The FLOWERING LOCUS T-Like Gene Family in Barley (Hordeum vulgare)

Sébastien Faure, Janet Higgins, Adrian Turner and David A. Laurie¹

John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Colney, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom

Manuscript received December 11, 2006 Accepted for publication February 6, 2007

ABSTRACT

The *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) gene plays a central role in integrating flowering signals in Arabidopsis because its expression is regulated antagonistically by the photoperiod and vernalization pathways. *FT* belongs to a family of six genes characterized by a phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP) domain. In rice (*Oryza sativa*), 19 PEBP genes were previously described, 13 of which are *FT*-like genes. Five *FT*-like genes were found in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *HvFT1*, *HvFT2*, *HvFT3*, and *HvFT4* were highly homologous to *OsFTL2* (the *Hd3a* QTL), *OsFTL1*, *OsFTL10*, and *OsFTL12*, respectively, and this relationship was supported by comparative mapping. No rice equivalent was found for *HvFT5*. *HvFT1* was highly expressed under long-day (inductive) conditions at the time of the morphological switch of the shoot apex from vegetative to reproductive growth. *HvFT2* and *HvFT4* were expressed later in development. *HvFT1* was therefore identified as the main barley *FT*-like gene involved in the switch to flowering. Mapping of *HvFT* genes suggests that they provide important sources of flowering-time variation in barley. *HvFT1* was a candidate for *VRN-H3*, a dominant mutation giving precocious flowering, while *HvFT3* was a candidate for *Ppd-H2*, a major QTL affecting flowering time in short days.

 \Box HE timing of flowering during the year is an important adaptive trait throughout the angiosperms. Correct flowering ensures the greatest chance of pollination, seed set, and dispersal, and therefore reproduction of the species. Flowering is regulated by environmental and internal cues and the genetic basis of this control is best understood in Arabidopsis where the photoperiod, vernalization, giberellic acid, and autonomous pathways have been defined (recently reviewed by Boss et al. 2004; JACK 2004; BÄURLE and DEAN 2006). The pathways' major point of convergence are genes called pathway integrators, which in Arabidopsis are FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREX-PRESSION OF CO 1 (SOC1) [also called AGAMOUS-LIKE 20 (AGL20)], and, to a lesser extent, LEAFY (LFY) (Boss et al. 2004; JACK 2004; MOON et al. 2005; BÄURLE and DEAN 2006).

Each pathway does not have the same influence on each pathway integrator. In the case of the photoperiod pathway, which is our primary interest, *FT* is predominant. It was first identified in Arabidopsis (KARDAILSKY *et al.* 1999; KOBAYASHI *et al.* 1999) and was shown to be a direct target of the nuclear protein CONSTANS (CO) (SAMACH *et al.* 2000; WIGGE *et al.* 2005). *CO* transcription is regulated by the circadian clock and peaks ~16 hr after dawn. This peak of expression has to correspond to a period of exposure to light for the CO protein to be stable and to induce *FT* expression, and by this process *FT* expression is restricted to long days (SUAREZ-LOPEZ *et al.* 2001; SEARLE and COUPLAND 2004; VALVERDE *et al.* 2004). Although CO was also shown to induce *SOC1* expression (SAMACH *et al.* 2000), recent data show that this is through the action of *FT* (Yoo *et al.* 2005). *FT* expression can be detected in the leaves, mainly in the vascular tissues (An *et al.* 2004), but also at the apical meristem where the FT protein interacts with FD, a bZIP transcription factor, to promote flowering (ABE *et al.* 2005; WIGGE *et al.* 2005). It has been suggested that the *FT* mRNA itself moves from the leaves, where photoperiod is perceived, to the apex where flowering is promoted (HUANG *et al.* 2005).

The genetic basis of photoperiod response has also been studied extensively in rice (*Oryza sativa*), a monocot in which flowering is promoted by short days. Despite the evolutionary separation from Arabidopsis and the contrasting flowering response, photoperiod pathway genes are well conserved, with OsGI, Hd1, and Hd3a being orthologous to Arabidopsis GIGANTEA (GI), CO, and FT, respectively (YANO et al. 2000; HAYAMA et al. 2002; KOJIMA et al. 2002). It was shown that Hd1 represses Hd3a (FT) expression in long days (HAYAMA and COUPLAND 2004) but promotes Hd3a (FT) expression in short days, leading to flowering. These results show that variation in the CO-FT interplay is at the center of the long-day/short-day difference, but in both situations the induction of FT expression consistently promotes flowering.

The above data make *FT* of central interest to the photoperiodic regulation of flowering. However, interpreting

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/ GenBank data libraries under accession nos. DQ297407, DQ411319, DQ411320, and EF012202.

¹Corresponding author: JIC, Norwich Research Park, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom. E-mail: david.laurie@bbsrc.ac.uk

the exact role of FT is complicated by variation in the structure of the FT family in different plants. In Arabidopsis, FT encodes a protein similar to a phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP), such as Raf kinase inhibitor (RKIP) from mammals (KARDAILSKY et al. 1999). It is a member of a small gene family, which includes five other genes: TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1), TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF), ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA CENTRORADIALIS (ATC), BROTHER OF FT AND TFL1 (BFT), and MOTHER OFFTAND TFL1 (MFT) (KOBAYASHI et al. 1999). BFT has not been implicated in flowering (Yoo et al. 2004), but constitutive expression of FT, TSF, and, to a lesser extent, MFT accelerates flowering (KOBAYASHI et al. 1999; Yoo et al. 2004; YAMAGUCHI et al. 2005). Constitutive expression of TFL1 or ATC delays flowering (MIMIDA et al. 2001). However, FT and TFL1 proteins share $\sim 59\%$ amino acid identity and it was shown that swapping a single amino acid in the PEPB domain is sufficient to convert TFL1 to FT function and vice versa (HANZAWA et al. 2005).

Thirteen FT-like sequences have been found in the rice genome. These have been designated OsFTL1-OsFTL13 with Hd3a corresponding to OsFTL2 (IZAWA et al. 2002; CHARDON and DAMERVAL 2005; ZHANG et al. 2005). CHARDON and DAMERVAL (2005) show that the higher number in rice can be attributed, in part, to duplication of chromosome regions within the rice genome. These duplications are thought to predate the divergence of the major grass lineages (PATERSON et al. 2003; SALSE et al. 2004) and so eight FT-like genes were suggested to have been present in the grass ancestral genome. At least three FT-like genes (OsFTL1, OsFTL2, and OsFTL3) are known to be active and capable of promoting flowering in rice (IZAWA et al. 2002).

Barley (Hordeum vulgare) is more closely related to rice than to Arabidopsis but resembles the latter in photoperiod response. Several photoperiod pathway gene homologs have been identified in barley, such as HvGI, HvCO1, and HvCO2 (GRIFFITHS et al. 2003; DUNFORD et al. 2005), and four FT-like EST consensus sequences were identified in barley through a database search (CHARDON and DAMERVAL 2005). Because of the importance of FT in flowering, it is important to define the structure of the family in temperate grasses. This article discusses the cloning, sequencing, and gene expression analysis of FT-like genes in barley and their phylogenetic relationship to rice and Arabidopsis FT-like genes. Our emphasis is on characterizing the genes most closely related to FT and likely to have a role as pathway integrators activated by photoperiod.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Searches of The Institute for Genomic Research rice pseudomolecules and the Barley Gene Index for *FT*-like genes: All the databases used for the searches are available at The Institute for Genomic Research (TIGR; http://www.tigr.org/) (YUAN *et al.* 2005) and at Gramene (http://www.gramene.org/) (JAISWAL et al. 2006). Starting with the Arabidopsis FT protein sequence FT_ARATH (Q9SXZ2), a BLASTP search was carried out against the predicted proteins from the TIGR rice pseudomolecules release 4. In addition, a TBLASTN search was carried out against all rice bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) and P1-artificial chromosome (PAC) sequences in GenBank (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/) to search for genes not present or not correctly annotated in the TIGR gene models.

A multiple sequence alignment of the TIGR gene models was made using CLUSTAL W (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/) (THOMPSON *et al.* 1994) against the conserved PEBP domain (PF01161). New gene predictions were made using FGENESH+ and PROT_MAP (http://sun1.softberry.com) for FT-like genes showing incorrect alignment within the PEBP domain and those not predicted by TIGR (supplemental Table S1 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/).

Starting with the rice *OsFTL2* (Os06g06320) encoded protein (11976.m05358), a TBLASTN search was carried out against the Barley TIGR Unique Gene Indices release 9.0 (LEE *et al.* 2005). Gene index mapping information available from TIGR was used to align the barley sequences to the rice *FT*-like genes. Representative clones were selected from the ESTs used to construct the TIGR Gene Indices for the screening of a barley BAC library.

BAC library analysis: High-density filters of a Morex barley BAC library with approximately sixfold genome coverage were purchased from Clemson University Genomics Institute (CUGI; http://www.genome.clemson.edu). Three EST clones containing full-length cDNAs were also obtained. HVSMEh 0101D16 (BE602964) corresponding to the FT-like unigene sets TC143893 and TC143873 was obtained from CUGI, while baak20f16 (BJ448552) for TC151142 and bahl1n08 (AV937451) for TC152179 were provided by the Barley Germplasm Center, Okayama University (Kurashiki, Japan). Hybridization probes were amplified from the three EST clones using sequence-specific primers (HVSMEh0101D16: forward-5'-gacgtggtggacccgttc-3' and reverse-5'-cagtcggtggatcccgag-3'; baak20f16: forward-5'ccgttccattaaggatagcc-3' and reverse-5'-ccatccggtggataccag-3'; bahl1n08: forward—5'-ctcagtgcctctaactgtgatg-3' and reverse—5'cagctgctggtacagaac-3'). An OsFTL2-specific probe was amplified from Nipponbare rice genomic DNA using primers designed for the OsFTL2 sequence as described in TURNER et al. (2005). After hybridization screens with all four probes using the CHURCH and GILBERT (1984) method, five barley BACs, each containing one of five FT-like genes, were investigated in detail. Primer pairs (supplemental Table S2 at http://www.genetics. org/supplemental/) amplifying overlapping segments of the all FT-like genes were designed from the barley EST sequences used as probes. Two BACs (236M13 and 440G4) were subcloned using the TOPO Shotgun subcloning kit (Invitrogen, San Diego) to obtain 5'- and 3'-end sequences. Additionally, coding regions and intervening introns for HvFT1, HvFT3, and HvFT4 were amplified from the barley cultivars Igri and Triumph. The absence of intron 3 in HvFT1 was investigated in wild barley (H. spontaneum) accessions and in the wheat cultivar Chinese Spring using the following primers: forward—5'gttggtgacagatatccgg-3' and reverse—5'-ccctggtgttgaagttctgg-3'.

Phylogenetic analysis of the PEBP domain of Arabidopsis, rice, and barley FT-like genes: Multiple sequence alignment with CLUSTAL X of the PEBP domain (Pfam PF01161) for the two Arabidopsis proteins AtFT (FT_ARATH, Q9SXZ2) and AtTSF (TSF_ARATH, Q9S7R5), 13 rice FT-like proteins, and five barley FT proteins, together with the outgroup sequence OsMFTL1 (encoded by the gene Os06g30370), was used to generate input files for phylogenetic analysis. A tree was constructed using PHYLIP 3.5 (FELSENSTEIN 1993). Bootstraps with 100 replicates were performed to assess node support. Multiple sequence alignment data were read in using SEQBOOT to

TABLE 1

Rice and barley FT-like genes

	Rice		Barley							
Gene name/ other name	TIGR locus	BAC ^a	TIGR TC ^b	ESTs ^c	Gene name	Sequence accession no.				
OsFTL1/FTL	Os01g11940	AP002745	TC143893 TC143873	HVSMEh0101D16	HvFT2	DQ297407				
OsFTL2/Hd3a	Os06g06320	AP005828			HvFT1	DQ100327				
OsFTL3/RFT1	Os06g06300	AP007223				•				
OsFTL4/osFT	Os09g33850	AP006756								
OsFTL5	ũ	AP004124								
OsFTL6	Os04g41130	AL662946								
OsFTL7	Os12g13030	AL831806								
OsFTL8	Os01g10590	AP003105								
OsFTL9	Os01g54490	AP003076								
OsFTL10	Os05g44180	AC130603	TC151142	baak20f16	HvFT3	DQ411319				
	ũ				HvFT5	EF012202				
OsFTL11	Os11g18870	AC136448								
OsFTL12	Os06g35940	AP003682	TC152179	bah11n08	HvFT4	DQ411320				
OsFTL13	Os02g13830	AP004070				-				

^a BAC sequence used to construct TIGR pseudomolecule release 4 (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/osa1/).

^bTIGR tentative consensus sequence accession.

^{*c*} TC constituent EST clone used for hybridization.

produce multiple data sets for bootstrap resampling to, in turn, produce 100 data sets. PROTDIST (Dayhoff PAM matrix) using 100 data sets computed a distance measure using maximumlikelihood estimates. NEIGHBOR was used to produce an unrooted tree using the neighbor-joining method with one outgroup species (OsMFTL1). CONSENSE was used to draw the tree by majority rule, including bootstrap values. The tree was viewed using ATV: A Tree Viewer (ZMASEK and EDDY 2001).

Genetic mapping: A probe specific for each barley *FT*-like gene was hybridized to wheat/barley telosomic addition lines (ISLAM 1983) to assign the barley genes to chromosome arms. Subsequently, *HvFT1*, *HvFT2*, *HvFT4*, and *HvFT5* were mapped in an F₆ RI population from an Igri \times Dairokkaku cross. All four were mapped using single-strand conformation polymorphism (MARTINS-LOPES *et al.* 2001) after amplification using the primers described in supplemental Table S2 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/. *HvFT3* was mapped in an Igri \times Triumph doubled haploid (DH) population (LAURIE *et al.* 1995) as a presence/absence polymorphism using the cDNA probe used to screen the BAC library.

Collinearity of the HvFT1, HvFT2, HvFT3, and HvFT4 regions with rice was investigated by identifying additional closely linked genes. Sequences of rice BAC clones carrying the respective homologous OsFTL genes were used for BLASTN searches to identify corresponding barley ESTs, which were used to design PCR primers (supplemental Table S3 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). These primers were used to amplify from the parents of the two mapping populations described above and from the BAC clones containing the respective HvFT genes. PCR reactions were as follows: in a total reaction volume of 20 µl, 50 ng of DNA, 0.2 μ M of primers, 0.2 μ M of dNTPS, 1× Tag polymerase buffer (Roche), and 0.4 unit of Taq polymerase (Roche). The PCR conditions were an initial denaturation at 94° for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94° for 30 sec, annealing at 55° for 30 sec, and extension at 72° for 1 min, followed by a final extension of 5 min at 72°. Single-strand conformation polymorphism (MARTINS-LOPES et al. 2001) was used to genetically map polymorphic markers.

Gene expression analysis: Gene-specific primers (supplemental Table S2 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) for each HvFT gene were used to assay expression in mature embryos (after stratification) and in plants grown for 7, 14, 21, and 28 days in long-day (LD; 16 hr light) and short-day (SD; 8 hr light) conditions (136 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹, 22° during the day, 18° during the night). The genotype used was Triumph into which a functional Ppd-H1 allele has been introgressed as described in TURNER et al. (2005). This isogenic line [Triumph(*Ppd-H1*)] is therefore responsive to photoperiod but lacks any vernalization requirement. Reports in Arabidopsis suggested that FT expression was relatively high 4 hr after the start of the dark phase (KARDAILSKY et al. 1999; KOBAYASHI et al. 1999, SUAREZ-LOPEZ et al. 2001). Plants were therefore sampled 4 hr after dusk with each sample comprising six plants. RNA was extracted, cDNA was synthesized, and samples were processed using an Opticon 2 real time PCR instrument (http:// www.mjr.com) as described in TURNER et al. (2005).

RESULTS

Database searches for *FT*-like genes in rice and barley: A BLASTP search of peptides (TIGRv4 gene models) using FT_ARATH identified 12 *FT*-like genes from rice corresponding to all the *FT*-like genes described by CHARDON and DAMERVAL (2005) except *OsFTL5*. A TBLASTN search of all rice BAC and PAC sequences found an additional *FT*-like gene on BAC AP004124 corresponding to *OsFTL5*. This gene is not annotated as a predicted gene by the TIGR annotation project (YUAN *et al.* 2005). The chromosome position and BAC location of the genes was used to verify that the 13 *FT*-like genes identified by CHARDON and DAMERVAL (2005) corresponded to the correct TIGR loci (Table 1).

The protein sequences for all the rice *FT*-like genes except *OsFTL5* were downloaded from TIGR and a

			~~ 	→ PEBP	domain		60	+			,	
3+ FT		WETHT DOUTTOE			40 				80 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999			02
ACFI At TOP		MSINIRUPLINS	STATEDAT DESCRIPTION	KƏTTURMIT DINGINADA	GUREWING CHURNTNG	LDLRPSQVQM	NFRWEIGG 2DTDZTCC	DDERNFIL	LVMVDPDVPSP	SNPHEREILHWLV	TD -	92
ACISF OFFTL2	:	MACSC-DDDDDDDDDD	DUWEDULDARU	KLUSHINTI Detritizinty	CCISEVING	CTINDSMUTH	ODDWRWCC.	NDMPTRYT	LUMUDDDADSD	SD DML D VVL HWL V	TD -	94
HTZET 1	:		DUWEDVLDBRUI	DTTNILDWTY		CRUSPSMWAO		MEMPTRYT	LUMUDDDADSD	SDPMIREILINUU	TD -	92
OSFTL3	:	MAGSG-RD-DPLWWG	RTWEDVLDPFWI	RITNLSWSY	GABTWSND	CRIEPSMUTO	OPBWVWRG-	NDMBTEYT	LUMUDPDAPSP	SNPNLERYLHULV	TD -	93
HvFT2		MVGSSMORGDPLWVG	RWIGDWWDPFWI	REVALENCY	ASEDWANE	CELEPSATAD	OPRWEWGC-	PDMRTFYT	LVMVDPDAPSP	SDPSLREYLHULV	TD :	95
0≤FTL1		MSGRGRGDPLVLG	RVVEDVVDRFVB	RRVAL RWAY	GAREWANG	CELRPSAWAD	ORRWAWGG-	PDMRTFYT	LVMVDPDAPSP	SD PML REYLHWLV	TD :	93
HvFT3	:	MSAAD PLVVA	HVMODVLDPFTS	TVPLRIAM	NNRLWLAG	AELRPSAIVSI	KPRVDIGG	SDMRVLHT	LILVDPDAPSP	SHIPSLREYLHWMV	SD :	90
HvFT5	:	MSVVD PLVAA	HVIHDVLDPFTS	STVPLTICY	NNRQWRPG	AALEPSAVVSI	KPRVDIGG-	NDMRVLYT	LMLVDPDAPSP	SHPSLREYLHUMV	AD :	90
OsFTL9 jap	:	BPLVLA	HVIHDVLDPFRI	PTMPLRITY	NDRLLLAG	AELEPSATVH	KPRVDIGG-	TDLRVFYT	LVLVDPDAPSP	SNPSLCEYLH#MV	ID :	85
OsFTL9 ind	:	EPLVLA	HVIHDVLDPFRI	PTMPLKITY	NDRLLLAG	VELEPSATVH	KPRVDIGG-	TDLRVFYT	LVLVDPDAPSP	SNPSLGEYLHWMV	ID :	86
OsFTL10	:	MDPLYLS	QIIPDVLDPFIS	STISLRWTY	NSRLLLA	AALKP SAVVSI	KPQVDVGG-	NDMRVSYT	LVLVDPDAPSP	SDPSLREYLHWMV	TD :	87
HvFT4	:	MAND SL TRA	QIVEDVLDPFVS	SVPLTVMY	DGRPWFNG	MEFRSPAVSLI	KPSVEIGG-	DDFRVAYT	lvmvd pd a p <mark>n</mark> p	SNPTLREYLHWMV	TD :	89
OsFTL12	:	MANDSLTRS	HIVEDVLDQFSN	JSVPL TVMY	DGRPWFNC	KEFRSSAVSMI	KPRVEIGG-	-DDFRFAYT	lvmvd pda p <mark>n</mark> p	SNPTLREYLHWMV	TD :	89
OsFTL13	:	MAND SLAT G	RVIEDVLDPFIS	STVDLTVMY	GDDGMPWISG	VEL RAPAWAEI	KPVVEVCC-	DDLR <mark>VA</mark> YT	lvmvd pda p <mark>n</mark> p	SNPTLREYLHWMV	TD :	91
0sFTL8	:	MSSANSLVLG	RVIEDVVDLFSI	PEVTLRVMY	NGVRWVNG	EDL RP SAVSAI	RPSVEVGG-	-DLHQFYT	IVMVD PDA P <mark>N</mark> P	SNPTLREYLHWLV	TD :	89
OsFTL5	:	MSRDPLVVG	NVVEDILDPFIE	KSASLRWLY	SNRELTNC	SELRPSQVANI	EPRIEIAG-	RDMRTLYT	LVMVD PD <mark>S</mark> PSP	SNPTRREYLHWLV	TD :	89
OsFTL6	:	MSRDPLVVG	HVVEDILDPFNE	KSASLKWLY	NNKEL TNC	SELRP SQVANI	EPRIEIAG-	-RDIR <mark>NL</mark> YT	LVMVD PD <mark>S</mark> PSP	SNPTRREYLHWLV	TD :	89
OsFTL11	:	MSRDPLVVG	HVVGDIVDPFV1	TASLRWFY	NSREWTNC	SELKPSQVLN(QPRIYIEC-	RDMRTLYT	LVMVDPDAPSP	SNPTRREYLHWMV	TD :	89
OsFTL7	:	MSMSRD PLVVG	SIVEDVVDHRGA	ASALLRLFY	NHREMTSC	SELRPSQUAG	EPAVQITGO	FRDGRALYT	LVMVDPDAPSP	SNPSKREYLHWLV	TD :	92
OsFTL4	:	RD PLALS	OAIGDATDBR IF	KSAANIRINY	GEREITNE	TGVRSSAWFT	APHVEIEG-	RDQTKLYT	LVMVDPDAPSP	SKPEYREYLHULV	TD :	87
OsMFTL1	:	MASHVDPLVVG	RAIEDAADILEAN	PTTAUSVRR	GTEDLING	CELKPSVAAAI	PPAVQIAC	-RVNELEA	LVMTDPDAPSP	SEPHNREWLHWLV	VW :	90
		00 *	120	* [140	*	160	◀.	100	*		
3+ FT			120	*	140 LCDOTUVND	* 	160 180 8 7 9 10 10	• 1. DUZ N 0.1217	180	*		175
AtFT AtTSF	:	00 * IPATIGTIFCNEIVC	120 YENPSPTAGIHI YESEDEPSCIHI	* RVVFILFR(140 LGRQTVYAP-	+ GWRQ⊮FNT	160 REFAEIMN	GLPVAAVF	180 INCORESCCCC	* RRL	:	175
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2	: : .	00 * IPATTGTTFGNEIVC IPATTGNAFGNEVVC IPGTTAASEGORVMC	120 YENPSPTAGIHI YESPRPPSGIHI YESPRPTMGIHI	* RVVFILFR(RIVLVLFR() RLVFVLFO()	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP-	* 	160 REFACIYN REFACIYN SDEAELYN	CLPVAAWF CLPVAASY CSPVAASY	180 INCORE <mark>SCC</mark> GG INCORENCCGG	* RRL RRT	:	175 175 179
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HwFT1	: : : .	00 * IPATTGTTFGNEIVC IPATTGNAFGNEVVC IPGTTAASFGQEVNC IPGTTGASFGQEVNC	120 Yenpsptacihi Yesprppscihi Yesprptmcihi Yesprptmcihi	* RVVFILFR(RIVLVLFR(RLVFVLFQ() REVLVLFQ()	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP-	* GWRQN RNT GWRQQ RNT GWRQN RNT GWRQN RNT	160 REFACIYN REFACIYN RDFACLYN RDFACLYN	GLPVAAVF GLPVAASY GSPVAAVY GOPVAAVY	180 INCQRESGCGG ENCQRENCCGG ENCQREAGSGG ENCQREAGSGG	* RRL RRT RRVYP BEMYN	: :	175 175 179 177
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3		00 * IPATTCTIFCNEIWC IPATTCNAFCNEVWC IPCTTCASFCOEWNC IPCTTCASFCOEWNC IPCTTCAFFCOEWNC	120 YENPSPTACIHI YESPRPPSCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI	* RVVFILFR(RIVLVLFR(RLVFVLFQ(RFVLVLFQ(RFVLVLFQ()	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP-	* GWRQNENT GWRQQENT GWRQNENT GWRQNENT 	160 REFAEIYN REFAEIYN RDFAELYN RDFAELYN RNFAELYN	CLPVAAVF CLPVAASY CSPVAAVY COPVAAVY CSPVATVY	180 YNCQRESGCGG FNCQRENGCGG FNCQREAGSGG FNCQREAGSGG FNCQREAGSGG	* RRL RRVYP RRMYN RRWYP	:	175 175 179 177 178
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2		00 * IPATICTIENEIWC IPATICNAFCHEWC IPCTICASFCOEWHC IPCTICASFCOEWHC IPATICVSFCTEWC	120 YENPSP TAGTHI YESPRP PSGIHI YESPRP TMGIHI YESPRP TMGIHI YESPRP TMGIHI YESPRPVLGIHI	* RVVFILFR(RIVLVLFR(RLVFVLFQ(RFVLVLFQ(RLVFVLFQ(RLVFLLFQ(140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP	* GWRQN FNT GWRQQ FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FST GWRQN FST	160 REFAEIYN REFAEIYN RDFAELYN RDFAELYN RNFAELYN RDFAELYN	CLPVAAWF CLPVAASY CSPVAAWY COPVAAWY CSPVATWY CLPVAAWY	180 YNCQRESCCGG FNCQRENCCGG FNCQREACSGG FNCQREACSGG FNCQREACSGG FNCQREACSGG	* RRL RRT RRVYP RRMYN RRVYP RRM	: : :	175 175 179 179 178 178
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1		00 * IPATIGTIENEIWC IPATICNAFECHEWVC IPCTIGASECOEWNC IPCTIGASECOEWNC IPATIGVSECTEWVC IPATIGVSECTEWVC	120 YEMPSPTAGIHI YESPRPPSGIHI YESPRPTMGIHI YESPRPTMGIHI YESPRPVLGIHI YESPRPVLGIHI YESPRPVLGIHI	* RVVFILFR(RIVLVLFR(RLVFVLFQ() RLVFVLFQ() RLVFVLFQ() RLVFLLFQ() RLVFLLFE()	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP-	* 	160 REFAEIYN REFAEIYN RDFAELYN RDFAELYN RNFAELYN RDFAELYN RDFAELYN	CLPVAAWF GLPVAASY GSPVAAWY GOPVAAWY GSPVATWY GLPVAAWY	180 YNCQRESCCCC FNCQRENCCSC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCCC	* RRL RRT RRVYP RRMYN RRW RRM	: : : :	175 175 179 177 178 178 176
AtFT AtTSF OSFTL2 HvFT1 OSFTL3 HvFT2 OSFTL1 HvFT3		00 * IPATIGTIFENELVC IPATICNAFENEVVC IPCTIGASFEOEVMC IPCTIGASFEOEVMC IPATIGVSFETEVVC IPATIGVSFETEVVC IPATIGVSFETEVVC IPATIGVSFETEVVC	120 Yempsptagihi Yesprptmgihi Yesprptmgihi Yesprptmgihi Yesprptgihi Yesprptgihi Yesprptgihi Yesprptgihi	* RV FILFR RI LVLFR RL FVLFQI RF LVLFQI RL FVLFQI RL FLLFR RL FLLFR RU FVLFQI	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRCTVYAP-	* 	160 REFATIN REFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN ROFATIN	CLPVAAVF CLPVAASY CSPVAAVY CQPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAASY	180 YNCQRESCCC FNCQREACSC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC	* RRL RRT RRMYN RRMYD RRM RRM RRM	:	175 175 179 177 178 178 176 180
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT3 HvFT5		00 * IPATTGTTFCHEIVC IPATTGNAFGNEVVC IPGTTGASFGOEVHC IPGTTGASFGOEVHC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGTEVVV IPGTTGVSFGOELVV	120 YENDSPTACIHI YESPRPDSCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTLCIHI YESPRPTLCIHI YESPRPTLCIHI YESPRPTLCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI	* RV:FILFR RL:FVLFQ RL:FVLFQ RL:FLLFQ RL:FLLFQ RL:FLLFR RM:FVLFQ RM:FVLFQ	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP-	* GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FST GWRQN FST GWRQN FST DWRQN FSS	160 REFATIN REFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RNFAQUH	CLPVALVF CLPVALSY CSPVALVY CQPVALVY CLPVALVY CLPVALVY N-VVALSY N-VVALSY	180 TNCQRESCCG FNCQREACSCG FNCQREACSCG FNCQREACSCG FNCQREACSCG FNCQRECTCG FNCQRECCSCG FNCQRECSCG	* RRL RRT RRMYN RRMYN RRM RRM RRFRPESSQGE	: : : : :	175 175 179 177 178 178 176 180
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap		00 * IPATT GTTF GNEIVC IPGT TGNAF GNEVVC IPGT TGASF GOEVMC IPGT TGASF GOEVMC IPGT TGSF GEVVC IPATT GVSF GTEVVC IPGT TGVSF GELLV IPGT TGVSF GOLLWI	120 YENDSPTACIHI YESPRPTCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YECPRPTMCIHI YECPRPTCIHI YERPRPTCIHI YERPRPSCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI	* RV:FILFR RL:FVLFQ RF:LVLFQ RL:FLLFQ RL:FLLFQ RL:FLLFR RM:FVLFQ RM:FVLFQ RM:FVLFQ RM:FVLFQ	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRCTVYAP LGRCTVYAP LGRCTVYAP	* GWRQN PNT GWRQQ PNT GWRQN PNT GWRQN PST GWRQN PST GWRQN PST DVRQN PST HMRHN PSS HMRHN PHC	160 REFATIN REFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RDFATIN RNFAQUH RNFAQUH	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAAVY N-VVAAY D-IVAATY	180 YNCQRESCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQREACSCC	* RRL RRT RRVYP RRVYP RRM RRFRPESSQGE RRFRPESSQGE RRFRPESSQGE RR		175 175 179 177 178 178 176 180 180
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind		00 * IPATT GTTF CNEIVC IPATT GNAE GNEVVC IPGTT GASF COLVMC IPGTT GASF COLVMC IPATT GVSF COLVMC IPATT GVSF COLVVC IPATT GVSF COLLV IPGTT GVSF COLLV IPGTT GVNF COLLV IPGTT GVNF COLML	120 YENDSPTACHI YESDRPTACHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YECDRPVLCHI YESDRPVLCHI YERDRPRSCHI YERDRPRSCHI YERDRPRCHI YERDRLRYCHI	* RV - FILF RI RL - EVLF RI RL - FVLF QI RL - FVLF QI RL - FLLF QI RL - FLLF RI RU - FVLF QI RU - FVLF QI RU - FVLF RI	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTLFAP	* CWRQN PNT CWRQN PNT CWRQN PNT CWRQN PST CWRQN PST CWRQN PST CWRQN PST HMRHN FNC HMRHN PHC	160 REFAILIN ROFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RNFACOIH RNFACOIH RSFACOIH RSFACOIH	CLPVAAVF GLPVAAVY GSPVAAVY COPVAAVY CLPVAAVY GLPVAAVY N-TVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY	180 FNCQRESCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC	* RRL RRT RRVYP RRVYP RRM RRFRPESSQGE RRFRPESSQGE RRFRPESSQGE RR		175 175 179 177 178 178 176 180 180 166
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL9_10		00 * IPATT GTTFCNEIVC IPATTGNAFGNEVVC IPGTTAASFGOEVMC IPGTTGAFFGOEVMC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPATTGVSFGELVV IPGTTGVSFGGELVV IPGTTGVNCGODIML IPGTTSISFGEELIL	120 YESPSPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPULCHI YESPRPULCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPELRYCHI YERPEPRSCHI	* RU - F LLF RU RL - F VLF QU RL - F VLF QU RL - F VLF QU RL - F LLF QU RL - F LLF QU RL - F LLF RU RU - F VLF QU RU - F VLF RU RU - F VLF RU	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRRTVFAP	* GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FST GWRQN FST GWRQN FST GWRQN FSS HMRHN FSS EMRHN FHC EMRHN FNC	160 REFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION	CLPVAAVF GLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CQPVAAVY GLPVAAVY GLPVAAVY N-TVAATY D-TVAATY N-TVAATY N-TVAATY	180 FNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC	* RRL RRT		175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 180 166 167
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL10 HvFT4		00 * IPATT GTTFCNETVC IPATTGNAFGNETVC IPGTTAASFCOEVNC IPGTTGAFFCOEVNC IPATTGVSFCTEVVC IPATTGVSFCTEVVC IPGTTGVSFCGELVV IPGTTGVSFCGELVV IPGTTGVNCCODLML IPGTTSISFCEELIL VPASTNDSFCKEIVP	120 YENDSPTACIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPVLCIHI YESPRPVLCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI YERPELRYCIHI YERPELRYCIHI YERPELRYCIHI YESPMPTMCIHI	* RU - F ILF RU RU - F VLF QU RE - F VLF QU RU - F VLF QU RL - F LLF QU RU - F LLF QU RU - F VLF QU RU - F VLF RU RU - F VLF RU RU - F VLF RU RU - M VLF RU	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP	* 	160 REFAELM REFAELM RDFAELM RDFAELM RDFAELM RDFAELM RDFAELM RNFAGOH RSFAGOH RSFAGOH RSFAGOH RSFAGOH	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAASY N-VVAASY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY N-IVAATY CKPVAAVY	180 TNCQRESCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC	* RPL RRT RRVYP RRM RRM RRFRPESSQGE RRFRPESSQGE RR		175 175 179 177 178 178 176 180 180 166 167 174 173
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL10 OsFTL10		00 * IPATTCTFCNEIVC IPATTCNAFCNEVVC IPCTTAASTCOEVNC IPCTTCASTCOEVNC IPATTCVSFCTEVVC IPATTCVSFCTEVVC IPCTTCVSFCOELLV IPCTTCVNCCODLNL IPCTTCVNCCODLNL IPCTTSISFCELILV VPASTNDSFCREIVF	120 YENDSPTACHH YESPRPTMCHH YESPRPTMCHH YESPRPTMCHH YESPRPTMCHH YESPRPRCHH YESPRPRCHH YERPELRYCHH YERPELRYCHH YESPRPRCHH YESPRPTMCHH	* RU - F LLF RI RL - F VLF QI RL - F VLF QI RL - F VLF QI RL - F VLF QI RL - F LLF RI RU - F VLF QI RU - F VLF RI RU - F VLF RI RU - F VLF RI RU - F VLF RI RU - M VLF QI RI - M VLF QI	140 LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRQTVYAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP- LGRGTVFAP-	* GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FNT GWRQN FST GWRQN FST GWRQN FST GWRQN FST DVRQN FSC HMRHN FSC EMRHN FHC EMRHN FHC EKRHN FNC GWRQN FNL	160 REFATION REFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RNFATOON RNFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATRON RSFATRON	CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAASY N-VVAASY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY N-IVAATY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY	180 YNCQRESCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC	* RPL		175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 180 166 167 174 173 173
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL9_ind OsFTL12 OsFTL12		00 * IPATTGTTFGNEIVC IPGTTGASFGOUVC IPGTTGASFGOUVC IPGTTGSFGOUVC IPGTTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGOLLV IPGTTGVNFGOLML IPGTTSVFGOLML IPGTTSVFGELIV VPASTNDSFGELIVT IPASTDASFGELVV	120 YENDSPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YESPRPTACHH YERPERSCHH YERPERSCHH YERPELRYCHH YERPELRYCHH YERPERSCHH YESPNPTACHH YESPNPTACHH	* RV FILFR RI LVLFR RF LVLFQ RF LVLFQ RL FVLFQ RL FLLFQ RL FLLFQ RM FVLFQ RM FVLFQ RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP	* 	160 REFAEI M REFAEI M REFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RNFAEL M RNFAG 0 H RNFAG 0 H RSFAG 0 H RSFAG 0 H RSFAG 0 H RSFAG 0 H RSFAR M RSFAR M RSFAR M RSFAR M	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAAYY N-VVAAYY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY	180 TNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCCC FNCQREACSCCCC FNCQREACSCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: : : : : : : : A :	175 175 179 177 178 178 180 180 166 167 174 173 173
AtFT AtTSF OSFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OSFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT3 HvFT5 OSFTL9_ind OSFTL9_ind OSFTL9_ind OSFTL12 OSFTL12 OSFTL13 OSFTL3		00 * IPATTGTTFENEIVC IPATTGNAFGNEVVC IPGTTAASFGOEVHC IPGTTGASFGOEVHC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPATTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGELVV IPGTTGVSFGELLV IPGTTGVNFGOLML IPGTTSISFGELLL VPASTNDSFGELVL IPSTDASFGELVL IPGTTDANYGREVVC IPGTTDANYGREVVC	120 YENDSPTACHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPELRYCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YESPNPTMCHI YESPNPTCHI YESPRPAACHI	* RV F LLFR RL LLFR RL VLFQ RF LVLFQ RL FVLFQ RL FLLFQ RL FLLFR RM FVLFQ RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM FVLFR RM MVLFR RM LLFR RM LLFR RVLFR	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTLFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP	* 	160 REFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RDFATION RSFATION RSFATION RSFATION RSFATION RSFATION RSFATION RCFATION	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CAPVAAVY CRPVAAVY CRPVAAVY CAPVAAAF	180 TNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRECCCCC FNCQRECCCCC FNCQRECCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRECCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: : : : : : : : A : A :	175 175 179 177 178 178 180 180 166 167 174 173 173 185 180
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL0 HvFT4 OsFTL12 OsFTL13 OsFTL3 OsFTL3		00 * IPATT GTTF GNEIVC IPGT TGASF GOLWAC IPGT TGASF GOLWAC IPGT TGASF GOLWAC IPGT TGASF GOLWAC IPGT TGVSF GOLWAC IPGT TGVSF GOLLAV IPGT TGVSF GOLLAV IPGT TGVSF GOLLAU IPGT TGVNC GOLMAL IPGT TGVNC GOLMAL IPGT TSISF GELLIL VPASTNDSF GREIVT IPSSTDDSF GREIVT IPASTNDSF GREIVT IPASTNDSF GREIVT IPGT TDANY GREVVC IPGT TDANY GREVVC IPGT TDANY GREVVC	120 YENDSPTACIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YECPRPVLCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI YERPEPRSCIHI YERPELRYCIHI YERPELRYCIHI YESPRPTMCIHI YESPRPTACIHI YESPRPTACIHI YESPRPAACIHI YESPRPAACIHI	* RV F LLF RI RL LLF RI RL F VLF QI RL F VLF QI RL F VLF QI RL F LLF RI RL F VLF QI RU F VLF RI RU F VLF RI RU F VLF RI RU F VLF RI RU MULY QI RU MULY QI RU MULY QI RU AVVLF RI RF F VLF RI	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP SVQQTIYAP	* 	IGO REFATION KOFATION ROFATION ROFATION ROFATION RNFATOON RNFATOON RNFATOON RNFATOON RNFATOON RNFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON RSFATOON	CLPVAAVF CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CAPVAAVF	180 TNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRECCCCC FNCQRECCCCCCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: : : : : : : : A : A : A :	175 179 177 178 178 178 180 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT3 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL0 HvFT4 OsFTL12 OsFTL13 OsFTL3 OsFTL8 OsFTL5 OsFTL6		00 * IPATT GTTF CNEIVC IPATT GNAF CNEVVC IPGT TAASF COEVAC IPGT TGASF COEVAC IPGT TGASF COEVAC IPATT CVSF CTEVVC IPGT TGVSF CTEVVC IPGT TGVSF COELLU IPGT TGVNF COELLU IPGT TGVNF COELLU IPGT TSISF CEELIL VPASTNDSF CREIVT IPASTNDSF CREIVT IPASTDATY CREVVC IPGT TDANT CREVVC IPGT TNASF CNEIVS IPGT TNASF CNEIVS IPGT SANAST CNEVVS	120 YENDSPTACHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YECPRPTCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPELRYCHI YERPELRYCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRACHI YESPRPTACHI	* RV F ILF RI RL FULF RU RL FULF QU RL FLLFQU RL FLLFQU RL FLLFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFQU RU FULFRQ RU LVFQU RU FULFRQ RU VULFQU RU FVLFQU RF FULFQU	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP SVQQTIYAP	* 	I 6 0 REFATION REFATION ROFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RNFACOIH RSFACOIH RSFACOIH RSFACOIH RSFARRM RSFARRM RSFARRM RSFATRIM RSFATRIM RSFATRIM RSFATRIM RSFATRIM RSFATIN RSFATIN RDFSALIN	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY D-VVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CAPVAAVF CPPVAAVF	180 TNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRESCTCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQRECSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: : : : : : : : : : : : :	175 179 177 178 178 176 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174 174
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL0 HvFT4 OsFTL10 HvFT4 OsFTL13 OsFTL8 OsFTL8 OsFTL5 OsFTL6 OsFTL1		00 * IPATT GTTF CNEVC IPATT GNAF CNEVVC IPGT TAASF COEVMC IPGT TGASF COEVMC IPATT CVSF CTEVVC IPATT CVSF CTEVVC IPATT CVSF CNEVVC IPGT TGVSF COELLV IPGT TGVNF COELLV IPGT TGVNF COELLV IPGT TGVNF COELLV IPGT TGVNF COELLV IPGT TGVNF COELLV IPGT TASF CNEVVC IPGT TAASF CNEVVS IPGT TAASF CNEVVS IPGT TAASF CNEVVS IPGT TAASF CNEVVS IPGT TAASF CNEVVS IPGT TAASF CNEVVS	120 YENDSPTACHI YESDRPTSCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YECDRPVLCHI YERDEPRSCHI YERDEPRSCHI YERDELRYCHI YERDELRYCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTMCHI YESDRPTACHI YESDRPTACHI YESDRPTACHI YESDRPTACHI	* RV • F ILF RL • FVLF QL RL • FVLF QL RL • FVLF QL RL • FLLF QL RL • FLLF QL RL • FLLF RL RU • FVLF QL RU • FVLF QL RU • FVLF RL RU • FVLF RL RU • FVLF RL RU • FVLF RL RU • FVLF RL RF • F ILF RL RF • F ILF RL	140 LGRQ TVYAP LGRQ TVYAP LGRQ TVYAP LGRQ TVYAP LGRQ TVYAP LGRQ TVYAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP LGRG TVFAP SVQQ T IYAP SVQQ T IYAP	* 	I 60 REFAEL VI REFAEL VI ROFAEL VI ROFAEL VI ROFAEL VI ROFAEL VI ROFAEL VI ROFAEL VI RSFAGO VH RSFAGO VH R	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CAPVAAVY CAPVAAVY CAPVAAVF CSPVAAVF CSPVAAF	TNCQRESCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQRECSCCCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACSCC FNCQREACCCCC FNCQRENCCCCC	* RRL RRT RRVYP RRVYP RRFRPESSQGE RFFRPESSQGE RFFRPESSQGE RFFT	: : : : : : : : : : : MW :	175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174 174
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL10 OsFTL12 OsFTL12 OsFTL13 OsFTL3 OsFTL5 OsFTL6 OsFTL1 OsFTL1		00 * IPATT GTTF CNEIVC IPATT GNAF CNEVVC IPGTT GASF COLVAC IPGTT GASF COLVAC IPGTT GASF COLVAC IPATT CVSF CTEVVC IPATT CVSF CTEVVC IPGTT GVSF COLLAV IPGTT GVSF COLLAV IPGTT CVSF COLLAV IPGTT SISF CELLAV IPGTT SISF CELLAV IPGTT SISF CELLAV IPST DDSF CREIVP IPST DDSF CREIVT IPAST DATT CREVVC IPGTT DANT CREVVC IPGT TDANT CREVVC IPGT TDARF CNEIVP VPR CDT SKCT EVA	120 YENDSPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI	* RV • F ILF RI RU • F VLF QI RU • F VLF RI RF • F ILF RI RE • F ILF RI RF • F ILF RI	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP SVQQTYAP SVQQTYAP TVRQSYAP	* 	I 60 REFAEL VI REFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RNFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACO VH RSFACV RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI RDFAEL VI	CLPVAAVF CSPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CAPVAAVY CAPVAAVY CAPVAAVY CAPVAAVF CSPVAAVF CSPVAAVF		* RRL RRT RRVYP RRVYP RRFRPESSQCE RRFRPESSQCE RRFRPESSQCE RRFRPESSQCE	: 	175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174 174 184
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL10 OsFTL12 OsFTL12 OsFTL13 OsFTL3 OsFTL5 OsFTL6 OsFTL6 OsFTL4 OsFTL4		00 * IPAT I GTTF GNE IVC IPAT I GNAF GNE VVC IPGT T GATF GOEVAC IPGT T GATF GOEVAC IPGT T GATF GOEVAC IPAT I GVSF GTE VVC IPAT I GVSF GTE VVC IPGT T GVSF GOELLVV IPGT T GVSF GOELLVV IPGT T GVSF GOELLV IPGT T GVSF GOELLV IPGT T GVSF GOELLV IPST DD SF GREI VP IPST DD SF GREI VV IPGT T DANY GREVVC IPGT T DANY GREVVC IPGT T DANY GREVVC IPGT T DARF GNE VVS IPE T DARF GNE VVS IPE T DARF GNE VVS IPE T DARF GNE VVS	120 YENDSPTACHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPVLCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPEPRSCHI YERPELRYCHI YERPELRYCHI YESPRPTMCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPACHI YESPRPACHI YESPRPACHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTCHI YESPRPTCHI	* RV F ILF RI RI LVLF RI RI FVLF QU RF LVLF QU RF LVLF QU RL FVLF QU RI FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM ILVLF RI RU IVLF RI RU IVLF RI RU IVLF RI RU IF FLF RI RF FVLF RI RF FVLF RI RL FVLF RI RL FVLF RI RL FVLF RI	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP LGRCTVFAP SVQTTYAP SVQTTYAP SVQTTYAP SVQTTYAP	* 	160 REFAEL M REFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RDFAEL M RNFACOM RSFACOM RSFACOM RSFACOM RSFACOM RSFARM RSFARM RSFARM RSFARM RDFALM RDFALM RDFALM RDFALM	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CQPVAAVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY N-VVAAY N-VVAAY N-VVAAY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CLPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CAPVAAVY CSPVAAVF CSPVAAVF	180 TNC URESCCCC FNC URENCC FNC URENCC FNC URESCCC FNC URESCCC FNC URESCCC FNC URESCCC FNC URESCCC FNC URESCCCC FNC URENCCCC FNC URENCCCC FNC URENCCCCC FNC URENCCCCC FNC URENCCCCC FNC URENCCCCC FNC URENCCCCCCC FNC URENCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: 	175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174 174 184 178
AtFT AtTSF OsFTL2 HvFT1 OsFTL3 HvFT2 OsFTL1 HvFT3 HvFT5 OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_jap OsFTL9_ind OsFTL12 OsFTL12 OsFTL12 OsFTL13 OsFTL3 OsFTL5 OsFTL6 OsFTL1 OsFTL1 OsFTL4 OsFTL4		00 * IPATTGTTFGNEIVC IPGTTGASFGGEVMC IPGTTGASFGGEVMC IPGTTGSFGGEVMC IPGTTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGTEVVC IPGTTGVSFGGELLV IPGTTGVSFGGELLV IPGTTGVSFGGELLV IPGTTGVSFGGELLV IPGTTGVSFGGELLV IPGTTGVSFGGELVT IPASTDASFGREIVF IPASTDASFGREIVS IPGSDASFGREIVS IPGTDARFGMEIVP VPBGGDTSFGTEVVA IPGTDASFGMEIVP IPGGDPS0GDVVVP	120 YENDSPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPSCHI YESPRSCHI YERPELRYCHI YESPRTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI YESPRPTACHI	* RV F LF RI RI LVLF RI RI LVLF QI RF LVLF QI RL FVLF QI RL FVLF QI RI FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RM FVLF RI RU LVLF RI RVAVVLF RI RVAVVLF RI RF F FLFRI RF F FLFRI RL FVLF RI RL FVLF RI RL FVLF RI RI FVLF RI FVLF RI RI FVLF RI FVLF FVLF RI FVLF FVLF FVLF RI FVLF FVLF FVLF FVLF FVLF FVLF FVLF FVLF	140 LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRQTVYAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRGTVFAP LGRETVYAP SVQQTIYAP SVQQTIYAP TVRQSIYAP BARQTVYAP	* 	160 REFAELIN REFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RNFACQUH RNFACQUH RSFACQUH RSFACQUH RSFARIN RSFARIN RSFARIN RSFARIN RDFSALIN RDFSALIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN RDFAELIN	CLPVAAVF CLPVAAVY CSPVAAVY CSPVATVY CLPVAAVY CLPVAAVY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY D-IVAATY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CKPVAAVY CSPVAAVF CSPVAAVF CSPVAAY CSPVAAY CSPVAAY	ISO INCORESCCCC FNCOREACSCC FNCOREACSCC FNCOREACSCC FNCORECCCC FNCORECCCCC FNCORECCCCC FNCOREACSCC FNCOREACSCC FNCOREACSCCCC FNCORECCCCC FNCORENCCCCC FNCORENCCCCCC FNCORENCCCCCC FNCORENCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	* RRL	: : : : : : : 	175 175 179 177 178 178 178 180 166 167 174 173 185 180 174 174 184 178 169 176

FIGURE 1.—ClustalW multiple alignment of the complete protein sequences of Arabidopsis, rice, and barley FT family proteins. OsMFTL1, used for construction of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2A), is also included. The PEBP domain boundaries are marked by horizontal arrows. The residue distinguishing FT- and TFL1-type functions (HANZAWA *et al.* 2005) is marked by a vertical arrow. All the FT-like proteins have a tyrosine at this position. The 14-amino-acid stretch and the LYN triad identified by AHN *et al.* (2006) as diagnostic of true *FT* genes are boxed. The *indica* OsFTL9 is predicted to be functional but the *japonica* sequence should be nonfunctional because of a stop codon at position 95.

multiple alignment was performed using ClustalW. This showed that predicted protein sequences for *OsFTL4*, *-8*, *-9*, and *-10* did not align with the PEBP domain. New protein predictions were carried out for these genes and for *OsFTL5* (Figure 1; supplemental Table S1 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/). The *OsFTL9* protein from Nipponbare (subspecies *japonica*) contained a stop codon in the PEBP domain and is predicted to be nonfunctional. The OsFTL9 protein from 93-11 (subspecies *indica*) was intact (Figure 1). A TBLASTN search of the barley TIGR Gene Indices database using *OsFTL2 (Hd3a)* as a template retrieved four barley tentative consensus (TC) sequences as described by CHARDON and DAMERVAL (2005) (Table 1).

BAC library screens: No barley ESTs closely matched the rice *OsFTL2 (Hd3a)* sequence. To identify this gene, a nucleotide probe derived from *OsFTL2* was used to screen a Morex barley BAC library. Subclones from BAC clone 440G4 were identified as containing a *Hd3a* homolog and were sequenced, revealing an *FT*-like gene



FIGURE 2.—Phylogenetic relationships of FT-like proteins from Arabidopsis, rice, and barley. (A) Phylogenetic tree of PEBP domain protein sequences. Major groups are marked 1–3. Bootstrap support values are shown at each node. (B) Exon/intron structure of Arabidopsis *FT*, rice *Hd3a*, and the five barley *FT* family genes. Exon and intron sizes are in base pairs.

highly homologous to *OsFTL2* (*Hd3a*) and *FT*. This gene was designated *HvFT1*. The BAC library was also screened with probes specific to each barley EST contig (Table 1). A total of 32 positive BACs were obtained and, after fingerprinting and hybridizing with the same probes, they were classified into four groups. Two distinct classes corresponded to *HvFT2* and *HvFT4* while cross-hybridization suggested that two other genes (*HvFT3* and *HvFT5*) were closely related and might be the result of a recent duplication. Full gene sequence was obtained for each of these genes from a representative BAC clone (Table 1; Figure 1).

Phylogenetic analysis of the PEBP domain of Arabidopsis, rice, and barley *FT*-like genes: The evolutionary relationship between the *FT*-like genes in Arabidopsis, rice, and barley was investigated by constructing a phylogenetic tree using neighbor-joining of the PEBP domain protein sequences (Figure 2A). Protein sequence homology measured as the percentage of identical protein residues is shown in supplemental Table S4 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/. The *FT* family fell into three groups. The seven genes in group 1 were the most *FT*-like and comprised *AtFT*, *AtTSF*, *OsFTL1-3*, and *HvFT1-2* (Figure 2A). Rice and barley proteins had 71– 73% identity with AtFT and there is 79–89% identity between rice and barley proteins. HvFT1 was most similar to OsFTL2 while HvFT2 was highly homologous to OsFTL1.

Group 2 proteins (58–65% identity with AtFT) included HvFT3, HvFT4, and HvFT5. HvFT4 was very similar to OsFTL12, while HvFT3 and HvFT5 were highly homologous to each other and are related to the pair of rice proteins OsFTL9 and OsFTL10. Group 3 contained rice sequences with 63–71% homology with AtFT but no barley proteins. CHARDON and DAMERVAL (2005) also found no barley ESTs homologous to these rice genes. If present in barley, as seems likely, they were too diverged in sequence to be detected in the BAC library hybridization screen.

HANZAWA *et al.* (2005) showed that the tyrosine (Y) amino acid at position 85 in AtFT is critical to FT function and that replacing this with a histidine (H) was sufficient to convert FT to TFL1 in terms of function. The reciprocal substitution converted TFL1 to FT. All the *FT*-like genes in Figure 1 have the critical Y consistent with being true *FT*-like genes and likely to have activator-type roles in flowering. A barley TFL1 homolog (DQ539338) has the expected H residue at this position.

More recently, AHN *et al.* (2006) identified a 14-aminoacid stretch in which 11 amino acids were invariant in all *FT*-like proteins that they analyzed compared to 4 in all TFL1-like proteins. A single residue unambiguously distinguished between *FT* and *TFL1* homologs: Gln140 in FT and Asp144 in TFL1. Group 1 proteins show the same invariance (boxed in Figure 1), further suggesting that they are the most FT-like. Group 2 and group 3 proteins have some variation in this stretch. HvFT5 has a histidine in place of the Gln140 and HvFT3; HvFT4 and HVFT5 have at least 3 amino acids varying of the 11 amino acids characterized as invariant. Furthermore, AHN *et al.* (2006) also observed an essentially invariant triad starting at residue 150 with a leucine or isoleucine, followed by a tyrosine and an asparagine. This triad is present only in the group 1 and in some of the group 3 proteins (boxed in Figure 1). Taken together, these observations confirm that proteins from group 1 are the most FT-like, while group 2 and group 3 proteins are more diverged.

The exon/intron structure of the barley *FT*-like genes: In Arabidopsis, FT and TSF have four exons and three introns (Figure 2B). The first and fourth exons are the largest (264 and 463 bp, respectively), while exons 2 and 3 are smaller (61 and 40 bp, respectively). Intron 1 and 2 are similar in size (815 and 713 bp, respectively), but intron 3 is smaller (205 bp). This structure is well conserved across all the FT-like genes found in the rice genome, although some variation is found in the relative sizes of the introns. In barley, HvFT2, HvFT3, *HvFT4*, and *HvFT5* also have this structure, but *HvFT1* is distinct in lacking the third intron, perfectly merging exons 3 and 4 together (Figure 2B). The sequence was obtained from a BAC clone derived from the spring barley cultivar Morex. The loss of the intron was confirmed in cultivars Igri and Triumph, in H. spontaneum accessions, and in the three group 7 chromosome wheat nullisomic/tetrasomic lines derived from the variety Chinese Spring, showing that the intron is absent in all three wheat genomes (supplemental Figure S1 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/).

Expression profiles under LD and SD in a Ppd-H1 genetic background: Gene expression was studied in Triumph(Ppd-H1) plants, which do not require vernalization but are highly responsive to a long-day photoperiod (TURNER et al. 2005). Expression levels of the five HvFT genes were compared in plants grown under LD (16 hr light) or SD (8 hr light) conditions. For HvFT1, HvFT2, and HvFT4, no or extremely low expression was detected under SD conditions at all time points (Figure 3a). In LD conditions, HvFT1 was rapidly induced and was detected after 1 week, while HvFT2 and HvFT4 expression was significantly induced after 3-4 weeks (Figure 3a). Dissection of developing apices showed that the appearance of the double ridge stage, the first visible sign of the switch from vegetative to floral development (KIRBY and APPLEYARD 1981), occurred during the second week under LD and during the fourth week under SD conditions (Figure 3b). Therefore, HvFT1 was the only FT-like gene highly expressed at the transitional phase under LD conditions.

HvFT3 was unusual in being strongly expressed under SD (noninductive) conditions from week 1, but being very weakly expressed under LD (inductive) conditions (Figure 3a). Barley plants will flower in SD conditions but the apical transition in SDs occurred while *HvFT1* expression remained very low, suggesting that this is not due to a low-level induction of genes normally involved in the long-day response. Possibly a second mechanism using a different *FT* gene is involved. *HvFT3* may be a candidate for this, but *HvFT3* expression increased well before the apical transition in SDs, suggesting that



FIGURE 3.—Expression of *HvFT* genes under short-day and long-day conditions. (a) Levels of gene expression in arbitrary units normalized against 18s rRNA. (b) Timing of the transition of the developing apex from vegetative to reproductive growth (extension of the apical dome and appearance of primordia with a double-ridge structure). The transition was observed between weeks 1 and 2 in LD conditions and between weeks 3 and 4 in SD conditions.



FIGURE 4.—Genetic map positions of barley HvFT genes and their relationships to rice. Solid lines show the mapped segments in relation to the approximate size of complete barley linkage groups (H). Barley BACs are shown in boldface type. Shaded lines show homologous sequences from rice (R) physical maps and individual BAC clones. Barley clones on chromosomes 7H, 3H, 2H, and 4H were mapped using an Igri × Dairokkaku (*Vm-H3*) population and clones on 1H using an Igri × Triumph population.

HvFT3 expression is not sufficient to induce the transition. *HvFT4* was weakly expressed during the transitional phase in SD conditions and may play a role. *HvFT3* was also unusual in being expressed in the embryo after stratification, but in this case the transcript was unspliced (supplemental Figure S2a at http://www.genetics.org/ supplemental/) and is predicted to give a nonfunctional protein.

Expression of an unspliced *HvFT5* transcript was detected at all time points in LD and SD conditions (supplemental Figure S2b at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). The predicted protein had a stop codon at residue 69 and is likely to be nonfunctional. The correctly spliced form was detected after 4 weeks in SDs, but the expression level was very low.

Comparative mapping of the five barley *FT***-like genes:** Phylogenetic analysis of the PEBP domain of barley and rice FT-like protein sequences showed that the five barley genes could each be associated with one or two different rice genes (Figure 2A). Comparative mapping of barley with rice was used to provide additional information on the relationships among genes. First, hybridizations of probes specific to each of the five *HvFT* genes to wheat/barley telosomic addition lines were used to assign individual barley genes to chromosome arms. *HvFT* genes were then genetically mapped (Figure 4). For additional comparative mapping, rice genes present on the same BAC clones as the putative homologous *OsFT*-like genes were used for BLASTN searches (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) to identify corresponding barley ESTs. Matching barley ESTs were used to develop markers for genetic and physical mapping in barley.

HvFT1 was mapped to the short arm of chromosome 7H, between microsatellite markers AF022725 and

Bmac31. The HvFT1 protein was highly homologous to the OsFTL2 and OsFTL3 proteins. These two rice genes are ~12 kb apart and are likely to be the result of a recent duplication. Among the 39 other genes predicted on the same BAC (AP005828), Os06g06410 was highly homologous to barley EST Ebro08_SQ010_A17. This sequence was mapped in barley by SSCP to the short arm of chromosome 7H, 0.3 cM distal to *HvFT1*. This shows that the region carrying *HvFT1* is orthologous to the *OsFTL2/3* region in rice. There was no evidence of a tandem duplication in barley from sequencing or from Southern hybridizations, which showed singleband profiles.

HvFT2 was mapped to the short arm of chromosome 3H, between microsatellite marker *Bmac67* and STS marker *MWG985*. *HvFT2* was most similar to *OsFTL1* (Os01g11940). A rice BAC (AP002745) containing *OsFTL1* also contained two genes (Os01g11946 and Os01g11952) with matching barley ESTs (Bags35104 and HVSMEg 0012L06) that were present on the *HvFT2* barley BAC 236M13.

HvFT4 was mapped to the short arm of chromosome 2H, proximal to marker cMWG663. *HvFT4* was highly homologous to *OsFTL12* (Os06g35940), located on BAC AP003682 from rice chromosome 6. Barley EST HVSME-i0006N22 was homologous to Os06g35910, present on the same rice BAC. HVSMEi0006N22 was present on barley BAC 641D22, which also carried *HvFT4*, confirming that *HvFT4* is the ortholog of *OsFTL12*. *OsFLT12* and *-13* are likely to derive from duplication within the rice genome. No equivalent duplication was found in barley, and Southern hybridization using an *HvFT4* probe yielded a single band.

HvFT3 was mapped to the long arm of chromosome 1H, cosegregating with marker Xpsr162. The HvFT3 protein was highly homologous to OsFTL10 encoded by the rice gene Os05g44180 present on a rice chromosome 5 BAC (AC130603). Two rice genes also present on this BAC (Os05g44050 and Os05g44170) were homologous to the barley ESTs BES1824107g14 and HO07N13, respectively. BES1824107g14 was mapped in barley by SSCP and cosegregated with HvFT3, while HO07N13 was both mapped by SSCP, co-segregating with HvFT3, and found on barley BAC 347D22, which also carried HvFT3. HvFT3 was therefore confirmed as the ortholog of OsFTL10. HvFT5 was also highly homologous to OsFTL10, but was mapped to the long arm of chromosome 4H, cosegregating with marker scsnp20989. Markers in the region of HvFT5 have homologous sequences at the distal ends of rice chromosome 3S and 10L, which do not contain any FT-like genes. The lack of an equivalent rice gene to HvFT5 and the high sequence homology of HvFT3 and HvFT5 suggest that the latter is the result of a duplication that occurred after the divergence of barley and rice. Therefore, while OsFTL9, OsFTL10, HvFT3, and HvFT5 were related (Figure 2A), they are likely to derive from independent duplications.

Absence of the *OsFTL9* equivalent from barley was supported by hybridizing *OsFTL9* and *OsFTL10* probes amplified from rice to wheat/barley telosomic addition lines. *OsFTL10* showed strong hybridization to both wheat and barley while *OsFTL9* hybridized only weakly to the same fragments as *OsFTL10* and detected no additional band.

Associations of HvFT genes with flowering-time QTL: Three of the HvFT genes are in regions previously shown to contain flowering-time QTL in an Igri × Triumph cross (LAURIE et al. 1995). HvFT4 mapped to the region of barley chromosome 2 where LAURIE et al. (1995) mapped eps2S, and HvFT1 was in the same region as eps7S. Both QTL are earliness factors with no obvious relationship to photoperiod response (LAURIE et al. 1995). No direct evidence was found for these being the underlying genes as there was no polymorphism in the HvFT4 or HvFT1 coding regions between Igri and Triumph. However, this does not exclude the possibility of differences in expression. An Hd3a-like FT gene was also associated with a QTL for early flowering that was found on chromosome 7 in Lolium perenne in a collinear position to OsFTL2 in rice (ARMSTEAD et al. 2002). Interestingly, the Igri \times Dairokakku population used to map HvFT1 also segregated for VRN-H3, a vernalization locus previously mapped to 1HL (TAKAHASHI and YASUDA 1971). However, VRN-H3 cosegregated with HvFT1 on chromosome 7H in our population, consistent with recent findings by YAN et al. (2006) who showed that spring habit mutations in Vrn-B3 lines of wheat and Vrn-H3 lines of barley are due to altered expression of HvFT1 (in our nomenclature). No sequence polymorphism was observed in the coding regions of HvFT1 between Igri and Dairokakku, but, as found by YAN et al. (2006), nine SNP and three indels were found in the 648 bases of the promoter region upstream of the start codon, three SNPs were present in the first intron, and a polymorphic SSR was observed in the second intron (supplemental Figure S3 at http://www.genetics.org/ supplemental/).

HvFT3 was also interesting because it was closely associated with *Photoperiod-H2* (*Ppd-H2*). This major QTL affected flowering time in a short-day glasshouse experiment (10 hr light) and in an autumn-sown field experiment but was not detectable in long days (LAURIE et al. 1995), consistent with the observed expression pattern of HvFT3 (Figure 3). In short days, Igri contributed the late-flowering allele. The Triumph sequence for HvFT3 was identical to Morex but no fragment could be amplified from Igri. A presence/absence result from Southern hybridizations showed the gene to be at least partly deleted from Igri. Reanalysis of the SD glasshouse experiment suggested that at least one line (DH48, Figure 5) showed recombination between HvFT3 and Ppd-H2. However, analysis using JoinMap 4.0 (Plant Research International B.V.) showed additional QTL to be present. By using the *Ppd-H2* region as a cofactor,



FIGURE 5.—Flowering times (days to awn emergence from the flag leaf) of DH lines from an Igri \times Triumph population showing the association of early flowering with the Triumph allele of *HvFT3*. The effect of additional QTL is shown in supplemental Figure S4 at http://www.genetics.org/ supplemental/.

additional significant QTL were localized on 2H, 5H, and 6H and the additive effects of these QTL could account for the range of flowering times in DH lines 48, 40, and 61 (Figure 5; supplemental Figure S4 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/). This ambiguity means that *HvFT3* is a sufficiently good candidate for *Ppd-H2* to warrant further study.

To test whether Igri was unusual in having a deletion of *HvFT3*, we used the presence/absence PCR polymorphism to analyze a sample of 60 spring and 40 winter barley cultivars from Europe (supplemental Table S5 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). The Triumph allele was prevalent in spring types (46/60, 78%) and the Igri allele in winter types (36/40, 90%), and this association was highly significant (χ^2 1, d.f. 43.7, *P* < 0.001). Both alleles could be found in two-row and six-row types. The prevalence of the deletion in winter types suggests that this may have been selected to enhance the suppression of flowering in overwintering plants.

DISCUSSION

Our main interest was the identification of barley genes most similar to Arabidopsis *FT* and hence most likely to be significant as floral pathway integrators. The barley genes that we identified correspond to rice genes in groups 1 and 2 of Figure 2. Group 3 genes may exist in barley, but no ESTs have been found and no clones were identified in our library screens, probably because the nucleotide sequence is too diverged.

Differences in gene number between rice and barley are primarily attributable to differences in the fate of duplicated genes. *HvFT1* corresponds to *OsFLT2* and -3, which are likely to be a recent duplication. *OsFTL9-10* and *OsFLT12-13* are pairs resulting from duplications within the rice genome (PATERSON *et al.* 2003; SALSE *et al.* 2004), but for the former we detected only an equivalent of *OsFLT10* (*HvFT3*) and for the latter only the equivalent of *OsFLT12* (*HvFT4*), suggesting that two genes have been lost from barley. *HvFT3* and *HvFT5* are likely to derive from a more recent duplication in barley. *HvFT2* corresponds to a single gene in rice, *OsFTL1*.

In rice, OsFTL2 was identified by positional cloning of a QTL for flowering time (Hd3a), showing significant

natural variation at this locus (KOJIMA et al. 2002). The significance of this gene was confirmed by experiments on the effect of night break on the expression of rice FT-like genes. Only OsFTL2 expression was affected by night break, pointing to a key role for this gene in photoperiod response (ISHIKAWA et al. 2005). In barley, HvFT1 is highly homologous to OsFTL2 (89% in the PEBP domain) and maps to a collinear position on chromosome 7H. HvFT1 was the gene most rapidly upregulated by long days and the only HvFT-like gene to be upregulated at the time of apical transition. Expression of this gene was also found to be significantly reduced in the barley *ppd-H1* mutant, which is late flowering in long days (TURNER et al. 2005). Furthermore, YAN et al. (2006) have shown that allelic variation in HvFT1 is associated with large differences in flowering time. These data suggest that this gene is a prime candidate for a floral pathway integrator in barley, but this does not exclude roles for the other FT-like genes.

An unusual feature of *HvFT1* was the absence of the third intron present in *AtFT*, all rice *FT*-like genes, and all other *HvFTs*. Reverse transcription of spliced mRNAs followed by homologous recombination of the cDNA with the genomic copy of the gene has been suggested as a mechanism for precise intron loss (Roy and GILBERT 2006), and this process has been shown to occur in unicellular eukaryotes (BON *et al.* 2003; MOURIER and JEFFARES 2003; SVERDLOV *et al.* 2004; Roy and GILBERT 2005). The loss of the intron was found in several barley cultivars, wild barley accessions, and wheat, suggesting that it may be a general feature of temperate grasses.

A surprising finding was the potential role of an *FT* gene in the control of flowering time under noninductive (SD) conditions. Under SD conditions, *HvFT3* was expressed from the first week at a higher level than under LD conditions, while *HvFT4* was very weakly expressed after 3 and 4 weeks, and *HvFT1* and *HvFT2* were not expressed at all. The switch at the apex from vegetative to floral meristem occurred between the third and the fourth weeks, suggesting that *HvFT4* could be involved in the promotion of flowering under SD or that an additional pathway is in place to promote flowering under SD in barley. A priority for understanding flowering in SDs is to resolve the relationship between *HvFT3*

and *Ppd-H2*, which can be done by further mapping and tests of gene function using transgenic approaches.

Barley and rice diverged from an ancestral grass ~ 60 MYA (GALE and DEVOS 1998) and have contrasting photoperiodic responses. This is achieved using photoperiod pathway genes that are well conserved with more distantly related plants like Arabidopsis. Furthermore, the photoperiod response in barley and rice has been modified considerably during domestication. A challenge for cereal biology is to reconcile this diversity with the conservation of the underlying pathway. To do this, it is important to understand the pathway components and to develop models that accommodate variation in the structure of gene families.

HAYAMA and COUPLAND (2004) showed that although both CO and FT are conserved between rice and Arabidopsis, their relationship is different. In Arabidopsis, CO promotes FT expression under LD conditions only, while in rice, CO represses FT under LDs and promotes it under SDs. Barley is a LD plant, like Arabidopsis, but is phylogenetically closer to rice, a SD plant. Barley differs from rice and Arabidopsis in having two CO-like genes (HvCO1 and HvCO2) of which the former is unusual in having lost key residues in a normally highly conserved zinc-finger domain (B-box 2) (GRIFFITHS et al. 2003). Characterization of the roles and interactions of the various CO and FT genes in barley is now feasible because of the increased understanding of the gene families and the availability of new resources for functional analysis, including efficient transformation methods (TRAVELLA et al. 2005) and a TILLING population (CALDWELL et al. 2004).

The authors are grateful to Donal O'Sullivan (National Institute of Agricultural Botany, Cambridge, UK) for kindly providing DNA samples of the barley GEDIFLUX collection tested for the *HvFT3* allele. We also thank S. Yasuda (Research Institute for Bioresources, Okayama University, Kurashiki, Japan) for providing the barley *Vrn-H3* genetic stock. This work was supported by the United Kingdom Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council through grant 208/D19952 and by a grant-in-aid to the John Innes Centre. J.H. was supported by a fellowship from the Daphne Jackson Trust funded by The Gatsby Charitable Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABE, M., Y. KOBAYASHI, S. YAMAMOTO, Y. DAIMON, A. YAMAGUCHI *et al.*, 2005 FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. Science **309**: 1052–1056.
- AHN, J. H., D. MILLER, V. J. WINTER, M. J. BANFIELD, J. HWAN LEE *et al.*, 2006 A divergent external loop confers antagonistic activity on floral regulators FT and TFL1. EMBO J. 25: 605–614.
- AN, H. L., C. ROUSSOT, P. SUAREZ-LOPEZ, L. CORBESLER, C. VINCENT et al., 2004 CONSTANS acts in the phloem to regulate a systemic signal that induces photoperiodic flowering of Arabidopsis. Development 131: 3615–3626.
- ARMSTEAD, I. P., L. B. TURNER, I. P. KING, A. J. CAIRNS and M. O. HUMPHREYS, 2002 Comparison and integration of genetic maps generated from F-2 and BC1-type mapping populations in perennial ryegrass. Plant Breed. **121**: 501–507.
- BÄURLE, I., and C. DEAN, 2006 The timing of developmental transitions in plants. Cell 125: 655–664.

- BON, E., S. CASAREGOLA, G. BLANDIN, B. LLORENTE, C. NEUVEGLISE et al., 2003 Molecular evolution of eukaryotic genomes: hemiascomycetous yeast spliceosomal introns. Nucleic Acids Res. 31: 1121–1135.
- Boss, P. K., R. M. BASTOW, J. S. MYLNE and C. DEAN, 2004 Multiple pathways in the decision to flower, enabling, promoting and resetting. Plant Cell 16: S18–S31.
- CALDWELL, D. G., N. MCCALLUM, P. SHAW, G. J. MUEHLBAUER, D. F. MARSHALL *et al.*, 2004 A structured mutant population for forward and reverse genetics in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Plant J. 40: 143–150.
- CHARDON, F., and C. DAMERVAL, 2005 Phylogenomic analysis of the PEBP gene family in cereals. J. Mol. Evol. 61: 579–590.
- CHURCH, G. M., and W. GILBERT, 1984 Genomic sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 1991–1995.
- DUNFORD, R. P., S. GRIFFITHS, V. CHRISTODOULOU and D. A. LAURIE, 2005 Characterisation of a barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) homologue of the Arabidopsis flowering time regulator GIGANTEA. Theor. Appl. Genet. 110: 925–931.
- FELSENSTEIN, J., 1993 PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package), version 3.5c. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle (http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html).
- GALE, M. D., and K. M. DEVOS, 1998 Comparative genetics in the grasses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 1971–1974.
- GRIFFITHS, S., R. P. DUNFORD, G. COUPLAND and D. A. LAURIE, 2003 The evolution of CONSTANS-like gene families in barley, rice, and Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 131: 1855–1867.
- HANZAWA, Y., T. MONEY and D. BRADLEY, 2005 A single amino acid converts a repressor to an activator of flowering. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 7748–7753.
- HAYAMA, R., and G. COUPLAND, 2004 The molecular basis of diversity in the photoperiodic flowering responses of Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol. **135**: 677–684.
- Hауама, R., T. Izawa and K. Shiмамото, 2002 Isolation of rice genes possibly involved in the photoperiodic control of flowering by a fluorescent differential display method. Plant Cell Physiol. **43:** 494–504.
- HUANG, T., H. BOHLENIUS, S. ERIKSSON, F. PARCY and O. NILSSON, 2005 The mRNA of the Arabidopsis gene FT moves from leaf to shoot apex and induces flowering. Science **309**: 1694–1696.
- ISHIKAWA, R., Š. TAMAKI, S. YOKOI, N. INAGAKI, T. SHINOMURA *et al.*, 2005 Suppression of the floral activator Hd3a is the principal cause of the night break effect in rice. Plant Cell **17**: 3326– 3336.
- ISLAM, A., 1983 Ditelosomic additions of barley chromosomes to wheat, pp. 233–238 in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Wheat Genetics Symposium*, edited by S. SAKAMOTO. Plant Germ-plasm Institute, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- IZAWA, T., T. OIKAWA, N. SUGIYAMA, T. TANISAKA, M. YANO *et al.*, 2002 Phytochrome mediates the external light signal to repress FT orthologs in photoperiodic flowering of rice. Genes Dev. 16: 2006–2020.
- JACK, T., 2004 Molecular and genetic mechanisms of floral control. Plant Cell **16:** S1–S17.
- JAISWAL, P., J. J. NI, I. YAP, D. WARE, W. SPOONER et al., 2006 Gramene: a bird's eye view of cereal genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 34: D717–D723.
- KARDAILSKY, I., V. K. SHUKLA, J. H. AHN, N. DAGENAIS, S. K. CHRISTENSEN et al., 1999 Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. Science 286: 1962–1965.
- KIRBY, E. J. M., and M. APPLEYARD, 1981 Cereal Development Guide. Cereal Unit, National Agricultural Centre, Stoneleih, Kenilworth, UK.
- KOBAYASHI, Y., H. KAYA, K. GOTO, M. IWABUCHI and T. ARAKI, 1999 A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals. Science 286: 1960–1962.
- KOJIMA, S., Y. TAKAHASHI, Y. KOBAYASHI, L. MONNA, T. SASAKI *et al.*, 2002 Hd3a, a rice ortholog of the Arabidopsis FT gene, promotes transition to flowering downstream of Hd1 under shortday conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. **43**: 1096–1105.
- LAURIE, D. A., N. PRATCHETT, J. H. BEZANT and J. W. SNAPE, 1995 RFLP mapping of 5 major genes and 8 quantitative trait loci controlling flowering time in a winter \times spring barley (Hordeum-Vulgare L) cross. Genome **38**: 575–585.
- LEE, Y., J. TSAI, S. SUNKARA, S. KARAMYCHEVA, G. PERTEA *et al.*, 2005 The TIGR Gene Indices: clustering and assembling EST

and known genes and integration with eukaryotic genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 33: D71–D74.

- MARTINS-LOPES, P., H. ZHANG and R. KOEBNER, 2001 Detection of single nucleotide mutations in wheat using single strand conformation polymorphism gels. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 19: 159–162.
- MIMIDA, N., K. GOTO, Y. KOBAYASHI, T. ARAKI, J. H. AHN et al., 2001 Functional divergence of the TFL1-like gene family in Arabidopsis revealed by characterization of a novel homologue. Genes Cells 6: 327–336.
- MOON, J., H. LEE, M. KIM and I. LEE, 2005 Analysis of flowering pathway integrators in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 46: 292–299.
- MOURIER, T., and D. C. JEFFARES, 2003 Eukaryotic intron loss. Science **300**: 1393.
- PATERSON, A. H., J. E. BOWERS, D. G. PETERSON, J. C. ESTILL and B. A. CHAPMAN, 2003 Structure and evolution of cereal genomes. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 13: 644–650.
- Roy, S. W., and W. GILBERT, 2005 The pattern of intron loss. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 713–718.
- ROY, S. W., and W. GILBERT, 2006 The evolution of spliceosomal introns: patterns, puzzles and progress. Nat. Rev. Genet. 7: 211– 221.
- SALSE, J., B. PIEGU, R. COOKE and M. DELSENY, 2004 New in silico insight into the synteny between rice (Oryza sativa L.) and maize (Zea mays L.) highlights reshuffling and identifies new duplications in the rice genome. Plant J. 38: 396–409.
- SAMACH, A., H. ONOUCHI, S. E. GOLD, G. S. DITTA, Z. SCHWARZ-SOMMER et al., 2000 Distinct roles of CONSTANS target genes in reproductive development of Arabidopsis. Science 288: 1613–1616.
- SEARLE, I., and G. COUPLAND, 2004 Induction of flowering by seasonal changes in photoperiod. EMBO J. 23: 1217–1222.
- SUAREZ-LOPEZ, P., K. WHEATLEY, F. ROBSON, H. ONOUCHI, F. VALVERDE et al., 2001 CONSTANS mediates between the circadian clock and the control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Nature 410: 1116– 1120.
- SVERDLOV, A. V., V. N. BABENKO, I. B. ROGOZIN and E. V. KOONIN, 2004 Preferential loss and gain of introns in 3' portions of genes suggests a reverse-transcription mechanism of intron insertion. Gene 338: 85–91.
- TAKAHASHI, R., and S. YASUDA, 1971 Genetics of earliness and growth habit in barley, pp. 388–408 in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Barley Genetics Symposium*, 6–11 July 1969, edited by R. A. NILAN. Washington State University Press, Pullman, WA.
- THOMPSON, J. D., D. G. HIGGINS and T. J. GIBSON, 1994 Clustal-W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence align-

ment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. **22:** 4673–4680.

- TRAVELLA, S., S. M. Ross, J. HARDEN, C. EVERETT, J. W. SNAPE *et al.*, 2005 A comparison of transgenic barley lines produced by particle bombardment and Agrobacterium-mediated techniques. Plant Cell Rep. 23: 780–789.
- TURNER, A., J. BEALES, S. FAURE, R. P. DUNFORD and D. A. LAURIE, 2005 The pseudo-response regulator Ppd-H1 provides adaptation to photoperiod in barley. Science **310**: 1031–1034.
- VALVERDE, F., A. MOURADOV, W. SOPPE, D. RAVENSCROFT, A. SAMACH et al., 2004 Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. Science 303: 1003–1006.
- WIGGE, P. A., M. C. KIM, K. E. JAEGER, W. BUSCH, M. SCHMID *et al.*, 2005 Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis. Science **309**: 1056–1059.
- YAMAGUCHI, A., Y. KOBAYASHI, K. GOTO, M. ABE and T. ARAKI, 2005 TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF) acts as a floral pathway integrator redundantly with FT. Plant Cell Physiol. 46: 1175–1189.
- YAN, L., D. FU, C. LI, A. BLECHL, G. TRANQUILLI *et al.*, 2006 The wheat and barley vernalization gene VRN3 is an orthologue of FT. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103**: 19581–19586.
- YANO, M., Y. KATAYOSE, M. ASHIKARI, U. YAMANOUCHI, L. MONNA *et al.*, 2000 Hd1, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related to the Arabidopsis flowering time gene CONSTANS. Plant Cell **12**: 2473–2483.
- Yoo, S. Y., I. KARDAILSKY, J. S. LEE, D. WEIGEL and J. H. AHN, 2004 Acceleration of flowering by overexpression of MFT (MOTHER OF FT AND TFL1). Mol. Cells 17: 95–101.
- Yoo, S. K., K. S. CHUNG, J. KIM, J. H. LEE, S. M. HONG *et al.*, 2005 CONSTANS activates SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRES-SION OF CONSTANS 1 through FLOWERING LOCUS T to promote flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **139**: 770–778.
- YUAN, Q. P., O. Y. SHU, A. H. WANG, W. ZHU, R. MAITI *et al.*, 2005 The institute for genomic research Osal rice genome annotation database. Plant Physiol. **138**: 17–26.
- ZHANG, S. H., W. J. HU, L. P. WANG, C. F. LIN, B. CONG et al., 2005 TFL1/CEN-like genes control intercalary meristem activity and phase transition in rice. Plant Sci. 168: 1393–1408.
- ZMASEK, C. M., and S. R. EDDY, 2001 ATV: display and manipulation of annotated phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17: 383–384.

Communicating editor: V. SUNDARESAN