

Collapse of a fish population after exposure to a synthetic estrogen

Karen A. Kidd*[†], Paul J. Blanchfield*, Kenneth H. Mills*, Vince P. Palace*, Robert E. Evans*, James M. Lazorchak[‡], and Robert W. Flick[‡]

*Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Freshwater Institute, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3T 2N6; and [‡]Molecular Indicators Research Branch, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268

Edited by Deborah Swackhamer, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, and accepted by the Editorial Board March 29, 2007 (received for review October 27, 2006)

Municipal wastewaters are a complex mixture containing estrogens and estrogen mimics that are known to affect the reproductive health of wild fishes. Male fishes downstream of some wastewater outfalls produce vitellogenin (VTG) (a protein normally synthesized by females during oocyte maturation) and early-stage eggs in their testes, and this feminization has been attributed to the presence of estrogenic substances such as natural estrogens [estrone or 17 β -estradiol (E2)], the synthetic estrogen used in birth-control pills [17 α -ethynylestradiol (EE2)], or weaker estrogen mimics such as nonylphenol in the water. Despite widespread evidence that male fishes are being feminized, it is not known whether these low-level, chronic exposures adversely impact the sustainability of wild populations. We conducted a 7-year, whole-lake experiment at the Experimental Lakes Area (ELA) in northwestern Ontario, Canada, and showed that chronic exposure of fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) to low concentrations (5–6 ng·L⁻¹) of the potent 17 α -ethynylestradiol led to feminization of males through the production of vitellogenin mRNA and protein, impacts on gonadal development as evidenced by intersex in males and altered oogenesis in females, and, ultimately, a near extinction of this species from the lake. Our observations demonstrate that the concentrations of estrogens and their mimics observed in freshwaters can impact the sustainability of wild fish populations.

endocrine disruptors | fathead minnow | municipal wastewaters | population-level effects | whole-lake experiment

There is considerable evidence that fishes inhabiting waters that receive untreated municipal wastewaters or effluents from municipal wastewater treatment plants (MWTPs) are exposed to chemicals that affect reproductive endocrine function. Male fish downstream of some wastewater outfalls produce vitellogenin (VTG) mRNA and protein, associated with oocyte maturation in females, and early-stage eggs in their testes (1–3). This feminization has been linked to the presence of estrogenic substances such as the natural estrogen 17 β -estradiol (E2) and the synthetic estrogen 17 α -ethynylestradiol (EE2) (4). Natural and synthetic estrogens are not completely broken down in current MWTP processes (5), and, as a result, are discharged into receiving waters in both treated and untreated wastewaters and found in the aquatic environment at low parts per trillion concentrations (typically <5 ng·L⁻¹; refs. 6–8). These effluents contain mixtures of individual estrogens and their mimics that differ in their ability to elicit estrogenic responses (e.g., ref. 9). For this reason, total estrogenicity (expressed as E2 equivalents) of an effluent or water sample is determined either by summing concentrations of individual compounds after adjusting these concentrations by the compound's estrogenic potency (relative to E2; ref. 10) or with bioassays (e.g., refs. 10–12). Using these approaches, total E2 equivalents of up to 147 and 17 ng·L⁻¹ have been measured in final effluents and surface waters, respectively (10–12). Within this group of substances, the estrogen used in birth-control pills, EE2, is one of the more potent estrogens

present (9) and has been linked to the feminization of male fishes in rivers receiving municipal wastewater (4, 6).

Despite growing documentation on the feminization of male fishes in waterways receiving municipal effluents, a critical question in the field of endocrine disruption research is whether these low-level, chronic exposures adversely impact wild populations (13). Although laboratory studies have shown decreased reproductive success of fish exposed to <1–5 ng·L⁻¹ of EE2 (14, 15), it is unknown whether this response would be observed in wild populations and whether it would result in a subsequent decline in abundances. To assess the ecological risk posed by this class of compounds, we must understand population-level effects of estrogens and their mimics on aquatic organisms.

The fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) is a common species in North America, and its range extends from the southern United States to northern Canada (16). It is an important food source for numerous game fish species, such as lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*), walleye (*Sander vitreus*), and northern pike (*Esox lucius*). In the lakes used for this study, fathead minnow have a lifespan of \approx 4 years, but few individuals live past 2 years of age (17). Asynchronous spawning starts in early summer and extends for a period of \approx 2 months; multiple females will typically spawn in the nest of a single male, who will care for developing eggs until hatching. Sexual maturity occurs during the second year of life, so most fish spawn for only one season. Therefore, 2 consecutive years of reproductive failure cause catastrophic declines in abundance (18, 19). Fathead minnow have been widely adopted by the scientific community for toxicity testing because of their widespread distribution, ease of laboratory culture, well characterized biology and life history, and the large family (Cyprinidae) of fishes that they represent (20–22). It is a freshwater equivalent of the “miner's canary.”

We describe the results of a 7-year, whole-lake study at the Experimental Lakes Area (ELA) in northwestern Ontario, Canada, to assess the subcellular-level through population-level effects of the potent synthetic estrogen EE2 on fathead minnow. The concentrations of EE2 achieved in the experimental lake, Lake 260, during the 3 years of additions were within the range of those observed in untreated and treated municipal wastewaters (5, 6) and below the total E2 equivalents (with EE2 having

Author contributions: K.A.K., P.J.B., K.H.M., and V.P.P. designed research; K.A.K., P.J.B., K.H.M., V.P.P., R.E.E., and J.M.L. performed research; P.J.B., K.H.M., V.P.P., R.E.E., J.M.L., and R.W.F. analyzed data; and K.A.K., P.J.B., K.H.M., V.P.P., R.E.E., J.M.L., and R.W.F. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. D.S. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

Abbreviations: CPUE, catch-per-unit-effort; E2, 17 β -estradiol; EE2, 17 α -ethynylestradiol; VTG, vitellogenin.

[†]To whom correspondence should be sent at the present address: Canadian Rivers Institute and Biology Department, University of New Brunswick, Saint John, New Brunswick, Canada E2E 4P1. E-mail: kiddk@unbsj.ca.




Fig. 3. Length frequency distributions of fathead minnow captured in trap nets in reference Lake 442 (A) and Lake 260 (B) (amended with 5–6 ng·L⁻¹ of EE2 in 2001–2003) during the fall of 1999–2005. Distributions for each fall have been standardized to 100 trap-net days. Mean ± SE daily trap-net CPUE data for adults and juveniles for the fall catches are shown in the panels.

High VTG production in female fathead minnow from Lake 260 was followed by delayed ovarian development in individuals collected the next spring (Fig. 2) (ref. 24), which is consistent with observations for the other dominant minnow species in this lake, the pearl dace (*Margariscus margarita*) (26). Oocytes in female fathead minnow collected from Lake 260 the first spring after EE2 amendments began were at a much earlier stage of development (cortical alveolar versus vitellogenic) than those from fathead minnow in nearby pristine lakes or in Lake 260 before EE2 additions. However, delayed oocyte maturation was not evident in ensuing spring samples nor were there any consistent effects on gonadosomatic indices during the 3 years of EE2 amendments (data not shown). Each year subsequent to 2002 there was an increasing number of fish ovaries that contained a few atretic follicles, which were rarely observed in reference and preaddition fish (Fig. 2).

Index trap netting [adults and young-of-the-year (YOY)] and minnow traps (adults) were used to examine changes in the fathead minnow population size and structure in the fall during each of the 7 years in both Lake 260 and Lake 442 (Fig. 3). Trap-net catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) data showed that the mean abundance of this species varied considerably (9.6 ± 2.2 to 490 ± 68) in reference Lake 442 from 1999 to 2005 (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the CPUE of fathead minnow decreased consistently after EE2 additions to Lake 260 from 180 ± 48 and 50 ± 43 (before additions) to 0.1 ± 0.01 in the fifth year after additions began (Fig. 3B). Lake 260 and Lake 442 fathead minnow CPUE data derived from fall catches using minnow traps (data not shown) showed the same trends as the trap-net data and, overall, the two methods were highly comparable (Spearman rank correlation: $t_{12} = 6.45, R = 0.88, P < 0.0001$). Although fathead minnow populations typically fluctuate in size from year to year

because of variable recruitment, year class failures are not observed in unmanipulated lakes (17, 27).

The fathead minnow population in Lake 260 collapsed in the fall of 2002, after the second season of EE2 additions, because of a loss of young-of-the-year (Fig. 3B). This reproductive failure was also observed in the third season of amendments and continued for an additional 2 years after the EE2 additions had ceased, although a few small individuals were caught each year, indicating some reproduction was occurring. The loss of smaller size classes of fathead minnow was not observed in reference Lake 442 (Fig. 3A), a system with similar species composition, water volume, and trophic status (24, 28). In addition, the mean ± SE size of adult fish from Lake 260 increased from 50 ± 0.28 mm ($n = 300$) in 2001, just after the first EE2 additions, to 62 ± 0.18 mm ($n = 263$) in the fall of 2003, because of a shift in the age structure of the remaining population. Population-level effects documented in this study mimicked what was observed during a long-term acidification study at the Experimental Lakes Area (18). At lower pH, there was near total extinction of fathead minnow and an increase in the median size of the remaining adult population (19). The reproductive failure and near extirpation of fathead minnow in Lake 260 has not yet been observed in the longer-lived pearl dace in this system (26), and this suggests that life-history characteristics such as lifespan are important determinants of a species' risk from exposure to estrogens and estrogen mimics.

The results from this whole-lake experiment demonstrate that continued inputs of natural and synthetic estrogens and estrogen mimics to the aquatic environment in municipal wastewaters could decrease the reproductive success and sustainability of fish populations. Chronic exposure of fathead minnow to a potent synthetic estrogen led to feminization of males through production of VTG mRNA and protein, continued production of VTG

in females beyond the normal breeding season, impacts on gonadal development, as evidenced by intersex in males and altered oogenesis in females, and a near extinction of this species from the lake. Likely because of its short life-cycle, the fathead minnow was the first to show population collapse in this experiment. This response implies that short-lived fish species may generally be at greatest risk from exposure to estrogens and their mimics, but chronic exposure of longer-lived species to these substances may result in the loss of these populations as well.

Materials and Methods

The experimental system, Lake 260, is located in an undisturbed watershed at the Experimental Lakes Area, has a surface area of 34 ha and a maximum depth of 14 m, and contains naturally reproducing populations of lake trout, white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*), pearl dace, and fathead minnow, as well as several other small-bodied fish species. We studied Lake 260 and two nearby reference systems, Lakes 114 and 442, for 2 years before EE2 additions, 3 years during the amendments to the experimental lake, and 2 years after the additions were discontinued. The physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of Lake 260 and the reference lakes are detailed in refs. 24 and 28.

We added EE2 (Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) three times weekly to Lake 260 starting in May 2001, after the lake had stratified, and additions were continuous during the open-water seasons of 2001–2003 for a period of 20–21 weeks. For each EE2 addition, the required mass [calculated by using its predetermined half-life in the water column (K.A.K., unpublished data), the volume of the epilimnion, and the mean concentration of EE2 measured the previous week] was dissolved in 30 ml of DIG-grade methanol (Caledon Laboratories, Georgetown, ON, Canada) and added to the propeller wash of the boat as it was driven in transects across the lake. Mean \pm SD epilimnetic concentrations of EE2 were quantified weekly by using replicate samples collected at each of five sites around the lake. EE2 was extracted onto preconditioned C-18 columns (Supelco, Oakville, ON, Canada), eluted with 100% DIG methanol, and quantified along with the internal standard testosterone by using standard RIA techniques and GC-MS confirmation for 10% of the samples. Additional details on extraction methods and EE2 concentrations have been described in refs. 24 and 28. Seasonal mean \pm SD EE2 concentrations in Lake 260 were 6.1 ± 2.8 ($n = 189$), 5.0 ± 1.8 ($n = 169$), and 4.8 ± 1.0 ($n = 170$) $\text{ng}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 2001–2003, respectively.

For VTG mRNA and protein analyses, fathead minnow were collected by using live-minnow traps in the spring and fall of 1999–2003 and in the summer of 2000 and 2001 from Lake 260 and two reference lakes ($n = 4$ –10 individuals per sampling date for each analysis). Each fish was handled according to Canadian

Animal Care protocols (approved by the Freshwater Institute Animal Care Committee) and individually euthanized in pH-buffered tricaine methanesulfonate ($250 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$). For VTG analyses, whole fish were placed in sterile plastic bags, immediately frozen on dry ice, and kept at -90°C until analyzed. Whole-body homogenates were used to quantify the VTG by using the indirect competitive ELISA methods detailed previously (24). Reagent VTG from fathead minnow was obtained from the Core Biomarker Facility (University of Florida, Gainesville, FL). The primary antibody used for the analysis was a mouse anti-carp VTG monoclonal antibody (ND-2D3; Biosense Laboratories, Bergen, Norway) raised against VTG from common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Fish captured for VTG mRNA analysis were euthanized and dissected immediately after capture, and their livers were placed in RNAlater (Ambion, Austin, TX) for transport to the laboratory. Liver VTG mRNA was measured by using quantitative real-time PCR and normalized to 18S ribosomal gene expression, an invariant ribosomal gene, by using methods detailed previously (29).

Impacts on fathead minnow gonadal development in Lake 260 were best examined in the spring of each year when gonads were mature and not affected by the asynchronous spawning season. Fish were collected from Lake 260 and the two reference lakes each spring from 1999 to 2003 as described above; whole fish were euthanized, immersed in Bouin's solution, and processed by using standard histological techniques as described previously (30).

Index trap netting (adults and young-of-the-year) and minnow traps (adults only) were used to examine changes in the fathead minnow population size and structure in the fall during each of the 7 years in both Lake 260 and reference Lake 442. Each September, at least three trap nets were set in each lake and fished from 7 to 33 consecutive days; nets were emptied every 2–3 days. Lake 260 and Lake 442 CPUE data (not shown) were also derived from fall minnow trapping (30 traps set per lake for 10–11 consecutive days and emptied daily) and compared with CPUE data from fall trap netting (shown in Fig. 3).

We thank D. W. Schindler, G. T. Ankley, K. R. Munkittrick, R. Länge, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on this manuscript. Fish population sampling was conducted by S. M. Chalanchuk and L. S. Tate [Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), Winnipeg, MB, Canada]. This research was supported by the American Chemistry Council Long Range Initiative Program (K.A.K.), the Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Environmental Sciences Strategic Research Fund (K.A.K., V.P.P., R.E.E., P.J.B., and K.H.M.), the Canadian Federal Toxic Substances Research Initiative (K.A.K., V.P.P., and R.E.E.), and the Canada Research Chair program (K.A.K.). EE2 was supplied by Schering AG. VTG analyses were done by K. Wautier (DFO, Winnipeg). EE2 analyses were conducted by L. Vandenbyllaardt (DFO, Winnipeg) and K. Londry (University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada).

1. Harries JE, Sheahan DA, Jobling S, Matthiessen P, Neall P, Sumpter JP, Tylor T, Zaman N (1997) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:534–542.
2. Jobling S, Nolan M, Tyler CR, Brighty G, Sumpter JP (1998) *Environ Sci Technol* 32:2498–2506.
3. Lazorchak JM, Smith ME (2004) *National Screening Survey of EDCs in Municipal Wastewater Treatment Effluents* (United States Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati), EPA Publ No 600/R-04/171.
4. Desbrow C, Routledge EJ, Brighty GC, Sumpter JP, Waldosk M (1998) *Environ Sci Technol* 32:1549–1558.
5. Ternes TA, Stumpf M, Mueller J, Haberer K, Wilken R-D, Servos M (1999) *Sci Total Environ* 225:81–90.
6. Larsson DGJ, Adolfsson-Erici M, Parkkonen J, Pettersson M, Berg AH, Olsson P-E, Forlin L (1999) *Aquat Toxicol* 45:91–97.
7. Belfroid AC, Van der Horst A, Vethaak AD, Schäfer AJ, Rijs GBJ, Wegener J, Confino WP (1999) *Sci Total Environ* 225:101–108.
8. Zhou JL, Liu R, Wilding A, Hibberd A (2007) *Environ Sci Technol* 41:206–213.
9. Thorpe KL, Cummings RI, Hutchinson TH, Scholze M, Brighty G, Sumpter JP, Tyler CR (2003) *Environ Sci Technol* 37:1142–1149.
10. Furuichi T, Kannan K, Giesy JP, Masunaga S (2004) *Water Res* 38:4491–4501.
11. Tilton F, Benson WH, Schlenk D (2002) *Aquat Toxicol* 61:211–224.
12. Kirk LA, Tyler CR, Lye CM, Sumpter JP (2002) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 21:972–979.
13. Campbell PM, Hutchinson TH (1998) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 17:127–135.
14. Länge R, Hutchinson TH, Croudace CP, Siegmund F, Schweinfurth H, Hampe P, Panter GH, Sumpter JP (2001) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 20:1216–1227.
15. Parrott JL, Blunt BR (2005) *Environ Toxicol* 20:131–141.
16. Scott WB, Crossman EJ (1973) *Fish Res Board Can Bull* 184, 966 p.
17. Tallman RF, Mills KH, Rotter RG (1984) *Can Manuscr Rep Fish Aquat Sci* 1756, 27 p.
18. Schindler DW, Mills KH, Malley DF, Findlay DL, Shearer JA, Davies IJ, Turner MA, Linsey GA, Cruikshank DR (1985) *Science* 228:1395–1401.
19. Mills KH, Chalanchuk SM, Mohr LC, Davies IJ (1987) *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 44(Suppl 1):114–125.
20. United States Environmental Protection Agency (1994) *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms* (United States Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati), 3rd Ed, EPA Publ No 600/4-91/002.
21. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1992) *Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, Guideline 203* (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris).
22. Ankley GT, Jensen KM, Kahl MD, Korte JJ, Makynen EA (2001) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 20:1276–1290.
23. Petrovic M, Eljarrat E, Lopez de Alda MJ, Barceló D (2004) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 378:549–562.

24. Palace VP, Evans RE, Wautier K, Baron C, Vandenbyllardt L, Vandersteen W, Kidd K (2002) *Water Qual Res J Can* 37:637–650.
25. Jobling S, Beresford N, Nolan M, Rodgers-Gray T, Brighty GC, Sumpter JP, Tyler CR (2002) *Biol Reprod* 66:272–281.
26. Palace VP, Wautier KG, Evans RE, Blanchfield PJ, Mills KH, Chalanchuk SM, Godard D, McMaster ME, Tetreault GR, Peters LE, *et al.* (2006) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 25:1114–1125.
27. Mills KH, Chalanchuk SM, Allan DJ (2000) *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 57:192–204.
28. Park BJ, Kidd KA (2005) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 24:2027–2036.
29. Biales AD, Bencic DC, Flick RW, Lazorchak J, Lattier DL (2007) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 26:287–296.
30. Palace VP, Evans RE, Wautier K, Baron CL, Werner J, Klaverkamp JF, Kidd KA, Dick TA (2001) *Environ Toxicol Chem* 20:2370–2376.