Comparative investigations of T cell receptor γ gene rearrangements in frozen and formalin-fixed paraffin wax-embedded tissues by capillary electrophoresis

conventional morphological and immunohistochemical studies.

M Christensen, A D Funder, K Bendix, F B Soerensen

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Dr Mariann Christensen, University Institute of Pathology, Århus University Hospital, Tage-Hansens Gade 2, DK-8000 Århus, Denmark; E-mail: lab10mc@ as.aaa.dk

Accepted for publication 25 July 2005 Published Online First 6 February 2006

Aim: To compare clonal T cell receptor γ (TCR γ) gene rearrangements in frozen and formalin-fixed paraffin wax-embedded (FFPE) tissue, using capillary electrophoresis for use in diagnostics, as T cell lymphomas may be difficult to diagnose by conventional methods.

Methods: The DNA for PCR was extracted from frozen and FFPE tissue, cell lines and blood. PCR primers V_Y1-8, V_Y9, V_Y10 or V_Y11 (5' end labelled) combined with a mixture of J_YP1/J_YP/J_YP2/J_Y2 (unlabelled) were used. Monoclonal cases were sequenced and clonality, reproducibility, sensitivity and specificity analyses were carried out.

Results: In all cases the molecular test was found to be in agreement with the histological diagnosis. Discrepancies were found between frozen and FFPE tissue in 18 of 56 (32%) tests. The method was highly reproducible. The sensitivity was found to be 0.5% for cell lines and 1% for patient specimens and the specificity 100%. The junctional region between the V γ and J γ segments was specific for each patient. Conclusions: Capillary electrophoresis of PCR products from frozen and FFPE tissue is suitable for detecting clonal TCRy gene rearrangements. It is important, however, to correlate the results with

The diagnosis of lymphoproliterative lesions may be
difficult with conventional histopathological methods.
Consequently, it is of interest to develop and implement
research routines—for example, molecular techniques for he diagnosis of lymphoproliferative lesions may be difficult with conventional histopathological methods. Consequently, it is of interest to develop and implement clinical use—to identify and characterise these lesions. Moreover, it is of importance to validate the accessible tumour cells in frozen and formalin-fixed and paraffin waxembedded (FFPE) tissue in that FFPE tissue is often the only diagnostic tissue available. The present study focuses on clonal rearrangements in the T cell receptor γ chain (TCR γ) gene.

In general, it is agreed that most malignancies are clonal in origin and represent a clonal growth from a single transformed cell—for example, a clonal TCR γ rearrangement is a specific characteristic of each malignant T cell.

T cells possess a surface antigen receptor that appears in both $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ configurations in post-thymic T cells. The $\gamma\delta$ configuration constitutes the minor fraction.¹² The TCR γ locus has 14 variable gene segments $(V\gamma)$, five joining segments divided into two groups, J γ 1 (J γ P1, J γ P and J γ 1) and J γ 2 (J γ P2 and J γ 2), and two constant segments (C γ 1 and $C\gamma$ 2). The V γ gene segments are separated into four subgroups on the basis of their sequence homology. Group I (V γ 1–8) consists of nine segments, five of which are functional and four are pseudogenes. The groups II, III and IV each consist of a single segment V γ 9, V γ 10 and V γ 11. The remaining genes, V γA and V γB (pseudogenes), are located upstream of V γ 9 and V γ 11, respectively.³⁴

During early T cell differentiation, the germline variable (V γ) and joining segments (J γ) of the TCR γ gene complexes rearrange and each lymphocyte thereby acquires a particular combination of $V\gamma/J\gamma$ segments. The joining of the V γ and J γ segments results from small variable-sized deletions at the ends of the rearranged segments and the insertion of short stretches of random sequences—that is, hypervariable N regions that exist between the V γ and J γ segments before they join—and thus increasing the junctional diversity.^{5–7} The $TCR\gamma$ gene may have only one or both alleles rearranged.

J Clin Pathol 2006;59:645–654. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2005.025809

The junctional sequences of rearranged $TCR\gamma$ genes are unique, allowing the design of junctional region-specific oligonucleotides for the detection of minimal residual disease and recurrence of T cell lymphomas.⁸⁻¹⁰ The grade of clonality may be of importance in disease progress, with monoclonality considered to be the highest grade.

As the $TCR\gamma$ gene consists of a few segments and the rearranged V γ and J γ segments include only one junctional N region, the recombination potential is relatively restricted. This makes the TCR γ gene particularly suitable for PCR amplification compared with the $TCR\beta$ gene, which is more complex. Because of the relatively small differences in the length of the rearranged segments, it may, however, be difficult to distinguish between rearrangements on lengths alone by using conventional agarose gels, making capillary electrophoresis an excellent option for clonality analysis. This technique permits discrimination between different clones, in that it separates PCR amplicons on the basis of a single nucleotide.

In this study, we assessed the usefulness and the quality of molecular testing in identifying clonal rearrangements in the TCR_Y gene in DNA derived from frozen and FFPE tissues of lymphoproliferative T cell lesions, using capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis and sequencing, ABI 310 Genetic Analyser, Foster City California, USA).

It is concluded that capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis and sequencing) is a useful adjuvant to routine histological and immunohistochemical studies in the diagnosis of biopsy specimens from suspected T cell lymphomas. Such

Abbreviations: FFPE, formalin-fixed and paraffin wax-embedded; TCR γ , T cell receptor γ

MATERIALS AND METHODS Patients and DNA samples

The cases examined were retrieved from the files of the University Institute of Pathology, Arhus University Hospital, Denmark, and only cases with frozen biopsy specimens available were included in the study. The T cell lymphomas were classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification. Tumour samples from 21 patients (9 women and 12 men), diagnosed in the period from June 1996 to August 2000, were retrospectively selected for analysis of clonal $TCR\gamma$ gene rearrangement. The age range for the women was 36–71 (median 55.5) years and for the males 5– 79 (median 40.3) years.

The tissue samples were divided into two equal groups. One group was pre-fixed in formalin followed by embedding in paraffin wax and the other was frozen at -80° C until use.

Five sections of 20 μ m thickness were cut twice from each block in sequence (frozen and FFPE tissue) and collected in two tubes. Special attention was taken to avoid any potential contamination during the cutting procedure.

Verification of the presence of tumour cells in the tissue samples was carried out on frozen sections in all cases. FFPE tissue was not available from cases 1, 5 and 16 because of poor quality DNA, and only one PCR amplicon (frozen tissue) was obtained from case 13. In all, 22 hyperplastic lymph nodes (frozen 13; FFPE 9) and 15 B cell lymphomas (frozen 0; FFPE 15) were included as negative controls. Four clonal T cell lines were used as positive controls. The T cell lines were isolated from patients with Sezary's syndrome, mycosis fungoides, atopic dermatitis and psoriasis vulgaris. Peripheral blood was obtained from a healthy volunteer.

Extraction of DNA from frozen tissue, FFPE tissue, blood and T cell lines

Extraction of DNA from frozen tissue

Five sections of 20 μ m thickness were cut in sequence and collected in a tube, $100 \mu l$ 1 mg/ml proteinase K was added and mixed overnight at 55˚C. Proteinase K was dissolved in sterile water. Subsequently, the enzyme was inactivated at 98℃ for 10 min.

Extraction of DNA from FFPE tissue

Five sections of 20 μ m thickness were cut in sequence and collected in a tube followed by treatment with xylene at 60˚C for 20 min to remove the paraffin wax. The sample was then centrifuged and washed with 99.9% ethanol, followed by addition of 2–3 drops acetone and incubation at 60˚C for 20 min. Finally, the proteinase K digestion was carried out as described above.

Extraction of DNA from peripheral blood and T cell lines

The extraction procedure was carried out according to the manufacturers protocol (PureGene, D-5000, Isolation kit, The Biotech Line, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA).

PCR

All samples were subjected to PCR amplification. PCR was carried out in a 50 μ l volume containing 10×PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 15 mM MgCl₂, 500 mM KCl, pH 8.3), dNTP (0.25 mmol/l of each), 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and 5μ l DNA (concentration unknown). The primers used were the variable segment primers V γ 1–8, V γ 9, V γ 10 or V γ 11 (50 pmol) in combination with a mixture of primers covering the joining segments $J\gamma$ P1/J γ P/J γ P2/J γ 2 (30 pmol of each). For primer sequences see Greiner et al.¹¹ The Vy primers were 5' labelled with FAM (6-carboxyfluorescin). In cases where it was difficult to obtain a PCR product 2 U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) to some extent successfully replaced the Taq DNA polymerase.

The four sets of primers were used on each sample. The size of the PCR products was approximately 260 bp for $V\gamma$ 1–8, 180 bp for V γ 9, 160 bp for V γ 10, and 140 bp for V γ 11. Primers purified by high-performance liquid chromatography were purchased from Hobolth Synthesis, Denmark.

DNA was amplified in a GeneAmp9700 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). PCR was carried out as follows: 95˚C for 5 min (1 cycle), 95°C for 1 min, 59°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min (40 cycles) and a final extension at 72˚C for 7 min.

PCR was carried out at least twice to exclude pseudoclonality. To assess the quality of the DNA, the human β globin gene (268 bp) was amplified in each sample run.¹² Hyperplastic lymph nodes, B cell lymphomas and a nontemplate PCR were included as negative controls. The T cell lines served as positive controls.

Before the GeneScan analysis, the amplicons and a size standard were run in a gel (VisiGel Separation Matrix, Stratagene, Belgium) and visualised on a ultraviolet transilluminator (Vilber Lourmat, UVP, Cambridge, UK). In cases of heavy bands, the amplicons were diluted before GeneScan analysis to avoid excessive fluorescent signalling.

Capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis)

GeneScan analysis was carried out using an ABI 310 Sequence Analyser (Applied Biosystems). Four microlitres of PCR product were mixed with 24 µl deionised formamide and 1 µl molecular weight marker, labelled with TAMRA (N,N,N'N' tetram-ethyl-6-carboxyrhodamin, GeneScan-TAMRA-500, Applied Biosystems). The samples were heated at 95˚C for 2 min and immediately cooled on ice. The GeneScan procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Data were collected and analysed using the GeneScan program (GeneScan V.3.1.2).

Figure 1A outlines the organisation of the human germline TCR γ gene. The mixture of V γ and J γ segment primers used made it possible to detect a broad range of clonal $TCR\gamma$ rearrangements. Figure 1B shows monoclonal rearrangement of the V γ 1–8 and the J γ 2 segments. The V γ 1–8 primer covers all the genes in group I.

Table 1 summarises the morphological and clinical characteristics of each patient included in this study.

Sequencing

When a pure monoclonal/monoallelic pattern—that is, one distinct peak—was identified the sample was subjected to additional PCR using separate V γ primers (unlabelled) with separate J γ primers (unlabelled) to identify the V γ or J γ segment present. The use of unlabelled primers greatly improved the sequencing results.

The monoclonal/monoallelic products were purified before cycle sequencing according to the manufacturer's protocol (Qiaquick, PCR purification kit, Hilden, Germany). The Big Dye Terminator Kit, V.1.1 (Applied Biosystems) was used for cycle sequencing (96°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s and 60°C for 4 min, 25 cycles). The amplified products were subsequently purified according to a slightly modified protocol (Applied Biosystems). In short, the cycle sequencing products were added to 3 M sodium acetate (NaOAc) and 95% ethanol to precipitate the extension products (20 min), then centrifuged for 40 min at 13 000 rpm and washed twice with 70% ethanol. Finally, the products were re-suspended in 25 µl template suppressor reagent. (Applied Biosystems).

Figure 1 The organisation of the human T cell receptor γ (TCR γ) locus, 7p15. (A) Germline contiguration of the human TCR γ gene. (B) Rearranged configuration of the V γ and J γ segments and the N region. (C) Sequence of the rearranged configuration shown in B (frozen tissue). Boxes indicate the type of gene segment. Functional genes (black), pseudogenes (light grey) V_Y, variable segment; J_Y, joining segment; C, constant segment; N (dark grey) indicates the nucleotides intercalated between the V γ and J γ segments. The distances between segments are not drawn to scale.

For the cases showing monoclonal/biallelic patterns that is, two distinct peaks—the bands were excised from the gel and purified according to the manufacturer's protocol (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany) and sequenced.

The sequences were analysed using the Sequenche Analysis program V.3.4.1 (Applied Biosystems) and the Sequencher program V.4.1.2 (MedProbe AS, Oslo, Norway). The analysed sequences were compared with the germline TCR_Y gene sequences downloaded from http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ yielding the TCR γ gene rearrangements in the V γ and J γ families.

Analytical sensitivity

To assess the sensitivity of the assay:

- DNA from the monoclonal T cell lines was serially diluted into DNA isolated from hyperplastic lymph nodes (polyclonal; $n = 8$;
- DNA from patient specimens that had previously shown a monoclonal pattern $(n = 13)$ was serially diluted into DNA isolated from peripheral blood (polyclonal).

The dilutions were 0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 2%, 5% and 10%. PCR was carried out using the $V\gamma$ primers with a mixture of $J\gamma$ primers according to the protocol.

Specificity

To test the specificity of the assay, PCR was carried out on DNA isolated from B cell lymphomas $(n = 15)$ and hyperplastic lymph nodes $(n = 22)$ using all four sets of primers.

Ethics

The local ethical committee (A^{rhus}) approved the project. The patients included in the project, living and deceased, are anonymous and cannot be identified.

RESULTS

Capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis)

The quality of DNA was confirmed in (almost) all samples by successful amplification of the β globin gene. In all cases with no amplification of the gene, no amplification of the V γ or J γ segments was seen. The size of the PCR amplicons for each subgroup (I–IV) ranged from 231 to 283 bp for $V\gamma$ 1–8, 147 to

207 bp for V γ 9, 132 to 182 bp for V γ 10 and 116–165 bp for $V\gamma 11.$

Clonal TCR γ gene rearrangements were interpreted as follows: one or two distinct peaks, monoclonality (monoallelic or biallelic patterns, respectively; figs 2A,B); 3–5 peaks, oligoclonality, fig 2C; and more than five peaks, polyclonality, fig 2D. In oligoclonal and polyclonal patterns, each peak corresponds to the amplification of rearrangements of different clones, sharing the same V γ and J γ region, but having distinct N regions.

The monoclonal group was characterised by two different patterns: (1) the pure monoallelic or biallelic patterns and (2) the mixed monoallelic or biallelic patterns that are superimposed on a polyclonal cell background by one or two peaks.

To exclude pseudospikes, the relative height of the distinct peaks was estimated. The height of the peaks should have a minimum ratio of at least twice the height of the normal distribution of the polyclonal peaks to be considered a true monoclonal peak.¹³

In all, 156 samples (the total 168 samples minus 12 FFPE tissue samples not available) were subjected to PCR amplification. Of these, 137 (88%) were successfully amplified. Of the 19 samples not amplified, 15 (79%) originated from FFPE tissue and 4 (21%) from frozen tissue. In 56 of 137 (41%) samples, DNA from both frozen and FFPE tissues was amplified, and 38 (68%) samples correlated; table 2.

The monoallelic and biallelic patterns were always identical when repeating PCR using DNA from the same tube. We found differences between the first and the second PCR in cases 4 and 14 in FFPE tissue. The oligoclonal patterns differed slightly between PCRs. Of the 56 samples, discrepancies were documented in 18 (32%) samples when comparing patterns from frozen and FFPE tissues. The most notable differences were found in cases 8 and 15. Case 8 showed a polyclonal pattern in frozen tissue and a monoclonal in FFPE tissue, and case 15 showed the opposite (table 2). In one sample (case 2) there was a pure monoclonal/monoallelic pattern in two segments in both frozen and FFPE tissues. A mixed clonal and polyclonal pattern was found in eight cases. Mixed patterns in both frozen and FFPE tissues were found in three cases. Samples showing neither pure monoallelic nor biallelic patterns always showed a mixed pattern with either one or two distinct peaks corresponding to the size of the segment of interest (seven cases; table 2). Oligoclonal patterns were found in five FFPE and one frozen tissue sample (table 2).

We found that the pure monoallelic peaks had identical sizes when comparing frozen and FFPE tissues from patients, except for case 18 (V γ 1–8, frozen, 265 bp; FFPE, 258 bp). In the three samples showing a mixed monoclonal/monoallelic pattern in both frozen and FFPE tissues the size of the distinct peaks correlated (fig 3). In this study, the monoclonal (monoallelic/biallelic) peak size was specific for each patient.

The joining segment involved $J\gamma$ 2 in combination with V γ 1–8, V γ 9, V γ 10 or V γ 11 in all but three cases, in which J γ P

Figure 2 T cell receptor γ (TCR γ) gene rearrangements. Electrophoretic protiles of PCR products of the TCR γ gene using DNA extracted from T cell lymphomas (frozen tissue). (A) A monoclonal (monoallelic) pattern is present as a single peak at 282 bp. (Vγ1–8 primer and a mixture of Jγ primers). (B) A monoclonal (biallelic) pattern is shown as two peaks at 148 bp and 135 bp, respectively. (V γ 11 primer and a mixture of J γ primers). (C) An oligoclonal pattern. (V γ 10 primer and a mixture of J γ primers) and in (D) a polyclonal pattern. (V γ 9 primer and a mixture of J γ primers). In (C) and (D), each peak corresponds to the amplification of rearrangements from different clones, sharing the same V and J regions but having distinct junctional sequences. The y axes indicate the relative fluorescence intensities and the x axes the size (bp) of the PCR products.

Data are for frozen/formalin-fixed paraffin wax-embedded tissues, respectively.

Data in bold indicate notable differences.

B, pure monoclonal (biallelic); BP (mixed clonal), two distinct peaks in a polyclonal cell background; M, pure monoclonal (monoallelic); MP (mixed clonal), one distinct peak in a polyclonal cell background; M¹-P², the first
PCR showed a pure monoclonal (monoallelic) and the second a polyclonal pattern; MP¹-P², the first PCR mixed clonal (monoallelic) and the second a polyclonal pattern; NP, no PCR product; NT, no FFPE tissues available; Oligo, oligoclonal; P, polyclonal.

2800 700 2600 2400 600 2200 2000 500 1800 Frozen tissue 1600 FFPE tissue **FPE** tissue 400 ren 1400 1200 300 1000 800 200 600 400 100 200 0 Ω 136 140 144 148 152 156 160 164 168 172 176 180

Figure 3 Monoclonal peaks superimposed on a polyclonal cell background. Electrophoretic profiles of PCR products of the T cell receptor γ chain gene using DNA from a T cell lymphoma. The protiles trom trozen (grey line) and FFPE (black line) tissue are placed on top ot each other showing identical patterns. (Vy10 primer and a mixture of Jy primers). The y axis indicates the relative fluorescence intensities and the x axis the size (bp) of the PCR products.

was involved in combination with $V\gamma$ 1–8 (cases 19 and 20) and $V\gamma9$ (case 16).

Table 3 shows the frequencies of the patterns of clonality in specific segments.

Of 21 patients, 10 (48%) were dead at the time of inclusion. There was no evident linkage between death and clonality in specific segments (tables 1 and 2).

The pure monoallelic or biallelic patterns were most often observed in the V γ 1–8/J γ 2 (39%) and the V γ 11/J γ 2 (24%) segments. Including the mixed monoclonal patterns, however, the V γ 11/J γ 2 (53%) segment became slightly more frequent than $V\gamma1-8/J\gamma2$ (50 %). Despite the observed discrepancies there was total agreement between the immunohistopathological diagnosis and the molecular test in all cases investigated.

Sensitivity

In the sensitivity test, observable peaks were present when DNA from a clonal cell line represented 0.5–5% and DNA from monoclonal patient specimens represented 1–10% of the entire sample. Figure 4 shows an example.

Specificity

The specificity of the assay was 100% as no monoclonal T cell clones were identified in any of the hyperplastic lymph nodes or B cell lymphomas tested (37 samples).

Sequencing

Pure monoallelic or biallelic PCR products were sequenced. Sequencing was repeated to confirm the result. Because of the rather weak and close bands in the biallelic patterns, it was possible only to obtain an identifiable N region in one case. The excision and purification procedure was carried out several times. Identifying the N region in PCR products derived from FFPE tissue was difficult, in most cases not possible at all. The N region was identified in PCR products in only 4 of 13 (31%) samples from FFPE tissue compared with 14 of 16 (88%) samples from frozen tissue. Figure 1C shows a sequence including the N region. The N regions were similar when sequences from frozen and FFPE tissues were compared, except for case 18 in which the region $V\gamma$ 1–8–N– J γ 2 diverged (table 4).

It was, however, shown that irrespective of the segment investigated, each monoclonal/monoallelic TCR γ gene rearrangement was unique for each patient (table 4).

Values in parentheses are percentages.

BP (mixed clonal), two distinct peaks in a polyclonal cell background; MP (mixed clonal), one distinct peak in a polyclonal cell background; M¹-P², the first PCR showed a pure monoclonal (monoallelic) and the second a polyclonal pattern; MP¹-P², the first PCR showed a mixed clonal (monoallelic) and the second a polyclonal pattern.

Figure 4 Serial dilutions of DNA from a cell line into DNA from a hyperplastic lymph node (frozen tissue; A,B) and a monoclonal specimen (frozen tissue) diluted into DNA from peripheral blood (C,D). Electrophoretic profiles of PCR products of the T cell receptor γ gene. A (0), B (0.5), C (0), D (1). The values in parentheses indicate the percentage of monoclonal DNA diluted into polyclonal DNA. (Vy10 primer and a mixture of Jy primers). The y axes indicate the relative fluorescence intensities and the x axes the size (bp) of the PCR products.

DISCUSSION

Molecular investigations of clonal TCR gene rearrangements are essential in lymphoproliferative lesions as morphological and immunohistochemical studies occasionally fail. For several years, Southern blotting analysis has been the gold standard for molecular detection of clonal TCR γ gene rearrangements.14 15 Relatively large amounts of DNA isolated from fresh or frozen tissue, however, are required in addition to radioactively labelled probes and the process is laborious. The use of other methods such as single strand conformation polymorphism or heteroduplex analysis may result in poorer interpretation because of frequently incomplete separation of fragments during electrophoresis.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ In the present study, we focus on whether capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis and sequencing) of the TCR γ gene rearrangements can be established as a sensitive and specific diagnostic procedure by comparing $TCR\gamma$ gene rearrangements in lymphoproliferative lesions in frozen and FFPE tissue samples. Application on FFPE tissue is of particular importance because in many cases it is the only obtainable diagnostic tissue.

High-resolution capillary electrophoresis was selected for this investigation because the method is highly sensitive and provides reliably distinguishable results.²⁰⁻²² The use of an internal standard in each run provides an exact base size calling as the PCR products are separated in length of just one base, altogether resulting in more accurate interpretation than in conventional gels. The outlined post PCR handling may seem expensive and time consuming. We, however, recommend carrying out this test only in a few cases in which it is not possible to reach a diagnosis by morphological and immunohistochemical methods. Reproduction of the PCR amplification was carried out to ensure reliable interpretation of the data and is obligatory for the exclusion of pseudoclonality. PCR assays are very sensitive and detection of falsepositive clonal rearrangements may occur in cases of contamination. Sizing of the PCR fragments minimises the risk of false-positive results, but does not exclude them. The European BIOMED-2 collaborative study suggests that using GeneScan analysis alone increases the risk of false-positive results because of overinterpretation of minor peaks and recommends reducing the false-positive results by using GenScan analysis in combination with heterodulpex analysis.²³ We, however, speculate that excluding pseudospikes based on a previously described calculation may reduce or even eliminate the problem of false-positive results that may be caused by GeneScan analysis.^{13 24 25} False-negative results may occur because of ineffective amplification caused by poor-quality DNA, primers that fail to anneal to the $TCR\gamma$ sequence (eg, imperfect primers, mutations in the region) or a sample that is too diluted (ie, the clone is superimposed on a polyclonal cell background). Avoiding a high rate of falsenegative results may include (1) selective sampling of tumour cells, (2) designing appropriate primers and (3) testing more than one DNA concentration.

As expected, a relatively lower proportion of detectable PCR products was obtained from archival, FFPE tissue samples compared with frozen tissue as pre-fixation in formalin severely degrades the DNA.^{26 27} The design of

oligonucleotides producing shorter PCR products may improve the proportion of identifiable PCR products in

pattern and were consequently not sequenced. Values in

bold indicate notable differences.

FFPE tissue. On comparing PCR products from two tubes (tissue sections cut sequentially), discrepancies were found only in DNA from FFPE tissues. When comparing PCR products from frozen and FFPE tissues, relatively many (18) discrepancies were found. This shows that if the $TCR\gamma$ rearrangement analysis had been carried out exclusively on FFPE tissues, 4 of 18 (22%) cases would have been missed and not classified as monoclonal. Therefore, if only FFPE tissue is available, the negative results should be interpreted with caution. In cases where different or negative results are obtained, the sampling procedure should also be taken into consideration. It may be necessary to carry out selective sampling from the lymphoid tissue, guided by either the microscopic evaluation or microdissection.

Slightly dissimilar oligoclonal patterns were observed when repeating the PCR, which probably is due to amplification of different clones constituting the oligoclone (data not shown). The findings of most oligoclonal patterns in FFPE tissue, the different patterns between the first and second PCR in cases 4 and 14 in FFPE tissue and the discordance between frozen and FFPE tissue in case 15 are probably because of lower sensitivity of the assay in detecting monoclonal peaks from FPPE tissue.

Case 8 was further validated by immunohistochemical studies (CD4, CD56) that confirmed the diagnosis. We suggest that sampling may be the reason for the divergence observed.

In this study, it was shown that samples showing neither a pure monoallelic nor a biallelic pattern always showed distinct monoallelic or biallelic peaks superimposed on a polyclonal background. Criteria for the characterisation of clonality are definitely needed and some have already been proposed.24 25 The criteria used in this study, however, will not function in every case.13 In detecting minimal residual disease it will be useless, as initially the clone rising in the polyclone background is barely visible.

It has been suggested that the size (bp) of the PCR product of a monoclonal TCR γ rearrangement may be of relevance, in that the size of the rearrangement seems to be patient specific and may be important for follow-up.28 29 The specificity of the size also appeared to be the case in this investigation. We speculate that some patients, however, may have identically sized clones but variations in the composition of nucleotides in the N region. To monitor residual disease or recurrence of T cell lymphomas, it is mandatory to identify the junctional sequence by sequencing. Subsequently, the clone-specific oligonucleotide can function as a patient-specific probe in a real-time PCR assay. This study confirms the specificity of the junctional sequences. In agreement with previously published observations, the V γ 1–8 segments are most commonly involved in monoclonality.30 31 Surprisingly, when including the mixed-clonal patterns, the V γ 11 segment was observed more commonly, which is apparently in contrast with results in other studies.³² By using multiple primers, our detection rate of clonal rearrangements was 100%. As shown by others multiple primers covering most segments are needed to increase the detection rate.^{23 32} The different numbers of primers used, different interpretations of the peaks and the small number of samples investigated in this study may explain the divergent observations. The sensitivity of our assay was found to be comparable with that in previous studies.²¹ PCR amplification was highly specific. No falsepositive results in the control group (hyperplastic lymph nodes, B cell lymphomas) were obtained. Out of lineage, however, rearrangements have been reported previously. $TCR\gamma$ gene rearrangements have been found in B lineage acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, immature B cells and B cell lymphomas.33–38 It turned out to be difficult to sequence DNA from FFPE tissue compared with DNA from frozen tissue, as seen by the very low rate of success which may be because of DNA degradation or introduction of artificial mutations into the FFPE tissue, making specific regions difficult to sequence.³⁹ This may also cause the discrepancies observed in case 18. It has been shown that testing rearrangements in both the TCR γ and the TCR β genes considerably enhances the sensitivity of detecting clonal rearrangements in T cell lymphoprolierative disorders.40–43 In cases with less conclusive histological analyses and no identified monoclonal rearrangements of the TCR γ gene, we also recommend examining the TCR β gene. It may be required to study the arrangements in the TCR γ and TCR β genes in supplementary biopsy specimens.

A negative result, however, does not necessarily exclude the presence of a malignant clone and a positive result does not necessarily indicate malignancy, as a clonal TCR γ rearrangement may be detected in non-malignant diseases.^{44 45}

Despite the uncertainties pointed out, we think that investigation of clonal rearrangements might be a useful adjuvant in diagnosing T cell lymphomas to ascertain the diagnosis in diagnostically difficult cases.

Take-home messages

- Capillary electrophoresis (GeneScan analysis and sequencing) is useful in the diagnosis of suspected T cell lymphomas.
- N GeneScan analysis is sensitive, reproducible and highly specific for detecting clonal T cell receptor γ (TCR_{γ}) gene rearrangements in frozen and formalinfixed paraffin-wax-embedded tissue.
- In all cases, the molecular testing (GeneScan analysis and sequencing) was in agreement with the histological diagnosis.
- Lymphoproliferative lesions should be classified by using a combination of clinical, histological and immunohistochemical data and only in cases with less conclusive findings should TCR_{γ} gene rearrangement analysis be performed.

In conclusion, capillary electrophoresis based on GeneScan analysis, and sequencing of PCR products from frozen and FFPE tissues from lymphoproliferative lesions are suitable tools for the determination of clonal TCR γ chain gene rearrangements, as the results correlate with the clinical and immunohistological diagnosis. This analysis, however, should not be carried out in all cases indiscriminately, but only in cases where morphological and immunohistochemical studies fail to provide a conclusive diagnosis of a T cell lymphoma.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Associate Professor Brage S Andresen and Professor Niels Gregersen for their valuable scientific contributions, Marit Nyholm Nielsen for her excellent technical help at the Research Unit for Molecular Medicine, Århus University Hospital, Skejby Sygehus, and Associate Professor Keld Kaltofte, Department of Humane Genetics, Arhus University, for providing the T cell lines.

Authors' affiliations

M Christensen, A D Funder, K Bendix, F B Soerensen, University Institute of Pathology, Århus University Hospital, Århus Hospital, Århus, Denmark

Funding: This study was supported by the A P Møller and Spouse Chastine Mc-Kinney Møller Foundation, the Clinical Institute of Århus University, the Johannes Fogh-Nielsens and Mrs Ella Fogh-Nielsens Foundation, the Research Foundation of Arhus County Hospital, the Research Initiative for Arhus County, and the Research Foundation of Arhus University.

Competing interests: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 Moller DR. T-cell receptor genes in sarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis Vasculitis Diffuse Lung Dis 1998;15:158–64.
- 2 Carding SR, Egan PJ. $\gamma\delta$ T cells: functional plasticity and heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol 2002;2:336–45.
- 3 Forster A, Huck S, Ghanem N, et al. New subgroups in the human T cell
- rearranging V_Y gene locus. *EMBO J* 1987;**6**:1945–50.
4 **Lefranc M-P**, Rabbitts TH. The human T-cell receptor γ (TRG) genes. *TIBS* 1989;14:214–8.
- 5 Quertermous T, Murre C, Dialynas D, et al. Human T-cell γ chain genes:
- organization, diversity, and rearrangement. Science 1986;**231**:252–5.
6 **LeFranc M-P**, Forster A, Baer R, *et al.* Diversity and rearrangement of the human T cell rearranging γ genes: nine germ-line variable genes belonging to
two subgroups. *Cell* 1986;**45**:237–46.
- 7 LeFranc M-P, Forster A, Rabbitts TH. Rearrangment of two distinct T-cell γ chain variable-region genes in human DNA. Nature 1986;319:420–2.
- 8 Steward CG, Goulden NJ, Potter MN, et al. The use of the polymerase chain reaction to detect minimal residual disease in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Eur J Cancer 1993;29A:1192–8.
- 9 Sabesan V, Cairo MS, Lones MA, et al. Assessment of minimal residual disease in childhood non-Hodgkin lymphoma by polymerase chain reaction using patient-specitic primers. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*
2003;**25**:109–13.
- 10 Scrideli CA, de Paula Queiroz RG, Bernardes JE, et al. PCR detection of clonal
- lgH and TCR gene rearrangements at the end of induction as a non-remission
criterion in children with ALL: comparison with standard morphologic analysis
and risk group classification. Med Pediatr Oncol 2003;41:10-6.
Grein equences. Am J Pathol 1995;146:46-55.
- 12 Greer CE, Peterson SL, Kiviat NB, et al. PCR amplification from paraffinembedded tissues: effects of fixative and fixation time. Am J Clin Pathol 1991;95:117–24.
- 13 Greiner TC, Rubocki RJ. Effectiveness of capillary electrophoresis using fluorescent-labeled primers in detecting T-cell receptor γ gene
rearrangements. *J Mol Diagn* 2002;4:137–43.
- 14 Diss TC, Watts M, Pan LX, et al. The polymerase chain reaction in the demonstration of monoclonality in T cell lymphomas. J Clin Pathol 1995;48:1045–50.
- 15 Moreau EJ, Langerak AW, van Gastel-Mol EJ, et al. Easy detection of all T cell receptor gamma (TCRG) gene rearrangements by Southern blot analysis:
recommendations for optimal results. Leukemia 1999;13:1620–6.
16 Signoretti S, Murphy M, Cangi MG, *et al.* Detection of clonal T-cell receptor γ
- gene rearrangements in paraffin-embedded tissue by polymerase chain reaction and nonradioactive single-strand conformational polymorphism analysis. Am J Pathol 1999;154:67–75.
- 17 Han X-Q, He L, Shong L-Y, et al. Investigation of T-cell receptor- γ gene rearrangement in gastrointestinal lymphomas by PCR-SSCP analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2004;10:995-9.
- 18 Bottaro M, Berti E, Biondi A, et al. Heteroduplex analysis of T-cell receptor γ gene rearrangements for diagnosis and monitoring of cutaneus T-cell lymphomas. Blood 1994;83:3271–8.
- 19 **Nirmala K**, Rajalekshmy KR, Raman SG, *et al.* PCR-heteroduplex analysis of
TCRγ, δ and TAL-1 deletions in T-acute lymphoblastic leukemias: implications
in the detection of minimal residual disease. *Leuk Res* 2002;**2**
- 20 Simon M, Kind P, Kaudewitz P, et al. Automated high-resolution polymerase chain reaction fragment analysis. A method for detecting T-cell receptor chain gene rearrangements in lymphoproliterative diseases. A*m J Patho*
1998;**152**:29–33.
- 21 Dippel E, Assat C, Hummel M, *et al.* Clonal T-cell receptor γ -chain gene
rearrangement by PCR-based GeneScan analysis in advanced cutaneous Tcell lymphoma: a critical evaluation. J Pathol 1999;188:146–54.
- 22 Beaubier NT, Hart AP, Bartolo C, et al. Comparison of capillary electrophoresis and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for the evaluation of T and B cell clonality by polymerase chain reaction. Diagn Mol Pathol 2000;9:121–31.
- 23 Dongen van JJM, Langerak AW, Brüggemann M, *et al.* Design and standardization of PCR primers and protocols for detection of clonal immunoglobulin and T-cell receptor gene recombinations in suspect lymphoproliferations: report of the BIOMED-2 Concerted Action BMH4-CT98- 3936. Leukemia 2003;17:2257–317.
- 24 Lee S-C, Berg KD, Racke FK, et al. Pseudo-spikes are common in histolgically benign lymphoid tissues. J Mol Diagn 2000;2:145–52.
- 25 Sprouse JT, Werling R, Hanke D, *et al.* T-cell clonality determination using
polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the T-cell receptor gammachain gene and capillary electrophoresis of fluorescently labeled PCR products. Am J Clin Pathol 2000;113:838–50.
- 26 Tokuda Y, Nakamura T, Satonaka K, et al. Fundamental study on the mechanism of DNA degradation in tissues fixed in formaldehyde. J Clin Pathol 1990;43:748–51.
- 27 Yagi N, Satonaka K, Horio M, et al. The role of DNase and EDTA on DNA degradation in formaldehyde fixed tissues. Biotech Histochem 1996;71:123–9.
- 28 Kerlan-Cardon S, Soua Z, LeFranc M-P, et al. Detection of antigen receptor gene rearrangements in lymphoproliterative malignancies by fluorescent
polymerase chain reaction. *Tissue Antigens* 1998;**51**:20–9.
- 29 Ayling JF, Iland HJ. High-resolution analysis of gene rearrangements in lymphoid malignancies. Pathology 1999;31:252–6.
- 30 **Födinger M**, Buchmayer H, Schwarzinger I, et al. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of T-cell receptor-gamma chain gene rearrangements in patients with lymphoproliferative diseases. Br J Haematol 1996;94:136-9
- 31 Li N, Bhawan J. New insights into the applicability of T-cell receptor γ gene rearrangement analysis in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Cutan Pathol 2001;28:412–8.
- 32 Lawnicki LC, Rubocki RJ, Chan WC, et al. The distribution of gene segments in T-cell receptor γ gene rearrangements demonstrates the need for multiple
primer sets. J Mol Diagn 2003;**5**:82–7.
- 33 **Chen Z**, Le Paslier D, Dausset J, *et al.* Human T cell γ genes are frequently rearranged in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemias but not in chronic B cell proliferations. J Exp Med 1987;165:1000-15.
- 34 Scrideli CA, Queiroz RGP, Kashima S, et al. T cell receptor gamma (TCRG) gene rearrangements in Brazilian children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: analysis and implications for the study of minimal residual disease. Leuk Res 2004;28:267–73.
- 35 Asou N, Matsuoka M, Hattori T, et al. T-cell γ gene rearrangements in hematologic neoplasms. *Blood* 1987;**69**:968–70.
- 36 Subar M, Pelicci PG, Neri A, et al. Patterns of T cell receptor gamma gene rearrangement and expression in B and T lymphoid malignancies. Leukemia 1988;2:19–26.
- 37 Thériault C, Galoin S, Valmary S, et al. PCR analysis of immunoglobulin heavy chain (IgH) and $TCR-\gamma$ chain gene rearrangements in the diagnosis of lymphoproliferative disorders: results of a study of 525 cases. Mod Pathol 2000;13:1269–79.
- 38 Vega F, Medeiros LJ, Jones D, et al. A novel four-color PCR assay to assess Tcell receptor gamma gene rearrangements in lymphoproliterative lesions.
2 **Am J Clin Pathol 2001;116:**17-24.
39 Williams C, Pontén F, Moberg C, et al. A high frequency of sequence
alterations is due to formalin fixation of
- 1999;155:1467–71.
- 40 McCarthy KP, Sloane JP, Kabarowski JHS, et al. A simplified method of detection ot clonal rearrangements ot the T-cell receptor- γ chain gene. *Diagn*
Mol Pathol 1992;1:173–9.
- 41 Krafft AE, Taubenberger JK, Sheng ZM, et al. Enhanced sensitivity with a novel TCRgamma PCR assay for clonality studies in 569 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) cases. Mol Diagn 1999;4:119–33.
- 42 Assaf C, Hummel M, Dippel E, et al. High detection rate of T-cell receptor beta chain rearrangements in T-cell lymphoproliferations by family specific polymerase chain reaction in combination with the GeneScan technique and DNA sequencing. Blood 2000;96:640–6.
- 43 **Garcia MJ**, Martinez-Delgado B, Granizo JJ, et al. IgH, TCR- γ and TCR- β gene rearrangement in 80 B- and T-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas: study of the association between proliferation and the so-called "aberrant"
- 44 Wood GS, Tung RM, Haeffner AC, et al. Detection of clonal T-cell receptor γ gene rearrangements in early mycosis fungoides/ Sezary syndrome by polymerase chain reaction and denaturing
gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR/DGGE). J Invest Dermatol
1994;**103**:34–41.
- 45 Idilman R, Colantoni A, de Maria N, et al. Lymphoproliferative disorders in chronic hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat 2004;11:302–9.