

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Eur J Pharmacol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 May 21.

Published in final edited form as: *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2007 May 21; 562(3): 221–226.

*dextro***-Morphine attenuates the morphine-produced conditioned place preference via the sigma1 receptor activation in the rat**

Hsiang-en Wu1, **Emma T. Schwasinger**1, **Maia Terashvili**1, and **Leon F. Tseng**1,*

Dept. of Anesthesiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin1 53226

Abstract

An unbiased conditioned place preference paradigm was used to evaluate the effect of *dextro*morphine on the morphine-produced reward in male CD rats. Morphine sulfate (1-10 mg/kg) given intraperitoneally dose-dependently produced the conditioned place preference. Pretreatment with *dextro*-morphine at a dose from 0.1 to 3 μg/kg given subcutaneously dose-dependently attenuated the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. However, *dextro*-morphine at a higher dose 100 μg/kg did not affect the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. Thus, *dextro*morphine pretreatment induces an U-shaped dose-response curve for attenuating the morphineproduced conditioned place preference. The attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference was reversed by the pretreatment with the sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047 (*N*-[2-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)ethyl]-*N*-methyl-2-(dimethylamino)ethylamine dihydrobromide. *dextro*-Morphine or BD1047 given alone did not affect the baseline place conditioning. It is concluded that *dextro*morphine attenuated the morphine-produced conditioned place preference via the sigma₁ receptor activation.

Keywords

sigma receptors; addiction; opioid; morphine; rat

1. Introduction

The naturally occurring morphine alkaloid, which is isolated from the juice of the opium poppy, *papaver somniferum*, is stereochemically identified as a *levorotatory* form. Morphine interacts with μ-opioid receptors to produce potent analgesic, addictive and other pharmacological effects. The *dextrorotatory dextro*-morphine, which is synthesized from sinomenine (Iijima et al., 1978), does not have any affinity for μ-opioid receptors and therefore does not produce any μ-opioid receptor mediated pharmacological effects (Jacquet et al., 1977). However, we have previously demonstrated that *dextro*-morphine pretreatment attenuates the analgesia produced by morphine in mice (Wu et al., 2005). The interesting finding inspired us to determine if *dextro*-morphine can also exert the anti-addictive effect. The effect of *dextro*-morphine on the conditioned place preference produced by morphine was then studied. We now report that *dextro*-morphine given systemically attenuates the systemic morphine-produced conditioned place preference.

^{*}Corresponding author: Leon F. Tseng, Medical College of Wisconsin, Department of Anesthesiology, Medical Education Building, Room M4308, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA, Tel: (414) 456-5686, Fax: (414) 456-6507, E-mail: ltseng@post.its.mcw.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication.As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript.The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proofbefore it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the productionprocess errorsmaybe discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimersthat apply to the journal pertain.

The most likely receptor for *dextro*-morphine to act for producing the antianalgesic and antiaddictive effects is the sigma₁ receptor. Other *dextro*-opiates such as $(+)$ -pentazocine and $(+)$ - N -ally-normetazocine interact with sigma₁ receptors, which are distinct from classical opioid receptors. We have found that the attenuation of the morphine-produced tail-flick inhibition induced by *dextro*-morphine is reversed by the sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047, indicating that the attenuation of the morphine analgesia is mediated by the sigma₁ receptor activation (Wu and Tseng, 2007). Sigma₁ receptors have been reported to play an important role in the modulation of analgesia produced by μ-, δ- or κ-opioid receptor agonists (Mei and Pasternak, 2002;Marrazzo et al., 200653226;Chien and Pasternak, 1994) and the conditioned place preference produced by nicotine, cocaine, amphetamine or alcohol (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002;Matsumoto et al., 2001, 2002;Horan et al., 2001;Miyatake et al., 2004;Maurice et al., 2003;Liu et al., 2005;Nguyen et al., 2005). The experiment was then undertaken to determine if the attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*morphine is mediated by the sigma₁ receptor activation. We now report that BD1047 pretreatment reverses the attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*-morphine pretreatment. The finding provides the evidence that activation of sigma₁ receptors is involved in the attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*-morphine.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

Male CD rats weighing 300-350 g (Charles River Breeding Laboratory, Wilmington, MA) were used. Animals were housed two per cage in a room maintained at $22 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C with an alternating 12-h light-dark cycle. Food and water were available *ad libitum*. Each animal was used only once. All experiments were approved by and conformed to the guidelines of the Animal Care Committee of the Medical College of Wisconsin.

2.2. Conditioned place preference

The place conditioning experiment consisted of pre-conditioning, conditioning and postconditioning phases (Bals-Kubik et al., 1993;Terashvili et al., 2004).Injections of vehicle or drug were only done during the conditioning phase. A two-compartment box $(60 \times 29.2 \times 29.2$ cm) with a transparent Plexiglas front separated by a gray cylinder platform (10.3 cm in diameter and 12 cm in height) was used. One compartment was white with a textured floor and the other was black with a smooth floor. For preconditioning, rats were initially placed on the neutral cylinder gray platform and allowed to step down off of the platform to either the white or black compartment. A sliding wall was then put down on the platform and the rat was free to access either compartment through two openings (9.5×12 cm) on each side of the platform. The amount of time spent in the black or white compartment was manually measured for 15 min. For preconditioning control, rat was tested once in the morning for their preference one day before conditioning sessions; only those rats that did not exhibit a significant preference between black and white compartments (350-550/900 s) were used for experiments. Rats, which spent less than 5 min 50 s in either the white or black compartment, were considered not to be neutral in preference for either side and were excluded from further study (less than 5% of rats).

The place conditioning session was carried out on days 2 to 4. The box was divided into two equal-sized compartments by putting down a sliding wall after removal of the gray cylinder platform. Conditioning session was conducted twice daily, morning and afternoon, and repeated for 3 days. Rats were placed in either the black or white compartment immediately following the injection and left in that compartment for 40 min. Forty min was in agreement with previous studies (Bals-Kubik et al., 1993;Shippenberg and Heidbreder, 1995). Rats were

confined to either the black or white compartment after injection of drugs tested in the morning session of each day, and were confined to the opposite compartment after the injection of vehicle for the afternoon session and vice versa. Animals receiving vehicle in both sessions served as controls. Drug treatment consisted of *dextro*-morphine or BD1047 (*N*-[2-(3,4- Dichlorophenyl)ethyl]-*N*-methyl-2-(dimethylamino)ethylamine dihydrobromide) given intraperitoneally or morphine sulfate given subcutaneously. The morning session was carried out from 8-11 a.m. and the afternoon session was carried out from 2-5 p.m. of the day.

The post-conditioning session was carried out on day 5 and was exactly the same as the preconditioning. The scores for the drug-paired place were then calculated by subtracting the preconditioning score from post-conditioning score. A positive score represented conditioning place preference, while a negative score represented conditioning place aversion.

2.3. Drugs and drug-administration

Morphine sulfate and *dextro*-morphine base were obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Baltimore, MD). *N*-[2-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)ethyl]-*N*-methyl-2-(dimethylamino) ethylamine dihydrobromide (BD1047) was purchased from Tocris (Ellisville, MO). Morphine and BD1047 were dissolved in 0.9% saline. The *dextro*-morphine was initially dissolved in 10 N hydrochloric acid and then titrated with 1 N sodium hydroxide to pH 7.0, which was then diluted to the intended concentrations in 0.9% saline for injection. Drugs were injected subcutaneously or intraperitoneally in an injection volume of 0.1 ml per 100 g body weight with a 26-gauge needle.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Conditioning scores were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The Student paired *t*-test was used to analyze the differences of the score between pre- and post-conditioning of each group of rats. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's post-test was used to compare the difference between drug treated groups and the vehicle treated group. In all experiments, *P* < 0.05 was considered a significant difference. The Prism statistical software was used to perform the statistics (version 4.1; GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of morphine sulfate given subcutaneously on the production of the conditioned place preference

Groups of rats were injected subcutaneously with different doses of morphine sulfate (1, 5 or 10 mg/kg) or saline vehicle and placed in the conditioned place preference box immediately for place conditioning. Morphine sulfate at a dose, 1 and 5 mg/kg, dose-dependently increased the conditioned place preference. However, morphine sulfate at a higher dose, 10 mg/kg, produced no more increase of the conditioned place preference. Subcutaneous injection of the vehicle did not affect the baseline place conditioning response (Fig. 1). Five mg/kg of morphine sulfate was then chosen for the following experiments.

3.2. Effects of dextro-morphine given intraperitoneally on the conditioned place preference produced by morphine sulfate given subcutaneously

Groups of rats were pretreated intraperitoneally in the home cage with different doses (0.1-100 μg/kg) of *dextro*-morphine or saline vehicle for 1 h before subcutaneous injection of morphine sulfate (5 mg/kg) and were placed in the conditioned place preference box for place conditioning. Pretreatment with *dextro*-morphine at a dose from 0.1 to 3 μg/kg dosedependently attenuated the morphine-produced increase of the conditioned place preference. However, *dextro*-morphine at a higher dose100 μg/kg did not attenuate the increase of the

conditioned place preference produced by morphine (Fig. 2). Thus, *dextro*-morphine at a dose range from 0.1 to 100 μg/kg produced a U-shaped dose-response curve with a maximal inhibition at 3 μg/kg for attenuating the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. However, *dextro*-morphine at 3 μg/kg, which produced a maximal inhibition, still did not completely block the increase of the conditioned place preference produced by morphine. Pretreatment with *dextro*-morphine (3 μg/kg) given intraperitoneally alone did not affect the baseline place conditioning in rats treated subcutaneously with saline vehicle (Fig. 2).

3.2. Effects of sigma1 receptor antagonist BD1047given intraperitoneally on the attenuation of morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by dextro-morphine

Since most (+)-opiates such as (+)-pentazocine and (+)-N-allyl-normetazocine interact stereospecifically with the sigma₁ receptors, the possibility that the sigma₁ receptors are involved in attenuating the increase of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*-morphine was then explored. The sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047 was used to determine if the effect of *dextro*-morphine is mediated by the sigma₁ receptor activation. Groups of rats were pretreated intraperitonally in the home cage with a dose 1, 3 or 6 mg/kg of BD1047 and 3 μg/kg of *dextro*-morphine for 1 h before subcutaneous injection of morphine sulfate (5 mg/kg) and were placed in the conditioned place preference box for place conditioning. Pretreatment with *dextro*-morphine given alone attenuated the increase of the conditioned place preference produced by morphine. The attenuation of the morphineproduced conditioned place preference was reversed dose-dependently by the BD1047 pretreatment. Pretreatment with BD1047 (3 mg/kg) given alone did not affect the morphineproduced conditioned place preference, nor did it affect the baseline place preference in rats treated with saline vehicle (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

4.1. Morphine, but not dextro-morphine, produces conditioned place preference given subcutaneously

The place conditioning, a technique that measures the secondary reinforcing effects of drugs, was used to assess the effect of *dextro*-morphine on the morphine-produced motivational effects. In this procedure, the association that develops between the presentation of a drug and a previously neutral stimulus, *e.g*. different colored compartment of a shuttle box is evaluated. The results obtained with this paradigm for rewarding drugs done by others are largely identical to those obtained with the self-administration paradigm technique (Spyraki, 1988;Carr et al., 1989).

Previous studies have demonstrated that μ-opioid agonists such as morphine, D-Ala²-N-MePhe⁴-Gly⁵-ol-enkephalin or endomorphin-1 given systemically or intracerebroventricularly in mice or rats produce conditioned place preference (Neisewander et al., 1990;Piepponen et al., 1997;Suzuki et al., 1991;Terashvili et al., 2004;Wu et al., 2004). The results of our present studies are consistent with previous findings that morphine given subcutaneously dosedependently produced conditioned place preference. The conditioned place preference produced by morphine is blocked by μ-opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone or naloxonazine, indicating that the effect is mediated by the stimulation of μ-opioid receptors (Olmstead and Burns, 2005;Piepponen et al., 1997).

Unlike optically *levorotatory* morphine alkaloid, which produces analgesia and other μ-opioid receptor-mediated pharmacological effects, the *dextrorotatory dextro*-morphine does not have any affinity and efficacy for μ-opioid receptors and therefore does not produce analgesia and other μ-opioid receptor mediated effects (Jacquet et al., 1977). We found in the present studies that *dextro*-morphine at a dose 3 μg/kg given systemically did not produce conditioned place

preference or nor did it produce the conditioned place aversion. Mucha and Herz (1986) have previously reported that *dextro*-morphine even at a much higher dose 4 mg/kg given systemically does not produce any conditioned place preference or conditioned place aversion. Morphine at the same dose, on the other hand, produces conditioned place preference. These findings are consistent with the view that *dextro*-morphine does not interact with the μ-opioid receptors and does not produce conditioned place preference or conditioned place aversion (Jacquet et al*.,* 1977;Mucha and Herz, 1986). Thus, the conditioned place preference produced by morphine is stereospecific; it is only produced by the opioid receptor active isomers, such *as levorotatory* morphine, but not *dextrorotatory dextro*-morphine.

4.2. dextro-Morphine at a dose range 0.1 –100 μg/kg given systemically produce a U-shaped dose-response curve in attenuating the morphine-produced conditioned place preference

We found in the present study that *dextro*-morphine at a dose range of 0.1-3 μg/kg dosedependently attenuated the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. Paradoxically, *dextro*-morphine at a higher dose 100 μg/kg was ineffective in attenuating the morphineproduced conditioned place preference. Thus, *dextro*-morphine at a dose range from 0.1 to 100 μg/kg induces a U-shaped dose-response curve with a maximal attenuation at a dose of 3 μg/ kg for attenuating the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. The therapeutic window of the dose of *dextro*-morphine for the anti-addiction therapy should be in the μg/kg dose range. Higher doses of *dextro*-morphine would not be effective in providing the therapeutic effect. *dextro*-Morphine can potentially be developed for the treatment of opiate addiction.

The U-shaped dose-response curve is also known as hormesis (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003). A well-documented example of a U-shaped dose-response relationship concerns the actions of corticosteroid hormones in the CA1 area of the hippocampus (Diamond et al., 1992;Joels and de Kloet, 1994;Joels, 2006), a brain region that is important for learning and memory formation. The neurosteroids have been proposed to be the endogenous ligand for sigma₁ receptors in the central nervous system (Maurice, 2004;Maurice et al., 2001;Monnet and Maurice, 2006). In a modified passive-avoidance learning task in mice, pre-training or post-training administration of neurosteroids, pregnenolone sulfate or dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate enhances memory retention of passive-avoidance training. In both treatments, an inverted U-shaped dose-response curve is obtained covering 2- to 5-fold dose range in a manner typical for memory-enhancing substance. The neurosteroid-induced facilitation of memory retention may involve central sigma receptors, because the effect of neurosteroids is completely antagonized by sigma receptor antagonist haloperidol (Reddy and Kulkarni, 1998). It is postulated that *dextro*-morphine may mimic the effect of endogenous neurosteroids to stimulate the sigma₁ receptors for producing the anti-addictive effect (see details in the next section below). The U-shaped dose-response curve for *dextro*-morphine to attenuate the morphine conditioned place preference is consistent with this hypothesis.

4.3. The attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference by dextromorphine is mediated by sigma1 receptor activation

Most of *dextrorotatory* opiates such as (+)-pentazocine and (+)-N-allyl-normetazocine ((+)- SKF10047) exhibit the sigma₁ receptor binding activity (Walker et al., 1992;Martin et al., 1984;de Costa et al., 1989). We therefore speculate that *dextro*-morphine acts on sigma¹ receptors to attenuate the increase of the conditioned place preference produced by morphine. BD1047, a selective sigma₁ receptor antagonist (McCracken et al., 1999) was then used to determine if the effect of *dextro*-morphine is mediated by the sigma₁ receptor activation. We found in the present study that pretreatment with BD1047 dose-dependently reversed the attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*morphine, indicating that sigma₁ receptors are involved in *dextro*-morphine-induced anti-

addiction. The sigma₁ receptor stimulatory property of *dextro*-morphine can also be found in our other studies of the antianalgesic effect of *dextro*-morphine. Pretreatment with *dextro*morphine attenuates the morphine-produced analgesia (Wu et al., 2005). The attenuation of the morphine-produced analgesia was also blocked by the pretreatment of the BD1047 (unpublished observation).

A role for sigma₁ receptors in antinociception has been demonstrated from the studies that show a relationship between sigma₁ receptor system and opioid analgesia (Chien and Pasternak, 1993, 1994, 1995; Guitart, 2004). Activation of sigma₁ receptors by the selective sigma₁ receptor agonist $(+)$ -pentazocine given supraspinally or systemically attenuate the antinociception produced by morphine. (+)-Pentazocine given supraspinally also attenuates the antinociception produced by δ- and κ-opioid agonists as well. On the other hand, the blockade of sigma₁ receptors with the sigma₁ antagonist haloperidol potentiates the morphineproduced analgesia (Chien and Pasternak, 1995;Mei and Pasternak, 2002). Pretreatment with antisense oligodeoxynucleotide to down regulate the sigma₁ receptors markedly enhances the antinociception produced by morphine (Mei and Pasternak, 2002). These observations indicate that sigma₁ receptors also play an important role in modulating the opioid analgesia.

The recent demonstration of Romieu et al. (2003) that neurosteroids, endogenous ligands for $sigma₁$ receptors, are able to modulate the acquisition of the cocaine-induced conditioned place preference suggests their influence on acquisition of drug addiction. Neurosteroids pregnenolone and dehydroxypregnolone potentiates the acquisition of the cocaine-induced conditioned place preference, as do selective $sigma_1$ receptor agonists, like igmesine or PRE-084, and the effect is blocked by the sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047 (Romieu et al., 2002). Selective sigma₁ receptor antagonist, like BD1047 or NE100, or antisense oligodeoxynucleotide probe targeting the sigma₁ receptor also blocks acquisition or expression of the cocaine-induced conditioned place preference in mice (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002;Maurice et al., 2002). Similar observations could be presented for abuse of amphetamine and alcohol, which also involve sigma receptor activation (Nguyen et al., 2005;Stefanski et al., 2004; Maurice et al, 2003). The activation of sigma₁ receptors by sigma₁ receptor agonist SA4503 (1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)-4-(phenylpropyl)pipperazine) attenuates the acquisition of the conditioned place preference produced by nicotine (Horan et al., 2001). These observations clearly indicate that $sigma_1$ receptors are involved in the conditioned place preference produced by cocaine, amphetamine, alcohol and nicotine as well.

It is concluded that *dextro*-morphine at a μg/kg dose range given systemically attenuates the morphine-produced conditioned place preference. The attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*-morphine was reversed by the pretreatment with the sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047, indicating that the effect of *dextro*-morphine is mediated by sigma₁ receptor activation. It is speculated that *dextro*-morphine can potentially be developed for the treatment of opiate addiction.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant DA12588 from the National Institute of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse (PI: Leon F.Tseng) and Research Affair Committee, Medical College of Wisconsin (PI: Hsiang-En Wu).

References

- Bals-Kubik R, Ableitner A, Shippenberg TB. Neuroanatomical sites mediating the motivational effects of opioids as mapped by the conditioned place preference paradigm in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1993;264:489–495. [PubMed: 8093731]
- Calabrese EJ, Baldwin LA. Hormesis: The dose-response revolution. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2003;43:175–197. [PubMed: 12195028]

- Carr, CD.; Fibinger, HC.; Phillips, AG. Conditioning place preference as a measure of drug reward. In: Liebman, JM.; cooper, SJ., editors. The Neuropharmacological Basis of Reward. Oxford: Sci Publ Press; 1989. p. 264-319.
- Chien CC, Pasternak GW. Functional antagonism of morphine analgesia by (+)-pentazocine: evidence for an anti-opioid sigma1 system. Eur J Pharmacol 1993;250:R7–R8. [PubMed: 8119306]
- Chien CC, Pasternak GW. Selective antagonism of opioid analgesia by a sigma system. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1994;271:1583–1884. [PubMed: 7996472]
- Chien CC, Pasternak GW. Sigma antagonists potentiate opioid analgesia in rats. Neurosci Lett 1995;190:137–139. [PubMed: 7644123]
- De Costa BR, Bowen WD, Hellewell SB, Walker JM, Thurkauf A, Jacobson AE, Rice KC. Synthesis and evaluation of optically pure $\binom{3}{1}$ (+)-pentazocine, a highly potent and selective radioligand for sigma receptors. FEBS Lett 1989;251:53–58. [PubMed: 2568952]
- Diamond DM, Bennett MC, Fleshner M, Rose GM. Inverted-U relationship between the level of peripheral corticosterone and the magnitude of hippocampal primed burst potentiation. Hippocampus 1992;2:421–430. [PubMed: 1308198]
- Guitart X, Codony X, Monroy X. Sigma receptors; biology and therapeutic potential. Psychopharmacology 2004;174:301–319. [PubMed: 15197533]
- Horan B, Gardner EL, Dewey SL, Brodie JD, Ashby CR Jr . The selective sigma 1 receptor agonist, 1- (3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)-4-(phenylpropyl)piperazine (SA4503), blocks the acquisition of the conditioned place preference response to (-)-nicotine in rats. Eur J Pharamcol 2001;426:R1–R2.
- Iijima I, Minamikawa JI, Jacobsen AE, Brossi A, Rice KE. Studies in the (+)-morphinan series-4. A markedly improved synthesis of (+)-morphine. J Org Chem 1978;43:1462–1463.
- Jacquet YF, Klee WA, Rice KC, Iijima I, Minamikaw J. Stereospecfic and nonstereospecific effects of (+)- and (-)-morphine: evidence for a new class of receptors? Science 1977;198:842–845. [PubMed: 199942]
- Joëls M. Corticosteroid effects in the brain: U-shape it. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2006;27:244–250. [PubMed: 16584791]
- Joëls M, de Kloet ER. Mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors in the brain. Implication for ion permeability and transmitter systems. Prog Neurobiol 1994;43:1–36. [PubMed: 7526416]
- Liu Y, Chen GD, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Matsumoto RR. Cocaine up-regulates fra-2 and σ-1 receptor gene and protein expression in brain regions involved in addiction and reward. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2005;314:770–779. [PubMed: 15879001]
- Marrazzo A, Parenti C, Scavo V, Ronsisvalle S, Scoto GM, Ronsisvalle G. In vivo evaluation of (+)- MR200 as a selective sigma ligand modulating MOP, DOP and KOP supraspinal analgesia. Life Sci 2006;78:2449–2553. [PubMed: 16324720]
- Martin BR, Katzen JS, Woods JA, Tripathi HL, Harris LS, May EL. Stereoisomers of [³H]-Nallylnormetazocine bind to different sites in mouse brain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1984;231:539–544. [PubMed: 6094791]
- Matsumoto RR, McCracken KA, Friedman MJ, Pouw B, De Costa BR, Bowen WD. Conformationally restricted analogs of BD1008 and an antisense oligodeoxynucleotide targeting sigma1 receptors produce anti-cocaine effects in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 2001;419:163–174. [PubMed: 11426838]
- Matsumoto RR, McCracken KA, Pouw B, Zhang Y, Bowen WD. Involvement of sigma receptors in the behavioral effects of cocaine: evidence from novel ligands and antisense oligodeoxynucleotides. Neuropharmacology 2002;42:1042–1055.
- Maurice T. Neurosteroids and sigma1 receptor, biochemical and behavioral relevance. Pharmacopsychiatry 2004;(37 Suppl):S171–182. [PubMed: 15547783]
- Maurice T, Casalino M, Lacroix M, Romieu P. Involvement of the sigma1 receptor in the motivational effects of ethanol in mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2003;74:869–876. [PubMed: 12667901]
- Maurice T, Martin-Fardon R, Romieu P, Matsumoto RR. Sigma1 receptor antagonists represent a new strategy against cocaine addiction and toxicity. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2002;26:499–527. [PubMed: 12204195]
- Maurice T, Urani A, Phan VL, Romieu P. The interaction between neuroactive steroids and the σ_1 receptor function: behavioral consequences and therapeutic opportunities. Brain Res Rev 2001;37:116–132. [PubMed: 11744080]

Wu et al. Page 8

- McCracken KA, Bowen WD, de Costa BR, Matsumoto RR. Two novel σ receptor ligands, BD1047 and LR172, attenuate cocaine-induced toxicity and locomotor activity. Eur J Pharmacol 1999;370:225– 232. [PubMed: 10334496]
- Mei J, Pasternak GW. σ1 Receptor modulation of opioid analgesia in the mouse. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2002;300:1070–1074. [PubMed: 11861817]
- Miyatake R, Furukawa A, Matsuchita S, Higuchi S, Suwaki H. Functional polymorphisms in the sigma1 receptor gene associated with alcoholism. Biol Psychiatry 2004;55:85–90. [PubMed: 14706429]
- Monnet FP, Maurice T. The sigma-1 protein as a target for the non-genomic effects of neuro(active) steroid: molecular, physiological and behavioral aspects. J Pharmacol Sci 2006;100:93–118. [PubMed: 16474209]
- Mucha RF, Herz A. Preference conditioning produced by opioid active and inactive isomers of levophanol and morphine in rat. Life Sci 1986;38:241–249. [PubMed: 3941594]
- Neisewander JL, Pierce RC, Bardo MT. Naloxone enhances the expression of morphine-induced conditioned place preference. Psychopharmacology 1990;100:201–205. [PubMed: 2305008]
- Nguyen EC, McCracken KA, Liu Y, Pouw B, Matsumoto RR. Involvement of sigma receptor in the acute actions of methamphetamine: receptor binding and behavioral studies. Neuropharmacology 2005;49:638–645. [PubMed: 15939443]
- Olmstead MC, Burns LH. Ultra-low-dose naltrexone suppresses rewarding effects of opiates and aversive effects of opiate withdrawal in rats. Psychopharmacology 2005;12:1–6.
- Piepponen TP, Kivastik T, Katajamaki J, Zharkovsky A, Ahtee L. Involvement of opioid mu 1 receptors in morphine-induced conditioned place preference in rats. Pharamcol Biochem Behav 1997;58:275– 279.
- Reddy DS, Kulkarni SK. The effects of neurosteroids on acquisition and retention of a modified passiveavoidance learning task in mice. Brain Res 1998;791:108–116. [PubMed: 9593848]
- Romieu P, Martin-Fardon R, Maurice T. Involvement of the σ1 receptor in the cocaine-induced conditioned place preference. NeuroReport 2000;11:2885–2888. [PubMed: 11006959]
- Romieu P, Martin-Fardon R, Bowen WD, Maurice T. Sigma1 (σ1) receptor-related neuroactive steroids modulate cocaine-induced reward. J Neurosci 2003;23:3572–3576. [PubMed: 12736327]
- Romieu P, Phan VL, Martin-Fardon R, Maurice T. Involvement of the sigma 1 receptor in cocaineinduced conditioned place preference: possible dependence on dopamine uptake blockade. Neuropsychopharmacology 2002;26:444–455. [PubMed: 11927169]
- Shippenberg TS, Heidbreder C. The delta-opioid antagonist naltrindol prevents sensitization to the conditioned rewarding effects of cocaine. Eur J Pharmacol 1995;280:55–61. [PubMed: 7498254]
- Spyraki, C. Drug reward studied by use of place conditioning in rats. In: lades, M., editor. The Psychopharmacology of Addiction. Oxford: Oxford Univ Press; 1988. p. 97-114.
- Strefanski R, Justinova Z, Hayashi T, Takebayashi M, Goldberg SR, Su TP. Sigma1 receptor upregulation after chronic methamphetamine self-administration in rats: a study with yoked controls. Psychopharmacology 2004;175:68–75. [PubMed: 15029471]
- Suzuki T, Funada M, Narita M, Misawa M, Nagase H. Pertussis toxin abolishes mu- and delta-opioid agonist-induced place preference. Eur J Pharmacol 1991;205:85–88. [PubMed: 1667384]
- Terashvili M, Wu H, Leitermann RJ, Hung K, Clithero AD, Schwasinger ET, Tseng LF. Differential conditioned place preference responses to endomorphin-1 and endomorphin-2 microinjected into posterior nucleus accumbens shell and ventral tegmental area in the rat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004;309:816–824. [PubMed: 14755004]
- Walker JM, Bowen WD, Goldstein SR, Roberts AH, Patrick SL, Hohmann AG, deCosta B. Autoradiographic distribution of [3H](+)-pentazocine and [3H]1,3-di-*o*-tolylguanidine (DTG) binding sites in guinea pig brain: a comparative study. Brain Res 1992;581:33–38. [PubMed: 1323368]
- Wu H, MacDougall RS, Clithe AD, Terashvili M, Tseng LF. Opposite conditioned place preference responses to endomorphin-1 and endomorphin-2 in the mouse. Nuerosci Lett 2004;356:157–161.
- Wu H, Thompson J, Sun H, Terashvili M, Tseng LF. Antianalgesia: stereo- selective action of *dextro*morphine over *levo*-morphine on glia in the mouse spinal cord. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2005;314:1101–1108. [PubMed: 15901793]

Fig 1.

Conditioned place preference produced by morphine sulfate given subcutaneously in rats. Groups of rats were injected subcutanesously with a various dose of morphine sulfate (1-10 mg/kg) alternatively with saline vehicle and placed in the conditioning box for place conditioning. Each column represents the mean and the vertical bar represents the S.E.M. with 8 rats in each group; † *P* < 0.05, †† *P* < 0.01, ††† *P* < 0.001 compared with the pre-conditioning score of the individual group; $* P < 0.01$ compared with the vehicle-injected group.

Fig 2.

Pretreatment with *dextro*-morphine attenuates the morphine-produced conditioned place preference in rats. Groups of rats were pretreated intraperitoneally with a various doses of *dextro*-morphine in the home cage for 1 h before subcutaneous injection of morphine sulfate (5 mg/kg) and were placed in the conditioning box for place conditioning. Each column represents the mean and the vertical bar represents the S.E.M. with 8-12 rats in each group; † *P* < 0.05, †† *P* < 0.01, ††† *P* < 0.001 compared with the pre-conditioning score of the individual group; * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01 compared with the vehicle injected group. The *dextro*-morphine (3 μg/kg) given intraperitoneally alone (the first column from the right) produced neither conditioning place preference or conditioned place aversion.

Fig 3.

Pretreatment with the sigma₁ receptor antagonist BD1047 reverses the attenuation of the morphine-produced conditioned place preference induced by *dextro*-morphine in rats. Groups of rats were pretreated intraperitoneally with a various dose of BD1047 (1, 3 or 6 mg/kg) and 3 μg/kg of *dextro*-morphine in the home cage for 1 h before subcutaneous injection of morphine sulfate (5 mg/kg) or vehicle and were placed in the conditioning box for place conditioning. Each column represents the mean and the vertical bar represents the S.E.M. with 8-12 rats in each group; $\frac{1}{7}P < 0.01$, $\frac{1}{7}P < 0.001$ compared with the pre-conditioning score of the individual group; * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01 compared with the vehicle injected group. The *dextro*-morphine (3 μg/kg) given intraperitoneally alone (the first column from the right) produced neither conditioning place preference or conditioned place aversion.