

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Inorg Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 June 18

Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2003 July 14; 42(14): 4259-4261.

NO Orientation and Tilting in (Nitrosyl)iron(II) Deuteroporphyrin IX

Graeme R. A. Wyllie and W. Robert Scheidt*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

Abstract

To investigate issues concerning the coordination of the nitrosyl ligand in naturally occurring hemes, we report the spectroscopy and X-ray structure of five-coordinate [Fe(Deut)(NO)]. Bonding parameters are comparable with those observed for previously characterized synthetic porphyrin complexes of this type. The asymmetric pattern of the peripheral substitution of the porphyrin core allows us to examine aspects associated with ligand binding and orientation previously unobserved in the symmetrical synthetic porphyrins. The nitrosyl is found to be oriented in the direction of the less basic pyrrole rings. This observed orientation of the NO is considered in reference to those orientations reported in a series of related protein structures. Off-axis tilting, a property associated with ordered (nitrosyl)iron(II) porphyrinates is also investigated.

A number of critical physiological processes are dependent on the coordination of nitric oxide (NO) to heme.¹ Although structures for a number of iron porphyrinate nitrosyls have been reported,² all use highly symmetric porphyrin derivatives. We report the structure of [Fe(Deut) (NO)], ³ the first structurally characterized NO derivative using a naturally occurring porphyrin.⁴ Characterization of [Fe(Deut)(NO)], an {FeNO}⁷ complex,⁵ allows us to further explore the stereochemical issues previously observed with synthetic porphyrin derivatives.

Recent high-precision structures⁶ of $\{FeNO\}^7$ porphyrinate complexes have shown that the Fe—N(NO) bond is tilted off the normal to the heme plane, a property apparently arising purely from ligand bonding interactions. This off-axis tilting has been observed for all ordered porphyrins (all synthetic) regardless of coordination number.^{6,7} The five-coordinate {FeNO} porphyrin structures with symmetrical substitution patterns show the nitrosyl ligand oriented about midway between a pair of Fe— N_p vectors, giving dihedral angles (ϕ , the angle between N-O and the closest Fe-N_p bond) ranging from 38 to 41°, minimizing intramolecular contacts between pyrrolic nitrogens and nitrosyl oxygen. The one exception is [Fe(TPPBr₄) (NO)].⁶ found to have three conformations in two distinct crystalline forms, all of which possess nitrosyl groups oriented towards an Fe— N_p bond of one of the trans bromo-substituted pyrrole rings (dihedral angles (ϕ) = 0°, 0°, and 18.4°). The bending of the NO in a plane towards the less basic pyrrole rings⁸ suggests there are NO orientational preferences with unsymmetrically substituted porphyrins. What are the nitrosyl orientation preferences in the asymmetrically-substituted naturally occurring porphyrin derivatives? The synthesis and structure of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] allows further investigation of the issues relating to both off-axis tilting and NO ligand orientation.

The five-coordinate nitrosyl complexes [Fe(Por)(NO)], where Por = Deut, Meso and Prot have been prepared via reductive nitrosylation⁹ of the iron(III) chlorides, but only X-ray quality crystals of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] have been obtained. The nitrosyl stretching frequency, v(NO)[KBr] = 1651, 1658, 1660 cm⁻¹ for [Fe(Deut)(NO)], [Fe(Meso)(NO)] and [Fe(Prot)(NO)], respectively, are lower than those previously observed for either OEP⁶ or TPP¹⁰ derivatives (~1670–1680 cm⁻¹), yet comparable with that previously observed for the protoporphyrin

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-Mail: Scheidt.1@nd.edu

derivative (v(NO)[KBr] = 1655 cm⁻¹).¹¹ v(CO) stretching frequencies of 1728 to 1740 cm⁻¹, arising from the carbonyls of the proprionic acid ester arms, are also observed.

The structure¹² shows an ordered nitrosyl ligand coordinated to an iron displaced 0.26 Å from the mean porphyrin plane which shows modest ruffling (Figure 1). The mean Fe—N_p distance is 2.004 Å whilst the Fe—N(NO) distance of 1.723(3) Å is comparable with other five-coordinate {FeNO}⁷ porphyrin complexes. The N—O distance of 1.187(4) Å and the Fe—N —O angle of 143.1(3)° are similar to those observed in other five-coordinate structures. The peripheral groups of the porphyrin display no disorder. The two proprionic acid ester groups on pyrrole rings III and IV are pointed below the plane of the porphyrin as illustrated in Figure 2.

Off-axis tilting of the FeNO moiety has been shown to occur in several high precision structures of both five-⁶ and six-coordinate⁷ {FeNO}⁷ porphyrinates (Table 1). DFT calculations by Ghosh and Wondimagegn suggest that the tilting leads to an increased overlap between NO and the iron porphyrinate orbitals.¹⁴ The tilting of NO is accompanied by an asymmetry in the equatorial Fe—N_p bonds, a short pair flanking the NO projection on the porphyrin plane and a longer pair opposite. We observe a similar pattern of Fe—N_p bonds and tilting of the {FeNO} moiety in the structure of [Fe(Deut)(NO)]. The magnitude of this tilting (6.2°) and bond asymmetry are comparable with that observed in the related five-coordinate OEP derivatives (Table 1). Although the possible physiological significance of this ligand asymmetry remains unknown, the unsupported ligand tilting is clearly an intrinsic feature of nitrosyl-ligated iron (II) porphyrinates.

We now turn to the nitrosyl ligand orientation with respect to the porphyrin core. Figure 1 clearly shows that the nitrosyl ligand is oriented approximately midway between the Fe— N_p bonds of pyrrole rings III and IV. The nitrosyl ligand therefore points towards the two slightly less basic pyrrole rings that bear the proprionic acid ester groups, the direction expected if an orientational preference was to be found.⁸ The nitrosyl orientation dihedral angle (ϕ) is 35° from the closest Fe— N_p bond, a value slightly smaller than that observed for other five-coordinate {FeNO}⁷ porphyrinates which are generally found to have ϕ closer to 40°, except for the [Fe(TPPBr₄)(NO)] derivatives already noted.

The observation of these NO orientation preferences in model complexes led us to examine whether there was any pattern in the nitrosyl ligand orientation in heme proteins. Complete descriptions of nitrosyl ligand orientations in heme protein structures are frequently not available, but whenever possible, data was obtained from the published papers. If required, additional data has been derived from coordinates deposited in the Protein Data Bank.¹⁵

In order to completely describe the orientation of the NO ligand with respect to asymmetric porphyrin cores, we need to describe a more specific orientation angle (φ^*)¹⁶ in addition to the simple dihedral angle φ , that has been defined earlier. We also need to distinguish the two sides ("top" and "bottom") of the porphyrin ring. We use the standard ring numbering system shown in Figure 1 for all hemes under consideration. We use the signed torsion (dihedral) angle O—N—Fe—N_{I V} (φ^*)¹⁶ where N_{I V} is the nitrogen atom of pyrrole ring IV. We define the "top" of the porphyrin ring when the rotation of ring I \rightarrow ring II is a clockwise rotation when viewed from the NO side; the value of the torsion angle is then the value of the clockwise rotation between the O—N—Fe and N—Fe—N_{I V} planes. When the NO is on the "bottom" all of these rotations will be anticlockwise and the angle φ^* will have a negative sign. Available values of φ^* and other data are given in Table 2.¹⁷

The protein structures show much larger ranges of nitrosyl bonding parameter values compared to the model complexes previously discussed. For example, the Fe—N—O angle ranges from 110 to 162°; the {FeNO}⁷ model complexes display a much narrower range. At least part of

Inorg Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 June 18.

this difference is likely to arise from the lower resolution and the inherent limitations of protein crystallography, although it is found that the structures determined at higher resolution display values closer to those of the model complexes. We therefore treat all protein structural data in a qualitative fashion, simply extracting a rough picture of the nitrosyl coordination in each system.

From the data of Table 2, we can make several observations about NO orientation in the heme proteins. Firstly, coordinated NO is not limited to one particular face of the porphyrin. Secondly, the orientation of the nitrosyl with respect to the porphyrin core varies quite significantly. Both eclipsed and staggered orientations of the nitrosyl are observed. However, the projection of the NO onto the porphyrin plane is primarily found to be about midway between two Fe—N_p vectors ($\phi = 25$ —45°, 71%) suggesting that porphyrin—NO interactions have an effect in the protein environment. Thirdly, NO orientations in all quadrants of the heme are observed, although that between pyrroles III and IV has only one example. Fourthly, there is variation in NO ligand orientation between derivatives that might have been expected to have similar values, i.e., site-directed mutants vs. native derivatives and two independent molecules in an asymmetric unit. This suggests that the constraints provided by the ligand binding pocket, although real, can be relatively soft. The steric constraints of both the porphyrin and ligand binding pocket contribute to the observed NO orientations in heme proteins. In summary, our structure of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] shows that the off-axis tilting of the FeNO moiety occurs in the naturally occurring porphyrin system. We see no reason to doubt that it may also occur in the protein systems. The other features of FeNO geometry in [Fe(Deut)(NO)] are comparable to those seen previously. We are currently undertaking further measurements and synthesis of related complexes in order to provide additional examples and understanding.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Institutes of Health for support of this research under Grant GM-380401. We also thank Dr. A. Beatty for assistance with X-ray data collection

References

- 1. Packer, L., editor. Methods in Enzymology: Nitric Oxide Part B, Physiological and Pathological Processes. 269. Academic Press; San Diego, CA: 1996.
- 2. Wyllie GRA, Scheidt WR. Chem Rev 2002;102:1067. [PubMed: 11942787]
- 3. The following abbreviations are used in this work: Deut, dianion of deuteroporphyrin IX dimethyl ester; Meso, dianion of mesoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester; OEP, dianion of octaethylporphyrin; oxoOEC, dianion of oxooctaethylchlorin(2-oxo-3,3',7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin); Prot dianion of protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester; TPP, dianion of *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin; N_p, a pyrrolic nitrogen. Abbreviations for all proteins are provided as part of the Supporting Information.
- 4. Substituent pattern for the deuteroporphyrin IX dimethyl ester is Me, H, Me, H, Me, CH₂CH₂CO₂Me, CH₂CH₂CO₂Me, Me. This is comparable to protoporphyrin IX upon substitution of the two hydrogens for vinyl groups of Prot.
- 5. We use the {MNO}ⁿ Enemark and Feltham notation for describing nitrosyl complexes where n represents the number of d-electrons from the metal and the π^* from the nitrosyl. This was originally described in Enemark JH, Feltham RD. Coord Chem Rev 1974;13:339.WestcottBLEnemarkJHLeverABPSolomonEIInorganic Electronic Structure and SpectroscopyWiley-Interscience PublicationsNew York1999IIApplications and Case Studies403450
- 6. Scheidt WR, Duval HF, Neal TJ, Ellison MK. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:4651.
- 7. Wyllie GRA, Schulz CE, Scheidt WR. Inorg Chem. submitted

- 8. Hoffman R, Chen MML, Elian M, Rossi AR, Mingos DMP. Inorg Chem 1974;13:2666.suggested the likelihood of tilts towards the less basic equatorial ligands in another context
- 9. Scheidt WR, Frisse ME. J Am Chem Soc 1975;97:17. [PubMed: 1133330]
- 10. Mu XH, Kadish KM. Inorg Chem 1988;27:4720.
- 11. Yoshimura T. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1978;51:1237.
- 12. X-ray quality crystals of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] were obtained by liquid diffusion of methanol into a chloroform solution of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] in sealed 8 mm tubes under an NO atmosphere. Crystal data: [Fe(Deut)(NO)], size = $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.21$ mm, formula $C_{32}H_{32}FeN_5O_5$, triclinic, space group *P* 1, *a* = 11.3518(14) Å, *b* = 11.6492(15) Å, *c* = 12.0065(15) Å, *a* = 78.915(2)°, *β* = 77.590(2)°, *γ* = 64.663(2)°, V = 1392.4(3) Å³, Z = 2, T = 100 K, D_{calc} = 1.485 cm³, Final *R* [I > 2σ(I)]: R₁ = 0.0594, wR₂ = 0.1460. Final *R* indices (all data): R₁ = 0.0738, wR₂ = 0.1543, GOF = 1.050.
- 13. Bohle DS, Debrunner PG, Fitzgerald J, Hansert B, Hung C-H, Thompson AJ. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun 1997:91.
- 14. Ghosh A, Wondimagegn T. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:8101.
- 15. Martz, E. Protein Explorer Software. http://proteinexplorer.org (1999–2002), http://www.pdb.org
- 16. We define φ* as the torsion angle for the four atom unit O—N—Fe—N_{IV}. This is the signed dihedral angle between the two planes incorporating the atoms O—N—Fe and N—Fe—N_{IV} (nitrogen of pyrrole ring IV).
- 17. An expanded version of Table 2 is available as Table S1 of the Supporting Information.. The full table contains bibliographic information for each structure, a list of abbreviations, complete bond lengths and angles for the FeNO unit of each protein and the orientation of the nitrosyl ligand with respect to the porphyrin core.

Wyllie and Scheidt





Figure 1.

ORTEP diagram of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] illustrating 50% probability ellipsoids. The atom labeling scheme for the porphyrin core is shown. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The dihedral angle (ϕ) and the pyrrole ring labeling scheme (I—IV) for deuteroporphyrin IX, protoporphyrin IX, and related porphyrins is illustrated.

Wyllie and Scheidt



Figure 2.

ORTEP diagram showing side-view of [Fe(Deut)(NO)] illustrating 50% probability ellipsoids. Off-axis tilting (θ) of the Fe—N(NO) bond from the heme normal is shown.

Table 1

Details of the N₄FeNO Geometry in Five- and Six-coordinate {FeNO}⁷ Porphyrinate Derivatives^a

Complex	Fe—N(1)	Fe—N(2)	Fe—N(3)	Fe—N(4)	Δ(Fe <u></u> Np), ^{bc}	ϕ, de	NO tilt $(\theta), e^{f}$	ref
			Five-Coordinate	e {FeNO} ⁷ comple	exes			
[Fe(Deut) (NO)]	1.992(3)	2.005(3)	2.035(3)	1.987(3)	0.031	35.0	6.2	tw
[Fe(OEP) (NO)] ^g	2.016(2)	1.989(2)	1.993(2)	2.017(2)	0.026	37.9	6.5	6
[Fe(OEP) $(NO)]^h$	2.0226(6)	2.0000(6)	1.9985(6)	2.0167(6)	0.020	40.2	8.2	6
[Fe(oxoOEC) (NO)]	2.0174	2.0141 (12)	2.0082 (13)	1.9974 (12)	i	40.9	7.1	6
[Fe(OETAP) (NO)]	1.938	1.922	1.925	1.941	0.016	39.6	7.6	13

 a Fe—N_p bond distances given in bold are adjacent and expected to be long, see text.

^bValue in angstroms.

 $^{\it c}$ Difference between average Fe—Np(long) and Fe—Np(short).

 d Dihedral angle between Fe—N—O plane and closest Fe—N_p vector.

^eValue in degrees.

fDeviation of Fe—N(NO) bond from normal to porphyrin plane.

^gMonoclinic form.

h_{Triclinic form.}

^{*i*}Differences affected by presence of the oxopyrrole ring.

Table	2

Summary of Nitrosyl Geometry in Heme Proteins.¹⁷

Complex	Fe—N _{NO} ^a	∠FeNO ^b	N—O ^a	φ, ^{bc}	φ*, ^{bd}
]	ron(II) five-coordinate	hemes		
[Fe(Deut)(NO)]	1.723(3)	143.1(3)	1.187(4)	35	35
(T243N-P450nor)NO	1.9^{e}	131 ^e	1.45^{e}	41	-49
$(\operatorname{cyt} c') \operatorname{NO} (1)^{f}$	2.0	124	1.16^{e}	24	-114
(NP1)NO $(\mathbf{I})^{g}$	NA	125	NA	44	134
$(NP1)NO(II)^h$	NA	135	NA	45	135
$(eNOS)NO(+4HB)^{ij}$	1.80	160	1.15	44	136
$(eNOS)NO(-4HB)^{ik}$	1.80	160	1.14	34	146
$(\alpha-Hb)NO$	1.74	145	1.1	25	245
$(NP4)NO(D^l)$	2.0	110	NA	1	269
(T243A-P450nor)NO	21^{e}	120^e	1.42^{e}	14	-284
(T243V-P450nor)NO	2.1 2.0^{e}	110 ^e	1.12 1.36^{e}	16	-286
$(cvt c')NO(2)^{m}$	2.0	132	1.50 1.17^{e}	38	-322
$(\alpha_{T}-Hb_{1})NO(2)$	1.74	150	1.17		
(u-1-H0 _A)ito	1.74	Iron(II) six-coordinate k	1.15		
$(cd_{t}-NIR(tn))NO$	2.0	131	1 2 ^e	30	120
(SiRHP)NO	1.76	125	1.5 1.17 ^e	40	-140
(bh-Mb)NO	2.03	147	1.17	~10	-190
(sw-L29F-Mb)NO	1.86^{e}	130	1.14 1.14 ^e	11	-191
(sw-Mb)NO	1.80	112	1.14	25	-205
$(\alpha-SNO-nitrosvlHb_{\star})NO$	1.75	131	1.13	44	-224
(B-SNO-nitrosylHb ₄)NO	1 74	123	1 11	34	-236
$(\beta \text{ bito minosymmetry})$	1 74	145	11	15	255
(fungal-NOR)NO	1.63	161	1.1	28	-298
(\$286T-fungalNOR)NO	1.65	162	1.10	20	-299
(S286V-fungalNOR)NO	1.62	162	1.13	45	-315
(SHP)NO	1.8	112	1.36^{e}	45	315
$(cd_1-NIR(pa))NO$	1.8	135	1.15	26	334
(lupin-Lb ^{II})NO	1.72	147	1 355 ^e	2	358
(B-T-Hb _A)NO	1.74	155	1.12		
$(CCP)NO(1)^{0}$	1.82	135			
(CCP)NO(1)	1.82	125			
(CCF)NO(2)	1.02	150	1 12		
$(III-IVID)INO^{\alpha}$	1.70	147	1.12		
(soybean-LD)NO ⁴	1.//	14/	1.12		

^{*a*}Value in angstroms.

^bValue in degrees.

^cDihedral angle between Fe—N—O plane and closest Fe—N_p vector.

 d Signed torsion angle for the unit O—N—Fe—N_p of pyrrole ring IV. Positive values refer to NO coordinated on the "top" of the porphyrin and represent a clockwise rotation about the N(NO)—Fe axis between the O—N—Fe and N—Fe—N_p planes whilst negative values represent NO coordinated on the "bottom" and a counterclockwise rotation is required. Absolute ϕ^* values of 270, 180 and 90° result in NO orientations coincident with the Fe—N_p bonds of pyrrole rings I, II and III respectively.

 e Value not reported in original literature report. Taken from PDB coordinates.

^fNitrosyl conformer 1.

^g2 molecules in asymmetric unit, molecule I.

 h_2 molecules in asymmetric unit, molecule II.

iValues reported are averaged for both subunits.

^jCofactor tetrahydrobiopterin present.

^kCofactor tetrahydrobiopterin absent.

 l_2 orientations of NO observed, orientation I.

Wyllie and Scheidt

^mNitrosyl conformer 2.

^{*n*}Differs from α -form by orientation of NO with respect to the heme and coordination number.

^oDisordered oxygen, position 1.

^{*p*}Disordered oxygen, position 2.

 q Structure determined by multiple scattering XAFS.

Inorg Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 June 18.