Triplet Repeat Expansion at the FRAXE Locus and X-linked Mild Mental Handicap

S. J. L. Knight,¹ M. A. Voelckel,² M. C. Hirst,¹ A. V. Flannery,¹ A. Moncla,² and K. E. Davies¹

'Institute of Molecular Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford; ²Centre de Génétique Médicale et INSERME U. 242, H6pital d'Enfants de la Timone, Marseille

Summary

We have recently shown that the expression of the FRAXE fragile site in Xq28 is associated with the expansion of ^a GCC trinucleotide repeat. In the families studied, FRAXE expression is also associated with mild mental handicap. Here we present data on families that previously had been diagnosed as having the fragile X syndrome but that later were found to be negative for trinucleotide repeat expansion at the FRAXA locus. In these families we demonstrate the presence of ^a GCC trinucleotide repeat expansion at the FRAXE locus. Studies of the FRAXE locus of normal individuals show that they have 6-25 copies of the repeat, whereas affected individuals have >200 copies. As in the fragile X syndrome, the amplified CpG residues are methylated in affected males.

Introduction

The most common form of X-linked mental retardation, the fragile X syndrome, has been shown to be due to the expansion of ^a CGG trinucleotide repeat at the ⁵' end of ^a gene known as "FMR-1" (Oberlé et al. 1991; Verkerk et al. 1991; Yu et al. 1991). The CGG amplification in patient DNA results in the methylation of the CpG residues and in transcriptional silencing of the gene (Hansen et al. 1992; Sutcliffe et al. 1992). Gene function may also be lost by point mutation or deletion events, but these are rare and are not associated with chromosome fragility (Gedeon et al. 1992; Wöhrle et al. 1992; De Boulle et al. 1993). Mentally retarded individuals with fragile sites at Xq27/28 but without amplification at the FRAXA locus have been shown to express fragility at sites more distal in Xq28, designated "FRAXE" (Sutherland and Baker 1992; Flynn et al. 1993) and "FRAXF" (Hirst et al. 1993). Cloning of the FRAXE site has shown that the fragility is also associated

Received December 15, 1993; accepted for publication March 15, 1994.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Professor Kay E. Davies, Molecular Genetics Group, Institute of Molecular Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU, England. © ¹⁹⁹⁴ by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.

0002-9297/94/5501-0012\$02.00

with the amplification of a CpG-rich triplet repeat (GCC) and that the methylation of CpG residues is associated with the observed phenotype (Knight et al. 1993).

The triplet repeat at FRAXA generally expands when passed through the female line, and reductions in copy number are rare. It remains to be seen whether this is also the case for FRAXE carrier females, where 3/10 transmitting females studied to date have passed on reduced copy numbers. By contrast, 5/5 males transmitting the FRAXE mutation have transmitted ^a triplet repeat reduced in GCC copy number. This apparent contraction in male meiosis may be due to the fact that only small expansions are present in the sperm, a situation that previously has been described for the CGG expansions at the FRAXA locus (Reyniers et al. 1993).

We were interested in determining whether FRAXE expansion or contraction events could explain the apparent dissociation between fragile-site expression and phenotype in a previously reported family (Voelckel et al. 1989). In this family, the proband was ^a Down syndrome child. Cytogenetic analyses revealed the expression of a fragile site at Xq27/q28 in the mother, but not in the proband. Further investigation revealed that many other members of the family express the fragile site. It is interesting that all of the females expressing fragility are phenotypically normal. One male, a lorry driver by occupation, expresses the fragile site and was originally ascertained as being intellectually and physically normal. Another male, who does not express the fragile site, is mildly retarded. No members show expansions at the FRAXA locus.

We also reinvestigated individuals of ^a second family who show expression of ^a fragile site at Xq28 but who are negative for expansion at the FRAXA locus (Nakahori et al. 1991; Dennis et al. 1992). Clinically affected individuals in this family are mildly retarded compared with the more severe phenotype usually associated with fragile X syndrome (Dennis et al. 1992).

Material and Methods

Samples of peripheral blood lymphocytes and lymphoblastoid cell lines were collected for both cytogenetic and DNA investigations. The DNA samples were digested with HindIII, for detection of GCC amplifications, and with HindIII+BssHII, HindIII+SacII, and HindIII+NotI, for methylation analyses as described elsewhere (Knight et al. 1993). The digested samples were run on 20-cm \times 25-cm 0.8% agarose gels and were allowed to migrate at ⁶⁵ V for 20 h or until the 5-kb marker reached the center of the gel (to give maximum resolution of any expanded allele). Electrophoresed samples were transferred onto Hybond-N membrane (Amersham) and hybridized with OxE20 as described elsewhere (Knight et al. 1993). PCR analysis across the FRAXE GCC repeat of normal X chromosomes was performed using the flanking oligonucleotides 598 and 603 as described elsewhere (Knight et al. 1993).

Results

Molecular Alterations at the FRAXE Locus

Molecular alterations at the FRAXE locus can be visualized after digestion of DNA samples with HindIII and hybridization of the Southern blots with the probe OxE20 (Knight et al. 1993). OxE20 lies \sim 600 bp distal to the GCC repeat and within the same 5.2-kb HindIII fragment (fig. 1). A PCR survey of 86 unrelated normal X chromosomes of Caucasian background shows that the range of GCC copy number is 6-25 copies, with the most common allele being 15 (fig. 1).

Two families have been analyzed for molecular alterations at the FRAXE locus. Both families previously had been described as having the fragile X syndrome but later were found to be negative for trinucleotide repeat expansion at the FRAXA locus (Voelckel et al. 1989; Dennis et al. 1992). Table ¹ shows a summary of the phenotypes originally reported for the male FRAXE carriers in these families (Voelckel et al. 1989; Dennis et al. 1992).

Family 1.—HindIII genomic blots from selected individuals of the family originally described by Voelckel et al. (1989) were hybridized with probe OxE20. The results of the analysis are presented in figure 2. Individual 2 (lane 2) is a normal female and shows the expected 5.2-kb HindIll fragment, which, because of double dosage, is of increased intensity. In contrast, all females expressing a fragile site in Xq27/28 show two fragments, one of normal size (5.2 kb) and one of increased molecular weight. These females are phenotypically normal, presumably because they have one normal X chromosome.

Individual 10 was originally reported as being physically and intellectually normal and yet expresses the fragile site in 43% of his cells. DNA analysis reveals that he has an expansion of $\Delta = \sim 2.3$ kb. It is of interest that he has passed on a much smaller fragment (Δ = 500 bp) to his phenotypically normal, cytogenetically negative carrier daughter. His half-brother, individual 8, is mentally retarded and originally presented with an encephalopathy and no signs suggestive of a dysmorphic syndrome (Voelckel et al. 1989). His karyotype is normal, with no fragile site, even after use of specific inclusion media. This individual also has an amplified fragment (Δ = 550 bp), but this

Figure I a, Map positions of probe OxE20 and PCR primers 598 and 603, relative to the FRAXE GCC repeat. $H = HindIII$; $E = EcoRI$; B $=$ BamHI; $X = Xhol$; $Bs = BssHII$; $S = SacII$; $N = NotI$; and Ea $=$ Eagl. b, Distribution of GCC copy number in 86 unrelated normal X chromosomes of Caucasian origin obtained by PCR across the repeat, with use of primers 598 and 603.

is much smaller than that of individual 10 and corresponds to ^a GCC copy number of 200, the lower limit of the mutant range previously reported to be associated with mental retardation (Knight et al. 1993).

Individuals 1, 8, and 10 from this family were further investigated for the methylation status of the CpG island immediately adjacent to the FRAXE site. DNA samples were digested with HindIII and then with the methylationsensitive enzymes BssHII, SacII, and NotI contained within the FRAXE CpG island. The resulting blots were hybridized with OxE20 (fig. 3). The expected smaller fragments are found in the normal male, indicating an unmeth-

Table ^I

ylated CpG island. For normal females, smaller fragments are also seen, and the signal from the 5.2 kb is reduced by \sim 50%, presumably because of methylation of the inactive X chromosome (data not shown). In figure 3, individual 1, a phenotypically normal, cytogenetically positive carrier female, shows normal unmethylated fragments in addition to methylated fragments in all of the double digests. This is consistent with her normal phenotype, with the normal X chromosome being active and the abnormal X chromosome remaining inactive. The analysis of the affected individual 8 shows that his amplified fragment is also methylated across the CpG island; again, this is consistent with the observed mental handicap. In contrast, the data for individual 10 are less easy to explain. This individual is reported to have a normal phenotype, and yet his amplified fragment is refractory to digestion, indicating the methylated status of his FRAXE CpG island.

Family 2.-Initial studies of this family revealed that affected individuals were negative for triplet repeat expansion at the FRAXA locus, despite the apparent segregation of fragile-site expression with mild mental handicap (Nakahori et al. 1991). The clinical features of individuals in this family have been reported by Dennis et al. (1992). The extensive pedigree and the analysis with probe OxE20 are presented in figure 4. The proband in this family is a mentally retarded male who expresses ^a fragile site in 10% of his cells. He was referred at the age of $8¹/₂$ years, with behavioral problems, mild learning difficulties, and obesity.

DNA analysis shows that he has ^a very faint smear of fragments at the FRAXE locus, with ^a predominant fragment size of \sim 6.5 kb (Δ = 1.3 kb). His mother is also cytogenetically positive, and she has a larger expansion, $\Delta = 2.2$ kb. Similarly, her sister, niece, and mother are fragile-site positive with expansions of the FRAXE site. The remaining two affected males analyzed (individuals 10 and 11) show ^a GCC triplet repeat expansion consistent with the observed phenotype.

Discussion

Families segregating for FRAXE have been ascertained through screening programs for the fragile X syndrome. The studies presented here, together with those previously reported by Knight et al. (1993), suggest that the expression of FRAXE and the methylation of this site may be responsible for the mental handicap observed in these families. However, in family ¹ presented here there is a phenotypically normal male who expresses the FRAXE site, shows amplification of the GCC repeat, and is methylated across the FRAXE CpG island. This individual is currently undergoing more detailed clinical evaluation. If the expansion of GCC repeats and methylation of the FRAXE locus do indeed result in mental impairment, then the phenotype of this individual may be extremely mild and reflect the clinical variability of this disease. Thus, the situation may be similar to fragile X syndrome, where the degree of men-

Figure 2 Analysis of FRAXE Family 1, with probe OxE20. DNA samples derived from peripheral blood lymphocytes were digested with HindIII. The numbers above the lanes correspond to the individual numbers on the pedigree. Lane 15, Normal male control. \Box = Normal male; \odot = normal female; \Box = carrier male; \odot = obligate carrier female; \blacksquare = affected male; \boxtimes = deceased male; \boxtimes = male—pregnancy terminated; and \clubsuit = female-pregnancy terminated.

Figure 3 OxE20 methylation analysis across the FRAXE CpG island of a normal male and of individuals 1, 8, and 10 from family ¹ (see fig. 2.). DNA samples were digested with HindIll (lane 1), HindlIl+Bss-Hil (lane 2), Hindlll+SaclI (lane 3), and Hindlll+NotI (lane 4).

tal impairment varies from mild to very severe (Fryns et al. 1989). It is important that the parents of this individual be evaluated for their cognitive abilities, as high parental scores could also account for normal range values in their son. Alternatively, the normal phenotype observed in this male may be due to somatic mosaicism with normal expression of the FRAXE gene product in the critical tissues. Such mosaicism has been observed in rare individuals who express the FRAXA site and are phenotypically normal (D. Barton, personal communication). In contrast, the apparent association between FRAXE expression and mental handicap may be due to ascertainment bias, as suggested by Sutherland and Baker (1992).

In the few families studied thus far, the FRAXE individuals are more mildly affected than are fragile X syndrome (i.e., FRAXA) individuals. In light of the highly conserved nature of sequences in the FRAXE region (A. V. Flannery, unpublished data), it seems likely that the FRAXE phenotype results from the loss of expression of ^a gene. However, it is also possible that the FRAXE mutation causes reduced FMR-1 expression, resulting in ^a phenotype that is much milder than classic fragile X syndrome (i.e., FRAXA). The mechanism for this may be similar to that described by Hansen et al. (1993), who suggest that a large

Figure 4 Analysis of FRAXE family 2 with probe OxE20. DNA samples derived from lymphoblastoid cell lines were digested with HindIII. The numbers above the lanes correspond to the individual numbers on the pedigree. Lane 1, Normal male control. \Box = Normal male; \bigcirc = normal female; \odot = obligate carrier female; \blacksquare = affected male; and \boxtimes = deceased male.

domain of late replication caused by the FRAXA mutation may explain the transcriptional inhibition reported at the IDS locus of FRAXA individuals (Clarke et al. 1992).

Genotype-phenotype studies have been limited by a lack of comprehensive cognitive and psychometric testing in FRAXE families. These will be necessary to establish whether there is indeed an association between FRAXE and mental impairment. Although the FRAXE locus shows many similarities to the FRAXA locus, individuals with the FRAXE mutation may escape ascertainment through screening programs designed to detect the fragile X syndrome (i.e., FRAXA), because they have a generally milder phenotype. If this is the case, it will be important to screen children with learning difficulties who are attending special schools and to perform population screens to assess both the frequency of this mutation and the clinical spectrum of the disease. These studies are currently in progress.

Acknowledgments

We thank Helen Blaber for help in the preparation of the manuscript, Patricia Jacobs for families, and Irene Boccaccio for technical assistance. We are grateful to the Medical Research Council, The Wellcome Trust, and Action Research for financial support.

References

- Clarke A, Bradley D, Gillespie K, Rees D, Holland A, Thomas NST (1992) Fragile X mental retardation and the iduronate sulphatase locus: testing Laird's model of Fra(X) inheritance. Am ^J Med Genet 43:299-306
- De Boulle K, Verkerk AJ, Reyniers E, Vits L, Hendrickx J, Van Roy B, Van den Bos F, et al (1993) A point mutation in the FMR-1 gene associated with fragile X mental retardation. Nature Genet 3:31-35
- Dennis N, Curtis G, MacPherson J, Jacobs P, Heitz D (1992) Two families with Xq27.3 fragility, no detectable insert in the FMR-1 gene, mild mental impairment and absence of the Martin-Bell phenotype. Am ^J Med Genet 43:232-236
- Flynn GA, Hirst MC, Knight SJL, MacPherson JN, Barber JCK, Flannery AV, Davies KE, et al (1993) Identification of the FRAXE fragile site in two families ascertained for X linked mental retardation. ^J Med Genet 30:97-103
- Fryns J-P (1989) X-linked mental retardation and the fragile X syndrome: a clinical approach. In: Davies KE (ed) The fragile syndrome. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 1-39
- Gedeon AK, Baker E, Robinson H, Partington MW, Gross B, Manca A, Korn B, et al (1992) Fragile X syndrome without CCG amplification has an FMR-1 deletion. Nature Genet 1: 341-344
- Hansen RS, Canfield TK, Lamb MM, Gartler SM, Laird CD (1993) Association of fragile X syndrome with delayed replication of the FMR1 gene. Cell 73:1403-1409
- Hansen RS, Gartler SM, Scott CR, Chen S-H, Laird CD (1992) Methylation analysis of CGG sites in the CpG island of the human FMR-1 gene. Hum Mol Genet 1:571-578
- Hirst MC, Barnicoat A, Flynn G, Wang Q, Daker M, Buckle VJ, Davies KE, et al (1993) The identification of a third fragile site, FRAXF in Xq27-28 distal to both FRAXA and FRAXE. Hum Mol Genet 2:197-200
- Knight SJL, Flannery AV, Hirst MC, Campbell L, Christodoulou Z, Phelps SR, Pointon J, et al (1993) Trinucleotide repeat amplification and hypermethylation of ^a CpG island in FRAXE mental retardation. Cell 74:127-134
- Nakahori Y, Knight SJL, Holland J, Schwartz C, Roche A, Tarleton J, Wong S, et al (1991) Molecular heterogeneity of the fragile X syndrome. Nucleic Acids Res 19:4355-4359
- Oberle I, Rousseau F, Heitz D, Kretz C, Devys D, Hanauer A, Boue J, et al (1991) Instability of a 550-base pair DNA segment and abnormal methylation in fragile X syndrome. Science 252: 1097-1102

Reyniers E, Vits L, De Boulle K, Van Roy B, de Graaff E, Verkerk

AJMH, Jorens HZJ, et al (1993) The full mutation in the FMR-¹ of male fragile X patients is absent in their sperm. Nature Genet 4:143-146

- Sutcliffe JS, Nelson DL, Zhang F, Pieretti M, Caskey CT, Saxe D, Warren ST (1992) DNA methylation represses FMR-1 transcription in fragile X syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 1:397-400
- Sutherland GR, Baker E (1992) Characterisation of a new rare fragile site easily confused with the fragile X. Hum Mol Genet 1:111-113
- Verkerk AJMH, Pieretti M, Sutcliffe JS, Fu Y-H, Kuhl DPA, Pizzuti A, Reier 0, et al (1991) Identification of a gene (FMR-1) containing ^a CGG repeat coincident with ^a breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome. Cell 5:905-914
- Voelckel MA, Philip N, Piquet C, Pellissier MC, Oberle I, Birg F, Mattei MG, et al (1989) Study of ^a family with ^a fragile site of the X chromosome at Xq27-28 without mental retardation. Hum Genet 81:353-357
- Wohrle D, Kotzot D, Hirst MC, Manca A, Kom B, Schmidt A, Barbi G, et al (1992) A microdeletion of less than 250 kb, including the proximal part of the FMR-1 gene and the fragile-X site, in a male with the clinical phenotype of fragile-X syndrome. Am ^J Hum Genet 51:299-306
- Yu S, Pritchard M, Kremer E, Lynch M, Nancarrow J, Baker E, Holman K, et al (1991) Fragile X genotype characterized by an unstable region of DNA. Science 252:1179-1181