

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Learn Mem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 May 14.

Published in final edited form as: *Learn Mem.* 2004 ; 11(1): 28–34.

# Acetylcholine and Olfactory Perceptual Learning

Donald A. Wilson<sup>1</sup>, Max L. Fletcher, and Regina M. Sullivan

Department of Zoology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA

# Abstract

Olfactory perceptual learning is a relatively long-term, learned increase in perceptual acuity, and has been described in both humans and animals. Data from recent electrophysiological studies have indicated that olfactory perceptual learning may be correlated with changes in odorant receptive fields of neurons in the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex. These changes include enhanced representation of the molecular features of familiar odors by mitral cells in the olfactory bulb, and synthetic coding of multiple coincident odorant features into odor objects by cortical neurons. In this paper, data are reviewed that show the critical role of acetylcholine (Ach) in olfactory system function and plasticity, and cholinergic modulation of olfactory perceptual learning at both the behavioral and cortical level.

Olfactory memory plays an important role in many aspects of mammalian behavior including mate choice and recognition (Brennan and Keverne 1997), mother–infant interactions (Kendrick et al. 1992;Leon 1992;Wilson and Sullivan 1994;Fleming et al. 1999;Sullivan et al. 2000a), food localization and preferences (Mennella and Beauchamp 2002), and emotional state (Otto et al. 2000). For the most part, the study of olfactory memory has focused on associative memory in which the odor signaling a mate, offspring, mother, or appetitive or aversive stimulus has been learned through temporal association between the odor and the stimulus. Through the learned association, the odor can come to elicit situation specific and appropriate behavioral responses. Acetylcholine (Ach) is an important modulator of olfactory associative memory, with both pharmacological receptor blockade (Ravel et al. 1994;DeRosa and Hasselmo 2000) and lesions of cholinergic projections neurons (Roman et al. 1993;Linster et al. 2001) able to impair acquisition. Similarly, impairments in olfactory memory associated with Alzheimer's disease, may be at least partly mediated by cholinergic dysfunction (Coyle et al. 1983).

Another form of olfactory learning, and the focus of this review, is perceptual learning. Perceptual learning results in a form of implicit memory that allows for enhanced perceptual discrimination (perceptual acuity) of previously experienced stimuli. Recent evidence indicates that behavioral olfactory perceptual learning and its neural correlates are modulated by acetylcholine.

# **Olfactory Perceptual Learning**

Perceptual learning has several defining characteristics (Gilbert et al. 2001). First, the learned changes in perceptual acuity are largely specific for the trained stimuli, with some minimal generalization or transfer, and are long-lasting. Second, the learned changes generally do not occur following passive stimulation, but require attention by the animal. The attentional component can be mimicked by activation of cholinergic systems (Rasmusson and Dykes 1988;Metherate and Weinberger 1989;Edeline et al. 1994). Finally, the learned changes in behavioral acuity are often correlated with changes in cortical receptive fields. Examples of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. E-MAIL dwilson@ou.edu; FAX (405) 325-2699.

perceptual learning include improvements in somato-sensory discrimination of spatially or temporally varied tactile stimuli (Recanzone et al. 1992a;Godde et al. 1996), improvements in auditory discrimination of small variations in pitch of sound stimuli (Delhommeau et al. 2002;Demany and Semal 2002), and improvement in discrimination of minor offsets in vertically or horizontally oriented discontinuous lines in a visual vernier acuity task (McKee and Westheimer 1978;Fahle and Edelman 1993).

Perceptual learning can also include changes in figure-ground perceptual grouping and identification of collections of visual features as single, unique visual objects, such as faces (Rolls 2000). For example, in the classic perceptual grouping stimulus consisting of a high-contrast image of a Dalmatian dog drinking from a water dish, determining which spots constitute the Dalmatian (which visual features should be grouped into the single visual object of Dalmatian) and which constitute the background is greatly facilitated by previous experience with the image and with Dalmatian dogs.

In the olfactory system, perceptual learning has been hypothesized to be critical for normal olfactory discrimination (Cleland et al. 2002;Fletcher and Wilson 2002;Wilson and Stevenson 2003). Most odors experienced in the environment are complex mixtures, and even monomolecular odorants are hypothesized to be processed by the olfactory periphery as composed of multiple features (Polak 1973). However, despite the complex nature of odor stimuli, odors are generally perceived to be unique single stimuli, or odor objects (Liang and Francis 1989; Wilson and Stevenson 2003), which may or may not share similarities with their components (e.g., Linster and Smith 1999;Kay et al. 2003). In fact, the ability to analytically process odors (identify components within mixtures) is severely limited when mixtures exceed three or four components (Liang and Francis 1989). Thus, olfactory perception appears to be highly synthetic (configural), whereas initial, peripheral odorant processing is highly analytical (Mori et al. 1999). It has been proposed that the synthetic nature of olfactory perception, that is, treating complex odorant stimuli as single odor objects, involves and in fact requires learning (Granger and Lynch 1991;Hudson 1999;Haberly 2001;Wilson and Stevenson 2003). Through experience, combinations of odorant features extracted at the periphery become synthesized into odor objects by central cortical circuits (Wilson 2003).

In addition to the synthesis of odor objects, and potentially as a by-product of this synthesis, perceptual learning can also enhance discriminability of similar odorants. For example, in human subjects, exposure to previously unfamiliar odorants significantly enhances discriminability of those odorants from each other compared with performance of subjects that did not receive the initial familiarization regime (Rabin 1988;Jehl et al. 1995). Similarly, rats are very poor at discrimination of novel ethyl ester molecules differing in length by a single carbon, but have no difficulty discriminating novel ethyl esters differing by four carbons (Fletcher and Wilson 2002). However, prior associative training with one of the ester odors significantly enhances discriminability of that odor from esters differing by one carbon, 24 h later. Similar results have been obtained in several olfactory perceptual learning paradigms (Cleland et al. 2002;Linster et al. 2002).

Importantly, just as in perceptual learning in other sensory systems, olfactory perceptual learning shows limited generalization. Thus, training an animal with a seven-carbon ester (ethyl heptanoate) enhances discrimination between that odorant and an eight-carbon ester (ethyl octanoate). Training to ethyl heptanoate also enhances other one-carbon discriminations of ethyl esters, such as between ethyl butyrate and ethyl valerate. However, training to the slightly different molecule isoamyl acetate does not generalize to the ethyl esters (Fletcher and Wilson 2002).

Thus, as an animal learns that a particular odor signals an aversive or appetitive reward, at the same time the representation of that odor is somehow modified to make it more distinctive from other similar odors. Our recent work indicates that this modification in the odor representation occurs in both the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex, but it is the piriform cortical changes that underlie the synthetic nature of olfaction. Importantly, both behavioral olfactory perceptual learning and presumed neural correlates in the piriform cortex are ACh-dependent.

#### Neural Correlates of Olfactory Perceptual Learning

It is hypothesized that olfactory perceptual learning, as with perceptual learning in other sensory systems, is associated with changes in receptive fields of central neurons (Gilbert et al. 2001). Second-order neurons in the olfactory system, olfactory bulb mitral cells, and third-order neurons, piriform cortical pyramidal cells, display odorant receptive fields for stimuli in odorant space. An experience-dependent change in these receptive fields, for example, enhancement in contrast between similar odorant features encoded by mitral cells, or enhancement in combinatorial synthesis by piriform cortical neurons, could improve behavioral odor discrimination.

#### **Olfactory Bulb Mitral Cells**

Specific mitral cells receive direct excitatory input from a genotypically homogeneous population of olfactory receptor neurons that synapse on the mitral cell apical dendritic tuft within the glomerular neuropil (Vassar et al. 1994;Tsuboi 1999). Olfactory receptor neurons (Malnic et al. 1999;Araneda et al. 2000;Firestein 2001;Wachowiak and Cohen 2001) and olfactory bulb glomeruli (Friedrich and Korsching 1997;Rubin and Katz 1999;Uchida et al. 2000;Meister and Bonhoeffer 2001;Johnson et al. 2002) have odorant receptive fields for molecular features, rather than odorant molecules as a whole, and thus respond to many odorants as long as that molecular feature is present. Odorant features may include such things as a particular range of carbon chain lengths, the presence or location of a functional group, some combination of these, or some yet-to-be-determined variables (Mori et al. 1999). As might be expected given the direct excitatory receptor input, mitral cell odorant receptive fields are very similar to those of receptor neurons (Mori et al. 1999), responding to multiple odorants sharing a common feature. Cross-habituation studies indicate that habituation to that feature reduces responsiveness of the mitral cell to all odorants within its receptive field, as would be expected for a feature-detecting neuron.

Mitral cell odorant receptive fields and odorant responsiveness can be modified by experience. Work from several labs has shown that associative odor conditioning (Freeman and Schneider 1982;Faber et al. 1999;Ravel et al. 2003) and even simple odorant exposure (Buonviso and Chaput 2000;Perez-Orive et al. 2002) can modify subsequent mitral cell responsiveness and/ or olfactory bulb local field potential responses to those odorants. As described below, olfactory bulb changes may also underlie learning involved with biologically significant events such as mother–infant interactions (Coopersmith and Leon 1984;Kendrick et al. 1992;Wilson and Sullivan 1994;Brennan and Keverne 1997).

Although these studies have demonstrated changes in evoked responses to specific odors, they have not examined changes across the odorant receptive field. Recently, we have demonstrated that simple odor exposure can produce shifts in odorant receptive fields toward the exposed odor (Fletcher and Wilson 2003). Odorant receptive fields of mitral cells for a homologous series of ethyl esters were mapped before and up to 1 h after a 50-sec exposure to one of the odorants within the receptive field. The exposure odorant was either the ester with a carbon chain length that produced the maximal response in the cell, or an ester that had a carbon chain length two carbons longer than the most effective odorant. For cells exposed to the maximally

effective stimulus (and in unexposed control cells), there was an initial generalized habituation across the receptive field immediately after the exposure, but following recovery from habituation, the general shape of the odorant receptive field did not change over the course of the hour. However, in cells exposed to an odor two carbons away from the peak, the odorant receptive field demonstrated a significant shift toward the exposure odorant by the end of the 1-h recording. In some cases, this shift was sufficient to make the exposure odor become the new most effective odorant for that cell (Fletcher and Wilson 2003).

These exposure-induced changes in mitral cell odorant receptive fields are highly reminiscent of receptive field plasticity in other sensory systems (Gilbert et al. 2001). In thalamocortical sensory systems, single neurons receive input from diverse afferents with a subset of afferents more effective at driving the cell than others. For example, thalamic and cortical neurons in the auditory pathway receive inputs conveying information about a variety of tonal frequencies, some of which are more effective than others, resulting in a receptive field in frequency space where the cell is maximally responsive to certain frequencies (Weinberger 1995). Experience can shift this receptive field by modifying the relative strength of the afferent synapses coding the experienced frequency, producing an enhanced representation of the learned tone, and presumably, enhanced discriminability of that tone (Weinberger 1995). Changes in lateral inhibition (Pettet and Gilbert 1992;Xing and Gerstein 1994) and temporal synchrony of coactive cells (Recanzone et al. 1992b) can also manifest changes in receptive fields that could contribute to perceptual learning in thalamocortical systems.

In contrast, given the homogeneous receptor input to mitral cells, plasticity of diverse afferents does not at first seem to be a likely mechanism of mitral cell odorant receptive field experienceinduced change. However, the glutamatergic olfactory receptor-to-mitral cell synapse can express plasticity of such long-term potentiation (Ennis et al. 1998); thus, past activity could influence subsequent response patterns. A change in afferent synaptic strength could modify the balance between afferent excitation and the extensive lateral inhibitory networks impinging on mitral cells, potentially resulting in a shifted odorant receptive field.

In addition, the inhibitory networks themselves may undergo experience-dependent plasticity that could result in modified receptive fields. For example, dopamine release from juxtaglomerular neurons can act presynaptically on receptor neuron afferents to reduce receptor activation of bulb circuits (Sallaz and Jourdan 1992;Wachowiak and Cohen 1999;Ennis et al. 2001) and mitral cell odor responsiveness (Wilson and Sullivan 1995). Juxtaglomerular cell dopamine expression is regulated by afferent activity, with decreased afferent input producing dramatic, rapid down-regulation of dopamine synthesis (Baker et al. 1988;Philpot et al. 1998). Thus, prolonged exposure to a particular odor or odorant feature could modulate dopamine release (Coopersmith et al. 1991) and subsequent mitral cell odorant receptive fields.

Inhibitory granule cells, which form the largest population of olfactory bulb neurons, are also a prime candidate for experience-dependent change. Granule cells form dendrodendritic reciprocal synapses with mitral cells and are also the primary target of centrifugal fibers to the bulb. Associative odor learning activates c-*fos* expression in granule cells (Woo et al. 1996;Allingham et al. 1999), neurogenesis/survival of newly formed granule cells (Rochefort et al. 2002), odor-evoked GABA release (Brennan et al. 1998;Okutani et al. 1999), and, in some cases, mitral cell suppressive responses to learned odors (Wilson et al. 1987).

Together, these mechanisms could result in modified mitral cell odorant receptive fields and refinement in odorant feature encoding, and, in turn, contribute to experience-dependent changes in odorant discrimination as described above. Perhaps most importantly, the refined olfactory bulb output could contribute to greater fidelity in the synthetic coding of odorant objects in the piriform cortex, as described below.

#### **Piriform Cortex**

As noted above, odorant receptive fields of neurons in the piri-form cortex differ from those of mitral cells in that cortical neurons appear to respond to odorants as a whole, rather than to individual odorant features. The best evidence of this synthetic nature of cortical odorant receptive fields comes from cross-habituation studies. As noted above, in mitral cells, habituation to one odor produces cross-habituation to the other odors within the receptive field. However, in piriform cortical neurons, habituation to one odorant produces only slight cross-habituation to the other odorants within the receptive field (Wilson 1998a). In fact, habituation to a binary mixture produces minimal cross-habituation to the components (Wilson 2003), indicating that the cortical neuron treats the mixture as a unique odorant object, different from the components.

Importantly, however, the enhanced odorant discrimination in the piriform cortex requires experience (Fig. 1A). When exposed to novel odorants for <10–20 sec, piriform cortical neurons behave like feature-detecting mitral cells and show strong cross-habituation. Only when exposed to odorants for at least 50 sec do the enhanced discrimination and synthetic processing phenomena become apparent (Wilson 2003). These results indicate that cortical neurons/circuits "learn" to synthesize the combination of features present in an odorant into an odorant object, but that this learning takes time.

Synthesis of features within the piriform cortex could occur through convergence of mitral cell axons conveying activity from different olfactory receptors (Zou et al. 2001), and/or convergence of the broadly dispersed intracortical association fiber system (Haberly 2001). We propose that most plasticity-dependent synthesis occurs via the intracortical association fiber system for at least two reasons. First, the mitral cell synapses show robust activity-dependent short-term depression during prolonged odor stimulation (Wilson 1998b) or tetanic stimulation (Best and Wilson 2002). This depression may contribute to cortical odor habituation (Wilson 1998b), but presumably does not enhance synthetic coding. The second reason to focus on the association fiber system is that these synapses display robust, activity-dependent associative long-term potentiation (Stripling et al. 1988;Kanter and Haberly 1990;Patil et al. 1998), precisely the type of mechanism that could allow for experience-induced synthetic coding of coactive inputs. Computational models of the piriform cortex (Granger and Lynch 1991;Hasselmo et al. 1992;Hasselmo and Barkai 1995) support a role of association fiber plasticity in olfactory associative memory function.

Thus, experience-dependent associative plasticity of cortical synapses is proposed as a mechanism of synthetic coding in the piriform cortex. Once the combinations of odorant features expressed in an odor are encoded synthetically in the cortex, cortical neurons can continue to respond even in situations of partially degraded input, thus treating stimuli composed of multiple features as single objects and enhancing discriminability between those objects. We hypothesize that it is this enhancement of cortical discrimination and synthetic coding that drives enhanced odor acuity at the behavioral level.

## Acetylcholine and Olfactory Perceptual Learning

ACh from the basal forebrain has been demonstrated to serve an important function in attention, arousal, learning and memory, as well as the hypothesized neural substrates of these behavioral phenomena in a wide variety of experimental paradigms (e.g., Deutsch 1971;Bear and Singer 1986;Givens and Olton 1994;Aigner 1995;Bakin and Weinberger 1996;Blokland 1996;Sarter and Bruno 1997;Kilgard and Merzenich 1998). For example, in the auditory system, both learned behavioral tone discrimination and the suspected neural correlates of auditory conditioning are similarly modulated by manipulations of cholinergic systems—disruption of normal cholinergic activity impairs behavioral auditory conditioning and neural plasticity,

whereas enhancement of cholinergic activity facilitates behavioral learning and cortical plasticity (e.g., Caine et al. 1981;Bakin and Weinberger 1996;Kilgard and Merzenich 1998;McLin III et al. 2002).

In olfaction, both behavioral perceptual learning and its hypothesized neural correlates are modulated by ACh (Fig. 1B,C). The cholinergic projections to the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex arise primarily from the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca (HLDB; Shute and Lewis 1967;Wenk et al. 1977;Macrides et al. 1981;Luskin and Price 1982;Zaborszky et al. 1986). Interestingly, this area also receives input from the olfactory system (Broadwell 1975;Linster and Hasselmo 2000); thus, cholinergic feedback can be, at least in part, regulated by odorant stimulation itself. ACh modulates several aspects of central olfactory neuron physiology and circuit function that may be important for perceptual learning. Furthermore, disruption of olfactory system ACh increases behavioral generalization between molecularly similar odors (Linster et al. 2001) and impairs olfactory perceptual learning (Fletcher and Wilson 2002), whereas the ACh agonist physostigmine can enhance odor discrimination in rats (Doty et al. 1999).

Although there may be a small population of intrinsic cholinergic neurons in both the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex (Phelps et al. 1992), the majority of cholinergic input to these olfactory structures originates in the basal forebrain nucleus of the HLDB. In the olfactory bulb, cholinergic fibers terminate in all layers, although they are significantly densest in the internal plexiform layer and glomerular layer (Carson and Burd 1980;Halasz and Shepherd 1983;Kasa et al. 1995). ACh has several disparate postsynaptic consequences in the olfactory bulb, mediated by both muscarinic and nicotinic receptors (Nickell and Shipley 1988b;Ravel et al. 1990;Elaagouby et al. 1991;Castillo et al. 1999), although the primary target appears to be interneurons (Nickell and Shipley 1988a;Kunze et al. 1991;Kasa et al. 1995). ACh infusion into the olfactory bulb can produce not only immediate modulation of bulb circuit excitability (Elaagouby et al. 1991) but also more long-lasting increases in bulb excitability (Elaagouby and Gervais 1992). Blockade of olfactory bulb muscarinic receptors with scopolamine disrupts short-term memory in an olfactory delayed match-to-sample task (Ravel et al. 1994).

In the piriform cortex, cholinergic fibers from the HLDB terminate heavily in Layers II and III and less heavily in Layer I, and in contrast to the olfactory, the primary target appears to be the principal output neurons, pyramidal cells of Layers II and III (Luskin and Price 1982). ACh appears to have three major functional consequences on piriform cortical circuit function: (1) a selective modulation of intracortical association fiber synapses, with minimal effects on afferent synapses (Hasselmo and Bower 1992;Linster et al. 1999); (2) a reduction in pyramidal cell after-hyperpolarization and spiking adaptation to current steps, and thus a potential increase in signal-to-noise ratios (Barkai and Hasselmo 1994;Saar et al. 2001); and (3) modulation of long-term potentiation of association fiber synapses (Hasselmo and Barkai 1995). Acetylcholine does not appear to modulate cortical habituation to odorants (Chabaud et al 2000;Wilson 2001).

As noted above, blockade of ACh in the olfactory system or lesions of the HLDB impair olfactory memory in several paradigms (Ravel et al. 1994;DeRosa and Hasselmo 2000;Linster et al. 2001;Saar et al. 2001). Similarly, systemic scopolamine injections impair olfactory perceptual learning (Fletcher and Wilson 2002). Fletcher and Wilson (2002) trained animals with a novel odorant (15 4-sec odor stimuli paired with footshock) after receiving either an injection of scopolamine (0.2 or 0.5 mg/kg) or saline and then returned the rats to their home cages. The next day, odorant discrimination tests were performed between the trained odorant and odorants in a homologous series varying in carbon chain length. Animals that had been trained the previous day with saline injections were able to discriminate the trained odorant from an odorant differing by a single carbon (e.g., ethyl heptanoate vs. ethyl octanoate).

Completely untrained animals cannot make this discrimination, indicating that the trained animals are showing perceptual learning. However, blockade of cholinergic muscarinic receptors with 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine during training (there was no drug present during testing) prevented this perceptual learning. Scopolamine-injected animals were unable to make the one-carbon difference discrimination, performing similarly to untrained controls.

These results imply that ACh is an important modulator of olfactory perceptual learning. Given the neural circuitry and synaptic functions described above, ACh could impose this modulation via actions within the olfactory bulb, piriform cortex, or both. Results from computational modeling indicate that cholinergic modulation of lateral inhibition in the olfactory bulb could enhance distinctiveness of activity patterns evoked by similar odorants and thus enhance behavioral discrimination of those odorants (Linster and Cleland 2002). Thus, if initial exposure and attention to odorants enhanced cholinergic release in the olfactory bulb, there could be a resulting increase in lateral inhibition and increase in odor discrimination. However, to account for the long duration of olfactory perceptual learning described above, these cholinergic-induced changes in lateral inhibition would similarly have to extend beyond the initial training phase. Now that exposure-induced changes in mitral cell odorant receptive fields have been described (Fletcher and Wilson 2003), investigation of the role of ACh in this plasticity is warranted.

Computational modeling and experimental analysis of cholinergic effects in the piriform cortex, on the other hand, imply that training or attention-induced ACh cortical release could produce long-lasting changes in the strength of association fiber synapses, which could hypothetically result in storage of synthetic representations of the experienced or learned odor (Hasselmo and Barkai 1995;Saar et al. 1999). In fact, scopolamine either given systemically at the same dose that blocks behavioral olfactory perceptual learning or applied directly to the surface of the piriform cortex prevents exposure-induced enhancement of odor discrimination by cortical neurons (Wilson 2001).

Specifically, as described above, pyramidal-cell single units in the piriform cortex show enhanced discrimination of similar odorants after 50 sec of exposure to those odorants compared with cortical discrimination of completely novel odorants (<10 sec of exposure), and compared with olfactory bulb mitral cell discrimination. This emergence of enhanced cortical discrimination over the course of the 50-sec odorant exposure indicates that the cortex may be learning that a particular combination of coincident odorant features represents a single odor object. Disruption of cortical cholinergic input during the odorant exposure, which impairs normal cortical plasticity, prevents the emergence of enhanced odor discrimination by cortical neurons (Wilson 2001).

Thus, these results describe a modulatory role of ACh in olfactory perceptual learning at both the behavioral and cortical single-unit level. The results imply that attention or arousal, which can elevate cholinergic release by basal forebrain neurons (Acquas et al. 1996), could not only enhance the probability of associative, declarative memory for the odor (e.g., this odor signals reward), but also enhance the perceptual distinctiveness of that stimulus from similar stimuli.

#### Early Olfactory Learning: A Special Case?

The rat olfactory system is functional early in development, and learned odors are critical for neonatal survival in many mammalian species. In rats, neonates learn the odor of their mother through an associative conditioning mechanism involving pairing of maternal odor with other maternal stimuli such as warmth, milk, and grooming (Hofer and Sullivan 2001). The pups learn both an approach response and generalized behavioral activation to the maternal odor. Given the effects of early experience in other sensory systems, it seems likely that this early

learning may not only result in learned preferences, but also affect perceptual olfactory acuity. To our knowledge, however, olfactory perceptual learning in neonates has not been examined.

Early associative olfactory learning is correlated with specific changes in olfactory bulb processing of the learned odors (Coopersmith and Leon 1984;Wilson and Sullivan 1994), and these changes require activation of centrifugal inputs to the bulb during conditioning. The most thoroughly studied of these inputs is norepinephrine (NE) from the locus coeruleus (Sullivan et al. 1989;Sullivan and Wilson 1994). Blockade of NE- $\beta$  receptors within the olfactory bulb (Sullivan et al. 1992) or lesions of the locus coeruleus (Sullivan et al. 1994) during training prevent early olfactory learning, whereas activation of NE- $\beta$  receptors within the olfactory bulb (Sullivan et al. 2000b) or pharmacological stimulation of the locus coeruleus (Sullivan et al. 2000b), paired with odor stimulation, allows early olfactory learning.

Of particular relevance to the present review, cholinergic stimulation of the locus coeruleus, which enhances mitral cell responsiveness to olfactory nerve input (Jiang et al. 1996), is sufficient to produce a learned odor preference in neonates when paired with odor stimulation (Sullivan et al. 2000b). ACh can also directly modulate NE release from locus coeruleus terminals within the olfactory bulb (El-Etri et al. 1999), thus serving as another site for interaction between these systems in regulation of early olfactory learning. It is yet to be determined if this learned preference is associated with a change in perceptual acuity for the learned odor, but these results imply an important role of ACh in olfactory learning throughout life.

## Summary

Olfactory perceptual learning is a rapid form of plasticity with neural correlates in both the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex. Olfactory perceptual learning may be critical for normal synthetic coding of complex odorants to allow recognition of perceptual odor objects. ACh plays a critical role in modulating behavioral perceptual learning and its cortical neural correlates. Thus, disturbance of cholinergic function could have a significant impact on normal olfactory function and implicit olfactory memory.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant DC03906 from the NIDCD and a grant from the Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology to D.A.W., predoctoral NRSA fellowship DC006126 from NIDCD to M.L.F., and grants HD33402 from NIDCD and IBN0117234 from NSF to R.M.S.

### References

- Acquas E, Wilson C, Fibiger HC. Conditioned and unconditioned stimuli increase frontal cortical and hippocampal acetylcholine release: Effects of novelty, habituation, and fear. J Neurosci 1996;16:3089–3096. [PubMed: 8622138]
- Aigner TG. Pharmacology of memory: Cholinergic–glutamatergic interactions. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1995;5:155–160. [PubMed: 7620302]
- Allingham K, Brennan PA, Distel H, Hudson R. Expression of c-fos in the main olfactory bulb of neonatal rabbits in response to garlic as a novel and conditioned odour. Behav Brain Res 1999;104:157–167. [PubMed: 11125735]
- Araneda RC, Kini AD, Firestein S. The molecular receptive range of an odorant receptor. Nat Neurosci 2000;3:1248–1255. [PubMed: 11100145]
- Baker H, Towle AC, Margolis FL. Differential afferent regulation of dopaminergic and GABAergic neurons in the mouse main olfactory bulb. Brain Res 1988;450:69–80. [PubMed: 2900047]
- Bakin JS, Weinberger NM. Induction of a physiological memory in the cerebral cortex by stimulation of the nucleus basalis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1996;93:11219–11224. [PubMed: 8855336]

- Barkai E, Hasselmo ME. Modulation of the input/output function of rat piriform cortex pyramidal cells. J Neurophysiol 1994;72:644–658. [PubMed: 7983526]
- Bear MF, Singer W. Modulation of visual cortical plasticity by acetylcholine and noradrenaline. Nature 1986;320:172–176. [PubMed: 3005879]
- Best, AR.; Wilson, DA. Society for Neurosciences Abstracts. Orlando, FL: 2002. Synaptic correlates of cortical adaptation.
- Blokland A. Acetylcholine: A neurotransmitter for learning and memory? Brain Res Rev 1996;21:285–300. [PubMed: 8806017]
- Brennan PA, Keverne EB. Neural mechanisms of mammalian olfactory learning. Prog Neurobiol 1997;51:457–481. [PubMed: 9106902]
- Brennan PA, Schellinck HM, de la Riva C, Kendrick KM, Keverne EB. Changes in neurotransmitter release in the main olfactory bulb following an olfactory conditioning procedure in mice. Neuroscience 1998;87:583–590. [PubMed: 9758225]
- Broadwell RD. Olfactory relationships of the telencephalon and diencephalons in the rabbit: I. An autoradiographic study of the efferent connections of the main and accessory olfactory bulb. J Comp Neurol 1975;163:329–345. [PubMed: 1176643]
- Buonviso N, Chaput M. Olfactory experience decreases responsiveness of the olfactory bulb in the adult rat. Neuroscience 2000;95:325–332. [PubMed: 10658611]
- Caine ED, Weingartner H, Ludlow CL, Cudahy EA, Wehry S. Qualitative analysis of scopolamineinduced amnesia. Psychopharmacology 1981;74:74–80. [PubMed: 6791209]
- Carson KA, Burd GD. Localization of acetylcholinesterase in the main and accessory olfactory bulbs of the mouse by light and electron microscopic histochemistry. J Comp Neurol 1980;191:353–371. [PubMed: 7410598]
- Castillo PE, Carleton A, Vincent JD, Lledo PM. Multiple and opposing roles of cholinergic transmission in the main olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 1999;19:9180–9191. [PubMed: 10531421]
- Chabaud P, Ravel N, Wilson DA, Mouly AM, Vigouroux M, Farget V, Gervais R. Exposure to behaviourally relevant odour reveals differential characteristics in rat central olfactory pathways as studied through oscillatory activities. Chem Senses 2000;25:561–573. [PubMed: 11015328]
- Cleland TA, Morse A, Yue EL, Linster C. Behavioral models for odor similarity. Behav Neurosci 2002;116:222–231. [PubMed: 11996308]
- Coopersmith R, Leon M. Enhanced neural response to familiar olfactory cues. Science 1984;225:849– 851. [PubMed: 6474157]
- Coopersmith R, Weihmuller FB, Kirstein CL, Marshall JF, Leon M. Extracellular dopamine increases in the neonatal olfactory bulb during odor preference training. Brain Res 1991;564:149–153. [PubMed: 1777817]
- Coyle JT, Price DL, DeLong ME. Alzheimer's disease: A disorder of cortical cholinergic innervation. Science 1983;219:1184–1188. [PubMed: 6338589]
- Delhommeau K, Micheyl C, Jouvent R, Collet L. Transfer of learning across durations and ears in auditory frequency discrimination. Percept Psychophys 2002;64:426–436. [PubMed: 12049283]
- Demany L, Semal C. Learning to perceive pitch differences. J Acoust Soc Am 2002;111:1377–1388. [PubMed: 11931315]
- DeRosa E, Hasselmo ME. Muscarininc cholinergic neuromodulation reduces proactive interference between stored odor memories during associative learning in rats. Behav Neurosci 2000;114:32–41. [PubMed: 10718260]
- Deutsch JA. The cholinergic synapse and the site of memory. Science 1971;174:788–794. [PubMed: 4330469]
- Doty RL, Bagla R, Kim N. Physostigmine enhances performance on an odor mixture discrimination test. Physiol Behav 1999;65:801–804. [PubMed: 10073483]
- Edeline JM, Hars B, Maho C, Hennevin E. Transient and prolonged facilitation of tone-evoked responses induced by basal forebrain stimulations in the rat auditory cortex. Exp Brain Res 1994;97:373–386. [PubMed: 8187850]
- Elaagouby A, Gervais R. ACh-induced long-lasting enhancement in excitability of the olfactory bulb. Neuroreport 1992;3:10–12. [PubMed: 1611025]

- Elaagouby A, Ravel N, Gervais R. Cholinergic modulation of excitability in the rat olfactory bulb: Effect of local application of cholinergic agents on evoked field potentials. Neuroscience 1991;45:653–662. [PubMed: 1775240]
- El-Etri MM, Ennis M, Griff ER, Shipley MT. Evidence for cholinergic regulation of basal norepinephrine release in the rat olfactory bulb. Neuroscience 1999;93:611–617. [PubMed: 10465445]
- Ennis M, Linster C, Aroniadou-Anderjaska V, Ciombor K, Shipley MT. Glutamate and synaptic plasticity at mammalian primary olfactory synapses. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998;855:457–466. [PubMed: 9929639]
- Ennis M, Zhou FM, Ciombor KJ, Aroniadou-Anderjaska V, Hayar A, Borrelli E, Zimmer LA, Margolis F, Shipley MT. Dopamine D2 receptor-mediated presynaptic inhibition of olfactory nerve terminals. J Neurophysiol 2001;86:2986–2997. [PubMed: 11731555]
- Faber T, Joerges J, Menzel R. Associative learning modifies neural representations of odors in the insect brain. Nat Neurosci 1999;2:74–78. [PubMed: 10195183]
- Fahle M, Edelman S. Long-term learning in vernier acuity: Effects of stimulus orientation, range and of feedback. Vision Res 1993;33:397–412. [PubMed: 8447110]
- Firestein S. How the olfactory system makes sense of scents. Nature 2001;413:211–218. [PubMed: 11557990]
- Fleming AS, O'Day DH, Kraemer GW. Neurobiology of mother–infant interactions: Experience and central nervous system plasticity across development and generations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1999;23:673–685. [PubMed: 10392659]
- Fletcher ML, Wilson DA. Experience modifies olfactory acuity: ACh-dependent learning decreases behavioral generalization between similar odorants. J Neurosci 2002;22(RC201):1–5. [PubMed: 11756482]
- Fletcher ML, Wilson DA. Olfactory bulb mitral/tufted cell plasticity: Odorant-specific tuning reflects prior odorant exposure. J Neurosci 2003;23:6946–6955. [PubMed: 12890789]
- Freeman WJ, Schneider W. Changes in spatial patterns of rabbit olfactory EEG with conditioning to odors. Psychophysiology 1982;19:44–56. [PubMed: 7058239]
- Friedrich RW, Korsching SI. Combinatorial and chemotopic odorant coding in the zebrafish olfactory bulb visualized by optical imaging. Neuron 1997;18:737–752. [PubMed: 9182799]
- Gilbert CD, Sigman M, Crist RE. The neural basis of perceptual learning. Neuron 2001;31:681–697. [PubMed: 11567610]
- Givens B, Olton DS. Local modulation of basal forebrain effects on working and reference memory. J Neurosci 1994;14:3578–3587. [PubMed: 8207473]
- Godde B, Spengler F, Dinse HR. Associative pairing of tactile stimulation induces somatosensory cortical reorganization in rats and humans. Neuroreport 1996;8:281–285. [PubMed: 9051796]
- Granger R, Lynch G. Higher olfactory processes: Perceptual learning and memory. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1991;1:209–214. [PubMed: 1821183]
- Haberly LB. Parallel-distributed processing in olfactory cortex: New insights from morphological and physiological analysis of neuronal circuitry. Chem Senses 2001;26:551–576. [PubMed: 11418502]
- Halasz N, Shepherd GM. Neurochemistry of the vertebrate olfactory bulb. Neuroscience 1983;10:579–619. [PubMed: 6196683]
- Hasselmo ME, Barkai E. Cholinergic modulation of activity-dependent synaptic plasticity in the piriform cortex and associative memory function in a network biophysical simulation. J Neurosci 1995;15:6592–6604. [PubMed: 7472421]
- Hasselmo ME, Bower JM. Cholinergic suppression specific to intrinsic not afferent fiber synapses in rat piriform (olfactory) cortex. J Neurophys 1992;67:1222–1229.
- Hasselmo ME, Anderson BP, Bower JM. Cholinergic modulation of cortical associative memory function. J Neurophysiol 1992;67:1230–1246. [PubMed: 1597709]
- Hofer, MA.; Sullivan, RM. Towards a neurobiology of attachment. In: Nelson, CA.; Luciana, M., editors. Handbook of developmental cognitive neuroscience. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2001. p. 599-616.
- Hudson R. From molecule to mind: The role of experience in shaping olfactory function. J Comp Physiol 1999;185:297–302. [PubMed: 10555266]

- Jehl C, Royet JP, Holley A. Odor discrimination and recognition memory as a function of familiarization. Percept Psychophys 1995;57:1002–1011. [PubMed: 8532490]
- Jiang M, Griff ER, Ennis M, Zimmer LA, Shipley MT. Activation of locus coeruleus enhances the responses of olfactory bulb mitral cells to weak olfactory nerve input. J Neurosci 1996;16:6319– 6329. [PubMed: 8815911]
- Johnson BA, Ho SL, Xu Z, Yihan JS, Yip S, Hingco EE, Leon M. Functional mapping of the rat olfactory bulb using diverse odorants reveals modular responses to functional groups and hydrocarbon structural features. J Comp Neurol 2002;449:180–194. [PubMed: 12115688]
- Kanter ED, Haberly LB. NMDA-dependent induction of long-term potentiation in afferent and association fiber systems of piriform cortex in vitro. Brain Res 1990;525:175–179. [PubMed: 1978790]
- Kasa P, Hlavati I, Dobo E, Wolff A, Joo F, Wolff JR. Synaptic and non-synaptic cholinergic innervation of the various types of neurons in the main olfactory bulb of adult rat: Immunocytochemistry of choline acetyltransferase. Neuroscience 1995;67:667–677. [PubMed: 7675193]
- Kay LM, Lowry CA, Jacobs HA. Receptor contributions to configural and elemental odor mixture perception. Behav Neurosci 2003;117(in press).
- Kendrick KM, Levy F, Keverne EB. Changes in the sensory processing of olfactory signals induced by birth in sheep. Science 1992;256:833–836. [PubMed: 1589766]
- Kilgard MP, Merzenich MM. Cortical map reorganization enabled by nucleus basalis activity. Science 1998;279:1714–1718. [PubMed: 9497289]
- Kunze WA, Shafton AD, Kemm RE, McKenzie JS. Effect of stimulating the nucleus of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band on single unit activity in the olfactory bulb. Neuroscience 1991;40:21–27. [PubMed: 2052151]
- Leon M. Neuroethology of olfactory preference development. J Neurobiol 1992;23:1557–1573. [PubMed: 1487749]
- Liang DG, Francis GW. The capacity of humans to identify odors in mixtures. Physiol Behav 1989;46:809–814. [PubMed: 2628992]
- Linster C, Cleland TA. Cholinergic modulation of sensory representations in the olfactory bulb. Neural Netw 2002;15:709–717. [PubMed: 12371521]
- Linster C, Hasselmo ME. Neural activity in the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of broca can be modulated by electrical stimulation of the olfactory bulb and cortex in rats. Neurosci Lett 2000;282:157–160. [PubMed: 10717415]
- Linster C, Smith BH. Generalization between binary odor mixtures and their components in the rat. Physiol Behav 1999;66:701–707. [PubMed: 10386917]
- Linster C, Wyble BP, Hasselmo ME. Electrical stimulation of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca modulates population EPSPs in piriform cortex. J Neurophysiol 1999;81:2737–2742. [PubMed: 10368393]
- Linster C, Garcia PA, Hasselmo ME, Baxter MG. Selective loss of cholinergic neurons projecting to the olfactory system increases perceptual generalization between similar, but not dissimilar, odorants. Behav Neurosci 2001;115:826–833. [PubMed: 11508721]
- Linster C, Johnson BA, Morse A, Yue E, Leon M. Spontaneous versus reinforced olfactory discriminations. J Neurosci 2002;22:6842–6845. [PubMed: 12177181]
- Luskin MB, Price JL. The distribution of axon collaterals from the olfactory bulb and the nucleus of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band to the olfactory cortex, demonstrated by double retrograde labeling techniques. J Comp Neurol 1982;209:249–263. [PubMed: 7130455]
- Macrides F, Davis BJ, Youngs WM, Nadis NS, Margolis FL. Cholinergic and catecholaminergic afferents to the olfactory bulb in the hamster: A neuroanatomical, biochemical and histochemical investigation. J Comp Neurol 1981;203:497–516.
- Malnic B, Hirono J, Sato T, Buck LB. Combinatorial receptor codes for odors. Cell 1999;96:713–723. [PubMed: 10089886]
- McKee SP, Westheimer G. Improvement in vernier acuity with practice. Precept Psychophys 1978;24:258–262.
- McLin DE III, Miasnikov AA, Weinberger NM. Induction of behavioral associative memory by stimulation of the nucleus basalis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2002;99:4002–4007. [PubMed: 11904444]

- Meister M, Bonhoeffer T. Tuning and topography in an odor map on the rat olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 2001;21:1351–1360. [PubMed: 11160406]
- Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. Flavor experiences during formula feeding are related to preferences during childhood. Early Hum Devel 2002;68:71–82. [PubMed: 12113993]
- Metherate R, Weinberger NM. Acetylcholine produces stimulus-specific receptive field alterations in cat auditory cortex. Brain Res 1989;480:372–377. [PubMed: 2713663]
- Mori K, Nagao H, Yoshihara Y. The olfactory bulb: Coding and processing of odor molecule information. Science 1999;286:711–715. [PubMed: 10531048]
- Nickell WT, Shipley MT. Two anatomically specific classes of candidate cholinoceptive neurons in the rat olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 1988a;8:4482–4491. [PubMed: 3199188]
- Nickell WT, Shipley MT. Neurophysiology of magnocellular forebrain inputs to the olfactory bulb in the rat: Frequency potentiation of field potentials and inhibition of output neurons. J Neurosci 1988b; 8:4492–4502. [PubMed: 3199189]
- Okutani F, Yagi F, Kaba H. GABAergic control of olfactory learning in young rats. Neuroscience 1999;93:1297–1300. [PubMed: 10501453]
- Otto T, Cousens G, Herzog C. Behavioral and neuropsychological foundations of olfactory fear conditioning. Behav Brain Res 2000;110:119–128. [PubMed: 10802309]
- Patil MM, Linster C, Lubenov E, Hasselmo ME. Cholinergic agonist carbachol enables associative longterm potentiation in piriform cortex slices. J Neurophysiol 1998;80:2467–2474. [PubMed: 9819256]
- Perez-Orive J, Mazor O, Turner GC, Cassenaer S, Wilson RI, Laurent G. Oscillations and sparsening of odor representations in the mushroom body. Science 2002;297:359–365. [PubMed: 12130775]
- Pettet MW, Gilbert CD. Dynamic changes in receptive field size in cat primary visual cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1992;89:8366–8370. [PubMed: 1518870]
- Phelps PE, Houser CR, Vaughn JE. Small cholinergic neurons within fields of cholinergic axons characterize olfactory-related regions of rat telencephalon. Neuroscience 1992;48:121–136. [PubMed: 1584418]
- Philpot BD, Men D, McCarty R, Brunjes PC. Activity-dependent regulation of dopamine content in the olfactory bulbs of naris-occluded rats. Neuroscience 1998;85:969–977. [PubMed: 9639288]
- Polak EH. Multiple profile–multiple receptor site model for vertebrate olfaction. J Theor Biol 1973;40:469–484. [PubMed: 4754892]
- Rabin MD. Experience facilitates olfactory quality discrimination. Percept Psychophys 1988;44:532–540. [PubMed: 3200672]
- Rasmusson DD, Dykes RW. Long-term enhancement of evoked potentials in cat somatosensory cortex produced by co-activation of basal forebrain and cutaneous receptors. Exp Brain Res 1988;70:276– 286. [PubMed: 3384031]
- Ravel N, Akaoka H, Gervais R, Chouvet G. The effect of acetylcholine on rat olfactory bulb unit activity. Brain Res Bull 1990;24:151–155. [PubMed: 2322850]
- Ravel N, Elaagouby A, Gervais R. Scopolamine injection into the olfactory bulb impairs short-term olfactory memory in rats. Behav Neurosci 1994;108:317–324. [PubMed: 8037875]
- Ravel N, Chabaud P, Martin C, Gaveau V, Hugues E, Tallon-Baudry C, Bertrand O, Gervais R. Olfactory learning modifies the expression of odour-induced oscillatory responses in the  $\gamma$  (60–90 Hz) and  $\beta$  (15–40 Hz) bands in the rat olfactory bulb. Eur J Neurosci 2003;17:350–358. [PubMed: 12542672]
- Recanzone GH, Jenkins WM, Hradeck GT, Merzenich MM. Progressive improvements in discriminative abilities in adult owl monkeys performing a tactile frequency discrimination task. J Neurophysiol 1992a;67:1015–1030. [PubMed: 1597695]
- Recanzone GH, Merzenich MM, Schreiner CE. Changes in the distributed temporal response properties of SI cortical neurons reflect improvements in performance on a temporally based tactile discrimination task. J Neurophysiol 1992b;67:1071–1091. [PubMed: 1597698]
- Rochefort C, Gheusi G, Vincent JD, Lledo PM. Enriched odor exposure increases the number of newborn neurons in the adult olfactory bulb and improves odor memory. J Neurosci 2002;22:2679–2689. [PubMed: 11923433]
- Rolls ET. Functions of the primate temporal lobe cortical visual areas in invariant visual object and face recognition. Neuron 2000;27:205–218. [PubMed: 10985342]

- Roman FS, Simonetto I, Soumireu-Mourat B. Learning and memory of odor-reward association: Selective impairment following horizontal diagonal band lesions. Behav Neurosci 1993;107:72–81. [PubMed: 8447959]
- Rubin BD, Katz LC. Optical imaging of odorant representations in the mammalian olfactory bulb. Neuron 1999;23:499–511. [PubMed: 10433262]
- Saar D, Grossman Y, Barkai E. Reduced synaptic facilitation between pyramidal neurons in the piriform cortex after odor learning. J Neurosci 1999;19:8616–8622. [PubMed: 10493761]
- Saar D, Grossman Y, Barkai E. Long-lasting cholinergic modulation underlies rule learning in rats. J Neurosci 2001;21:1385–1392. [PubMed: 11160410]
- Sallaz M, Jourdan F. Apomorphine disrupts odour-induced patterns of glomerular activation in the olfactory bulb. Neuroreport 1992;3:833–836. [PubMed: 1421083]
- Sarter M, Bruno JP. Cognitive functions of cortical acetylcholine: Toward a unifying hypothesis. Brain Res Rev 1997;23:28–46. [PubMed: 9063585]
- Shute CCD, Lewis PR. The ascending cholinergic reticular system: Neocortical, olfactory, and subcortical projections. Brain 1967;90:497–520. [PubMed: 6058140]
- Stripling JS, Patneau DK, Gramlich CA. Selective long-term potentiation in the pyriform cortex. Brain Res 1988;441:281–291. [PubMed: 3359237]
- Sullivan RM, Wilson DA. The locus coeruleus, norepinephrine, and memory in newborns. Brain Res Bull 1994;35:467–472. [PubMed: 7859104]
- Sullivan RM, Wilson DA, Leon M. Norepinephrine and learning-induced plasticity in infant rat olfactory system. J Neurosci 1989;9:3998–4006. [PubMed: 2585063]
- Sullivan RM, Zyzak DR, Skierkowski P, Wilson DA. The role of olfactory bulb norepinephrine in early olfactory learning. Devel Brain Res 1992;70:279–282. [PubMed: 1477962]
- Sullivan RM, Wilson DA, Lemon C, Gerhardt GA. Bilateral 6-OHDA lesions of the locus coeruleus impair associative olfactory learning in newborn rats. Brain Res 1994;643:306–309. [PubMed: 8032925]
- Sullivan RM, Landers M, Yeaman B, Wilson DA. Good memories of bad events in infancy. Nature 2000a; 407:38–39. [PubMed: 10993064]
- Sullivan RM, Stackenwalt G, Nasr F, Lemon C, Wilson DA. Association of an odor with activation of olfactory bulb noradrenergic β-receptors or locus coeruleus stimulation is sufficient to produce learned approach responses to that odor in neonatal rats. Behav Neurosci 2000b;114:957–962. [PubMed: 11085610]
- Tsuboi A. Olfactory neurons expressing closely linked and homologous odorant receptor genes tend to project their axons to neighboring glomeruli on the olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 1999;19:8409–8414. [PubMed: 10493742]
- Uchida N, Takahashi YK, Tanifuji M, Mori K. Odor maps in the mammalian olfactory bulb: Domain organization and odorant structural features. Nat Neurosci 2000;3:1035–1043. [PubMed: 11017177]
- Vassar R, Chao SK, Sitcheran R, Nunez JM, Vosshall LB, Axel R. Topographic organization of sensory projections to the olfactory bulb. Cell 1994;79:981–991. [PubMed: 8001145]
- Wachowiak M, Cohen LB. Presynaptic inhibition of primary olfactory afferents mediated by different mechanisms in lobster and turtle. J Neurosci 1999;19:8808–8817. [PubMed: 10516300]
- Wachowiak M, Cohen LB. Representation of odorants by receptor neuron input to the mouse olfactory bulb. Neuron 2001;32:723–735. [PubMed: 11719211]
- Weinberger NM. Dynamic regulation of receptive fields and maps in the adult sensory cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 1995;18:129–158. [PubMed: 7605058]
- Wenk H, Meyer U, Bigl V. Centrifugal cholinergic connections in the olfactory system of rats. Neuroscience 1977;2:797–800. [PubMed: 593558]
- Wilson DA. Habituation of odor responses in the rat anterior piriform cortex. J Neurophysiol 1998a; 79:1425–1440. [PubMed: 9497422]
- Wilson DA. Synaptic correlates of odor habituation in the rat anterior piriform cortex. J Neurophysiol 1998b;80:998–1001. [PubMed: 9705487]

- Wilson DA. Scopolamine enhances generalization between odor representations in rat olfactory cortex. Learn Mem 2001;8:279–285. [PubMed: 11584075]
- Wilson DA. Rapid, experienced-induced enhancement in odorant discrimination by anterior piriform cortex neurons. J Neurophysiol 2003;90:65–72. [PubMed: 12660351]
- Wilson DA, Stevenson RJ. The fundamental role of memory in olfactory reception. TINS 2003;26:243–247. [PubMed: 12744840]
- Wilson DA, Sullivan RM. Neurobiology of associative learning in the neonate: Early olfactory learning. Behav Neural Biol 1994;61:1–18. [PubMed: 7907468]
- Wilson DA, Sullivan RM. The D2 antagonist spiperone mimics the effects of olfactory deprivation on mitral/tufted cell odor response patterns. J Neurosci 1995;15:5574–5581. [PubMed: 7643202]
- Wilson DA, Sullivan RM, Leon M. Single-unit analysis of postnatal olfactory learning: Modified olfactory bulb output response patterns to learned attractive odors. J Neurosci 1987;7:3154–3162. [PubMed: 3668621]
- Woo CC, Oshita MH, Leon M. A learned odor decreases the number of Fos-immunopositive granule cells in the olfactory bulb of young rats. Brain Res 1996;716:149–156. [PubMed: 8738231]
- Xing J, Gerstein GL. Simulation of dynamic receptive fields in primary visual cortex. Vision Res 1994;34:1901–1911. [PubMed: 7941392]
- Zaborszky L, Carlsen J, Brashear HR, Heimer L. Cholinergic and GABAergic afferents to the olfactory bulb in the rat with special emphasis on the projection neurons of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band. J Comp Neurol 1986;243:488–509. [PubMed: 3512629]
- Zou Z, Horowitz LF, Montmayeur JP, Snapper S, Buck LB. Genetic tracing reveals a stereotyped sensory map in the olfactory cortex. Nature 2001;414:173–179. [PubMed: 11700549]





#### Figure 1.

Odor discrimination is enhanced by experience and modulated by acetylcholine. (*A*) Following 50 sec of exposure to a novel binary mixture, olfactory bulb mitral cells show poor discrimination of the mixture from its components (strong cross-habituation), while cells in the anterior piriform cortex could show discrimination (only weak cross habituation). The enhanced cortical discrimination, however, requires sufficient past experience. Exposure to a novel mixture for only 10 sec is insufficient to allow good discrimination between the mixture and its components. These data are consistent with experience-dependent synthetic coding in the piriform cortex, with familiar mixtures treated as unique odor objects, distinct from their components (from Wilson 2003). (*B*) The experience-induced enhancement in cortical odor

discrimination can be blocked by application of the muscarinic receptor antagonist scopolamine to the piriform cortical surface during exposure to the novel odorant. Similar results are observed after systemic 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine injections. In this example, cells are tested for discrimination of alkanes differing in carbon chain length (from Wilson 2001). (*C*) Prior exposure enhances discriminability of similar odorants (ethyl esters varying in carbon chain length) behaviorally, as assessed with odor-evoked cardiac orienting responses (cf. trained vs. untrained cross-habituation). Systemic scopolamine during the training phase prevents this perceptual learning (from Fletcher and Wilson 2002).