Contributors and sources: MH is a clinician with an interest in improving HIV testing and access to care. HWJ has reported widely on the epidemiology of HIV and is involved in HIV research in the developed and developing world. This article arose from discussions on UK health policy on screening mechanisms and is based on searching Medline and British and US guidelines. All authors contributed equally to the article. HWJ is the guarantor. Competing interests: None declared.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

Accepted: 4 April 2007

- 1 UNAIDS Reference Group on HIV and Human Rights. Provider initiated testing and counselling in health facilities. Seventh meeting 12-14 Feb 2007. http://data.unaids.org/pub/ BaseDocument/2007/070216 HHR 1 PITC.pdf.
- 2 UK Collaborative Group for HIV and STI Surveillance. A complex picture. HIV and other sexually transmitted infections in the United Kingdom: 2006. London: Health Protection Agency, Centre for Infections, 2006.
- 3 Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, Janssen RS, Taylor AW, Lyss SB, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. MMWR Recomm Rep 2006;55(RR-14):1-17.
- 4 UN General Assembly. Scaling up HIV prevention, treatment and support: note by the secretary general. Geneva: United Nations, 2006. http://data.unaids.org/pub/InformationNote/2006/20060324_ HLM_GA_A60737_en.pdf.
- 5 Greenwald JL, Burstein GR, Pincus J, Branson B. A rapid review of rapid HIV antibody tests. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2006;8:125-31.
- 6 World Health Organization Guidance on provider-initiated HIV testing and counselling in health facilities. 2007. www.who.int/hiv/who_ pitc_guidelines.pdf.
- 7 Rogstad KE, Palfreeman A, Rooney G, Hart G, Lowbury R, Mortimer P, et al. *United Kingdom national guidelines on HIV testing 2006*. www.bashh.org/guidelines/2006/hiv_testing_june06.pdf.

SUMMARY POINTS

Around one third of people with HIV infection in the UK remain undiagnosed Routine opt-out testing could increase knowledge of HIV serostatus Current UK guidelines recommend opt-out testing only for pregnant women and people attending genitourinary clinics Opt-out testing takes less time per patient and may reduce stigma The feasibility of expanding opt-out testing should be assessed

- 8 NHS. Health service circular 199/183. Reducing mother to baby transmission of HIV. www.dh.gov.uk/asset Root/04/01/21/28/04 012128 pdf
- 9 British HIV Association. Guidelines for the treatment of HIV-infected adults with antiretroviral therapy 2005, www.bhiva.org/cms1191541.asp.
- Marks G, Crepaz N, Senterfitt JW, Janssen RS. Meta-analysis of high-risk sexual behavior in persons aware and unaware they are infected with HIV in the United States. Implications of HIV prevention programs. J Acquire Immune Defic Syndr 2005;39:446-53.
- 11 DeCock KM, Johnson AM. From exceptionalism to normalisation: a reappriasal of attitudes and practice around HIV testing. BMJ 1998;316:290-3.
- 12 Lyss SB, Branson BM, Kroc KA, Couture EF, Newman ER, Weinstein RA.

 Detecting unsuspected HIV infection with a rapid whole-blood HIV test in an urban emergency department. *J Acquire Immune Defic Syndr* (in press).
- Walensky RP, Losina E, Malatesta L, Barton GE, O'Connor CA, Skolnik PR, et al. Effective HIV case identification through routine HIV screening at urgent care centers in Massachusetts. Am J Public Health 2005;95:71-3.
- 14 Poznansky MC, Walters J, Cruikshank A, Pollock R, Dendrowskyj P, Lewis K, et al. The rising prevalence of HIV-1 infection in patients attending an inner city accident and emergency department. J Accid Emerg Med 1996;13:424-5.
- Paltiel AD, Walensky RP, Schackman BR, Seage GR 3rd, Mercincavage LM, Weinstein MC, et al. Expanded HIV screening in the United States: effect on clinical outcomes, HIV transmission, and costs. Ann Intern Med 2006;145:797-806.
- 16 Health Protection Agency. Migrant health. Infectious diseases in non-UK born populations in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. A baseline report—2006. www.hpa.org.uk/publications/2006/migrant_health/default.htm.
- 17 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Social value judgments. Principles for the development of NICE guidelines. 2005. www.nice.org.uk/page.aspx?o=283494.

Routine testing to reduce late HIV diagnosis in France

Although around half the French population has had an HIV test, many people are still not diagnosed until the disease is advanced. **Cyrille Delpierre** and **colleagues** believe the answer is to expand routine testing

Around 130 000 people in France were infected with HIV in 2005. Among the 7000 people newly diagnosed in 2004, 58% became infected through heterosexual intercourse, 29% through homosexual intercourse, and 2% through intravenous drug use. Around half of those infected through heterosexual intercourse came from sub-Saharan Africa.

In France, testing is free in all healthcare settings, and anonymous counselling and testing is provided in dedicated sites all over the country. Besides prenatal routine testing, current policy focuses on high risk groups (men who have sex with men, sub-Saharan migrants) and behaviours (unprotected sex). This policy results in a large number of tests annually. However, around 40% of cases identified are in people with advanced infection, most of whom belong to groups not focused on by the current testing policy. We discuss the consequences of late testing on mortality and spread of infection and recommend expanding HIV testing to all primary care settings.

EDITORIAL by Dodds and Weatherburn

Cyrille Delpierre epidemiologist 31073, Toulouse, France Lise Cuzin physician Unit of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Hôpital Purpan, Toulouse, France France Lert researcher INSERM U687 F-94410, Saint-Maurice, France

Correspondence to: C Delpierre, Department of Society, Human Development and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Landmark Center, 401 Park Drive, Boston MA, USA cdelpier@hsph.harvard.edu

Testing policy

Since the 1980s, France's institutional framework of HIV testing has been based on wide access to free voluntary counselling and testing, routine testing in blood and tissue donors, and routinely offering HIV tests to pregnant women and to people getting married or entering prison. Since 1997, national and regional information campaigns have been launched to increase the awareness of the potential benefits of early diagnosis. However, these efforts remained mainly focused on people with high risk behaviours. The result of this policy is a high rate of testing, with 82 tests per 1000 population in 2004, the highest rate in Europe after Austria, and a positive test ratio of 2.4/1000 tests in 2004.

Around half the French population has had at least one HIV test. But, despite this high level of testing, 40% of people already have AIDS or CD4 cell counts below $200\times10^6/l$ when the HIV infection is first

detected.³⁴ Therefore, of the estimated 7000 people newly diagnosed with HIV in France in 2004, ¹ 3000 may have advanced disease.

Consequences of late diagnosis

Late diagnosis is associated with an increased risk of mortality. Sabin and colleagues found that one fifth of HIV related deaths occurred in patients who had discovered their infection within the six months before their death.⁵ Chadborn and colleagues also reported late diagnosis was a risk factor for short term mortality (odds ratio=10.76, 95% confidence interval 7.68 to 15.91).6 In France, mortality in the six months after detection of HIV infection was 16 times higher for patients diagnosed with advanced disease than for patients diagnosed earlier. The mortality two years after a first positive test result was 9% among those with advanced infection compared with 1% among those with earlier detection, and the difference in mortality remained four years after diagnosis. If we apply this rate to the estimated number who had infection detected late in France in 2004, 270 people will die in the subsequent two years, representing 16% of the 1700 HIV related deaths in France each year.8

Late testing could also be important in the spread of the infection. In two trials to prevent transmission of HIV by increasing condom use, $^{9\,10}$ transmission was reduced by 20% among patients with identified HIV infection. Knowledge of infection status could increase the use of preventive measures and will also reduce the risk of transmission by reducing infectivity through treatment to lower the viral load. Quinn and colleagues reported a fall in infectivity of 2.45 for each tenfold decrease in viral load. 11

Late diagnosis implies a long period without knowledge of infection and thus without access to care and counselling. Assuming that the normal CD4 cell count is 900×10⁶/l and that this rate decreases by 60-70×10⁶/l a year in infected people, ^{12 13} it takes about 10 years before the CD4 cell count falls below 200×10⁶/l. Infectivity could increase as the infection advanced. In a study of stable European couples, de Vincenzi and colleagues found that the cumulative incidence of seroconversion in the uninfected partner was 48.7% when the infected partner had advanced infection compared with 7.8% when the partner was in the non-advanced stage. ¹⁴

Estimating the number of infections caused by late diagnosis is difficult and open to criticism because of the lack of data and because it relies on assumptions about, for example, characteristics of the infection and infected persons, the period of infectivity, and the number of partners. However, we can assume that the probability of transmission by people with a late diagnosis is similar to that observed during the natural course of infection. A US study estimated that during the natural course of infection, HIV infected men who have sex with men would transmit the virus to 1.16 sexual partners over their lifetime and infected heterosexual men and women would transmit the virus to 0.43 and 0.14 partners respectively. Among


people with a late diagnosis in our random sample of people diagnosed in France since 1996, 26.1% were men who have sex with men, 45.7% were heterosexual men, and 28.2% were women.³ Applying the US transmission rate¹⁴ and our sample composition to the 3000 people estimated to have been diagnosed late in France in 2004, men who have sex with men would have infected 908 people, heterosexual men 589 people, and women 118.

Late diagnosis may also increase the costs of hospital care and management of opportunistic infections, especially immediately after diagnosis. A study by Krentz and colleagues in Canada found that the direct costs of management were twice as high for patients who had CD4 cell counts <200×10⁶/l at HIV diagnosis than for those with a higher CD4 count. Gimilarly, in France the total mean monthly cost for the management of patients without AIDS was €670 (£450; \$900) per person when the CD4 cell count was >500×10⁶/l compared with €1760 per person when the CD4 cell count was <50×10⁶/l. During the month after the onset of AIDS, the cost increased from €1760 to €4530 depending on the opportunistic infections.

Strategies to reduce late diagnosis

HIV testing is more common in women and in people identified at higher risk of infection, such as men who have sex with men, young people, and those with multiple sexual partners. ¹² Conversely, those who are detected late tend to be older, mainly men, heterosexual, and have stable partners and children, ³⁴ populations that are not a priority target for testing. Thus the current policy results in people at low risk of HIV infection being at high risk of late detection.

With high quality HIV tests that limit false positive results, routine non-mandatory HIV testing of the



CHERYL I RYON /

general population could complement current testing policy. This view is supported by the higher proportion of early diagnosis among women and welfare beneficiaries. These populations receive more systematic HIV testing (welfare beneficiaries receive a free medical check up including an offer of an HIV test and women are offered testing during pregnancy or gynaecological follow-up). Therefore, if testing became routine for other groups, HIV infections are likely to be identified earlier.

Two studies conducted in the US have estimated that it is cost effective to implement routine voluntary HIV testing in healthcare settings with a prevalence of unidentified HIV infection of 1% and even with prevalence as low as 0.1%. ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The prevalence of HIV infection in France is similar to that in the US (0.2% in France, ¹ v 0.3% in United States). The number of unidentified HIV infections in France could be as high as 40 000, ¹⁹ a prevalence of 0.07%. All these models and assumptions do not consider the possible adverse impact of receiving a HIV negative test result—some people might continue having unprotected sex and may delay further testing leading to delayed diagnosis. ²⁰

Recommendations

Programmes of counselling and testing the heterosexual population should be sustained and developed for various medical settings. HIV tests should be offered as part of routine prevention activities by general practitioners and occupational health doctors. Doctors should integrate questions on sexual practices to help their patients consider HIV testing. Healthcare providers should encourage patients to inform their partners about their HIV status before giving up condoms and should recommend testing to people who have never been tested. They should also suggest repeat testing for those who have had new sexual partners since their most recent HIV test.

A US study calculated that if all people unaware of their HIV status could be screened, new sexually transmitted infections could be reduced by 31% a year.²¹ Applying this rate to the 5200 new infections estimated per year in France,1 of which 80% are due to sexual transmission, 1290 new sexual infections could be avoided each year. The 2004 survey of the knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and practices towards HIV showed an increase in the proportion of men and women who declared multiple sexual partners during the past year, the first rise since 1994.2 The increase was biggest among heterosexual men under 30 years, women aged 25-39 years, those with a high level of education, and those in a high socioeconomic group. An increased proportion of these groups also said they were not concerned about the risk of HIV infection and had not had an HIV test in the past 12 months.

These results convey an increase in risk taking behaviours that is confirmed by rising numbers of sexually transmitted infections. Thus, the number of HIV infections could rise in the heterosexual population, and a substantial proportion of these

SUMMARY POINTS

The rate of HIV testing in France is among the highest in Europe
Nevertheless, 40% of newly identified HIV infections are in people with low CD4 counts or AIDS

Current testing policy fails to reach the heterosexual population People at low risk of HIV infection are thus at high risk of late diagnosis Routine voluntary HIV testing should be implemented in primary healthcare settings future infections will be diagnosed late if testing policy does not change. France therefore needs urgently to improve testing policy to include the heterosexual population at low risk of infection but at high risk of late diagnosis.

Contributors and sources: CD has studied determinants of late testing in HIV infection. LC has published articles on the improvement of care management of HIV infected patients. FL has a long research interest in HIV testing policy and risk reduction. This article arose from previous works and Medline search. All the authors contributed to the literature review and to writing the paper.

Competing interests: None declared.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed. **Accepted:** 4 April 2007

- Institute de Veille Sanitaire. Surveillance du VIH/SIDA en France au 30 juin 2005. Paris: InVS, 2006.
- 2 Beltzer N, Lagarde M, Wu-Zhou X, Vongmany N, Gremy I. Les connaissances, attitudes, croyances et comportements face au VIH/ SIDA en France. Paris: Sante Ordl, 2005.
- 3 Delpierre C, Dray-spira R, Cuzin L, Marchou B, Massip P, Lang T, et al. Correlates of late diagnosis in France. Implications for testing policy. Int J STD AIDS 2007;18:312-7.
- Delpierre C, Cuzin L, Lauwers-Cances V, Marchou B, Lang T. High risk groups for late HIV diagnosis of HIV infection: a need for rethinking testing policy in the general population. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2006:20:238-47.
- 5 Sabin C, Smith C, Youle M, Lampe F, Bell D, Puradiredja D, et al. Deaths in the era of HAART: contribution of late presentation, treatment exposure, resistance and abnormal laboratory markers. AIDS 2005; 20:67-71.
- 6 Chadborn T, Baster K, Delpech V, Sabin C, Sinka K, Rice B, et al. No time to wait: how many HIV-infected homosexual men are diagnosed late and consequently die? (England and Wales, 1993-2002). AIDS 2005;19:513-20.
- 7 Yeni R. *Prise en charge thérapeutique des personnes infectées par le VIH*. Paris: Médecine-Sciencec, Flammarion, 2006.
- 8 Lewden C, Jougla E, Alioum A, Pavillon G, Lievre L, Morlat P, et al. Number of deaths among HIV-infected adults in France in 2000, three-source capture-recapture estimation. *Epidemiol Infect* 2006:1-8.
- NIMH Multisite HIV prevention Trial. Reducing HIV sexual risk behavior. Science 1998;280:1889-94.
- 10 Kamb ML, Fishbein M, Douglas JM Jr, Rhodes F, Rogers J, Bolan G, et al. Efficacy of risk-reduction counseling to prevent human immunodeficiency virus and sexually transmitted diseases: a randomized controlled trial. Project RESPECT Study Group. JAMA 1998;280:1161-7.
- 11 Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, Serwadda D, Li C, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. N Engl J Med 2000;342:921-9.
- 12 Cook J, Dasbach E, Coplan P, Markson L, Yin D, Meibohm A, et al. Modeling the long-term outcomes and costs of HIV antiretroviral therapy using HIV RNA levels: application to a clinical trial. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1999;15:499-508.
- Mellors JW, Munoz A, Giorgi JV, Margolick JB, Tassoni CJ, Gupta P, et al. Plasma viral load and CD4+ lymphocytes as prognostic markers of HIV-1 infection. Ann Intern Med 1997;126:946-54.
- 14 De Vincenzi I. A longitudinal study of human immunodeficiency virus transmission by heterosexual partners. European Study Group on Heterosexual Transmission of HIV. N Engl J Med 1994;331:341-6.
- 15 Sanders GD, Bayoumi AM, Sundaram V, Bilir SP, Neukermans CP, Rydwak CE, et al. Cost-effectiveness of screening for HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med 2005;352:570-85.
- 16 Krentz H, Auld M, Gill M. The high cost of medical care for patients who present late (CD4<200 cells/μL) with HIV infection. HIV Med 2004;5:93-8.
- 17 Yazdanpanah Y, Goldie S, Losina E, Weinstein MC, Lebrun J, Paltiel AD, et al. Lifetime cost of HIV care in France during the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. Antivir Ther 2002;7:257-66.
- 18 Paltiel AD, Weinstein MC, Kimmel AD, Seage GR, Losina E, Zhang H, et al. Expanded screening for HIV in the United States—an analysis of cost-effectiveness. N Engl J Med 2005;352:586-95.
- 19 Sidaction. Le sida en France. www.sidaction.org/informer/sidafrance.
- 20 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Late versus early testing of HIV—16 sites, United States, 2000-2003. MMWR: Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2003;52:581-6.
- 21 Marks G, Crepaz N, Janssen R. Estimating sexual transmission of HIV from persons aware and unaware that they are infected with the virus in the USA. *AIDS* 2006;20:1447-50.

1356