

Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals and Climate Change: A Worst-Case Combination for Arctic Marine Mammals and Seabirds?

Bjørn Munro Jenssen

Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

The effects of global change on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning encompass multiple complex dynamic processes. Climate change and exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are currently regarded as two of the most serious anthropogenic threats to biodiversity and ecosystems. We should, therefore, be especially concerned about the possible effects of EDCs on the ability of Arctic marine mammals and seabirds to adapt to environmental alterations caused by climate change. Relationships between various organochlorine compounds, necessary such as polychlorinated biphenyls, dichlorophenyldichloroethylene, hexachlorobenzene, and oxychlorane, and hormones in Arctic mammals and seabirds imply that these chemicals pose a threat to endocrine systems of these animals. The most pronounced relationships have been reported with the thyroid hormone system, but effects are also seen in sex steroid hormones and cortisol. Even though behavioral and morphological effects of persistent organic pollutants are consistent with endocrine disruption, no direct evidence exists for such relationships. Because different endocrine systems are important for enabling animals to respond adequately to environmental stress, EDCs may interfere with adaptations to increased stress situations. Such interacting effects are likely related to adaptive responses regulated by the thyroid, sex steroid, and glucocorticosteroid systems. **Key words:** glaucous gull, *Haliaeetus*, *Larus hyperboreus*, PBDEs, PCB, polar bears, POPs, seal, *Ursus maritimus*. *Environ Health Perspect* 114(suppl 1):76–80 (2006). doi:10.1289/ehp.8057 available via <http://dx.doi.org/> [Online 21 October 2005]

Before the 17th century the anthropogenic impact on Arctic ecosystems was restricted to a sustainable level by a very limited number of indigenous inhabitants, and most of the Arctic was untouched by humans. As a consequence of the search for the Northeastern and Northwestern passages by scientific and mercantile expeditions, the vast natural resources in the Arctic ecosystem were discovered. The exploitation of these resources has resulted in the depletion and/or extinction of many marine mammal species and populations such as the Steller's sea cow (*Hydrodamalis gigas*), the bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*), and the walrus (*Odobenus rosmarus*). Within a century, the characteristics and function of the Arctic ecosystem were dramatically changed, and they have never recovered. Even today there is considerable concern within the scientific community, government regulators, and the public about the impact of direct human activities such as hunting, fishing, and habitat change and/or destruction on Arctic biodiversity and ecosystem functioning.

During the last four decades of the 20th century, the Arctic became threatened by long-range atmospheric transport of manmade chemicals. Anthropogenic and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) were detected in endemic Arctic species, such as polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) (Norheim et al. 1992), glaucous gulls (*Larus hyperboreus*) (Bourne and Bogan 1972), walrus (Born et al. 1981), Arctic phocids (Bang et al. 2001), and beluga whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) (Andersen et al. 2001). POPs are chemicals that are resistant

to physical, chemical, and biochemical degradation and, therefore, remain available for uptake and bioaccumulation for a long period of time. Thus, they have a potential for long-range environmental transport, and they have adverse effects (Stockholm Convention 2005). Examples of POPs found in Arctic marine mammals and seabirds are industrial organochlorines (OCs) such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs), and polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs), as well as OC pesticides such as dichlorophenyl-trichloroethane (DDT) and its metabolites, chlordane, heptachlor, dieldrin, endrin, and mirex (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme 2004). Recently, several so-called novel POPs such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) have also been reported in Arctic marine mammals and seabirds (Giesy and Kannan 2001; Herzke et al. 2003; Ikonomou et al. 2002; Wolkers et al. 2004). The concentrations of some of these compounds such as PBDEs show an increasing trend in Arctic marine mammals (Ikonomou et al. 2002). Thus, even though there is evidence that levels of classic POPs such as PCBs are decreasing or have leveled off [for example, as reported in polar bears from the Svalbard and Barents Sea region (Henriksen et al. 2001)], it is likely that the total exposure of Arctic biota to POPs will increase during the next decade.

Because of structural similarities with endogenous hormones, abilities to interact with

hormone transport proteins, or abilities to disrupt hormone metabolism, many POPs can mimic or in some cases block the effects of the endogenous hormones. In either case, these chemicals disrupt the normal actions of endogenous hormones and, thus, have become known as endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) (Colborn et al. 1993). Examples of environmental pollutants with endocrine-disrupting properties are some OC pesticides, phthalates, alkylphenolic compounds, PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs, bisphenol A, PBDEs, tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), and heavy metals, including lead, mercury, and cadmium (Crisp et al. 1998; Meerts et al. 2001). It should also be noted that Arctic marine mammals and seabirds have the ability to metabolize many POPs to more polar forms that contain hydroxy groups via the hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme system. Paradoxically, many of the metabolites formed during phase I or II metabolism have endocrine-disrupting properties (van den Berg et al. 2003). Thus, a well-developed detoxification system is not a guarantee against endocrine-disrupting effects of POPs.

Recently, climate change has been recognized as another significant threat to Arctic biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Although debate is ongoing as to the causative factors with respect to the climate change issue, there is clear evidence of the ecological impact of recent climate change, from polar terrestrial to tropical marine environments (Crowley 2000; Jones et al. 2001; Moritz et al. 2002; Overpeck et al. 1997; Rind 2002). The responses of both flora and fauna span an array of ecosystems and organizational hierarchies, from the species to the community levels (Stenseth et al. 2002; Walther et al. 2002). Several reports emphasize that high-latitude regions are particularly susceptible to climate change (Moritz et al. 2002; Overpeck et al. 1997). Case studies, especially in the marine environment, have indicated that climate change can reinforce the detrimental

This article is part of the monograph "The Ecological Relevance of Chemically Induced Endocrine Disruption in Wildlife."

Address correspondence to B.M. Jenssen, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway. Telephone: 47 7359 6267. Fax: 47 7359 1309. E-mail: bjorn.munro.jenssen@bio.ntnu.no

This publication was financed by Norwegian Research Council project no. 155933/S30.

The author declares he has no competing financial interests.

Received 31 January 2005; accepted 13 June 2005.

effects of human impact and push species and ecosystem tolerances over their limits (Planque and Frédo 1999). Biomagnification of many POPs is particularly high for marine endothermic animals (Hop et al. 2002); these animals are also among the most vulnerable to climate changes.

The effects of global change on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning encompass multiple complex dynamic processes. Climate change and exposure to EDCs are currently regarded as two of the most serious anthropogenic threats to biodiversity and ecosystems. We should, therefore, be especially concerned about the possible effects of EDCs on the ability of Arctic marine mammals and seabirds to adapt to environmental alterations caused by climate change. My aim in the present article is to give a short review of the effects of EDCs on Arctic mammals and seabirds, and to assess the possible interactions between climate change and endocrine disruptors.

Endocrine Disruption in Arctic Marine Mammals and Seabirds

Chemical pollutants can disrupt endocrine function in animal groups ranging from invertebrates, amphibians, and reptiles to birds and large carnivorous mammals (Guillette and Gunderson 2001; Scanes and McNabb 2003; Verslycke et al. 2004; Vos et al. 2000). Although most of the endocrine-disrupting properties of chemicals have been documented through experimental exposure of animals, there is an increasing number of studies in which disruptions or alterations in reproductive activity, morphology, or physiology have been reported in wildlife populations (Guillette and Gunderson 2001; Vos et al. 2000). The modes of action by which the chemicals exert their endocrine-disruptive effects have been described in many of the studies and reviews listed above, and will not be elucidated here. In several recent studies and reviews, the links between endocrine disruption, particularly of the thyroid system, and neurodevelopment and cognitive effects have received attention (Colborn 2002; Colborn 2004; Schantz and Widholm 2001; Zoeller et al. 2002).

The glaucous gull is a top predator in the Arctic food web, and high levels of POPs have been reported in this species (Bourne and Bogan 1972; Gabrielsen et al. 1995). Verreault et al. (2004) reported significant negative relationships between plasma levels of HCB and oxychlorane and plasma concentrations of free thyroxin (FT₄) and total thyroxin (TT₄) in adult breeding glaucous gull males from Bear Island (Bjørnøya) in the Barents Sea. Furthermore, negative correlations were found between several other OCs and the FT₄:free triiodothyronine (FT₃) and TT₄:total triiodothyronine (TT₃) ratios in males. No effects were found in females.

In a series of articles Bustnes and co-workers have focused on ecological effects of OCs in glaucous gulls from Bear Island. The proportion of time that adult glaucous gulls were absent from the nest when not incubating and the total number of absences were both significantly related to blood concentrations of PCB (Bustnes et al. 2001). The authors suggested that the effect could be apparent because individuals with high blood concentrations of OCs need more time to gather food as a result of either endocrine disruption or neurological disorders. Furthermore, females with high blood levels of OCs, including HCB, oxychlorane, dichlorophenylchloroethylene (DDE), and PCBs, were more likely to have nonviable eggs than females with low blood levels of OC (Bustnes et al. 2003). Adult yearly survival rate was also reported to have a significant negative relationship to blood concentrations of DDE, persistent PCBs, and HCB, and especially to oxychlorane (Bustnes et al. 2003). Bustnes and co-workers also reported a significant positive relationship between wing feather asymmetry (difference between the length of right and left wing feathers) and blood concentrations of two PCB congeners (PCB-99 and PCB-118), oxychlorane, DDE, and especially HCB (Bustnes et al. 2002).

Thyroid hormones (THs) are central in regulating the molting and replacement of feathers (Kuenzel 2003; Leeson and Walsh 2004). As noted above, significant negative relationships between plasma concentrations of HCB and oxychlorane and THs have been reported in glaucous gulls (Verreault et al. 2004). Thus, a link may exist between the TH-disruptive effects of HCB and oxychlorane and the growth and development of the primary wing feathers following molting.

There is a high aerodynamic cost of asymmetry (Thomas 1993), and Bustnes et al. (2001) suggested that increased flight costs may be an important factor in explaining why birds with high blood concentrations of POPs spend more time on feeding trips than birds with low levels (Bustnes et al. 2001). Exposure to PCBs has been associated with cognitive and behavioral changes (Jacobson et al. 1990; Schantz 1996; Sher et al. 1998), and it has been suggested that the effects of PCBs on brain development may be attributable, at least in part, to their ability to affect the thyroid system (Zoeller 2001; Zoeller et al. 2002). It is, therefore, tempting to speculate that TH disruption caused by POPs may be the ultimate cause of this altered parental behavior. However, more research into linking endocrine disruption to behavioral and ecological alterations in free-living animals is needed before conclusions regarding this feeding behavior can be drawn.

Endocrine effects of POPs have been studied in several species of phocid seals from

temperate waters. Because many of these species also inhabit Arctic waters, I have chosen to include some of the main findings from these studies here. In ribbon seals (*Phoca fasciata*) from Japanese waters, TT₃ levels decreased significantly with increased blubber concentrations of PCB-170 and PCB-180, whereas no such relationship was found between blubber PCBs and FT₃ (Chiba et al. 2001). In Larga seals (*Phoca largha*), also from Japanese waters, plasma TT₃ and FT₃ correlated negatively with blubber PCB concentrations, whereas no such relationships were found between blubber PCB concentrations and TT₄ and FT₄ in either Larga or ribbon seals (Chiba et al. 2001).

For gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) pups from the United Kingdom, there was generally no relationship between PCB exposure through mother's milk or between PCB concentrations in blubber and plasma levels of TT₄, FT₄, TT₃, or FT₃ (Hall et al. 1998). In captive harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) de Swart et al. (1995) showed that plasma TT₃ measured after fasting was lower in seals fed herring from the Baltic Sea than in the control seals fed cleaner herring from the open waters of the Atlantic Ocean. In a similar feeding experiment, captive harbor seals given a diet of OC-contaminated fish had significantly lower plasma levels of TT₄, FT₄, and TT₃ compared with seals fed with less contaminated fish (Brouwer et al. 1989). Sormo et al. (2005) found that gray seal pups from the Baltic Sea have lower plasma concentrations of TT₃ and FT₃ compared with pups from the Norwegian Sea, whereas there was no difference in plasma concentrations of TT₄ and FT₄ between the two groups. Because concentrations of OCs in blubber were significantly higher in the Baltic group than in the Norwegian group, the results can be interpreted as a strong indication that plasma TT₃ and FT₃ concentrations may be affected by the exposure of young phocids to OCs. Furthermore, stranded immature northern elephant seals (*Mirounga angustirostris*) with a skin disease had elevated serum levels of PCBs and DDT and depressed levels of TT₃ and TT₄ compared with those in unaffected controls (Beckmen et al. 1997). In northern fur seal (*Callorhinus ursinus*) neonates, TT₄ was reported to correlate negatively with several PCB congeners (Beckmen et al. 1999).

Ikonomou et al. (2002) reported increasing levels of brominated flame retardants such as PBDEs in Arctic ring seals (*Phoca hispida*); in gray seals, Hall et al. (2003) found that TH levels may be affected by PBDEs. Thus, it is important to include novel POPs when assessing the effects on endocrine disruption.

The polar bear is the ultimate apex predator in the Arctic food chain. Even though the polar bear has a relatively well-developed capacity for metabolizing and excreting POPs (Bernhoft et al. 1997), this animal accumulates

relatively large amounts of POPs because it feeds almost exclusively on large amounts of seal blubber (Derocher et al. 2002). During the last decade, Skaare and co-workers have conducted a series of studies on the accumulation and effects of POPs in polar bears from the Svalbard and the Barents Sea region. They found significant relationships between POPs and THs and vitamin A (Skaare et al. 2001). In a recent study (Braathen et al. 2004) these relationships were studied in more detail, and it was found that PCBs affected five TH variables in females (TT₄, FT₄, FT₃, TT₃:FT₃, TT₄:TT₃) but only two variables in males (FT₃, FT₄:FT₃). These results indicate that female polar bears could be more susceptible than males to TH-related effects of POPs. The actions of THs are mediated by nuclear TH receptors that have their highest affinity for FT₃ (McNabb 1995). It is therefore worth noting that in polar bears, PCB was reported to have a greater effect on T₃ than on T₄ (Braathen et al. 2004). Furthermore, in female polar bears, plasma progesterone levels were positively correlated with plasma concentrations of PCBs (Haave et al. 2003). Increased levels of progesterone may disturb the normal reproductive cycle of the females, thereby hindering successful mating. In male polar bears, plasma concentrations of both OC pesticides and PCBs contributed negatively to the plasma testosterone levels (Oskam et al. 2003); thus, it is possible that male reproductive performance is affected by POPs. Recently, relationships between blood levels of OCs and cortisol levels have also been documented in polar bears from Svalbard and the Barents Sea (Oskam et al. 2004). The OC pesticides contributed negatively, whereas PCBs contributed positively to the variation in plasma cortisol. The authors do, however, report that the overall contribution of the POPs to the cortisol levels was negative. It is possible that the altered plasma cortisol levels inhibit physiological processes involved in homeostasis and thereby render the polar bears less able to deal with other environmental stressors.

Climate Change

The Earth's climate has warmed by approximately 0.6°C over the past 100 years. Since 1976, the rate of warming has been greater than at any other time during the last 1,000 years [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001]. There appear to be regional variations in climate change. For example, in mid- and high-latitude regions there has been a 10% decrease in snow cover and ice extent since the late 1960s as a consequence of decreased diurnal temperature ranges (IPCC 2001). General circulation models predict that climate changes will be greatest at high latitudes (Phoenix and Lee 2004). Although we are only at an early stage

in the projected trends of global warming, ecological responses to recent climate change are already clearly visible (Stenseth et al. 2002; Walther et al. 2002).

Changes in species abundances and distribution in migratory species are among the best-documented effects of climate change (Crick and Sparks 1999; Easterling et al. 2000). Climate change has affected the reproductive grounds of krill (*Euphausia superba*) and, consequently, its recruitment, by reducing the area of sea ice formed near the Antarctic Peninsula (Cushing 1995; Loeb et al. 1997). Karnovsky et al. (2003) reported that little auks (*Alle alle*) at Svalbard feed mainly on the large copepod (*Calanus glacialis*), restrict their foraging activity to Arctic water that contains this copepod, and avoid Atlantic water that contains a smaller copepod (*Calanus finmarchicus*). They argued that these little auks may be affected by climate change because during years when the flow of Atlantic water increases, they may be forced to forage in areas with suboptimal conditions. In addition Brown et al. (1999) reported that climatic warming may make birds breed earlier. Negative relationships between sea temperature and hatching date have been reported for several seabird species (Bertram et al. 2001; Durant et al. 2004; Gjerdrum et al. 2003). Thus, when sea temperature increases because of climate warming, it is likely that the breeding and hatching starts earlier. Extensive studies of large mammals indicate that climatic extremes influence juvenile survival, primarily during winter, although not independently of population density (Milner et al. 1999; Post and Stenseth 1999). The ice-edge is a particularly productive area, and for Arctic seals it is apparent that the loss of ice will reduce the availability of areas for efficient feeding, haulout possibilities, and breeding. For the polar bear, reduced ice-coverage in the Arctic will reduce their possibilities for hunting seals. Also, lowered seal populations would most likely affect hunting success and survival and in turn populations of polar bears.

Possible Combined Effects of EDCs and Climate Change

In Arctic marine mammals and seabirds, THs, sex steroid hormones, and glucocorticosteroids seem to be the most vulnerable endocrine variables influenced by POPs. Important functions of THs are the regulation of metabolic processes and the growth and differentiation of tissues, including the regulation of neuronal proliferation, cell migration, and differentiation of the developing animal (Zoeller et al. 2002). Sex steroid hormones are essential for reproduction, but they also are important in sexual behavior. Glucocorticosteroids are involved in a range

of physiological processes including reproduction, behavior, and adaptation to stress (Wingfield and Sapolsky 2003). These hormones are also important in the regulation of immune function.

In polar bears, learning and cognitive abilities are probably important factors for successful hunting. There is concern that disruption of the TH balance by EDCs may affect neurodevelopment, and that this outcome in turn may affect behavior and cognitive abilities of wildlife (Jenssen 2003). It is therefore possible that EDCs affect behavior and cognitive abilities in polar bears such that they are less able to cope with changes in ice-coverage caused by climate change. Bustnes et al. (2001) reported a correlation between levels of OCs and behavior in glaucous gulls. In a temporal and/or spatial change in the distribution of food caused by climate change, an altered behavior caused by EDCs could hamper the breeding success or even the survival rates of adult glaucous gulls. It is possible that the behavioral changes in glaucous gulls are linked to an increase in energy expenditure caused by increased rates of asymmetry in highly polluted gulls (Bustnes et al. 2002). Because THs are important in feather growth after molting, it is possible that disruption of TH homeostasis by OCs is involved in the reported wing asymmetry in glaucous gulls (Verreault et al. 2004). In combination with a climate-induced spatial change in the availability of food resources in relation to breeding areas, additional effects of EDCs on morphological features may result in even higher energy demands for feeding. This effect may cause a further decrease in the breeding success of polluted glaucous gulls. Conversely, if climate change results in an allocation of food closer to the breeding areas, the functional effects of wing asymmetry could lessen, and even highly polluted birds might be able to breed successfully.

In the Arctic the summer season is short, and proper timing of breeding, molting, and migration is important for seabirds. Exposure to EDCs could disrupt the endocrine systems and mechanisms that regulate these events, thereby leading to suboptimal timing in relation to the season. However, because the most pronounced effect of the current climate change is climate warming, it is possible that an expanded summer season would modulate and reduce these functional effects of EDCs on Arctic mammals and seabirds.

Many Arctic marine mammals undergo periods of fasting as an adaptation to natural seasonal reductions in food availability, and THs seem to play an important role in regulating these cycles. EDCs may disrupt the hormonal regulation and thus lead to suboptimal timing of the fasting period. This scenario may again result in reduced survival and reproduction of the animals.

Conclusions

Climate change is likely to pose additional stress to individuals, and, because different endocrine systems are important for enabling animals to respond adequately to environmental stress, EDCs may interfere with adaptation to increased stress situations. Thus, when taking into consideration the long-range transport of novel EDCs into the Arctic ecosystem, the combination of EDCs and climate change may be a worst-case scenario for Arctic mammals and seabirds. However, knowledge of the responses of animals to multiple natural and anthropogenic stressors is at the present time not sufficient for investigators to forecast the combined effects of these two stressors. Clearly there is a need for more focus on the interacting effects of multiple stressors (natural or anthropogenic) on wildlife.

REFERENCES

- Andersen G, Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, Skaare JU, Gjertz I, Jenssen BM. 2001. Concentrations and patterns of organochlorine contaminants in white whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) from Svalbard, Norway. *Sci Tot Environ* 264:267–281.
- Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme. 2004. AMAP Assessment 2002: Persistent Organic Pollutants in the Arctic. Oslo, Norway:Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme.
- Bang K, Jenssen BM, Lydersen C, Skaare JU. 2001. Organochlorine burdens in blood of ringed and bearded seals from north-western Svalbard. *Chemosphere* 44:193–203.
- Beckmen KB, Lowenstein LJ, Newman J, Hill J, Hanni K, Gerber J. 1997. Clinical and pathological characterization of northern elephant seal skin disease. *J Wildl Dis* 33:438–449.
- Beckmen KB, Ylitalo GM, Towell RG, Krahn MM, OHara TM, Blake JE. 1999. Factors affecting organochlorine contaminant concentrations in milk and blood of northern fur seal (*Callorhinus ursinus*) dams and pups from St. George Island, Alaska. *Sci Total Environ* 231:183–200.
- Bernhoft A, Wiig O, Skaare JU. 1997. Organochlorines in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) at Svalbard. *Environ Pollut* 95:159–175.
- Bertram DF, Mackas DL, McKinnell SM. 2001. The seasonal cycle revisited: interannual variation and ecosystem consequences. *Prog Oceanogr* 49:283–207.
- Born EW, Kraul I, Kristensen T. 1981. Mercury, DDT and PCB in the Atlantic walrus (*Odobenus rosmarus rosmarus*) from the Thule District, North Greenland. *Arctic* 34:255–260.
- Bourne WRP, Bogan JA. 1972. Polychlorinated biphenyls in North Atlantic seabirds. *Mar Pollut Bull* 3:171–175.
- Braathen M, Derocher AE, Wiig O, Sormo EG, Lie E, Skaare JU, et al. 2004. PCB-induced effects on retinol and thyroid hormone status in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*). *Environ Health Perspect* 112:826–833.
- Brouwer A, Reijnders PHJ, Koeman JH. 1989. Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)-contaminated fish induces vitamin A and thyroid hormone deficiency in the common seal (*Phoca vitulina*). *Aquatic Toxicol* 15:99–106.
- Brown JF, Li S-H, Bhagabati N. 1999. Long-term trend toward earlier breeding in an American bird: a response to global warming? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:5565–5569.
- Bustnes JO, Bakken V, Erikstad KE, Mehlum F, Skaare JU. 2001. Patterns of incubation and nest-site attentiveness in relation to organochlorine (PCB) contamination in glaucous gulls. *J Appl Ecol* 38:791–801.
- Bustnes JO, Erikstad KE, Skaare JU, Bakken V, Mehlum F. 2003. Ecological effects of organochlorine pollutants in the Arctic: a study of the glaucous gull. *Ecol Appl* 13:504–515.
- Bustnes JO, Folstad I, Erikstad KE, Fjeld M, Miland ØD, Skaare JU. 2002. Blood concentrations of organochlorine pollutants and wing feather asymmetry in glaucous gull. *Funct Ecol* 16:617–622.
- Chiba I, Sakakibara A, Goto Y, Isono T, Yamamoto Y, Iwata H, et al. 2001. Negative correlation between plasma thyroid hormone levels and chlorinated hydrocarbon levels accumulated in seals from the coast of Hokkaido, Japan. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 20:1092–1097.
- Colborn T. 2002. Preface. *Environ Health Perspect* 110(suppl 3):335.
- Colborn T. 2004. Neurodevelopment and endocrine disruption. *Environ Health Perspect* 112:944–949.
- Colborn T, Saal FSV, Soto AM. 1993. Developmental effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in wildlife and humans. *Environ Health Perspect* 101:378–384.
- Crick HQP, Sparks TH. 1999. Climate change related to egg-laying trends. *Nature* 399:423–424.
- Crisp TM, Clegg ED, Cooper RL, Wood WP, Anderson DG, Baetcke KP, et al. 1998. Environmental endocrine disruption: an effect assessment and analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 106:11–56.
- Crowley TJ. 2000. Causes of climate change over the past 100 years. *Science* 289:270–277.
- Cushing DH. 1995. Population Production and Regulation in the Sea: A Fisheries Perspective. Cambridge, UK:Cambridge University Press.
- Derocher AE, Wiig O, Andersen M. 2002. Diet composition of polar bears in Svalbard and in the western Barents Sea. *Polar Biol* 225:448–452.
- de Swart RL, Ross PS, Timmerman HH, Hijman WC, Deruiter EM, Liem AKD, et al. 1995. Short-term fasting does not aggravate immunosuppression in Harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) with high body burdens of organochlorines. *Chemosphere* 31:4269–4306.
- Durant JM, Anker-Nilssen T, Hjermann DO, Stenseth NC. 2004. Regime shifts in the breeding of an Atlantic puffin population. *Ecol Lett* 7:388–394.
- Easterling DR, Meehl GA, Parmesan C, Changnon SA, Karl TR, Mearns LO. 2000. Climate extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts. *Science* 289:2068–2074.
- Gabrielsen GW, Skaare JU, Polder A, Bakken V. 1995. Chlorinated hydrocarbons in glaucous gulls (*Larus hyperboreus*) in the southern part of Svalbard. *Sci Total Environ* 160/161:337–346.
- Giesy JP, Kannan K. 2001. Global distribution of perfluorooctane sulfonate in wildlife. *Environ Sci Technol* 35:1339–1342.
- Gjerdum C, Vallée MJ, St. Clair CC, Bertram DF, Ryder JL, Blackburn GS. 2003. Tufted puffin reproduction reveals ocean climate variability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:9377–9382.
- Guillette LJ, Gunderson MP. 2001. Alterations in development of reproductive and endocrine systems of wildlife populations exposed to endocrine-disrupting contaminants. *Reproduction* 122:857–864.
- Haave M, Ropstad E, Derocher AE, Lie E, Dahl E, Wiig O, et al. 2003. Polychlorinated biphenyls and reproductive hormones in female polar bears at Svalbard. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:431–436.
- Hall AJ, Green NJL, Jones KC, Pomeroy PP, Harwood J. 1998. Thyroid hormones as biomarkers in gray seals. *Mar Pollut Bull* 36:424–428.
- Hall AJ, Kalantzis OI, Thomas GO. 2003. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in grey seals during their first year of life—are they thyroid hormone endocrine disruptors? *Environ Pollut* 126:29–37.
- Henriksen EO, Wiig O, Skaare JU, Gabrielsen GW, Derocher AE. 2001. Monitoring PCBs in polar bears: lessons learned from Svalbard. *J Environ Monitor* 3:493–498.
- Herzke D, Gabrielsen GW, Evensen A, Burkow IC. 2003. Polychlorinated camphenes (toxaphenes), polybrominated diphenylethers, and other halogenated organic pollutants in glaucous gull (*Larus hyperboreus*) from Svalbard and Bjørnøya (Bear Island). *Environ Pollut* 121:293–300.
- Hop H, Borga K, Gabrielsen GW, Kleivane L, Skaare JU. 2002. Food web magnification of persistent organic pollutants in poikilotherms and homeotherms from the Barents Sea. *Environ Sci Technol* 36:2589–2597.
- Ikononou MG, Rayne S, Addison RF. 2002. Exponential increases of the brominated flame retardants, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, in the Canadian Arctic from 1981 to 2000. *Environ Sci Technol* 36:1886–1892.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2001. Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC (WG I & II). Cambridge, UK:Cambridge University Press.
- Jacobson JL, Jacobson SW, Humphrey HEB. 1990. Effects of *in utero* exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and related contaminants on cognitive functioning in young children. *J Pediatr* 116:38–45.
- Jenssen BM. 2003. Marine pollution: the future challenge is to link human and wildlife studies. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:A198–A199.
- Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Briffa KR. 2001. The evolution of climate over the last millennium. *Science* 292:662–667.
- Karnovsky NJ, Kwasniewski S, Weslawski JM, Walkusz W, Beszczynska-Moller A. 2003. Foraging behavior of little auks in a heterogeneous environment. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 253:289–303.
- Kuenzel WJ. 2003. Neurobiology of molt in avian species. *Poultry Science* 82:981–991.
- Leeson S, Walsh T. 2004. Feathering in commercial poultry. II: Factors influencing feather growth and feather loss. *W Poultr Sci J* 60:52–63.
- Loeb V, Siegel V, Holm-Hansen O, Hewitt R, Fraser W, Trivelpiece W, et al. 1997. Effects of sea-ice extent and krill or salp dominance on the Antarctic food web. *Nature* 387:897–900.
- McNabb FMA. 1995. Thyroid hormones, their activation, degradation and effects on metabolism. *J Nutr* 125:S1773–S1776.
- Meerts IATM, Letcher RJ, Hoving S, Marsh G, Bergman A, Lemmen JG, et al. 2001. *In vitro* estrogenicity of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hydroxylated PBDEs, and polybrominated bisphenol A compounds. *Environ Health Perspect* 109:399–407.
- Milner JM, Elston DA, Albon SD. 1999. Estimating the contributions of population density and climatic fluctuations to interannual variation in survival of Soay sheep. *J Anim Ecol* 68:1235–1247.
- Moritz RE, Bitz CM, Steig EJ. 2002. Dynamics of recent climate change in the Arctic. *Science* 297:1497–1502.
- Norheim G, Skaare J, Wiig Ø. 1992. Some heavy metals, essential elements, and chlorinated hydrocarbons in polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) at Svalbard. *Environ Pollut* 77:51–57.
- Oskam IC, Ropstad E, Dahl E, Lie E, Derocher AE, Wiig Ø, et al. 2003. Organochlorines affect the major androgenic hormone, testosterone, in male polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) at Svalbard. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 66A:2119–2139.
- Oskam IC, Ropstad E, Lie E, Derocher AE, Wiig O, Dahl E, et al. 2004. Organochlorines affect the steroid hormone cortisol in free-ranging polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) at Svalbard, Norway. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 67A:959–977.
- Overpeck J, Hughen K, Hardy D, Bradley R, Case R, Douglas M, et al. 1997. Arctic environmental change of the last four centuries. *Science* 278:1251–1256.
- Phoenix GK, Lee JA. 2004. Predicting impacts of Arctic climate change: past lessons and future challenges. *Ecol Res* 19:65–74.
- Planque B, Frédou T. 1999. Temperature and the recruitment of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 56:2069–2077.
- Post E, Stenseth NC. 1999. Climatic variability, plant phenology, and northern ungulates. *Ecology* 80:1322–1339.
- Rind D. 2002. The sun's role in climate variations. *Science* 296:673–677.
- Scanes CG, McNabb FMA. 2003. Avian models for research in toxicology and endocrine disruption. *Avian Poultr Biol Rev* 14:21–52.
- Schantz SL. 1996. Developmental neurotoxicity of PCBs in humans: what do we know and where do we go from here? *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 18:217–227.
- Schantz SL, Widholm JJ. 2001. Cognitive effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in animals. *Environ Health Perspect* 109:1197–1206.
- Sher ES, Xu XM, Adams PM, Craft CM, Stein SA. 1998. The effects of thyroid hormone level and action in developing brain: are these targets for the actions of polychlorinated biphenyls and dioxins? *Toxicol Ind Health* 14:121–158.
- Skaare JU, Bernhoft A, Wiig O, Norum KR, Haug E, Eide DM, et al. 2001. Relationships between plasma levels of organochlorines, retinol, and thyroid hormones from polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) at Svalbard. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 62A:227–241.
- Sormo EG, Jüssi I, Jüssi M, Braathen M, Skaare JU, Jenssen BM. 2005. Thyroid hormone status in Baltic and Atlantic gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) pups in relation to PCBs. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 24:610–616.
- Stenseth NC, Mysterud A, Ottersen G, Hurrell JW, Chan K-S, Lima M. 2002. Ecological effectors of climate fluctuations. *Science* 297:1292–1296.
- Stockholm Convention. 2005. Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs). Available: <http://www.pops.int/> [accessed 10 January 2005].
- Thomas ALR. 1993. The aerodynamic costs of asymmetry in the wings and tail of birds—asymmetric birds can't fly round tight corners. *Proc Royal Soc Lond B* 254:181–189.
- van den Berg M, Sanderson T, Kurihara N, Katayama A. 2003. Role of metabolism in the endocrine-disrupting effects of chemicals in aquatic and terrestrial systems. *Pure Appl Chem* 75:1917–1932.
- Verreault J, Skaare JU, Jenssen BM, Gabrielsen GW. 2004. Effects of organochlorine contaminants on thyroid hormone

- levels in Arctic breeding glaucous gulls, *Larus hyperboreus*. Environ Health Perspect 112:532–537.
- Verslycke TA, Fockedey N, McKenney CL, Roast SD, Jones MB, Mees J, et al. 2004. Mysid crustaceans as potential test organisms for the evaluation of environmental endocrine disruption: a review. Environ Toxicol Chem 23:1219–1234.
- Vos JG, Dybing E, Greim HA, Ladefoged O, Lambre C, Tarazona JV, et al. 2000. Health effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals on wildlife, with special reference to the European situation. Crit Rev Toxicol 30:71–133.
- Walther G-R, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, Beebee TJC, et al. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature 416:389–395.
- Wingfield JC, Sapolsky RM. 2003. Reproduction and resistance to stress: when and how. J Neuroendocrinol 15:711–724.
- Wolkers H, van Bavel B, Derocher AE, Wiig Ø, Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, et al. 2004. Congener-specific accumulation and food chain transfer of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in two Arctic food chains. Environ Sci Technol 38:1667–1674.
- Zoeller RT. 2001. Polychlorinated biphenyls as disruptors of thyroid hormone action. In: PCBs: Recent Advantages in the Environmental Toxicology and Health Effects of PCBs (Fisher LJ, Hansen L, eds). Lexington, KY:University of Kentucky Press, 265–272.
- Zoeller RT, Dowling ALS, Herzig CTA, Iannacone EA, Gauger KJ, Bansal R. 2002. Thyroid hormone, brain development, and the environment. Environ Health Perspect 110:355–361.
-