



Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2006 January ; 36(1): 57–68.

Specifying Race-Ethnic Differences in Risk for Psychiatric Disorder in a US National Sample

Joshua Breslau, Ph.D. Sc.D.¹, Sergio Aguilar-Gaxiola, M.D., Ph.D.³, Kenneth S. Kendler, M.D.², Maxwell Su, Ph.D.¹, David Williams, Ph.D.⁴, and Ronald C. Kessler, Ph.D.¹

¹ Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Medical College of Virginia/Virginia Commonwealth University

² Departments of Psychiatry and Human Genetics, Medical College of Virginia/Virginia Commonwealth University

³ Department of Psychology, California State University, Fresno

⁴ Department of Sociology and Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan

Abstract

Background—Epidemiological studies have found lower than expected prevalence of psychiatric disorders among disadvantaged race-ethnic minority groups in the United States. Recent research shows that this is due entirely to reduced *lifetime risk* of disorders, as opposed to *persistence*. Specification of race-ethnic differences with respect to clinical and social characteristics can help identify the protective factors that lead to lower lifetime risk among disadvantaged minority groups.

Methods—Data on 5,424 Hispanics, Non-Hispanic Blacks, and Non-Hispanic Whites came from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCSR), a nationally representative survey conducted with the World Mental Health version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI). Race-ethnic differences in risk of disorders were compared across specific diagnoses, ages of onset, cohorts and levels of education.

Results—Both minority groups had lower risk for common internalizing disorders: depression, GAD, and social phobia. In addition, Hispanics had lower risk for dysthymia, ODD and ADHD; Non-Hispanic Blacks had lower risk for panic disorder, substance use disorders and early onset impulse control disorders. Lower risk among Hispanics, relative to Non-Hispanic Whites, was found only among the younger cohort (age<=43). Lower risk among minorities was more pronounced at lower levels of education.

Conclusion—The pattern of race-ethnic differences in risk for psychiatric disorders suggests the presence of protective factors that originate in childhood and have generalized effects on internalizing disorders. For Hispanics, but not for Non-Hispanic Blacks, the influence of these protective factors has emerged only recently.

Social adversity is commonly associated with increased risk for psychiatric disorders (Dohrenwend, 2000). However, community studies in the United States have not found elevated point prevalence of psychiatric disorders among disadvantaged racial and ethnic minority groups (Kessler et al., 1994; Somervell et al., 1989), despite higher levels of social adversity experienced by these groups (Clark et al., 1999; Turner & Lloyd, 2004; Williams, 1999). In the National Comorbidity Survey (NCS), the first nationally representative survey of psychiatric disorders conducted in the US, we showed that the lower than expected point

prevalence of DSM-III mental disorders among racial-ethnic minorities was attributable exclusively to differences in lifetime risk of these disorders as opposed to course of illness. Indeed, the course of illness tended to be more persistent among minorities than Non-Hispanic Whites in that study (Breslau et al., 2005). Thus, understanding reasons for the lower risk of ever developing a mental disorder appears to be the key to understanding the lower than expected prevalence of mental disorders among disadvantaged racial and ethnic minority groups in the US.

In this report we take the next step towards identifying causes of race-ethnic differences in lifetime risk of psychiatric disorders by specifying these differences in greater detail with respect to clinical and sociodemographic characteristics. Using data from a recent national survey, the National Comorbidity Survey Replication, we investigate variation in race-ethnic differences across 1) individual DSM-IV disorders, 2) age of onset of disorder, 3) birth cohorts, and 4) educational attainment. Results of these comparisons will help guide the investigation of potential protective factors that result in lower lifetime risk among race-ethnic minorities.

Our previous report considered race/ethnic differences in 3 broad classes of disorder: mood, anxiety and substance use disorders. However, it is important to know whether the differences we observed are consistent across disorders within these classes, implicating protective factors with generalized effects, or whether they are attributable to differences in a small number of disorders, implicating factors with disorder specific effects (Aneshensel et al., 1991). In this analysis we test for variation in race/ethnic differences across individual disorders within each class of disorders. In addition, we examine an additional class of disorders, impulse control disorders, that was not included in the earlier survey.

Specifying race-ethnic differences in early vs. late age of onset of disorder can help identify the developmental periods during which protective factors exert their influence. If, for instance, reduced risk of psychiatric disorder begins with early onset disorders, we should consider protective factors present in childhood environments rather than adult social experiences. It has been suggested that stressors associated with minority race-ethnicity vary across the lifespan; minority children may be sheltered from the negative impact that discrimination in labor markets and other areas of adult life has on mental health in adults (Gore & Aseltine, 2003). This hypothesis predicts that the lower risk among minorities will be stronger for early than for late onset disorders.

Specification of race/ethnic differences with respect to birth cohorts can help identify causal factors by locating the differences historically. This is of interest because the social conditions affecting minorities in the US have changed in recent decades in ways that influence the experience of social adversity, such as income (Levy, 1998) and educational attainment (Kao & Thompson, 2003). However, studies using distress scales have found that race/ethnic differences have not varied from the 1950s through the 1990s despite these changes (Thomas & Hughes, 1986). We do not know the extent to which the same is true for lifetime risk of psychiatric disorders. Continuity in race/ethnic differences across birth cohorts would point to factors that are transmitted across generations despite historical changes in social conditions.

The association of disadvantaged minority status with lower lifetime risk of disorders suggests that the relationship between socioeconomic status and risk of onset may vary across race/ethnic groups (Williams, 1997; Williams et al., 1992). Two theories of such variation have been suggested. First, the 'double jeopardy' theory suggests that morbidity will be particularly elevated among low SES members of disadvantaged minority groups. This theory was supported by studies using distress scales that found higher levels of distress among Non-Hispanic Blacks relative to Non-Hispanic Whites only among those with low SES (Kessler & Neighbors, 1986; McLeod & Owens, 2004). Second, the 'declining returns' theory predicts the

opposite pattern, with higher morbidity among minority groups at higher levels of SES. This theory, suggested by studies which found that minorities have lower economic returns to investment in educational credentials (Chiswick, 1988), has been supported in research on indicators of general physical health (Farmer & Ferraro, 2005). With respect to mental health the ‘declining returns’ pattern is also consistent with the suggestion that social stressors are most severe for middle class minorities who have the highest expectations but also face the most severe competition in labor markets (Cole & Omari, 2003; Jackson & Stewart, 2003; Neckerman et al., 1999; Parker & Kleiner, 1966).

METHODS

Sample

As detailed elsewhere (Kessler et al., 2004b), the NCS-R is a nationally representative survey of English-speaking household residents ages 18 and older in the coterminous United States. Face-to-face interviews were carried out by professional interviewers from the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan between February, 2001 and April, 2003. The response rate was 70.9%. The survey was administered in two parts. Part I included a core diagnostic assessment of all respondents (n = 9282) that took an average of about one hour to administer. Part II included questions about risk factors, consequences, other correlates and additional disorders. In an effort to reduce respondent burden and control study costs, Part II was administered only to 5692 of the 9282 Part I respondents, including all Part I respondents with a lifetime disorder plus a probability sub-sample of other respondents (n = 5692). This paper examines Part II respondents who were Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Black or Non-Hispanic White (N=5424). Interviewers explained the study and obtained verbal informed consent prior to beginning each interview. Recruitment and consent were approved by the Human Subjects Committees of Harvard Medical School and the University of Michigan.

The data were weighted to adjust for differential probabilities of selection, differential non-response, and residual differences between the sample and tract-level 2000 Census population on socio-demographic variables. An additional Part II weight adjusted for over-sampling of Part I cases. Weighting is described in more detail elsewhere (Kessler et al., 2004b).

Measures

Diagnostic assessment—NCS-R diagnoses are based on the World Mental Health Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI) (Kessler & Ustun, 2004) a fully structured lay-administered diagnostic interview that generates both ICD-10 (World Health Organization, 1991) and DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) diagnoses. 4 classes of disorder, consisting of a total of 18 DSM-IV disorders are examined here: anxiety disorders [panic disorder, agoraphobia without panic disorder, specific phobia, social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)], mood disorders [major depressive disorder, dysthymia, bipolar I and II disorders], a series of four disorders that share a common feature of difficulties with impulse-control [intermittent explosive disorder, oppositional-defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)], and 2 substance use disorders [alcohol abuse or dependence, drug abuse or dependence]. As described elsewhere (Kessler et al., 2004a), blind clinical re-interviews with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) (First et al., 2002) found generally good concordance with WMH-CIDI diagnoses for anxiety, mood, and substance use disorders. Impulse-control diagnoses have not been validated.

Onset—The timing of onset of disorders was determined using retrospectively reports of age of onset. These reports were obtained in the WMH-CIDI using a question series designed to

avoid the implausible response patterns obtained in using the standard CIDI age of onset question (Simon & VonKorff, 1995). The sequence began with a question designed to emphasize the importance of accurate response: “Can you remember your exact age the very first time you (HAD THE SYNDROME)?” Respondents who answered “no” were probed for a bound of uncertainty by moving up the age range incrementally (e.g., “Was it before you first started school?” “Was it before you became a teenager?” etc.). Age of onset was set at the upper end of the bound (e.g., age 12 for respondents who reported that onset was before they became teenagers). Experimental research shows this question sequence yields responses with a much more plausible age of onset distribution than the standard CIDI age of onset question (Knauper et al., 1999).

Race-ethnicity—Race-ethnicity was determined using the 2 question format recommended by the U.S. Census Bureau on the basis of the Race and Ethnic Targeted Test (US Census Bureau, 1997). Respondents were first asked if they were “of Hispanic or Latino descent”. All respondents were then asked “Which of the following best describes your race: American Indian, Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African-American, Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander, or White?” All respondents who indicated Hispanic or Latino descent are considered Hispanic. Non-Hispanics were then classified according to the race category they selected. Non-Hispanics who were neither Black nor White were excluded from this analysis.

Age of Onset and Cohort Variables—Age of Onset was dichotomized into early and late time periods using the median of the distribution of age of onset for each class of disorders. The cutpoints were: 10 years of age for anxiety disorders, 22 years of age for mood disorders, 10 years of age for impulse control disorders and 18 years of age for substance use disorders. The sample was divided into younger (age≤43) and older (age>43) cohorts based on the median age of the sample.

Parental and Respondent Education—Data on parental and respondent education were used as indicators of socioeconomic status in childhood and adulthood respectively. The educational attainment of the respondent’s parent, i.e. chief breadwinner in childhood) was classified into four categories (0–11, 12, 13–15, 16+). Respondent education was defined as a time-varying covariate assuming an orderly progression through years of education. Person-years were classified as 1) student prior to graduation from high school, 2) student after graduation from high school, 3) non-student with less than 12 years of education, 4) non-student with 12 years of education, 5) non-student with 13–15 years of education, 6) non-student with 16 or more years of education.

Statistical Analysis

Lifetime prevalence was estimated as the proportion of respondents who ever had a given disorder up to their age at the time of the interview. Since race-ethnic groups differ in their age distributions, comparisons of lifetime prevalence are not equivalent to comparisons of lifetime risk. Lifetime risk was examined using discrete-time survival analysis with person-year the unit of analysis and statistical controls for age and sex (Efron, 1988). Variation in race-ethnic differences across disorders, cohorts, ages of onset, and education were evaluated by including interactions between these variables and race-ethnicity.

Standard errors of prevalence estimates and survival coefficients were estimated using the Taylor series linearization method (Wolter, 1985) implemented in the SUDAAN software system (Research Triangle Institute, 2002). Multivariate significance tests were made with Wald χ^2 tests using Taylor series design-based coefficient variance-covariance matrices. All significance tests were evaluated at the .05 level with two-sided tests.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

Reflecting the US population, both Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Blacks were younger (Meyer, 2001) and had lower levels of education (Bauman & Graf, 2003) and lower levels of parental education (Kao & Thompson, 2003) than Non-Hispanic Whites (Table 1). Also consistent with US census data, the ratio of men to women is highest among Hispanics and lowest among Non-Hispanic Blacks (Spraggins, 2003).

Lifetime Prevalence

Compared with Non-Hispanic Whites, Hispanics had lower lifetime prevalence for any disorder, 2 of the 4 classes of disorders and 6 of the 16 specific disorders (Table 2). These differences were significant for dysthymia, depression, GAD and social phobia. For Non-Hispanic Blacks, lower lifetime prevalence was more pervasive: Non-Hispanic Blacks had lower prevalence than Non-Hispanic Whites for any disorder, all 4 classes of disorders and for 13 of the 16 specific disorders. Differences were significant for any disorder, all classes of disorder except impulse control and for depression, GAD, panic disorder, social phobia and alcohol use disorder. There is only one instance in which a minority group had significantly higher lifetime prevalence relative to Non-Hispanic Whites: Non-Hispanic Blacks had significantly higher lifetime prevalence of bipolar disorder.

Lifetime Risk

Comparisons of lifetime risk, estimated in survival models that take into account differences in the age distribution across race-ethnic groups, show an even stronger pattern of lower risk for disorders among minorities than was indicated by comparisons of lifetime prevalence (Table 3). Both Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Blacks had significantly lower lifetime risk for mood disorders, anxiety disorders and for any psychiatric disorder, and Non-Hispanic Blacks had lower lifetime risk for substance use disorders. Variation in race-ethnic differences across the individual disorders within a class was significant in all but three cases: impulse control disorders among Non-Hispanic Blacks, and substance use disorders among both minority groups. Both groups had lower lifetime risk than Non-Hispanic Whites for depression, GAD and social phobia. There were also group specific differences: compared to Non-Hispanic Whites, Hispanics had lower lifetime risk for dysthymia, oppositional defiant disorder, and ADHD, while Non-Hispanic Blacks had lower lifetime risk for substance use disorders and panic disorder.

Variations across Age of Onset

Race-ethnic differences did not vary significantly between early and late onset disorders ($\chi^2_1 = 0.1-2.4$ $p = .800-.121$), with one exception. Non-Hispanic Blacks had significantly lower risk for early onset (prior to age 10) impulse control disorders, but not for late onset impulse control disorders ($\chi^2_1 = 4.5$, $p=.034$: OR early onset= 0.7 95% CI (0.5, 1.0), OR late onset=1.0 95% CI (0.7, 1.5).

Variations across Cohorts

Analysis of cohort variation in race-ethnic differences revealed that the lower lifetime risk among Hispanics is attributable entirely to the younger cohort, i.e. those age 43 or younger (Table 4). Significant variation was found for each of the four classes of disorder ($\chi^2_1 = 4.3-7.2$, $p = .039-.007$). Specifically, in the older cohort, there were no significant differences in risk for anxiety, mood and impulse control disorders between Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Whites, but in the younger cohort Hispanics had significantly lower risk than Non-Hispanic Whites for each of these classes of disorder. Results for substance use disorders showed a trend

in the same direction: in the older cohort Hispanics had significantly *higher* lifetime risk, whereas in the younger cohort there was no significant difference between Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Whites.

In contrast with variation across cohorts for Hispanics, for Non-Hispanic Blacks lower risk for disorders was constant across cohorts for anxiety, mood, and impulse control disorders ($\chi^2_1 = 0.3-1.8$, $p = .598-.179$). An exception to this pattern was risk for substance use disorders, for which lower lifetime risk was limited to the younger cohort ($\chi^2_1 = 10.9$, $p = .001$).

Because race-ethnic differences might vary simultaneously by cohort and age of onset, we tested this possibility using three-way interactions between race-ethnicity, cohort and age of onset but found no significant interaction in any model ($\chi^2_1 = <.01-1.0$, $p = .982-.313$).

Variations across Parental and Respondent Education

Lower lifetime risk among both minority groups was most pronounced at lower levels of education (Table 5). For Hispanics, there was significant variation in the odds ratio for three of the four classes of disorder: parental education for substance use disorders ($\chi^2_3 = 0.6$, $p = .022$) and impulse control disorders ($\chi^2_3 = 9.3$, $p = .026$) and respondent's education for mood disorders ($\chi^2_5 = 18.6$, $p = .002$). In each instance, lower lifetime risk in Hispanics relative to Non-Hispanic Whites was restricted to lower education levels. For Non-Hispanic Blacks there was significant variation across respondent education for impulse control disorders ($\chi^2_5 = 21.3$, $p = .001$) with the same trend as observed in Hispanics. No other interactions were detected for parental education ($\chi^2_3 = 1.7-6.3$, $p = .645-.098$) or respondent education ($\chi^2_5 = 2.0-10.4$, $p = .849-.064$).

DISCUSSION

Consistent with previous national surveys in the US, data from the NCS-R indicate that Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Blacks have lower lifetime risk of psychiatric disorders than Non-Hispanic Whites (Breslau et al., 2005). This finding suggests the need to investigate potential protective factors that might explain the advantage that race-ethnic minority groups enjoy despite the social disadvantages they experience. Ethnic identification (Herd & Grube, 1996; Mossakowski, 2003) and religious participation (Ellison et al., 2001; Lee & Newberg, 2005; Varon & Riley, 1999; Wallace & Forman, 1998) have been suggested as protective factors that might explain lower lifetime risk for disorder among race-ethnic minorities. However, cultural and social factors that might be related to risk for psychiatric disorder are complex and have undergone significant changes in recent decades.

As noted in the introduction, our goal in this analysis was to advance the examination of suspected protective factors by investigating variation in race-ethnic differences across specific disorders, age of onset of disorders and subgroups of the population defined by cohort and education. The key findings of this analysis are: 1) Lower lifetime risk among race-ethnic minority groups relative to Non-Hispanic Whites are attributable to a small number of common disorders; 2) Lower lifetime risk among both Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Blacks relative to Non-Hispanic Whites begins in childhood (i.e. prior to age 10); 3) Differences in lifetime risk favoring minorities are more pervasive in the younger cohort (i.e. born circa 1958 or more recently); 4) Variation in race-ethnic differences across levels of education is sporadic and, where it occurs, it shows that lower lifetime risk in members of minority groups is more pronounced at lower levels of education (i.e. parent or respondent had less than high school education).

Lower risk for mood and anxiety disorders among both minority groups was accounted for by 5 disorders, major depression, dysthymia, GAD, social phobia, and panic disorder. These

disorders fall within a set of ‘internalizing’ disorders, which cluster together in factor analyses, suggesting that they may share etiologic pathways (Kendler et al., 2003; Krueger, 1999). The finding that these disorders vary together in lifetime risk across race-ethnic groups suggests the presence of common protective factors across disorders for both minority groups. This possibility would be further supported if future analyses show that patterns of comorbidity among these disorders are similar across race-ethnic groups. With respect to substance use and impulse control disorders, the group of externalizing disorders which factor analyses suggest share etiologic processes (Kendler et al., 2003; Krueger, 1999), differences are not consistent among race-ethnic groups and across disorders, suggesting that the explanation for the observed differences are more complex.

The finding that race/ethnic differences emerge in childhood strongly suggests that the search for causes should focus on factors present in the early life environment. A focus on childhood is a particularly important consideration for studies of religious participation and ethnic identification. These factors are measured in adults, but may also reflect the cultural contexts in which children are socialized, rather than the direct effect of religious participation on adult experience.

We found that for Hispanics, differences in lifetime risk relative to Non-Hispanic Whites varied significantly across birth cohorts for all classes of disorder, and that the phenomenon of lower lifetime risk of disorders was specific to the younger cohort. For Non-Hispanic Blacks, a similar trend was found for substance use disorders. It is unclear that the protective factors suggested in the literature, ethnic identification and religious participation have followed a similar historical trajectory. There is no evidence that relative rates of religious *participation* across groups have changed, but there is evidence that religious *affiliations* within both minority groups have shifted toward conservative protestant groups that tend to promote abstention from alcohol (Hunt, 1999; Sherkat, 2002). The role of religion could be further elucidated if future studies examine whether observed historical changes in race-ethnic differences in risk of disorder are related to contemporaneous changes in specific aspects of religiosity. Future studies should also examine historical changes in patterns of immigration (Portes & Zhou, 2003), educational achievement (Kao & Thompson, 2003) and income (Levy, 1998) across minority groups.

Analysis of variation in race-ethnic differences across levels of SES, using parental and respondent education as indicators, contradict the ‘double jeopardy’ theory, which would predict that low SES minorities would have the highest levels of risk because of the combined effect of socioeconomic and race-ethnic disadvantage. Where variation in race-ethnic differences in lifetime risk was found, the pattern was consistent with predictions of the ‘declining returns’ theory, which predicts that minority groups enjoy fewer of the health improvements that come with higher socioeconomic status. This pattern is consistent with research on Hispanics which found that Hispanic enclave communities offer social resources to their members that may have a salutary impact on health (Eschbach et al., 2004; Portes & Zhou, 2003; Sanders, 2002).

Limitations

The findings should be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, the assessment of lifetime risk for psychiatric disorders depends on the accuracy of lifetime recall of psychiatric symptoms. As mentioned in the methods section above, the WMH-CIDI included question sequences found in experimental research to improve recall of life events in survey research. There is no evidence that recall of psychiatric symptoms is differential across race-ethnic groups.

Second, differential non-response across race/ethnic groups may have led to underestimation of lifetime disorders among minority groups (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2001). To minimize the impact of non-response bias in this survey, a non-respondent survey was carried out in which a representative sub-sample of initial non-respondents was administered a brief telephone survey that collected screening information about anxiety, mood, impulse-control, and substance disorders (Kessler et al., 2004b). Results were used to weight the main survey data to adjust for any differential under-representation of disorders on the basis of race-ethnicity as well as other socio-demographic factors. In addition, missing data on parental education, a problem that was more common for minorities than for Non-Hispanic Whites, were imputed based on information on parental occupation. Results did not change meaningfully when observations with missing values were removed from the analysis, but it remains possible that residual bias remains that might limit the generalizability of the results reported here.

Third, cultural differences may lead members of different race/ethnic groups to respond differently to the same survey questions regarding their psychiatric history despite similar levels of morbidity (Rogler, 1999; Rogler et al., 2001). Psychometric studies of differential item functioning examining distress scales have found some differences along these lines across race/ethnic groups. However, these differences generally overestimated levels of distress of minority groups and were attributable to items related to positive mental health (Iwata & Buka, 2002). Positive mental health items are not used to assess disorders in this study. Reporting of psychiatric symptoms might also be influenced by the race-ethnicity of the interviewers. Since the finding of lower lifetime risk among minority groups is consistent across studies using different structured diagnostic instruments, e.g., the DIS (Somervell et al., 1989; Weissman et al., 1991), the UM-CIDI (Kessler et al., 1994) and the WMH-CIDI (Kessler et al., 2005), methodological studies of differential validity across race/ethnic groups should focus on methods shared by these instruments.

We have focused on race/ethnic differences in lifetime risk because of the counterintuitive finding of lower lifetime risk among disadvantaged groups. However, this finding of lower lifetime risk does not imply that disadvantaged groups suffer a lower overall burden of psychiatric morbidity. Our previous work suggests that the advantage of reduced lifetime risk is offset by the disadvantage of an increased risk for persistence among members of minority groups who become ill (Breslau et al., 2005). Assessment of the aggregate public health implications of race/ethnic differences in psychiatric morbidity, therefore, will require comparisons that consider multiple aspects of the course of disorders, including persistence as well as severity and disability.

Acknowledgements

The National Comorbidity Survey was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH; R01 MH46376, R01 MH49098, and R01 MH52861) with supplemental support from the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA; through a supplement to MH46376) and the W.T. Grant Foundation (90135190). The National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) is supported by NIMH (U01-MH60220) with supplemental support from NIDA, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF; Grant 044708), and the John W. Alden Trust. Collaborating investigators include Ronald C. Kessler (Principal Investigator, Harvard Medical School), Kathleen Merikangas (Co-Principal Investigator, NIMH), James Anthony (Michigan State University), William Eaton (The Johns Hopkins University), Meyer Glantz (NIDA), Doreen Koretz (Harvard University), Jane McLeod (Indiana University), Mark Olfson (Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons), Harold Pincus (University of Pittsburgh), Greg Simon (Group Health Cooperative), Michael Von Korff (Group Health Cooperative), Philip Wang (Harvard Medical School), Kenneth Wells (UCLA), Elaine Wethington (Cornell University), and Hans-Ulrich Wittchen (Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry). The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of any of the sponsoring organizations, agencies, or U.S. Government. A complete list of NCS publications and the full text of all NCS-R instruments can be found at <http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/ncs>. Send correspondence to NCS@hcp.med.harvard.edu. The NCS-R is carried out in conjunction with the World Health Organization World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative. We thank the staff of the WMH Data Collection and Data Analysis

Coordination Centres for assistance with instrumentation, fieldwork, and consultation on data analysis. These activities were supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Pfizer Foundation, the US Public Health Service (R13-MH066849, R01-MH069864, and R01 DA016558), the Pan American Health Organization, Eli Lilly and Company, and GlaxoSmithKline. A complete list of WMH publications can be found at <http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/>. Support for this analysis was provided by NIMH (K01 MH66057-02).

References

- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, (DSM-IV). 4. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1994.
- Aneshensel CS, Rutter CM, Lachenbruch PA. Social structure, stress and mental health: competing conceptual and analytic models. *American Sociological Review* 1991;56:166–178.
- Bauman, K.; Graf, NL. Educational Attainment: 2000. Washington, DC: US Census Bureau; 2003.
- Breslau J, Kendler KS, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Su M, Kessler RC. Lifetime prevalence and persistence of psychiatric disorders across ethnic groups in the United States. *Psychological Medicine* 2005;35
- Chiswick B. Differences in education and earnings across racial and ethnic groups: tastes, discrimination and investments in child quality. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1988;103:571–597.
- Clark R, Anderson NB, Clark VR, Williams DR. Racism as a stressor for African Americans: a biopsychosocial model. *American Psychologist* 1999;54:805–816. [PubMed: 10540593]
- Cole ER, Omari SR. Race, class and the dilemmas of upward mobility for African Americans. *Journal of Social Issues* 2003;59:785–802.
- Dohrenwend BP. The role of adversity and stress in psychopathology: some evidence and its implications for theory and research. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 2000;41:1–19. [PubMed: 10750319]
- Efron B. Logistic regression, survival analysis and the Kaplan-Meier Curve. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 1988
- Ellison C, Boardman JD, Williams DR, Jackson JS. Religious involvement, stress and mental health: findings from the 1995 Detroit Area Study. *Social Forces* 2001;80:215–249.
- Eschbach K, Ostir GV, Patel KV, Markides KS, Goodwin JS. Neighborhood context and mortality among older Mexican Americans: is there a barrio advantage? *American Journal of Public Health* 2004;94:1807–1812. [PubMed: 15451754]
- Farmer MM, Ferraro KF. Are racial disparities in health conditional on socioeconomic status? *Social Science and Medicine* 2005;60:191–204. [PubMed: 15482878]
- First, MB.; Spitzer, RL.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Non-patient Edition (SCID-I/NP). Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute; New York: 2002.
- Gore S, Aseltine RH Jr. Race and ethnic differences in depressed mood following the transition from high school. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 2003;44:370–389. [PubMed: 14582314]
- Herd D, Grube J. Black identity and drinking in the US: a national study. *Addiction* 1996;91:845–857. [PubMed: 8696247]
- Hunt L. Hispanic protestantism in the United States: Trends by decade and generation. *Social Forces* 1999;77:1601–1624.
- Iwata N, Buka S. Race/ethnicity and depressive symptoms: a cross-cultural/ethnic comparison among university students in East Asia, North and South America. *Social Science and Medicine* 2002;55:2243–2252. [PubMed: 12409137]
- Jackson PB, Stewart QT. A research agenda for the black middle class: work stress, survival strategies, and mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 2003;44:442–455. [PubMed: 14582318]
- Kao G, Thompson J. Racial and ethnic stratification in educational achievement and attainment. *Annual Review of Sociology* 2003;49:417–442.
- Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, Neale MC. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2003;60:929–937. [PubMed: 12963675]
- Kessler RC, Abelson J, Demler O, Escobar JI, Gibbon M, Guyer ME, Howes MJ, Jin R, Vega WA, Walters EE, Wang P, Zaslavsky A, Zheng H. Clinical calibration of DSM-IV diagnoses in the World Mental Health (WMH) version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International

- Diagnostic Interview (WMHCIDI). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 2004a; 13:122–139. [PubMed: 15297907]
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Chiu WT, Demler O, Heeringa S, Hiripi E, Jin R, Pennell BE, Walters EE, Zaslavsky A, Zheng H. The US National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R): design and field procedures. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 2004b;13:69–92. [PubMed: 15297905]
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2005;62:593–602. [PubMed: 15939837]
- Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, Nelson CB, Hughes M, Eshleman S, Wittchen HU, Kendler KS. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1994;51:8–19. [PubMed: 8279933]
- Kessler RC, Neighbors HW. A new perspective on the relationships among race, social class and psychological distress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 1986;27:107–115. [PubMed: 3734380]
- Kessler RC, Ustun TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 2004;13:93–121. [PubMed: 15297906]
- Knauper B, Cannell CF, Schwarz N, Bruce ML, Kessler RC. Improving the accuracy of major depression age of onset reports in the US National Comorbidity Survey. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 1999;8:39–48.
- Krueger RF. The structure of common mental disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56:921–926. [PubMed: 10530634]
- Lee BY, Newberg AB. Religion and health: a review and critical analysis. *Zygon* 2005;40:443–468.
- Levy, F. *The New Dollars and Dreams: American Incomes and Economic Change*. Russell Sage Foundation; New York: 1998.
- McLeod JD, Owens T. Psychological well-being in the early life course: Variations by socioeconomic status, gender, and race/ethnicity. *Social Psychology Quarterly* 2004;67:257–278.
- Meyer, J. Age: 2001. Washington DC: US Census Bureau; 2001.
- Mossakowski KN. Coping with perceived discrimination: does ethnic identity protect mental health? *Journal of Health and Social Behaviors* 2003;44:318–331.
- Neckerman KM, Carter P, Lee J. Segmented assimilation and minority cultures of mobility. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 1999;22:945–965.
- Parker, S.; Kleiner, RJ. *Mental Illness in the Urban Negro Community*. The Free Press; New York City: 1966.
- Portes A, Zhou M. The new 2nd generation: segmented assimilation and its variants. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 2003;530:74–96.
- Research Triangle Institute. SUDAAN: Professional Software for Survey Data Analysis (Version 8.01). Research Triangle Park, N.C.: Research Triangle Institute; 2002.
- Rogler LH. Methodological sources of cultural insensitivity in mental health research. *American Psychologist* 1999;54:424–433. [PubMed: 10392472]
- Rogler LH, Mroczek DK, Fellows M, Loftus ST. The neglect of response bias in mental health research. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 2001;189:182–187.
- Sanders JM. Ethnic boundaries and identity in plural societies. *Annual Review of Sociology* 2002;28:327–357.
- Sherkat DE. African-American religious affiliation in the late 20th century: cohort variations and patterns of switching, 1973–1998. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 2002;41:485–493.
- Simon GE, VonKorff M. Recall of psychiatric history in cross-sectional surveys: implications for epidemiological research. *Epidemiologic Reviews* 1995;17:221–227. [PubMed: 8521941]
- Somervell PD, Leaf PJ, Weissman MM, Blazer DG, Bruce ML. The prevalence of major depression in black and white adults in five United States communities. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1989;130:725–735. [PubMed: 2788995]
- Spraggins, R. *Women and Men in the United States: March 2002*. Washington DC: US Census Bureau; 2003.

- Thomas ME, Hughes M. The continuing significance of race: a study of race, class, and quality of life in America, 1972–1985. *American Sociological Review* 1986;51:830–841. [PubMed: 11613887]
- Turner RJ, Lloyd DA. Stress burden and the lifetime incidence of psychiatric disorder in young adults: racial and ethnic contrasts. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2004;61:481–488. [PubMed: 15123493]
- US Census Bureau. Results of the 1996 Race and Ethnic Targeted Test. Washington, DC: US Bureau of the Census; 1997.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Mental Health: Culture, Race and Ethnicity--A Supplement to Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services; 2001.
- Varon SR, Riley AW. Relationship between maternal church attendance and adolescent mental health and social functioning. *Psychiatric Services* 1999;50:799–805. [PubMed: 10375150]
- Wallace J, Forman T. Religion's role in promoting health and reducing risk among american youth. *Health Education and Behavior* 1998;25:721–741. [PubMed: 9813744]
- Weissman, MM.; Bruce, ML.; Leaf, PJ.; Florio, LP.; Holzer, CE, 3rd. Affective Disorders. In: Robins, LN.; Regier, DA., editors. *Psychiatric Disorders in America: The Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study*. The Free Press; New York: 1991.
- Williams DR. Race and health: basic questions, emerging directions. *Annals of Epidemiology* 1997;7:322–333. [PubMed: 9250627]
- Williams DR. Race, SES and health: the added effects of racism and discrimination. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1999;896:173–188. [PubMed: 10681897]
- Williams DR, Takeuchi DT, Adair RK. Socioeconomic status and psychiatric disorder among blacks and whites. *Social Forces* 1992;71:179–194.
- Wolter, KM. *Introduction to Variance Estimation*. Springer-Verlag; New York: 1985.
- World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)*. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 1991.

Table 1
Sociodemographic characteristics of Hispanics, Non-Hispanic Blacks and Non-Hispanic Whites in the NCSR[†]

	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic Black		Non-Hispanic White		Total
	%	(se)	%	(se)	%	(se)	
Sex							
Female	50.2	(5.0)	57.7	(1.9)*	52.5	(1.1)	52.9 (1.0)
Male	49.8	(5.0)	42.3	(1.9)	47.5	(1.1)	47.1 (1.0)
Age							
18–29	37.4	(2.7)*	29.7	(2.8)*	19.9	(1.3)	23.2 (1.1)
30–44	35.4	(2.9)	30.5	(2.0)	27.3	(1.0)	28.6 (0.8)
45–59	18.0	(2.6)	23.9	(2.1)	28.3	(1.3)	26.6 (1.0)
60+	9.2	(2.3)	15.9	(2.3)	24.5	(1.3)	21.6 (1.0)
Education (in years)							
<12	34.8	(3.7)*	22.0	(3.1)*	13.2	(1.0)	16.8 (0.9)
12	35.4	(2.9)	36.6	(4.7)	31.9	(1.5)	32.9 (1.1)
13–15	19.1	(2.4)	27.8	(1.6)	28.7	(1.1)	27.5 (0.8)
16+	10.8	(1.8)	13.6	(1.9)	26.2	(1.4)	22.8 (1.0)
Parental Education (in years)							
<12	60.1	(3.7)*	40.5	(3.2)*	30.0	(1.4)	34.8 (1.5)
12	18.9	(2.4)	33.2	(2.2)	33.1	(1.0)	31.5 (0.9)
13–15	6.5	(0.7)	15.3	(2.5)	14.4	(.6)	13.6 (0.5)
16+	14.5	(3.0)	11.0	(1.4)	22.4	(1.6)	20.0 (1.4)
(n)	(527)		(717)		(4180)		(5424)

[†] Part II NCS-R respondents who are either Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Black, or Non-Hispanic White. All respondents in other race-ethnic groups (n=268) are excluded.

* Significant difference from Non-Hispanic Whites evaluated with χ^2 test at p=.05

Table 2
Comparison of lifetime prevalence of psychiatric disorders across race-ethnic groups

	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic Black		Non-Hispanic White	
	%	(se)	%	(se)	%	(se)
I. Anxiety Disorders						
Panic Disorder	5.4	(0.9)	3.1*	(0.6)	4.9	(0.2)
Generalized Anxiety Disorder	4.8*	(0.9)	5.1*	(0.7)	8.6	(0.5)
Agoraphobia without panic	2.7	(0.6)	2.3	(0.5)	2.4	(0.2)
Social Phobia	8.8*	(1.3)	10.8	(1.2)	12.6	(0.5)
Specific Phobia	13.1	(1.5)	11.7	(1.2)	12.5	(0.5)
Post-traumatic stress disorder	5.9	(1.0)	7.1	(0.9)	6.8	(0.5)
Obsessive compulsive disorder	1.2	(0.6)	0.5	(0.2)	0.4	(0.1)
Any anxiety	24.9	(2.2)	23.8*	(1.9)	29.4	(1.0)
II. Mood Disorders						
Dysthymic disorder	2.2*	(0.5)	3.5	(0.5)	4.3	(0.3)
Major Depression	13.5*	(1.5)	10.8*	(1.2)	17.9	(0.7)
Bipolar Disorder	4.3	(1.0)	4.9*	(0.6)	3.2	(0.2)
Any	18.3	(1.6)	16.0*	(1.3)	21.9	(0.9)
III. Impulse Control Disorders						
IED	9.9	(1.4)	7.2	(1.1)	7.3	(0.5)
ADD	4.6	(0.8)	3.4	(0.7)	4.6	(0.4)
ODD	5.4	(0.8)	5.6	(1.0)	5.7	(0.6)
Conduct	6.9	(1.0)	4.9	(1.2)	5.0	(0.4)
Any	17.9	(1.8)	14.5	(1.9)	15.3	(0.8)
IV. Substance Disorders						
Alcohol abuse or dependence	15.0	(2.3)	9.5*	(0.9)	13.4	(0.6)
Drug abuse or dependence	9.1	(1.4)	6.3	(1.0)	7.9	(0.4)
Any	16.1	(2.3)	10.8*	(1.0)	14.8	(0.6)
V. Any disorder						
	43.7	(4.0)	38.5*	(2.8)	47.6	(1.2)

* Significant difference from Non-Hispanic Whites evaluated with χ^2 test at $p=.05$

Table 3
Race-ethnic differences in lifetime risk of DSM-IV disorders[†]

	OR	Hispanic (95% CI)	OR	Non-Hispanic Black (95% CI)
I. Anxiety Disorders				
Any Anxiety Disorder	0.8*	(0.6–0.9)	0.7*	(0.6–0.9)
		$\chi^2_{(6)}=24$ (p=.001)		$\chi^2_{(6)}=19.3$ (p=.004)
Panic Disorder	1	(0.8–1.4)	0.6*	(0.4–0.8)
Generalized Anxiety Disorder	0.6*	(0.4–0.8)	0.6*	(0.4–0.8)
Agoraphobia without panic	1.1	(0.6–1.9)	0.9	(0.6–1.5)
Social Phobia	0.6*	(0.4–0.9)	0.8*	(0.6–1.0)
Specific Phobia	1	(0.8–1.4)	0.9	(0.7–1.1)
Post-traumatic stress disorder	0.9	(0.6–1.2)	1	(0.7–1.3)
Obsessive compulsive disorder	2.7	(0.8–8.9)	1	(0.4–2.5)
II. Mood Disorders				
Any Mood Disorder	0.7*	(0.6–0.9)	0.6*	(0.5–0.8)
		$\chi^2_{(2)}=11.4$ (p=.003)		$\chi^2_{(2)}=23.9$ (p<.001)
Dysthymic disorder	0.5*	(0.3–0.8)	0.8	(0.6–1.1)
Major Depression	0.7*	(0.5–0.9)	0.6*	(0.4–0.7)
Bipolar Disorder	1	(0.7–1.6)	1.2	(0.9–1.5)
III. Impulse Disorders				
Any Impulse Disorder	0.8	(0.6–1.1)	0.8	(0.6–1.1)
		$\chi^2_{(3)}=9.2$ (p=.027)		$\chi^2_{(3)}=3.0$ (p=0.397)
Intermittent explosive disorder	1	(0.7–1.5)	--	
Conduct Disorder	0.9	(0.7–1.3)	--	
Oppositional defiant disorder	0.6*	(0.4–0.9)	--	
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	0.6*	(0.4–1.0)	--	
IV. Substance Disorders				
Any Substance Disorder	1	(0.7–1.3)	0.7*	(0.6–0.9)
		$\chi^2_{(1)}=0.2$ (p=.642)		$\chi^2_{(1)}=1.5$ (p=.217)
V. Any Disorder	0.8*	(0.6–1.0)	0.7*	(0.6–0.8)

* Significant difference between minority group and Non-Hispanic Whites at p=.05 level, two sided test.

[†]OR estimated in discrete time survival models controlling for sex and age. Non-Hispanic Whites are the reference group. χ^2 represent tests for variation in difference (OR) between minority group and Non-Hispanic Whites across individual disorders within each class of disorders.

Table 4
 Variations in race-ethnic differences in Lifetime Risk Of DSM-IV disorders by Cohort[†]

	Younger Cohort [*]				Older Cohort			
	OR	Hispanic (95% CI)	Non-Hispanic Black OR	(95% CI)	OR	Hispanic (95% CI)	Non-Hispanic Black OR	(95% CI)
Anxiety disorder	0.6	(0.5–0.9)	--		1.0	(0.7–1.6)	--	
Mood disorder	0.7	(0.6–0.9)	--		1.1	(0.7–1.6)	--	
Impulse control disorder	0.7	(0.5–1.0)	--		1.6	(0.9–3.0)	--	
Substance use disorder	0.8	(0.6–1.2)	0.5	(0.4–0.7)	1.5	(1.1–2.0)	1.1	(0.8–1.6)

* Younger Cohort includes those who were 43 years of age or younger at the time of interview. Older Cohort includes all others.

[†] Strata specific odds ratios presented for those models where variation in the OR across cohorts was statistically significant. See text for significance tests. Estimates derived from discrete time survival models with controls for age and sex.

Table 5
Variations in race-ethnic differences in Lifetime Risk across Respondent Education and Parental Education[†]

I. Mood disorders		Hispanics vs. Whites	
Respondent Education [‡]	OR		(95% CI)
Student < HS	0.6		(0.5–0.8)
Student > HS	1.2		(0.6–2.4)
Less than HS	0.4		(0.2–0.9)
HS Graduate	0.9		(0.6–1.5)
13–15 years	1.5		(0.8–2.9)
16+ years	1.5		(0.8–2.6)
II. Substance Use Disorders		Hispanics vs. Whites	
Parental Education	OR		(95% CI)
< HS	0.8		(0.5–1.2)
HS	1.1		(0.5–2.1)
13–15 years	2.3		(0.9–6.0)
16+ years	1.1		(0.6–2.0)
III. Impulse Control Disorders		Hispanics vs. Whites	
Parental Education	OR		(95% CI)
< HS	0.5		(0.3–0.7)
HS	0.8		(0.4–1.6)
13–15 years	1.2		(0.6–2.4)
16+ years	1.3		(0.8–2.3)
Respondent Education	OR	Blacks vs. Whites	(95% CI)
Student < HS	0.7		(0.5–1.0)
Student > HS	2.7		(1.2–6.0)
Less than HS	0.5		(0.2–1.6)
HS Graduate	0.8		(0.3–2.3)
13–15 years	2.1		(0.7–6.4)
16+ years	3.6		(0.8–15.3)

[†]Strata specific OR shown where interactions between education and race-ethnicity were significant. See text for significance tests.

Estimates derived from discrete time survival models with controls for age and sex.

[‡]Respondent education is coded as a time-varying covariate as explained in the text.