EDITORIAL REVIEW

Regeneration of autotransplanted splenic fragments: basic immunological and clinical relevance

R. PABST Functional and Applied Anatomy, Medical School of Hannover, Hannover, Germany

(Accepted for publication 28 April 1999)

In the article by Leemans *et al.* published in this issue (see pp 596–604), the antibody titres against a 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine are documented in splenectomized, spleen-autotransplanted and sham-operated rats [1]. Three days after vaccination the antibody titres against several pneumococcal antigens were increased in spleen-transplanted animals to the same extent as in control animals and significantly better than in splenectomized rats. Thus, the regenerated splenic tissue resulted in improved humoral immune reactions to a clinically relevant bacterial vaccine. Why are these data of clinical relevance?

For a long time the spleen was regarded as a superfluous organ which could be removed without side-effects, and which was excised even after minor laceration of the splenic capsule. It was estimated that about 20 years ago approx. 10000 healthy spleens were removed per year in Germany after external or incidental trauma (e.g. during surgery of the stomach or colon). It took several decades for the increased risk of overwhelming postsplenectomy infection (OPSI) to be generally accepted, although King & Shumacker [2] had described this syndrome nearly 50 years ago. The OPSI syndrome is characterized by a high risk of mortality, especially in children, despite modern intensive care (for review see [3,4]). The most frequent reason for this sepsis are pneumococci, which are taken to be a typical T cell independenttype 2 antigen. An adequate antibody response to these bacteria depends on a functional marginal zone of the spleen [5]. This compartment is not well developed before the age of 3 years in children, which might be the reason for the higher frequency of OPSI in young children [6]. The critical role of B cells in the marginal zone for the response to TI-2 antigen has recently been summarized [7]. However, major species differences in the structure of the different splenic compartments and the localization of lymphocyte subsets have to be considered [8] when results in experimental animals on the regeneration of splenic tissue are extrapolated to the situation in humans. The implications of the regionalization of splenic lymphocyte subsets for the immune function of the spleen have also been outlined [9]. In respect to lymphocyte recirculation about 10 times more lymphocytes leave the blood in the spleen than via the high endothelial venules in lymph nodes [10]. Thus, the spleen plays a central role in many immune reactions, in particular when the microorganisms or antigen enter the blood directly, and therefore preservation of splenic tissue should be the central aim in all cases of splenic trauma. Meanwhile, in several original reports and reviews alternatives to splenectomy have been summarized [11,12], and special criteria outlined for the management of splenic trauma in children [13]: due to the segmental splenic blood supply partial splenectomies can be performed, lacerations of the spleen can be repaired by different techniques such as laser coagulation, application of topical haemostatic agents, or capsular sutures, and finally many patients can be conservatively treated by careful clinical observations. Based on a critical decision analysis some groups have identified important variables, such as the probability of missed injuries and non-therapeutic laparotomy deaths, and clarified the risks of OPSI and transfusion-related deaths [12,13].

How can fatal post-splenectomy sepsis be prevented? The recent critical guidelines for precautions can be recommended for details [14]. The main aspect is that the doctor and the patient have to be aware of the critical situation after splenectomy in respect to malaria infections [15], and not only of a post-splenectomy sepsis due to pneumococci but also *Haemophilus influenzae* [16] and *Neisseria meningitidis*. Therefore these patients should be vaccinated. Furthermore, lifelong prophylactic antibiotics have been recommended, especially in the first 2 years after splenectomy [14]. Finally, the patient should carry a medical card recording the splenectomy.

Why is autotransplantation of splenic tissue of interest? Particles or slices of splenic tissue regenerate when they are placed in a pouch of the greater omentum. Thus, this technique has been advocated when splenic repair is impossible. Extensive experimental animal studies have been performed on the size and total mass of the implanted splenic tissue, the phases of splenic regeneration, the site of implantation, and the restoration of splenic compartments (reviewed in [17]). There is a sequence of different phases until the splenic structure is renewed, but in most studies scars are found and the age-related splenic mass is mostly far below the normal amount. An important aspect is that obviously the age of the animal is of major importance in respect to the restored structure, the regenerated splenic mass and in particular its function, e.g. the blood flow and clearance function of bacteria [17]. Recently it was demonstrated that nerves reinnervating the autotransplants also regenerate in an age-dependent manner [18], the regeneration of stromal elements are critical [19] and, in contrast to previous concepts, some lymphocytes also survive the avascular, mostly necrotic phase before regeneration starts [20]. Future experiments should focus on the role of cytokines and chemokines in the phases of reconstruction of the autotransplanted splenic tissue, as it is now known that such factors are essential for normal structure and function of the spleen (e.g. [21,22]).

Correspondence: Professor Dr Reinhard Pabst, Abt. Funktionelle und Angewandte Anatomie, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, D-30625 Hannover, Germany.

Furthermore, the functional and protective capacity of regenerated splenic tissue in humans has to be studied in more detail, as the mere presence of splenic tissue should not be taken as a guarantee of protection [23,24]. The function of regenerated splenic tissue in humans has been documented in some series collected after a number of years in different groups [25–27] and partially summarized from the literature [23,28]. For ethical reasons more detailed sequential functional studies can hardly be performed in patients.

All these data can be summarized as follows: the function of all compartments of the spleen-red pulp, marginal zone and white pulp-can be restored to a certain extent after autotransplantation of splenic tissue, but neither the clearance function nor immune reactions return to normal. There is a tendency for regeneration to be more complete in children than in adults. However, to understand the regulation of this regeneration and the mechanisms of restoring the cellular and humoral immunity, many more experiments are needed. A further unexplained observation is a functional hyposplenism in many different gastrointestinal diseases of which clinicians should be aware (reviewed in [29]). Therefore, many more detailed experiments such as those of Leemans et al. [1] are needed. Until these basic problems are solved every effort should be made in the clinical situation to preserve splenic tissue in situ and to encourage clinicians in multicentre co-operations to pool all data on the structure and function of preserved or regenerated splenic tissue to come finally to a meaningful conclusion based on a sufficiently large number of patients.

REFERENCES

- 1 Leemans R, Harms G, Rijkers GT, Timens W. Spleen autotransplantation provides restoration of functional splenic lymphoid compartments and improves the humoral immune response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Clin Exp Immunol 1999; 117: 596–604.
- 2 King H, Shumacker H. Splenic studies: I. Susceptibility to infection after splenectomy performed in infancy. Ann Surg 1952; 136:239–42.
- 3 Cullingford GL, Watkins DN, Watts ADJ, Mallon DF. Severe late postsplenectomy infection. Br J Surg 1991; 78:716–21.
- 4 Holdsworth RJ, Irving AD, Cuschieri A. Postsplenectomy sepsis and its mortality rate: actual versus perceived risks. Br J Surg 1991; 78:1031-8.
- 5 Kraal G, Hart HT, Meelhuizen C, Venneker G, Claassen E. Marginal zone macrophages and their role in the immune response against Tindependent type 2 antigens: modulation of the cells with specific antibody. Eur J Immunol 1989; 19:675–80.
- 6 Timens W, Boes A, Rozeboom-Uiterwijk T, Poppema S. Immaturity of the human splenic marginal zone in infancy. Possible contribution to the deficient infant immune response. J Immunol 1989; 143:3200–6.
- 7 Spencer J, Perry ME, Dunn WD. Human marginal-zone B cells. Immunol Today 1998; **19**:421–6.
- 8 Steiniger B, Barth P, Herbst B, Hartnell A, Crocker PR. The speciesspecific structure of microanatomical compartments in the human spleen: strongly sialoadhesin-positive macrophages occur in the

perifollicular zone, but not in the marginal zone. Immunology 1997; **92**:307–16.

- 9 Hazlewood M, Kumararatne DS. The spleen? Who needs it anyway? Clin Exp Immunol 1992; 89:327–9.
- Pabst R. The spleen in lymphocyte migration. Immunol Today 1988; 9:43–45.
- 11 Harrington WJ, Harrington TJ. Is splenectomy an outmoded procedure? Adv Intern Med 1990; 35:415–40.
- 12 Feliciano PD, Mullins RJ, Trunkey DD, Crass RA, Beck JR, Helfand M. A decision analysis of traumatic splenic injuries. J Trauma 1992; 33:340–8.
- 13 Velanovich V, Tapper D. Decision analysis in children with blunt splenic trauma: the effects of observation, splenorrhaphy, or splenectomy on quality-adjusted life expectancy. J Pediatr Surg 1993; 28:179–85.
- 14 Working Party of the British Committee for Standards in Haematology Clinical Haematology Task Force. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of infection in patients with an absent or dysfunctional spleen. Br Med J 1996; **312**:430–4.
- 15 Wyler DJ. Splenic functions in malaria. Lymphology 1983; 16:121-7.
- 16 Ambrosino DM, Lee MYC, Chen D, Shamberger RC. Response to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine in children undergoing splenectomy. J Pediatr Surg 1992; 27:1045–8.
- 17 Pabst R, Westermann J, Rothkötter HJ. Immunoarchitecture of regenerated splenic and lymph node transplants. Int Rev Cytol 1991; 128:215–60.
- 18 Westermann J, Michel S, Lopez-Kostka S et al. Regeneration of implanted splenic tissue in the rat: re-innervation is host age-dependent and necessary for tissue development. J Neuroimmunol 1998; 88:67–76.
- 19 Leitner W, Bergmann ES, Thalhamer J. Regeneration of splenic stromal elements. Res Exp Med 1994; 194:221–30.
- 20 Westermann J, Pabst R. Autotransplantation of the spleen in the rat: donor leukocytes of the splenic fragment survive implantation to migrate and proliferate in the host. Cell Tissue Res 1997; 287:357-64.
- 21 Ngo VN, Korner H, Gunn MD *et al.* Lymphotoxin α/β and tumor necrosis factor are required for stromal cell expression of homing chemokines in B and T cell areas of the spleen. J Exp Med 1999; **189**:403–12.
- 22 Tanaka H, Hataba Y, Saito S, Fukushima O, Miyasaka M. Phenotypic characteristics and significance of reticular meshwork surrounding splenic white pulp of mice. J Electron Microsc Tokyo 1996; 45:407–16.
- 23 Holdsworth RJ. Regeneration of the spleen and splenic autotransplantation. Br J Surg 1991; 78:270–8.
- 24 Timens W, Leemans R. Splenic autotransplantation and the immune system. Adequate testing required for evaluation of effect. Ann Surg 1992; 215:256–60.
- 25 Henneking K, Müller C, Franke F, Becker H, Schwemmle K. Spätergebnisse der heterotopen Autotransplantation von Milzgewebe nach traumatischer Milzruptur im Kindesalter. Chirurg 1994; 65:457–68.
- 26 Weber T, Hanisch E, Baum RP, Seufert RM. Late results of heterotopic autotransplantation of splenic tissue into the greater omentum. World J Surg 1998; 22:883–9.
- 27 Leemans R, Beekhuis H, Timens W, The TH, Klasen HJ. Fc-receptor function after human splenic autotransplantation. Br J Surg 1996; 83:543–6.
- 28 Pisters PWT, Pachter HL. Autologous splenic transplantation for splenic trauma. Ann Surg 1994; 219:225–35.
- 29 Muller AF, Toghill PJ. Hyposplenism in gastrointestinal disease. Gut 1995; 36:165–7.