Inhibition of ubiquitin-mediated degradation of MOAP-1 by apoptotic stimuli promotes Bax function in mitochondria

Nai Yang Fu, Sunil K. Sukumaran, and Victor C. Yu*

Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive (Proteos), Singapore 138673

Edited by Xiaodong Wang, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, and approved May 2, 2007 (received for review January 2, 2007)

The multidomain proapoptotic protein Bax of the Bcl-2 family is a central regulator for controlling the release of apoptogenic factors from mitochondria. Recent evidence suggests that the Baxassociating protein MOAP-1 may act as an effector for promoting Bax function in mitochondria. Here, we report that MOAP-1 protein is rapidly up-regulated by multiple apoptotic stimuli in mammalian cells. MOAP-1 is a short-lived protein (t1/2 \approx 25 min) that is constitutively degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Induction of MOAP-1 by apoptotic stimuli ensues through inhibition of its polyubiquitination process. Elevation of MOAP-1 levels sensitizes cells to apoptotic stimuli and promotes recombinant Bax-mediated cytochrome c release from isolated mitochondria. Mitochondria depleted of short-lived proteins by cycloheximide (CHX) become resistant to Bax-mediated cytochrome c release. Remarkably, incubation of these mitochondria with in vitrotranslated MOAP-1 effectively restores the cytochrome c releasing effect of recombinant Bax. We propose that apoptotic stimuli can facilitate the proapoptotic function of Bax in mitochondria through stabilization of MOAP-1.

apoptosis | Bcl-2 family | proteasome | DNA damage

itochondria are the major organelles involved in the signal transduction and biochemical execution of apoptosis (1). Proteins of the Bcl-2 family are central transducers of survival and apoptotic signals (2). They act at mitochondria by regulating the permeability and integrity of the mitochondrial outer membranes, thereby controlling the release of apoptogenic factors. The Bcl-2 family consists of three major subfamilies of prosurvival or proapoptotic molecules. The BH3-only proteins (Bim, Bad, Bid, Bik, Noxa, Puma, and Hrk) serve as sentinels for the initiation of apoptosis by modulating the function of the multidomain prosurvival (Bcl-2, Bcl-w, Mcl-1, Bcl-X_I, and A1/Bfl-1) or proapoptotic Bcl-2 members (Bax and Bak) (3–5). The prosurvival family members prevent cell death mainly by interrupting oligomerization of Bax/Bak, largely through binding and sequestering activator BH3 domains and thereby preventing their interaction with Bax/Bak (6, 7). Although the molecular details about how the Bcl-2 family of proteins regulates mitochondrial apoptotic signaling remain to be resolved, the multidomain proapoptotic molecules Bax and Bak have been shown to be the essential effectors responsible for the execution of apoptosis mediated through multiple signals (6, 8, 9).

Modulator of apoptosis (MOAP-1), initially named MAP-1, was identified as a binding partner of Bax in a yeast two hybrid screen (10). MOAP-1 contains a BH3-like motif and is capable of triggering apoptosis in mammalian cells when overexpressed (10, 11). Knocking down MOAP-1 by RNAi confers inhibition of apoptotic signaling triggered by multiple apoptotic stimuli and promotes anchorage-independent growth of tumor cells (11). Remarkably, isolated mitochondria from MOAP-1 knockdown cells were highly resistant to the cytochrome c (Cyto c)-releasing effect of recombinant Bax, suggesting that MOAP-1 may act as an effector for facilitating Bax function in mitochondria (11). Interestingly, it has recently been demonstrated that the tumor suppressor RASSF1A specifically links cell death receptor- (12) and activated K-Ras-

mediated (13) apoptotic signaling to Bax activation through binding to MOAP-1.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) plays important roles in regulating many cellular and physiological processes, including apoptosis. Many key regulators in the apoptosis and survival pathway, such as p53, NF- κ B, the IAP family, are known to be regulated by UPS (14, 15). Accumulating evidence also suggests that UPS has a role in directly regulating the levels of certain proand antiapoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family, including Bik, Bim, A1/Bfl-1, and Mcl-1 (16–19). Here, we present evidence to show that rapid inhibition of UPS-dependent degradation of MOAP-1 by multiple apoptotic stimuli may play an important role in facilitating Bax function in mitochondria.

Results

MOAP-1 Protein Is Rapidly Up-Regulated by Multiple Apoptotic Stimuli. MOAP-1 is proapoptotic in mammalian cells when overexpressed (10, 11). Reduction of MOAP-1 levels by RNAi knockdown suppresses apoptosis triggered by multiple apoptotic stimuli (11). Because MOAP-1 appears to be a low-abundance protein in mammalian cells (ref. 11 and data not shown) and the level of MOAP-1 could be an important determinant for influencing the sensitivity of mammalian cells to apoptotic signals, we decided to evaluate the potential effect of apoptotic stimuli on MOAP-1 protein levels in mammalian cells. Using a combined immunoprecipitation (IP)/Western blot analysis as described in ref. 20 for detection of other low-abundance proteins, MOAP-1 protein levels were first found to be rapidly up-regulated in HCT116, H1299, SY5Y, and HeLa cells upon tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) treatment (Fig. 1A). The induction of MOAP-1 by TRAIL displayed a fast kinetics similar to Bax activation in the HCT116, H1299 and HeLa cells (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, although TRAIL failed to induce Bax activation (Fig. 1A) and apoptosis (data not shown) in SY5Y cells, it was effective in triggering up-regulation of MOAP-1 protein in these cells (Fig. 1A).

To assess the effect of other apoptotic stimuli on MOAP-1 levels, a number of cell lines were subjected to treatment with a series of apoptotic stimuli, including the endoplasmic reticulum stress inducer thapsigargin (THA), DNA-damaging agents, serum with-

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

© 2007 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Author contributions: N.Y.F., S.K.S., and V.C.Y. designed research; N.Y.F. and S.K.S. performed research; N.Y.F., S.K.S., and V.C.Y. analyzed data; and N.Y.F. and V.C.Y. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

Abbreviations: Cyto c, cytochrome c; ETOP, etoposide; CHX, cycloheximide; IB, immunoblotting; IP, immunoprecipitation; MOAP-1, modulator of apoptosis; THA, thapsigargin; TRAIL, tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand; UPS, the ubiquitin/proteasome system; Ub, ubiquitin.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mcbyuck@imcb.a-star.edu.sg

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/ 0700007104/DC1.



Fig. 1. Apoptotic stimuli up-regulate MOAP-1 protein during the early phase of apoptotic signaling. (*A*) Levels of endogenous MOAP-1 protein were rapidly up-regulated by TRAIL. The indicated cells were treated with TRAIL for various periods of time. RIPA lysates were subjected to IP with the rabbit anti-MOAP-1 antibody (R5), followed by IB with the mouse anti-MOAP-1 antibody (M6). Actin was used as an internal control to demonstrate that equal amount of total proteins was used for IP. The levels of Bcl-2, Bak, and Bik in the total lysates of HCT116 cells were also measured with their respective antibodies. Bax activation (Act Bax) was monitored by using a conformation-specific Bax antibody (N-20). (*B* and *C*) Induction of MOAP-1 by THA and ETOP occurred during the early phase of apoptotic signaling. HCT116 cells (*B*) or 293T cells (*C*) were treated with 20 μ M THA or 100 μ M ETOP, respectively, for the indicated periods of time. MOAP-1 levels, Bax activation (Act Bax), Capase 3 activation (Act Casp3), and Cyto c release were monitored (*B* and *C Left*). For detection of Cyto c release fractions. THA-induced mitochondrial depolarization (*B Right*) or ETOP-induced DNA fragmentation (*C Right*) were analyzed by flow cytometry as described in *Materials and Methods*. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. (*D*) Caspase inhibition fails to suppress the elevation of MOAP-1 protein induced by ETOP. 293 T cells were pretreated with 10 μ M z-VAD for 1 h before being subjected to 100 μ M ETOP treatment for 16 h or 36 h. (*Left*) MOAP-1 levels were analyzed as in *C*. Results are presented as percentage of apoptotic cells (mean \pm SD, n = 3).

drawal, or the PKC inhibitor staurosporine. Except staurosporine, all apoptotic stimuli tested were able to rapidly enhance MOAP-1 levels in mammalian cell lines, including SY5Y, HCT116, HepG2, 293T, H1299, and HeLa cells [Fig. 1 B-D Left and supporting information (SI) Fig. 6 and data not shown]. Although the upregulation of MOAP-1 levels by DNA-damaging stimuli displayed a similar kinetic as that of p53 induction in SY5Y and A2780 cells, which are known to harbor wild-type p53 (21) (SI Fig. 6 B and C), similar effect was also observed in the p53 mutant cell 293T (SI Fig. 6A) and p53 null cell H1299 (SI Fig. 6D), suggesting that the up-regulation of MOAP-1 by DNA-damaging stimuli involves a p53-independent mechanism. MOAP-1 has been shown to be a mitochondria-enriched protein (11). The accumulation of MOAP-1 protein upon etoposide (ETOP) (SI Fig. 6E) or TRAIL treatment (data not shown) was mainly seen in the heavy membrane fraction containing mitochondria. MCF-7 cells appear to have higher basal levels of MOAP-1 than other mammalian cell types (data not shown). Consistent with our previous observations (11), most apoptotic stimuli tested, with the exception of ETOP and camptothecin, were relatively ineffective for inducing up-regulation of MOAP-1 protein in these cells (data not shown). In contrast to rapid induction of apoptosis by TRAIL, apoptosis induced by THA, DNA-damaging agents and serum withdrawal appears much slower (Fig. 1 B and C and data not shown). Nevertheless, the up-regulation of MOAP-1 by multiple apoptotic stimuli was readily

10052 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0700007104

detected before those commitment events of apoptotic signaling, such as Bax activation, Cyto c release from mitochondria, mitochondrial potential changes and the appearance of subG₁ DNA content (Fig. 1 *B* and *C*; data not shown). The broad spectrum caspase inhibitor z-VAD failed to inhibit MOAP-1 up-regulation by ETOP (Fig. 1D Left) and TRAIL (data not shown), whereas it effectively blocked DNA fragmentation (Fig. 1D Right), indicating that the effect of apoptotic stimuli on MOAP-1 up-regulation is not dependent on a positive feedback mechanism driven by sustained caspase activation.

Apoptotic Stimuli Stabilize MOAP-1 Protein. To study the mechanism by which apoptotic stimuli promote an increase in MOAP-1 protein levels, we first measured *MOAP-1* mRNA levels by real-time PCR. No significant difference was noted in *MOAP-1* mRNA levels between control and TRAIL- or ETOP-treated cells (SI Fig. 74). Translational regulation by the 5' or 3' UTR of mRNAs has been found to play an important role in the regulation of levels of certain proteins by apoptotic stimuli (22). To exclude this possibility, cells were transiently transfected with a HA-tagged MOAP-1 expressing plasmid, in which only the coding region of MOAP-1 is transcribed under the control of the CMV promoter. Similar to the endogenous MOAP-1 protein, the levels of HA-tagged MOAP-1 protein were rapidly increased by treatment with TRAIL or ETOP (SI Fig. 7*B*).



Fig. 2. MOAP-1 is a short-lived protein that can be stabilized by apoptotic stimuli. (A) Inhibition of de novo protein synthesis caused rapid elimination of endogenous MOAP-1 protein. 293T cells were incubated with 50 μ g/ml CHX for the indicated periods of time. MOAP-1 protein levels were monitored as in Fig. 1. Actin was used as an internal control. (B) Pulse-chase analysis for estimating the half-life of MOAP-1. 293T cells labeled with S35-methionine/ cysteine were chased for the indicated periods of time. RIPA lysates were subjected to IP with anti-MOAP-1 antibody (R5). Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by autoradiography (for S³⁵ MOAP-1) or by IB with anti-MOAP-1 antibody (for total MOAP-1). HSP60 was used as an internal control. (C) The half-life of MOAP-1 is estimated to be $\approx\!25$ min. The relative amount of total MOAP-1 in A or S³⁵-labeled MOAP-1 in B was guantified by densitometry and plotted with respect to time. MOAP-1 level at time 0 was defined as 100%. (D) TRAIL or ETOP treatment extended the half-life of MOAP-1. H1299 cells were pretreated with 50 ng/ml TRAIL for 1 h or 100 μ M ETOP for 3 h. Pulse-chase assay was then performed as in B. The same concentration of TRAIL or ETOP was maintained during the entire period of pulse-chase analysis.

Because neither transcriptional nor translational mechanism appears to have a significant role in mediating MOAP-1 upregulation by apoptotic stimuli, we next explored possible posttranslational mechanism underlying MOAP-1 regulation. We first determined the half-life of MOAP-1 in mammalian cells. The protein synthesis inhibitor CHX was added to the 293T cells to block the de novo protein synthesis. The remaining levels of MOAP-1 in the cells at different time points after CHX treatment were monitored (Fig. 2A). In addition, 293T cells were also subjected to pulse-chase analysis (Fig. 2B). Both approaches yielded similar results and the half-life of MOAP-1 is estimated to be ≈ 25 min in 293T cells (Fig. 2C). To ensure that the fast turnover of MOAP-1 is not limited to 293T cells, the time-dependent decay of MOAP-1 by CHX was measured in COS-1, SY5Y, HepG2 and HCT116 cells. The half-life of MOAP-1 was estimated to be between 20-30 min among these four cell lines (SI Fig. 8). In contrast, Bax is a stable protein, because its level remained unchanged upon CHX treatment even up to 16 h (SI Fig. 8 and data not shown). Interestingly, TRAIL or ETOP treatment led to a pronounced extension of MOAP-1 half-life compared with the untreated control (Fig. 2D). These data together suggest that protein stabilization, possibly by inhibition of its degradation, is likely to be a predominant mechanism underlying the up-regulation of MOAP-1 induced by apoptotic stimuli.

Apoptotic Stimuli Inhibit Polyubiquitination of MOAP-1, Which Is Required for Its Degradation by Proteasome. Many proteases are known to be involved in regulating protein stability in mammalian cells (23). To determine which protease(s) might be participating in MOAP-1 regulation, 293T cells were subjected to treatment with diverse protease inhibitors. Among all of them, only the proteasome inhibitors (i.e., MG132, LLnL, epoxomycin and lactacystin) were found to dramatically elevate endogenous MOAP-1 protein levels through extension of its half-life in all of the cell lines tested,



Fig. 3. Apoptotic stimuli suppress polyubiquitination of MOAP-1. (A) Proteasome inhibition caused accumulation of polyubiquitinated forms of transiently expressed MOAP-1 (Ub MOAP-1). (Upper) HA-MOAP-1 or control vector was cotransfected with pEGFP into 293T cells. Sixteen hours after transfection, the cells were treated with 10 μ M MG132 for another sixteen hours. RIPA lysates were analyzed by IB, using anti-HA antibody. The levels of GFP were used to monitor transfection efficiency. (Lower) 293T cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. Sixteen hours after transfection, the cells were left untreated or treated with MG132 for another 16 h. RIPA lysates were subjected to IP with anti-HA-conjugated beads, followed by IB with anti-myc antibody. (B) Proteasome inhibition caused accumulation of ubiquitinated forms of endogenous MOAP-1 (Ub MOAP-1). SY5Y cells were either left untreated or treated with 10 μ M MG132 for 16 h. (Upper) RIPA lysates were analyzed by IB with anti-MOAP-1 or anti-actin (loading control) antibodies. (Lower) RIPA lysates were subjected to IP with MOAP-1 antibody or control IgG, followed by IB with anti-Ub antibody. (C) MOAP-1 protein levels were elevated by inhibition of polyubiquitin chain formation. 293T cells were cotransfected with MOAP-1-HA and pEGFP in combination with indicated amounts of plasmid expressing the lysine-less Ub mutant [Ub(K0)]. Sixteen hours after transfection, the cells were harvested, and RIPA lysates were analyzed by IB with anti-HA antibody. The levels of GFP were used to monitor transfection efficiency. (D) TRAIL and ETOP inhibit polyubiquitination of MOAP-1. H1299 cells were treated with 50 ng/ml TRAIL for 1 h or 100 μ M ETOP for 3 h before MG132 was added, and the cells were incubated for another 12 h. The cells were harvested and analyzed as in B.

including the human primary foreskin cell FS-4 (SI Fig. 9 and data not shown), suggesting that the proteasome system plays a major role in the fast turnover of MOAP-1. Interestingly, levels of MOAP-1 protein being up-regulated by MG132 were not increased further upon ETOP (SI Fig. 9F) or TRAIL treatment (data not shown), providing additional evidence that apoptotic stimuli upregulate MOAP-1 primarily through affecting its stability, instead of increasing *MOAP-1* mRNA levels.

The stabilizing effect of proteasome inhibitors on MOAP-1 led us to explore further whether MOAP-1 is a direct substrate for ubiquitination. Transiently expressed HA-tagged MOAP-1 was significantly up-regulated by MG132. Furthermore, in addition to the band corresponding to unmodified MOAP-1, a series of additional, slower migrating forms of the protein were observed in the cells treated with MG132 (Fig. 3A Upper). It is possible that the higher molecular weight bands represent the polyubiquitinated forms of MOAP-1. To test this hypothesis, 293T cells were cotransfected with myc-tagged MOAP-1 and HA-tagged ubiquitin (Ub). Polyubiquitinated forms of myc-tagged MOAP-1 were readily observed in the transfected cells in the presence of MG132 (Fig. 3A Lower). A similar experiment was performed to detect the polyubiquitinated forms of endogenous MOAP-1, but in this instance MOAP-1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-MOAP-1 antibody and polyubiquitinated forms of MOAP-1 were detected by anti-Ub

antibody. In the presence of MG132, polyubiquitinated forms of endogenous MOAP-1 protein were readily seen in SY5Y cells (Fig. 3B), 293T, HCT116, COS-1 and H1299 cells (data not shown). To assess the requirement of polyubiquitination of MOAP-1 for its degradation by the proteasome, HA-tagged MOAP-1 was cotransfected with the plasmid expressing the mutant Ub [Ub(K0)], with all of its lysines mutated to arginines (24). Levels of MOAP-1 were elevated in a dose-dependent manner directly proportional to the amount of Ub(K0) plasmid transfected, suggesting that polyubiquitin chain formation is necessary for efficient degradation of MOAP-1 by the proteasome (Fig. 3C).

To identify the domain in MOAP-1 that might be essential for coupling MOAP-1 to UPS, a series of MOAP-1 deletion mutants were generated (SI Fig. 10*A*). All of the deletion mutants that contain the center portion of MOAP-1 (amino acids 141–190) have a short half-life (data not shown) and they were all dramatically up-regulated by MG132 (SI Fig. 10*B*). Moreover, the polyubiquitination of the M5 mutant (MOAP-1 amino acids 115–190) was readily detected in the presence of MG132 (SI Fig. 10*D*). Levels of this mutant was effectively elevated by either TRAIL or ETOP treatment (SI Fig. 10*C*), suggesting that the center region of MOAP-1 contains a functional domain sufficient for mediating the stabilization effect on MOAP-1 by apoptotic stimuli. Interestingly, the degradable property contained within the M5 mutant is transferable to a heterologous protein GST that is not normally regulated by UPS (SI Fig. 10*E*–*G*).

To investigate whether apoptotic stimuli have any effect on the ubiquitination process of MOAP-1, H1299 cells were pretreated with TRAIL or ETOP before incubating with MG132 to promote accumulation of ubiquitinated forms of endogenous MOAP-1. Both stimuli significantly reduced accumulation of ubiquitinated forms of MOAP-1 (Fig. 3D), suggesting that the effect of apoptotic stimuli on stabilizing MOAP-1 protein is likely to be mediated through inhibition of its polyubiquitination process. The inhibition of polyubiquitination of MOAP-1 by apoptotic stimuli is unlikely to be a general phenomenon during the early phase of apoptotic signaling, because the global accumulation of total polyubiquitinated proteins in H1299 cells induced by MG132 is not inhibited by ETOP or TRAIL treatment (SI Fig. 11A). Furthermore, although the levels of BH3only protein Bik can be dramatically elevated in the presence of proteasome inhibitors (ref. 16 and SI Fig. 11B), neither ETOP nor TRAIL could up-regulate levels of Bik protein (SI Fig. 11B) and inhibit accumulation of polyubiquinated forms of Bik stimulated by MG132 (SI Fig. 11C).

Elevating MOAP-1 Protein Levels Sensitizes Mammalian Cells to Apoptotic Stimuli. Reduction of MOAP-1 levels by RNAi knockdown approach was shown to inhibit Bax-dependent apoptotic signaling (11). Although transiently overexpressed MOAP-1 is able to trigger apoptosis on its own (10, 11), it is unclear whether higher basal levels of MOAP-1 in mammalian cells would sensitize cells to apoptotic signals. To evaluate this, HCT116 clonal lines stably expressing exogenous myc-tagged MOAP-1 (HCT116 myc-MOAP-1 cells) were generated. The expression levels of myc-MOAP-1 in stable clonal lines are much lower compared with the expression upon transient overexpression conditions (data not shown), but their levels were significantly higher than the levels of endogenous MOAP-1 (Fig. 4A). Moreover, both forms of MOAP-1 were tightly controlled by UPS and effectively up-regulated by apoptotic stimuli in the stable lines (data not shown). HCT116 myc-MOAP-1 cells were healthy and displayed normal growth and morphology (data not shown). These cells, however, were more sensitive than vector cells to TRAIL- and THA-induced apoptosis as determined by WST-1 assay (Fig. 4B) and mitochondrial potential changes (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, although staurosporine failed to up-regulate MOAP-1 in HCT116 cells (data not shown), higher levels of MOAP-1 had

I domain sufficient AP-1 by apoptotic ontained within the zein GST that is not e any effect on the ls were pretreated th MG132 to pros of endogenous I accumulation of uggesting that the OAP-1 protein is polyubiquitination on of MOAP 1 by



apoptotic stimuli. (A) MOAP-1 expression in the HCT116 vector control and myc-MOAP-1 stable clonal lines. RIPA lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-MOAP-1 or anti-Bax antibodies, followed by IB with anti-MOAP-1, anti-Bax or anti-myc antibodies. The arrows indicate the endogenous (Endo) or exogenous myc-MOAP-1(Exog). (B and C) Cell death analysis of HCT116 vector control or HCT116 myc-MOAP-1 cells subjected to treatment with TRAIL or THA. Cells grown in 96-well plate were treated with indicated concentrations of THA for 36 h or TRAIL for 16 h. Cell viability after treatment was determined by the WST-1 assay (B). Results are presented as percentage of control (mean \pm SD, n = 3). Cells grown in 6-well plate were treated with 5 μ M THA for 36 h or 10 ng/ml TRAIL for 16 h, harvested and stained with Mito-tracker Red for analysis of mitochondrial membrane potential changes by flow cytometry (C). (D) Higher levels of MOAP-1 promote TRAIL-induced Bax activation. Vector 1# and MOAP-116# cells were treated with indicated concentrations of TRAIL for 5 h. Bax activation (Act Bax) was analyzed by using a conformation-specific Bax antibody (N-20). (E) Higher levels of MOAP-1 increase the sensitivity of isolated mitochondria to recombinant Bax-induced Cyto c release. Heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria isolated from Vector-1 or MOAP-1-16 cells were treated with recombinant Bax, followed by centrifugation. The supernatants (sup) and pellets were immunoblotted with anti-Cyto c or HSP60 antibodies

similar effect on heightening the sensitivity of HCT116 cells to the apoptotic effect of staurosporine as to TRAIL and THA (data not shown). These data suggest that elevation of MOAP-1 protein levels has a general effect on sensitizing cells to apoptotic stimuli. In comparison with vector cells, higher levels of activated Bax were detected upon treatment with TRAIL in the cells stably expressing exogenous myc-MOAP-1 (Fig. 4D). Mitochondria in heavy membrane fractions isolated from HCT116 myc-MOAP-1 cells were clearly more sensitive than those from vector cells to the Cyto c releasing effect of recombinant Bax (Fig. 4E), further supporting the idea that the levels of MOAP-1 correlate positively to sensitivity of mitochondria to the Cyto c releasing effect of Bax. To extend our analysis on the effect of higher levels of MOAP-1 expression on apoptosis to other cell lines, MCF-7 clonal lines stably expressing myc-MOAP-1 were generated. As in HCT116 cells, higher basal levels of MOAP-1 also sensitized MCF-7 cells to apoptotic stimuli (SI Fig. 12 and data not shown).

MOAP-1 Is a Key Short-Lived Protein to Promote Bax Function in Mitochondria. CHX treatment is known to have very diverse effects on apoptosis in *ex vivo* studies. It can significantly



MOAP-1 is a key short-lived protein to facilitate Bax-induced Cyto c Fig. 5. release from isolated mitochondria. (A) Effects of CHX on the levels of Bcl-2 family proteins in heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria. HCT116 cells were treated with 50 μ g/ml CHX for the indicated periods of time. The levels of MOAP-1, Bcl-2, Bak, Bax, and HSP60 were analyzed by IB. (B) Mitochondria isolated from CHX-pretreated cells were resistant to Baxmediated Cyto c release. Heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria isolated from the control or CHX-pretreated HCT116 cells were incubated with recombinant Bax followed by centrifugation. The supernatants (sup) and pellets were immunoblotted with anti-Cyto c or HSP60 antibodies. (C) In vitro-translated MOAP-1 protein effectively restored the sensitivity of mitochondria from CHX-pretreated cells to the Cyto c releasing effect of Bax. Heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria from the cells pretreated with CHX for 2 h were preincubated with PBS, rabbit reticulate lysate (R. lysate), S^{35} -labeled (1 \times 10⁴ cpm/methionine) *in vitro*-translated MOAP-1, VDAC, or the M2 mutant of MOAP-1 (amino acids 1-115) for 20 min. The mitochondria was washed twice, treated with recombinant Bax, and analyzed as in B.

promote or block apoptosis when combined with different apoptotic stimuli in distinct cellular contexts (25, 26). The contribution of mitochondrial short-lived proteins as a whole in regulating the function of recombinant Bax in isolated mitochondria has not been explored. MOAP-1 knockdown by RNAi has been shown to attenuate recombinant Bax- and tBidmediated Cyto c release (11). To test the possibility that depletion of short-lived proteins in mitochondria, including MOAP-1, by CHX would result in a similar phenotype as the MOAP-1 knockdown by RNAi on Bax- or tBid-mediated Cyto c release in isolated mitochondria, heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria were isolated from the cells pretreated with CHX for various durations. Even 1 h of CHX treatment was sufficient to deplete MOAP-1 in the heavy membrane fractions to undetectable levels, whereas the levels of Bax, Bak Bcl-2 and HSP60 remained unchanged (Fig. 5A). Similar to mitochondria isolated from MOAP-1 knockdown cells (11), mitochondria in heavy membrane fractions isolated from cells that were pretreated with CHX for relatively short time (<2 h) were found to be resistant to the Cyto c releasing effect of recombinant Bax (Fig. 5B) and tBid (data not shown). Because the molecular mechanism of Bax function in mitochondria has not been fully elucidated, it is unclear whether any other short-lived mitochondrial protein(s), in addition to MOAP-1, may also be required to facilitate the apoptotic function of Bax. To address this, heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria isolated from CHXpretreated cells were preincubated with reticulate lysates or in vitro-translated MOAP-1, VDAC or an inactive mutant of MOAP-1 (M2 mutant, amino acids 1–115), before being exposed to recombinant Bax protein. Remarkably, exogenously added MOAP-1, but not the mitochondrial protein VDAC or the M2 mutant, was effective in restoring the Cyto c releasing effect of recombinant Bax (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

It has been reported that proteasome activity is compromised in cells exposed to apoptotic stimuli, because some of the key subunits in the 19S regulatory complex are cleaved by activated caspases (27). As a consequence, levels of proteins that are constitutively degraded by UPS would increase and large amount of ubiquitinated proteins would be accumulated in the apoptotic cells (27). Interestingly, it has also been demonstrated that proteasome is required for initiation of apoptosis triggered by certain stimuli (16, 19, 28). Our data clearly showed that up-regulation of MOAP-1 is not a consequence of apoptosis, but it is rather an early event in the apoptotic signaling process engaged by multiple stimuli.

Ubiquitination is a pivotal step to mark proteins for degradation by the proteasome. Distinct E3 ligases associate with their specific substrate proteins and transfer the activated Ub to the target proteins through the isopeptide bond formed between the carboxylterminal Gly (G76) of Ub and the ε -NH2 group of lysine residue in substrate proteins. Although MOAP-1 has 17 internal lysine residues, the three lysine residues at the center domain of MOAP-1 (K161, K163, and K164) are the obvious candidate lysines that might be critical for the assembly of polyubiquitin chains, because polyubiquitination of the MOAP-1 M5 mutant (amino acids 115-190) can be readily detected. However, mutation of a single or all three of these lysines to arginine did not significantly suppress the ubiquitination of MOAP-1 (data not shown). Further systematic mutation analyses of lysine residues in full length MOAP-1 protein yielded similar results (data not shown) and it appears that, similar to certain Ub substrates (29, 30), no specific essential lysine residue for the polyubiquitination can be identified, suggesting that a unconventional mechanism may be involved in MOAP-1 polyubiquitination (29, 30).

A variety of mechanisms, including posttranslational modifications, such as phosphorylation, sumolyation, regulation by specific deubiquitination enzymes, and association with a third negative regulatory partner, have all been shown to be involved in affecting protein ubiquitination and its subsequent degradation by the proteasome (31). Multiple apoptotic stimuli stabilize MOAP-1 protein probably through inhibition of its ubiquitinationdependent degradation process. The underlying molecular steps and regulators involved, however, could be distinct for individual stimulus. The precise mechanism by which individual apoptotic stimulus inhibits the ubiquitination and degradation of MOAP-1 remains to be investigated.

Several mitochondrial proteins, such as ARTS (32) and Mcl-1 (16, 19), which are involved in the regulation of mitochondriadependent apoptotic signaling, have been shown to be substrates of UPS. It is possible that a significant number of mitochondrial proteins that play important roles in mediating apoptotic or survival signaling are short-lived proteins. Interestingly, heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria depleted of short-lived proteins by CHX are resistant to recombinant Bax- and tBid-mediated Cyto c release, demonstrating that the net effect of depleting short-lived proteins in mitochondria is to reduce rather than heighten the sensitivity of mitochondria to recombinant Bax-mediated Cyto c release. Surprisingly, incubation of the heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria from CHX-pretreated cells with in vitro translated MOAP-1 protein alone was found to be sufficient to restore the ability of recombinant Bax to release Cyto c. These data lend further support to the idea that MOAP-1 plays an effector role for Bax function in mitochondria as suggested from our earlier data (11) and raises an intriguing possibility that MOAP-1 could be a key short-lived mitochondrial protein required for facilitating Bax function in mitochondria.

The first clinically tested proteasome inhibitor, Bortezomib, has recently been successfully launched for cancer the treatment of multiple myeloma (33, 34). It is noteworthy that proteasome

inhibitors generally exhibit high toxicity to cells, presumably because of their activities in inhibiting a broad spectrum of proteins regulated by UPS. Interestingly, an experimental approach based on the crystal structure of MDM2 bound to a peptide from its substrate p53, has been successfully used to identify the small molecular weight compound Nutlin-3 that specifically blocks the interaction between MDM2 and p53. Indeed, degradation of p53 by MDM2 was abolished, and the p53 pathway became active in cells upon treatment with Nutlin-3 (35). Because the center domain of MOAP-1 (amino acids 116–190) is as sensitive as the wild-type protein to the regulatory effect of UPS, this region of MOAP-1 might contain the docking site for its specific E3 ligase. It is anticipated that chemical compounds with activity in interfering interaction between MOAP-1 and its E3 ligase should have a specific effect in stabilizing MOAP-1 protein. Because higher levels of MOAP-1 sensitize cells to Bax-mediated apoptotic signaling, these compounds might be potential antitumor agents. Mitochondria are increasingly being recognized as promising targets for cancer therapy (36, 37), identification of UPS as a mechanism for regulating stability of MOAP-1 would thus afford the opportunity for conceptualizing therapeutic strategies aimed at altering the functional activity of Bax in mitochondria.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture, Transfection, and Generation of Stable Lines. Cells were cultured in 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640, DMEM, or Mycoy's 5A medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used for transfection. For generation of stable cell lines expressing exogenous myc-tagged MOAP-1, HCT116 or MCF-7 cells were transfected with pIRESneo vector or pIRESneo myc-MOAP-1 construct and selected in 1.25 mg/ml G418 (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA). Individual clonal lines were evaluated for the expression of myc-MOAP-1.

Determination of Protein Half-Life *in Vivo.* A pulse-chase assay was performed as described in ref. 16. Cells were harvested, and cell lysates were subjected to IP analysis as described below. CHX,

- 1. Wang X (2001) Genes Dev 15:2922-2933.
- 2. Chan SL, Yu VC (2004) Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 31:119-128.
- 3. Danial NN, Korsmeyer SJ (2004) Cell 116:205-219.
- 4. Strasser A (2005) Nat Rev Immunol 5:189-200.
- 5. Willis SN, Adams JM (2005) Curr Opin Cell Biol 17:617-625.
- Cheng EH, Wei MC, Weiler S, Flavell RA, Mak TW, Lindsten T, Korsmeyer SJ (2001) Mol Cell 8:705–711.
- Certo M, Del Gaizo Moore V, Nishino M, Wei G, Korsmeyer S, Armstrong SA, Letai A (2006) *Cancer Cell* 9:351–365.
- Lindsten T, Ross AJ, King A, Zong WX, Rathmell JC, Shiels HA, Ulrich E, Waymire KG, Mahar P, Frauwirth K, et al. (2000) Mol Cell 6:1389–1399.
- Wei MC, Zong WX, Cheng EH, Lindsten T, Panoutsakopoulou V, Ross AJ, Roth KA, MacGregor GR, Thompson CB, Korsmeyer SJ (2001) Science 292:727–730.
- Tan KO, Tan KM, Chan SL, Yee KS, Bevort M, Ang KC, Yu VC (2001) J Biol Chem 276:2802–2807.
- Tan KO, Fu NY, Sukumaran SK, Chan SL, Kang JH, Poon KL, Chen BS, Yu VC (2005) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:14623–14628.
- Baksh S, Tommasi S, Fenton S, Yu VC, Martins LM, Pfeifer GP, Latif F, Downward J, Neel BG (2005) *Mol Cell* 18:637–650.
- Vos MD, Dallol A, Eckfeld K, Allen NP, Donninger H, Hesson LB, Calvisi D, Latif F, Clark GJ (2006) J Biol Chem 281:4557–4563.
- 14. Jesenberger V, Jentsch S (2002) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3:112-121.
- 15. Vaux DL, Silke J (2005) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:287-297.
- Nijhawan D, Fang M, Traer E, Zhong Q, Gao W, Du F, Wang X (2003) Genes Dev 17:1475–1486.
- Nikrad M, Johnson T, Puthalalath H, Coultas L, Adams J, Kraft AS (2005) Mol Cancer Ther 4:443–449.
- Kucharczak JF, Simmons MJ, Duckett CS, Gelinas C (2005) Cell Death Differ 12:1225–1239.

a protein synthesis inhibitor, was also used to estimate protein half-life as described in ref. 24.

Immunoblotting (IP) and Immunoprecipitation (IP). Cells ($\approx 1 \times 10^7$) were harvested and resuspended in 500 μ l of RIPA buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.4/150 mM NaCl/1% Nonidet P-40/0.1% SDS/ 0.25% Na-deoxycholate/1 mM EDTA/1 mM Na₃VO₄) supplemented with "complete" protease inhibitors (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), followed by sonication for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation to remove insolubles (350,000 × g for 20 min), protein content in cell lysates was determined (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Equal amount of proteins for each cell lysate was subjected to IB or IP as described in ref. 10. Bax activation was analyzed as described in ref. 11.

Cell-Death Assay and Flow Cytometry Analysis. WST-1 assay (Roche) was used to measure cell viability. For detection of subG₁ DNA, cells were fixed in cold 70% ethanol, stained with 50 μ g/ml propidium iodide, and analyzed with a FACScan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Mitochondrial potential change, measured by MitoTracker Red staining, was performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Molecular Probes, Eugene OR).

In Vitro Cyto c Release. Equal amounts of heavy membrane fractions containing mitochondria were incubated with recombinant Bax or tBid at 30°C for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 7,500 \times g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants and pellets were subjected to Western blot analysis as described in ref. 11.

Supporting Information. Real-time PCR, subcellular fractionation, expression and purification of recombinant Bax and t-Bid proteins, and further details on antibodies, chemicals, and plasmid construction are provided in *SI Materials and Methods*.

We thank Dr. M. Pagano (New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY) for the Ub(K0) expression plasmid; Drs. B. L. Tang, S. L. Chan, and S. S. Lee for valuable comments about the manuscript. V.C.Y. is an adjunct staff member of the Department of Pharmacology, National University of Singapore. This work was supported by grants from the Singapore Agency for Science, Technology, and Research.

- Willis SN, Chen L, Dewson G, Wei A, Naik E, Fletcher JI, Adams JM, Huang DC (2005) Genes Dev 19:1294–1305.
- Linares LK, Hengstermann A, Ciechanover A, Muller S, Scheffner M (2003) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:12009–12014.
- 21. Ronca F, Chan SL, Yu VC (1997) J Biol Chem 272:4252-4260.
- 22. Holcik M, Sonenberg N (2005) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:318-327.
- 23. Mayer RJ (2000) Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 1:145-148.
- 24. Bloom J, Amador V, Bartolini F, DeMartino G, Pagano M (2003) Cell 115:71-82.
- 25. Hsu YT, Wolter KG, Youle RJ (1997) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:3668-3672.
- Kreuz S, Siegmund D, Scheurich P, Wajant H (2001) Mol Cell Biol 21:3964– 3973.
- Sun XM, Butterworth M, MacFarlane M, Dubiel W, Ciechanover A, Cohen GM (2004) *Mol Cell* 14:81–93.
- Sohn D, Totzke G, Essmann F, Schulze-Osthoff K, Levkau B, Janicke RU (2006) Mol Cell Biol 26:1967–1978.
- 29. Demontis S, Rigo C, Piccinin S, Mizzau M, Sonego M, Fabris M, Brancolini C, Maestro R (2006) *Cell Death Differ* 13:335–345.
- Herold MJ, Zeitz J, Pelzer C, Kraus C, Peters A, Wohlleben G, Berberich I (2006) J Biol Chem 281:13663–13671.
- 31. Pickart CM (2004) Cell 116:181-190.
- Lotan R, Rotem A, Gonen H, Finberg JP, Kemeny S, Steller H, Ciechanover A, Larisch S (2005) J Biol Chem 280:25802–25810.
- 33. Adams J (2004) Nat Rev Cancer 4:349-360.
- 34. Nalepa G, Rolfe M, Harper JW (2006) Nat Rev Drug Discov 5:596-613.
- Vassilev LT, Vu BT, Graves B, Carvajal D, Podlaski F, Filipovic Z, Kong N, Kammlott U, Lukacs C, Klein C, et al. (2004) Science 303:844–848.
- 36. Green DR, Kroemer G (2004) Science 305:626-629.
- Galluzzi L, Larochette N, Zamzami N, Kroemer G (2006) Oncogene 25:4812– 4830.