

Reliability of oculomotor command signals carried by individual neurons

Xintian Hu*[†], Huihui Jiang*, Chaoliang Gu*[‡], Chuanyu Li*[‡], and David L. Sparks*^{†§}

*Laboratory of Primate Neuroscience Research and Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Kunming, Yunnan 650223, China; [§]Department of Neuroscience, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030; and [‡]College of Medicine, Nanhua University, Hengyang, Hunan 421001, China

Communicated by Charles G. Gross, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, March 26, 2007 (received for review January 9, 2007)

The responses of sensory neurons to repeated presentations of identical stimuli can be highly reproducible. Little is known about the reliability of the motor command signals carried by individual premotor neurons. We measured the variability in the interspike intervals of the high-frequency, saccade-related bursts generated by neurons in the pontine reticular formation. During movements having similar amplitudes and velocity profiles, the interspike intervals of the high-frequency component of the bursts are very similar. The low variability in interspike intervals cannot be attributed to a burst mode characterized by fixed interspike times. Different, but repeatable, burst patterns are observed when movements having approximately the same amplitude but different velocity profiles occur. These findings suggest that the discharge of a single pontine cell is strongly correlated with the activity of other pontine burst cells. Both the high temporal precision of the saccade-related bursts and the correlated activity of pontine burst cells reduce variability in the signals sent to the motoneuron pools and, thereby, contribute to the accuracy and precision of saccadic eye movements.

monkey | saccade | pons

The responses of visual neurons have been shown to be highly reproducible when the same dynamic stimuli are presented repeatedly (1–4). Few comparable studies of the reliability of the motor command signals carried by individual neurons exist. The goal of the experiment reported in this article was to perform the motor equivalent of these sensory experiments and to measure the reliability of motor commands for saccadic eye movements generated by single premotor neurons.

Saccades are produced by a brief burst of activity in agonist motoneurons and a concomitant brief cessation of activity of antagonist motoneurons. The burst (pulse) of activity gradually declines to a new level (step) of activity. The pulse serves to overcome the viscous resistance of the muscles and other orbital tissue and move the eye at a high speed. The step overcomes the elastic properties of the orbital tissue and holds the eye in the new position (5). Almost all agonist motoneurons participate in generating the pulse of innervation, but a smaller subset of the motoneuron pool contributes to the step.

Neurons in the paramedian zone of the pontine reticular formation (PPRF) generate motor command signals responsible for the changes in the horizontal positions of the eyes during saccades (for recent reviews, see refs. 6–8). These neurons display extremely low rates of spontaneous activity and produce a vigorous burst of activity shortly before the onset of ipsilateral saccades. A subset of the burst neurons, excitatory burst neurons, have monosynaptic connections with motoneurons (9). The number of spikes in the saccade-related burst of PPRF neurons is highly correlated with the horizontal amplitude of a saccade and the peak frequency of the burst is highly correlated with the peak velocity of the movement (10–14). We chose the pontine burst cells for a study of the reliability of motor command signals because of these tight linkages between cell activity and movement parameters.

Results

The goal of the experiment was to measure the variability in the burst of pontine neurons when the same motor command was being issued repeatedly. This cannot be accomplished by merely requesting subjects to make saccades from the same initial fixation target to the same eccentric target. As illustrated in Fig. 1, considerable variability in the amplitude, duration, and velocity of movements is observed when this is done. For the 76 movements illustrated, horizontal eye position varied from 0.4° right to 0.6° left (mean 0.1° left) when the animal was looking at the central target. Horizontal position at the end of the primary saccade varied from 8.16° to 10.9° left (mean: 9.3° left). The amplitude of the horizontal component of the movement varied from 8.14° to 10.95° (mean: 9.27), and the duration of the saccades varied from 25 ms to 32 ms (mean: 27.59 ms). Peak horizontal velocity ranged from 407°/sec to 592°/sec (mean: 503.25°/sec).

Variation in the concomitant neural activity also was observed. The number of spikes in the bursts associated with the 76 saccades ranged from 13 to 19 (mean: 16.1). Burst duration varied from 20 ms to 37 ms (mean: 26.3), and the peak of the instantaneous frequency records ranged from 702 spikes/sec to 930 spikes/sec (mean: 841.4 spikes/sec).

Methods used to assess the reliability of the signals carried by pontine neurons are illustrated in Fig. 2. The variability in the saccade-related activity of pontine neurons was measured during selected subsets of movements that had very similar amplitudes and velocity profiles. The rationale for the methods is as follows. Saccades of the same amplitude with very similar velocity profiles occur when, at the level of the motoneuron pools, the same or equivalent motor commands are issued. Measures of the activity of premotor neurons when the same or equivalent motor commands are being issued by motoneurons can be used to measure the reliability of motor commands generated more centrally. Note that this method may not be valid if the head is unrestrained or the saccade targets are located at different depth planes, conditions in which other oculomotor subsystems are contributing to the excitability of the motoneuron pools (15).

Fig. 2*a* presents traces of horizontal position, horizontal velocity, and instantaneous firing rate for 10 movements to a 10° target, selected from the 76 movements illustrated in Fig. 1. Ten movements to a 20° target are shown in Fig. 2*c*. The two sets of movements were selected based on the similarity of saccade amplitude and velocity profiles. For the 10 movements to the 10° target, horizontal amplitude varied from 9.1° to 9.7°; movement

Author contributions: X.H. and D.L.S. designed research; X.H. performed research; X.H. and D.L.S. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; X.H., H.J., C.G., C.L., and D.L.S. analyzed data; and D.L.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations: ISI, interspike interval; PPRF, pontine reticular formation.

[†]To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: xthu@mail.kiz.ac.cn.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0702799104/DC1.

© 2007 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Table 1. Means, standard deviations, and coefficient of variation for the ISIs for all the data sets and cells

Cell	Saccade amplitude	Total spikes	High-frequency spikes	Avg ISI	Avg SD	Avg CV
071204C	15	10	0	2.30	0.90	0.40
181104B	20	18	0	1.90	0.61	0.30
191004B	24	12	0	2.60	2.15	0.57
151004A	15	17	0	1.78	1.08	0.29
011204B	20	28	22	1.40	0.10	0.08
	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	24	1.39	0.12	0.08
121104B	10	13	9	1.82	0.32	0.17
141004D	24	31	25	1.45	0.13	0.09
	15	19	14	1.48	0.24	0.16
161104A	10	13	10	1.40	0.25	0.17
	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	24	1.39	0.15	0.10
181004A	10	21	18	1.37	0.16	0.11
	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	18	1.39	0.17	0.12
181004C	10	18	15	1.44	0.22	0.14
	20	31	27	1.41	0.14	0.09
201104A	20	23	16	1.68	0.29	0.16
072105Cc1	20	39	36	1.05	0.14	0.12
080305Ac1	10	26	23	1.27	0.28	0.15
080305Ac2	10	26	23	1.29	0.21	0.12
091305Bc2	12	38	33	1.08	0.09	0.08
	7	34	31	1.10	0.11	0.09
102205Ac1	20	19	11	1.53	0.17	0.11
DT0319S1	18	28	24	1.44	0.22	0.15
	8	21	18	1.39	0.15	0.10
DT0320S1	10	21	19	1.30	0.14	0.09
DT0404S1	14	20	15	1.59	0.25	0.16
DT10291P	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	32	1.56	0.13	0.08
	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	24	1.42	0.12	0.08
DT11081P	20	31	27	1.54	0.20	0.12
DT11271P	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	30	1.40	0.23	0.14
DT11291P	18	40	34	1.39	0.20	0.13
da0911	12	19	15	1.29	0.16	0.12
da0618	16	20	16	1.23	0.18	0.13
	16	20	13	1.45	0.27	0.17

The correlation between average ISI and average SD is 0.56. Data from pontine cells generating low-frequency bursts are shown in bold italic. *v*, velocity match using saccades of different amplitudes; CV, coefficient of variation.

assume that a copy of the motor command is used as a feedback signal for controlling saccade amplitude. If such a feedback signal were introduced before the level of the pontine burst neurons, the ISIs at the end of the burst are the ones most likely to be affected by feedback signals, and this feedback signal would be likely to vary from movement to movement. Note that, on average, the variable part of the burst makes up <20% of the total number of spikes in the burst.

We know of no previous attempts to measure the reliability of motor command signals generated by individual neurons during a saccadic eye movement. The reliability of the discharge of oculomotor motoneurons in cat and monkey has been examined during the fixation period between saccades (5, 16–20). In monkey, one study (17) reported standard deviations of motoneuron discharge rate ranging from 3.7 to 13.5 with a mean of 6.4 when expressed as a percentage of mean interval, and similar values are reported by others (5, 16). Similar studies in cat (18–20) found that variability increased in proportion to the duration of the fixation and that the firing rate during repeated fixation at the same eye position was affected significantly by the direction of the preceding saccade and by the animal's level of alertness. Variability in the discharge of neurons in motor and parietal areas of the primate cortex was measured during a

period that included an arm movement, but no attempt was made to analyze variability in the neuronal discharge associated with the actual movement (21).

The relationships between measures of spike activity and saccade amplitude, duration, and velocity for the cells studied meet criteria for classifying them as excitatory burst neurons (EBNs), but we do not know whether the cells we recorded project monosynaptically to motoneurons as EBNs, by definition, do. However, it would be surprising if the major PPRF input to the motoneuron pools came from the other class of cells with saccade-related activity encountered: burst cells with lower frequencies and higher variability. Therefore, we assume the high-frequency burst neurons are likely to be EBNs.

The low variability in the ISIs of pontine bursts could be due to low variability of input signals, special biophysical properties of the pontine burst cells, or a combination of the two. If special biophysical properties of pontine neuron are the major determinants of signal reliability, predictions can be made about the electrical phenotypes of pontine burst cells. Pontine cells projecting to the motoneurons could be identified by retrograde labeling from injections in the motor nuclei and patch-clamp recordings could be obtained in brainstem slices, as has been done for medial vestibular nucleus neurons projecting to the

1- μ s resolution by using an electronic window discriminator to determine the occurrence of action potentials. However, the size of action potentials becomes smaller and irregular in amplitude as the burst of pontine cells progresses (11). Failure to detect one or more of the smaller action potentials in the burst produces spuriously long ISIs expressed as “dropouts” or momentary lower frequencies in the instantaneous frequency records. To avoid this potential error in measurement, we recorded the waveforms of action potentials from a second set of pontine cells and the output of the window discriminator was corrected by adding undetected spikes or removing falsely detected ones. For the second data set ($n = 22$), ISIs were measured with a 10- μ s resolution. In general, the neurons in the data set were well isolated and corrections were applied only occasionally when visual inspection of the data indicated that there were very obvious dropouts or falsely detected spikes. If the situation was ambiguous, the trial was excluded. Corrections were only applied to data sets in which no more than two cells with distinctly different waveforms or large amplitude differences were recorded. Moreover, we did not try to separate the spikes of two cells that both generated high-frequency bursts; corrections were only applied if one of the cells had low-frequency activity not obviously related to eye movements. “Dropped” spikes were manually reinserted, trying to place them at the same location along the spike waveform at which the window discriminator detected spikes.

The waveform recordings verified that most, but not all, apparent dropouts are artifactual. Accordingly, the data presented in this article from the first data set are based on trials in which bursts with two or more dropouts are excluded. The regularity of the high-frequency burst was assessed by computing the mean and standard deviation of ISIs in the burst. Bursts were

aligned on the first spike, ignoring the occasional single spike or doublet that precedes burst onset by ≥ 10 ms. These early spikes were assumed to have little influence on the movement because excitatory postsynaptic potentials recorded from oculomotor motoneurons are usually < 10 ms in duration (28, 29). Also excluded from the analysis of ISIs were spikes that (i) preceded movement onset by > 8 ms, (ii) preceded saccade offset by < 8 ms, or (iii) followed the end of the movement.

Matching of velocity waveforms was accomplished in two stages. First, similarity indices for all pairs of movements to a particular target were computed as (sum of the absolute value of differences between two movements at each sample point) divided by the number of samples. Then, the most similar movements were selected by using a program that superimposed the position and velocity waveforms of a selected movement with each of the movements selected on the basis of the similarity indices.

During each experimental session, the monkey sat in a primate chair facing an array of light emitting diodes (LEDs) in a dimly lit room. Each LED subtended a visual angle of $\approx 0.2^\circ$. Action potentials were recorded from individual pontine burst neurons while monkeys made horizontal saccades to 2 to 5 visual targets, always starting from a few selected initial eye positions varying over a range of $\pm 10^\circ$.

We thank Kathy Pearson for software development, John Maunsell for helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript and useful suggestions about the conduct of the experiments, and Dennis Murray for providing invaluable assistance during surgical procedures and the daily maintenance of the animals. The work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant EY01189 and by National Science Foundation of China Grants NSFC 30670669 and 30530270, and 973 program Grant 2005CB522803.

1. Reich DS, Victor JD, Knight BW, Ozaki T, Kaplan E (1977) *J Neurophysiol* 77:2836–2841.
2. de Ruyter van Steveninck RR, Lewen GD, Strong SP, Koberle R, Bialek W (1997) *Science* 275:1805–1808.
3. Reinagel P, Reid RC (2000) *J Neurosci* 20:5392–5400.
4. Reinagel P, Reid RC (2002) *J Neurosci* 22:6837–6841.
5. Robinson DA (1970) *J Neurophysiol* 33:393–404.
6. Moschovakis AK, Scudder CA, Highstein SM (1996) *Prog Neurobiol* 50:133–254.
7. Scudder CA, Kaneko CS, Fuchs AF (2002) *Exp Brain Res* 142:439–462.
8. Sparks DL (2002) *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3:952–964.
9. Strassman A, Highstein SM, McCrea RA (1986) *J Comp Neurol* 249:358–380.
10. Luschei ES, Fuchs AF (1972) *J Neurophysiol* 35:445–461.
11. Keller EL (1974) *J Neurophysiol* 37:316–332.
12. King WM, Fuchs AF (1979) *J Neurophysiol* 42:861–876.
13. Van Gisbergen JAM, Robinson DA, Gielen S (1981) *J Neurophysiol* 45:417–442.
14. Scudder CA, Fuchs AF, Langer TP (1988) *J Neurophysiol* 59:1430–1454.
15. Sparks DL (1999) *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 9:698–707.
16. Fuchs AF, Luschei ES (1970) *J Neurophysiol* 33:382–392.
17. Keller EL, Robinson DA (1972) *Vision Res* 12:369–382.
18. Delgado-Garcia JM, del Pozo F, Baker R (1986) *Neuroscience* 17:929–952.
19. Gomez C, Canals J, Torres B, Delgado-Garcia JM (1986) *Brain Res* 381:401–404.
20. de la Cruz RR, Escudero M, Delgado-Garcia JM *Eur J Neurosci* (1989) 1:288–295.
21. Lee D, Port NL, Kruse W, Georgopoulos AP (1998) *J Neurosci* 18:1161–1170.
22. Sekirnjak C, du Lac S (2006) *J Neurophysiol* 95:3012–3023.
23. Mainen ZF, Sejnowski TJ (1995) *Science* 268:1503–1506.
24. Fuchs AF, Robinson DA (1966) *J Appl Physiol* 21:1068–1070.
25. Judge SJ, Richmond BJ, Chu FC (1980) *Vision Res* 20:535–538.
26. Robinson DA (1963) *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 10:137–145.
27. Mays LE, Sparks DL (1980) *J Neurophysiol* 43:207–232.
28. Precht W, Baker R (1972) *Exp Brain Res* 14:158–184.
29. Uchino S, Suzuki S, Watanabe S (1980) *Exp Brain Res* 41:45–53.