population based cohorts of Crohn's disease concluded that there was no evidence for a significant change in disease outcome over the past 40 years.<sup>9</sup> To summarise, these studies suggest that the mortality rate in Crohn's disease ranges from 30% lower than expected to 70% higher than expected. All of these studies are limited by the fact that most of the patients in these cohorts were not only identified retrospectively, but also diagnosed before the "modern era" of medical therapy for Crohn's disease.

The European Collaborative Study Group of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (EC-IBD) prospectively developed a cohort of patients newly diagnosed with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis at 20 European and Israeli centres between October 1991 and September 1993. The incidence of Crohn's disease at these

centres over this two year period<sup>10</sup> and the clinical course in these patients in the first year after diagnosis<sup>11</sup> have been previously reported. In the present issue of *Gut*, Wolters and colleagues<sup>12</sup> update the follow up of approximately half of the original EC-IBD cohort of Crohn's disease patients (n = 371) to determine absolute, relative, and cause specific mortality (*see page 510*). Median age at diagnosis of Crohn's disease was 31 years (range 15–83). Follow up was complete in 92% of the cohort. After an average follow up of approximately 10 years, 37 patients had died (10%). Expected rates of death were calculated using country, age, and sex specific rates from the World Health Organisation (WHO) mortality database. Using actuarial techniques, the 10 year risk of death was 10% versus 7% expected. One would have expected 21 patients to have died based on the WHO mortality rates. The standardised mortality ratio (SMR, which can be thought of as a relative mortality rate) was 1.85, or 85% higher than expected.

The authors examined their cohort for risk factors. For both sexes, SMR was significantly higher than expected.<sup>12</sup> The relative risk of death was numerically higher in the northern European centres (SMR 2.0 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.3–3.0)) than in southern ones (SMR 1.6 (95% CI 0.8–2.7)) but this difference was not statistically significant. When the SMR analysis was stratified by various aspects of the phenotypic Vienna classification,<sup>13</sup> age ≥40 years at diagnosis (SMR 1.99 (95% CI, 1.4–2.8)), colonic involvement at diagnosis (SMR 2.1 (95% CI 1.3–3.1)), and inflammatory disease behaviour at diagnosis (SMR 2.2 (95% CI, 1.5–3.2)) all appeared to be associated with increased mortality risk. However, in a multivariate