# Identical, Independent, and Opposing Roles of ppGpp and DksA in *Escherichia coli*<sup>∇</sup>

Lisa U. Magnusson,\*† Bertil Gummesson,† Predrag Joksimović, Anne Farewell, and Thomas Nyström

Department of Cell and Molecular Biology-Microbiology, Göteborg University, Box 462, 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

Received 5 March 2007/Accepted 3 May 2007

The recent discovery that the protein DksA acts as a coregulator of genes controlled by ppGpp led us to investigate the similarities and differences between the relaxed phenotype of a ppGpp-deficient mutant and the phenotype of a strain lacking DksA. We demonstrate that the absence of DksA and ppGpp has similar effects on many of the observed phenotypes but that DksA and ppGpp also have independent and sometimes opposing roles in the cell. Specifically, we show that overexpression of DksA can compensate for the loss of ppGpp with respect to transcription of the promoters  $P_{uspA}$ ,  $P_{livJ}$ , and  $P_{rrmBP1}$  as well as amino acid auxotrophy, cell-cell aggregation, motility, filamentation, and stationary phase morphology, suggesting that DksA can function without ppGpp in regulating gene expression. In addition, ppGpp and DksA have opposing effects on adhesion. In the course of our analysis, we also discovered new features of the relaxed mutant, namely, defects in cell-cell aggregation and motility.

The ability of the cell to adapt to nutrient limitation depends on its capacity to change its gene expression immediately when nutrients are depleted. One important mechanism for regulating this change in gene expression is the stringent response, mediated by the alarmone ppGpp (6, 17). Two different ppGppsynthetases exist in Escherichia coli: the ribosome-associated RelA synthesizing ppGpp in response to amino acid starvation and the cytoplasmic SpoT synthesizing ppGpp in response to carbon starvation as well as most other starvations (6). SpoT is also responsible for ppGpp hydrolysis. During the stringent response the production of the protein-synthesizing system is repressed while some genes involved in stress resistance and survival are induced. Cells unable to produce ppGpp (deleted of *relA* and *spoT*, called ppGpp<sup>0</sup>) are largely decontrolled upon entry to stationary phase and behave as if they were still growing exponentially (18). It has been suggested that this shift from growth mode to maintenance mode by ppGpp results in part from the regulation of the activity of alternative sigma factors (12, 17).

The array of phenotypes of a cell deficient in making ppGpp, the so-called relaxed phenotype, has been expanded, and it is obvious not only that gene regulation by ppGpp is important in response to starvation but that ppGpp also has a role in regulating traits involved in virulence (8) and other phenotypes both during growth and in stationary phase.

DksA was first described as a multicopy suppressor of the temperature-sensitive growth and filamentation of a *dnaK* deletion mutant in *E. coli* (13). The protein DksA has since then been shown to influence the regulatory activities of ppGpp even though DksA in itself is not a typical regulatory protein. It is still under debate how DksA alters the activity of ppGpp

(or vice versa). The levels of DksA in E. coli have been shown to be similar at all growth rates and in all growth phases tested (5, 22). DksA is structurally similar to the transcription factors GreA and GreB, although they share no sequence homology, and has been modeled into the same binding site in the secondary channel of RNA polymerase (RNAP) (24). In vitro experiments show that DksA copurifies with RNAP (24) and thus may exert its action as a transcriptional regulator through direct interaction with the polymerase. In vitro transcription experiments show that DksA can potentiate the ppGpp effect on both stringently induced (23) and repressed promoters (22, 26), and it has been suggested that DksA exerts its effects by stabilizing the interaction between RNAP and ppGpp (24). Nevertheless, in vivo experiments show that DksA can inhibit the stringently repressed promoter of rrnBP1 independently of ppGpp (26). It was recently discovered that GreB has similar effects as DksA on rrn promoters, suggesting that the negative effect of DksA on rm promoter activity is mediated through interactions in the secondary channel (27). GreB did not, on the other hand, affect the positively regulated promoters, suggesting that the negative and positive effects of DksA work by different mechanisms. GreA has been shown to activate rrnBP1 expression and functionally compete with DksA (26), also indicating that binding in the secondary channel is important for the effects of DksA on rrn. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Perron and coworkers (25) showed that the DksA protein interacts with both RNAP and DNA at the rrn promoter; hence, they provided an alternative model of how DksA together with ppGpp alters promoter expression, i.e., by modulating the interaction between RNAP and DNA (25). They suggest a model where DksA increases RNAP binding to rrn promoters and traps RNAP in an inactive state at these promoters. Perron et al. (24) also show that DksA negatively regulates rrn both in exponential and in stationary phase.

The complexity of the stringent response makes it difficult to distinguish between direct and indirect effects of ppGpp. Also, the different stress responses are linked to each other in multiple ways. To elucidate the role of DksA in cellular physiology

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Cell and Molecular Biology-Microbiology, Göteborg University, Box 462, 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. Phone: (46) 31 7862594. Fax: (46) 31 7862599. E-mail: lisa.magnusson@gmm.gu.se.

<sup>†</sup> L.U.M. and B.G. contributed equally to this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 11 May 2007.

TABLE	1.	Ε.	coli	strains	and	plasmids
-------	----	----	------	---------	-----	----------

<i>E. coli</i> strain or plasmid	Relevant genotype	Reference	
Derived strains			
BG143	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{livJ}-lacZ)/pBR322$	This work	
BG144	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{uspA}-lacZ) \Delta dksA::kan/pBR322$	This work	
BG145	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{mBP1-51-+50}-lacZ)^a \Delta dksA::kan/pBR322$	This work	
BG146	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{livJ}-lacZ) \Delta dksA::kan/pBR322$	This work	
BG147	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{hyt}-lacZ) \Delta dksA::kan/pJK537$	This work	
BG152	RLG4422 <i>DrelA::kan DspoT::cam</i>	This work	
BG154	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{hyt}-lacZ) \Delta relA::kan \Delta spoT::cam/pJK537$	This work	
BG155	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(\mathbf{P}_{rmBP1-51-\pm 50}\text{-}lacZ) \Delta relA::kan \Delta spoT::cam/pJK537$	This work	
KK371	MG1655 $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$ (markerless)	K. Kvint	
LM569	MG1655/pJK537	This work	
LM571	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{uend}-lacZ)/pJK537$	This work	
LM574	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{rrnBP1-51+50}-lacZ)/pJK537$	This work	
LM576	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{int}-lacZ)/pJK537$	This work	
LM608	RLG4422 $\Delta dksA::kan$	This work	
LM624	MG1655 $\Delta dksA::kan/pJK537$	This work	
LM657	MG1655 $\Delta relA$ ::kan $\Delta spoT$ ::cam/pJK537	This work	
LM659	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{max} - lacZ)/pBR322$	This work	
LM661	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{partial} + sclarZ) \Lambda relA::kan \Lambda spoT::cam/pBR322$	This work	
LM663	MG1655 $\lambda \Phi(P_{tr}, -lacZ) \Lambda relA:kan \Lambda spoT::cam/nBR322$	This work	
LM667	MG1655 ArelA:kan AspoT:cam/pBR322	This work	
LM669	MG1655 $\Delta lac \lambda \Phi(P_{-1}-lac Z)/n$ IK537	This work	
LM671	MG1655 $\Delta lac \lambda \Phi(P_{aspA} = -lacZ)/pBR322$	This work	
LM071 LM683	$MC1000 \Delta \Phi(P_{uspA} ucD)/pBR322$	This work	
I M694	$MG1655 \Lambda dks 4 \cdot kan/nBB 322$	This work	
I M699	MG1655 Alac $\Delta(p) = -1acZ \Delta rel4kan AspoTcam/pBR322$	This work	
L M700	MG1055 $\Delta lac \lambda \Phi(P_{uspA} - lac Z) \Delta rel A::kan \Delta spoT::cam/p JK522$	This work	
LM701	$MG1055 \Delta \mu c \gamma \Phi(\Gamma_{uspA-ucZ}) \Delta des A (am B) DP 322$	This work	
LM702	MG1055 $\Delta lac \ \Delta \phi(P = lac 7) \ \Delta dks A::kan/pBK522$	This work	
DI1	$MC1055 \Delta \mu c \gamma (r_{uspA}-\mu cZ) \Delta \mu sA \lambda \mu n/psK557$ $MC1055 \Delta \mu c 222$	This work	
IJI DI11	MO1003/pDK322 $MC4100$ $\Phi(\mathbf{P} = lac \mathbf{Z}) \wedge dls 4 \cdot lcan/pIK527$	This work	
DI12	$MC4100 \wedge \Phi(\Gamma_{uspA}-ucc2) \Delta ux_{3A}xut(p) K337$	This work	
FJ12 DI12	$MC4100 \wedge \Psi(\mathbf{r}_{mBP1-51-+50} \text{-} ucZ) \Delta uksA:kun/pjKJ57$	This work	
FJ15 DI14	$MC4100 \wedge \Phi(\mathbf{r}_{upp4}-ucz) \Delta relA, kan \Delta po1, cam/pBK522$	This work	
PJ14 DI C4422	$MC4100 \land \Psi(P_{uspA}-ucZ) \Delta retA::kan \Delta spo1::cam/pJK55/$	This work	
RLG4422	$MG1055 \land \Psi(P_{livJ}\text{-}lacZ)$	R. L. Gourse	
Parental strains			
AF634	MC4100 $\lambda \Phi(P_{usnA}-lacZ)$	A. Farewell	
CF9239	$\Delta dksA::kan$	M. Cashel	
MC4100	$F^-$ araD139 $\Delta$ (argF-lac)U169 rpsL150 relA1 flbB5301 deoC1 ptsF25 rbsR	M. Giskov	
MG1655		Lab stock	
RLG2259	$\lambda \Phi(P_{rmBP1-51-+50}-lacZ)$	R. L. Gourse	
Plasmids			
pBR322		Laboratory stock	
pJK537	dksA in pBR322 Ap <sup>r</sup>	13	

<sup>a</sup> rrnBP1-51-+50 contains the promoter sequence between -51 and +50 relative to the transcriptional strut site (+1).

and in relation to the effects of ppGpp, we compared the phenotypes of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> and  $\Delta dksA$  mutant strains. We also looked at the possibility that one regulatory molecule compensates for the lack of the other. We examined the regulation of a set of known ppGpp-regulated promoters: the *rm* promoter controlling the expression of rRNA which is negatively regulated by ppGpp and two positively regulated promoters, the *uspA* promoter responsible for expression of the universal stress protein A, and the *livJ* promoter controlling expression of a protein facilitating transport of branched-chain amino acids in the periplasm. Additionally, other phenotypes of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant were examined also in the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant. The data show that ppGpp and DksA do not have completely overlapping roles in the cell and that DksA can function without ppGpp.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** The *E. coli* strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 1. Cultures were grown in liquid LB or M9 defined medium (28) aerobically in Erlenmeyer flasks in a rotary shaker at 37°C. The M9 medium was supplemented with a limiting concentration of glucose (0.08%), all the amino acids in excess, and thiamine (10 mM) (30). Minimal medium plates contained M9 salts, glucose (0.4%), and thiamine (10 mM). Carbenicillin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) was added in all experiments with strains containing plasmids. Kanamycin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) and chloramphenicol (30  $\mu$ g/ml) were used to select for transductants.

**General methods.** The  $\Delta dksA$ ,  $\Delta relA$ , and  $\Delta spoT$  alleles were introduced into the different strains by standard P1 transduction. Transformation was performed by standard methods.

Measurements of cellular components. Relative  $\beta$ -galactosidase levels were determined as described previously (19), with modifications (2). The  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity of a culture is expressed by the following calculation: (1,000 × OD<sub>420</sub>)/(OD<sub>420</sub> × reaction time × sample volume), where the OD<sub>420</sub> is the optical density at 420 nm, time is measured in minutes, and volume is measured

in milliliters. Overexpression of DksA from the plasmid pJK537 was confirmed using Western blotting. Gel electrophoresis was carried out using 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels (NuPage). Immunoblotting was performed according to standard procedures using chicken polyclonal antibodies specific for DksA (kind gift from M. Cashel) or rabbit polyclonal antibodies specific for the  $\alpha$ -domain of Ag43 (kind gift from P. Owen) as primary antibody. For detection, we used IRDye 800CW-labeled goat anti-chicken immunoglobulin G antibodies (Rockland) and IRDye 800CW-labeled goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G antibodies (Westburg). Blots were exposed and quantified using LI-COR's Odyssey Infrared Imaging System and software. All experiments were repeated several times in order to ensure the reproducibility of the results.

Autoaggregation assay. Overnight cultures of the *E. coli* strains were adjusted to the same  $OD_{600}$ , and 4 ml of each was placed in a sterile 15-ml tube. At the beginning of each experiment, all cultures were vigorously shaken. Cell settlings were visually observed. Samples were also taken for microscopic observation.

Yeast cell agglutination assay. Saccharomyces cerevisiae (BY4741) and *E. coli* cells were pelleted after overnight culture, washed, and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline at a OD<sub>600</sub> values of 5 and 3, respectively. Equal volumes of *E. coli* and yeast cell suspensions were mixed on a glass slide. Aggregation was monitored visually, and the titer was recorded as the highest dilution of bacteria giving a positive agglutination reaction. A value of 1 corresponds to a nondiluted bacterial suspension (see Table 2). To establish that the agglutination observed was due to the adhesion of bacterial fimbriae to mannose residues of the yeast cell surface, we also performed the experiments in the presence of D-mannose (25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>), and under this condition, fimbriae-dependent agglutination was abolished. All assays were performed at least three times and gave similar results.

**Motility assay.** Bacterial cells were picked from colonies grown on LB agar plates and inoculated onto low-agar (0.3%) LB plates. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 24 to 48 h, and motility was assessed qualitatively by examining the circular halo formed by the motile bacterial cells. Photographs were captured using a GelDoc 2000 instrument (Bio-Rad).

**Cell morphology observed by microscopy.** The cells were grown overnight on LB plates, stained with crystal violet according to Xiao et al. (31), and viewed with a Leica DMRXA microscope (Deerfield, IL). Photographs were captured using a Hamamatsu ORCA cooled charge-coupled-device camera (Bridgewater, NJ). For the stationary-phase morphology the strains were grown to stationary phase in liquid LB medium and then stained and viewed as above.

Scanning electron microscopy. Strains were grown overnight in LB medium at 37°C without shaking. From these cultures, 30  $\mu$ l was taken, and cells were allowed to sediment and adhere to Au-sputtered Thermanox plates for 15 min. The plates were then transferred to 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 15 min, rinsed with Na cacodylate buffer, and postfixed in 1% OsO<sub>4</sub> (15 min). Specimens were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and dried with hexamethyldisilazane two times for 5 min each time. All specimens were then sputter-coated with palladium and investigated with a Zeiss DSM 982 Gemini electron microscope.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

DksA can compensate for the lack of ppGpp in regulating uspA, livJ, and rrnBP1. We studied the regulation of genes that are subjected to positive and negative control by ppGpp in cells lacking or overproducing DksA. The stringently induced promoter PlivJ has been shown before to be under positive regulation of DksA (23), and its expression is lower in stationary phase in the  $\Delta dksA$  strain than in wild type (Fig. 1B and 2B). There is still a partial induction of expression from the *livJ* promoter upon entry to stationary phase, most likely due to the action of ppGpp, although the induction ratio is not as high as in wild type (Fig. 2B). Here, we show that the expression from the stringently induced promoter  $P_{uspA}$  (15) is also lower in the  $\Delta dksA$  strain in two different backgrounds and, therefore, under positive regulation of DksA (Fig. 1A and C). Lower expression compared to the wild type was also seen in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain (Fig. 1A and B), as has been shown previously (4, 15). The expression of the following set of stringently induced promoters is also lower in the  $\Delta dksA$  strain (data not shown):  $P_{his}$  (4);  $P_{thrABC}$  (4);  $P_{uspC}$ ,  $P_{uspD}$ , and  $P_{uspE}$  (9); and  $P_{uspF}$  (Laurence Nachin, personal communication). Overexpression of DksA (from a multicopy plasmid with dksA under its own promoter, pJK537) (13) complements the expression of  $P_{uspA}$  and  $P_{livJ}$  in the  $\Delta dksA$  strain (Fig. 1A and B). In addition, overexpression of DksA can compensate for the loss of ppGpp and increase expression from both  $P_{uspA}$  and  $P_{livJ}$  in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> background (Fig. 1A, B, C, and E). DksA is overproduced approximately fivefold in both ppGpp<sup>0</sup> and wild type, and the overexpression level in the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant is similar (Fig. 1E). Although DksA is overproduced to the same extent, it gives a growth defect only when ppGpp is absent (Fig. 2A and data not shown). Compensation for the loss of ppGpp by DksA overproduction has previously been shown for the expression of RpoS (5). Thus, DksA can function independently of ppGpp. For  $P_{uspA}$  expression this was possible both in the MC4100 (Fig. 1A) and MG1655 backgrounds (Fig. 1C). The effect on  $P_{uspA}$  expression was not dependent on the growth defect seen in Fig. 2A since similar results were seen in the MG1655 background (Fig. 1C), where growth was only slightly affected (data not shown). It is also interesting that the growth defect is not proportional to the degree of DksA overexpression since DksA is overproduced approximately 20-fold in the MG1655 background (Fig. 1F). The growth defect in the MC4100 background could, in fact, be a consequence of larger effects on stringently controlled genes in this background. DksA overexpression not only suppresses the reduced stationary-phase expression of *uspA* in a ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain but also leads to an elevated expression in exponential phase, which supports the notion that DksA can work independently from ppGpp (Fig. 2A). Overexpression of DksA in the wild type did not significantly affect expression of uspA in the exponential phase (data not shown).

The stringently repressed promoter  $P_{rmBP1}$  displays higher levels of expression in the  $\Delta dksA$  strain (Fig. 1D), which is consistent with previous results (22). While we do not see an effect of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutation in the late-stationary-phase measurements (Fig. 1D), we do see it in transition and early stationary phase in this strain (data not shown). For the  $\Delta dksA$ mutant, on the other hand, the higher expression is obvious also in late stationary phase. Overexpression of DksA from a multicopy plasmid can complement the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant as well as suppress the elevated expression in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant (Fig. 1D). In addition, overexpression of DksA marginally attenuated the expression from  $P_{rmBP1}$  in the wild-type background (Fig. 1D).

DksA overproduction suppresses amino acid auxotrophy of an MC4100 ppGpp<sup>0</sup> but not an MG1655 ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant. A ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant is auxotrophic for many amino acids, and a  $\Delta dksA$  mutant has multiple, but not identical, amino acid requirements (5). In view of the fact that DksA overproduction was, at least partially, able to suppress the attenuated expression of *livJ* (Fig. 1B) in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant, we examined whether DksA overproduction could rescue ppGpp<sup>0</sup> cells from amino acid auxotrophy. Indeed, it is possible to restore growth of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant by overexpressing DksA in the MC4100 ppGpp<sup>0</sup> background (Fig. 3) but not in the MG1655 background, in agreement with previously published results (5; also data not shown). The reason for the strain difference remains to be elucidated and was not linked to differential levels of RpoS in the two strain backgrounds since it was still possible to suppress amino acid auxotrophy in an MC4100 ppGpp<sup>0</sup> rpoS



FIG. 1. Overproduction of DksA can compensate for the lack of ppGpp in regulating *uspA*, *livJ* and *rmBP1*. (A to D) Gene expression measured as  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in overnight cultures of reporter strains. Cultures were grown in M9 defined medium including glucose, amino acids, and thiamine supplemented with carbenicillin. (A)  $P_{uspA}$ -*lacZ* (MC4100 background). The strains used are LM659, LM571, PJ13, PJ14, BG144, and PJ11. (B)  $P_{iivJ}$ -*lacZ*. The strains used are BG143, LM576, LM663, BG154, BG146, and BG147. (C)  $P_{uspA}$ -*lacZ* (MG1655 background). The strains used are LM671, LM669, LM699, LM700, LM701 and LM702. (D)  $P_{rmBPI}$ -*lacZ*. The strains used are LM683, LM574, LM661, BG155, BG145, and PJ12. (E) Western blot analysis of the levels of DksA in an MG1655 background. The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM667, LM657, LM694 and LM624. Wt, wild type.



FIG. 2. (A) Overproduction of DksA can compensate for the lack of ppGpp in regulating *uspA*. Growth curve (open symbols) and gene expression (closed symbols) measured as  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity from  $P_{uspA}$ -lacZ (MC4100 background) in M9 defined medium including glucose, amino acids, and thiamine supplemented with carbenicillin. The strains used are LM659 (circles), PJ13 (squares), and PJ14 (triangles). (B) Deletion of DksA causes a reduction in expression of *livJ* but not to the same extent as a deficiency in ppGpp production. Growth curve and gene expression measured as  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity from  $P_{iwJ}$ -lacZ in M9 defined medium including glucose, amino acids, and thiamine supplemented with antibiotics when appropriate. The strains used are RLG4422 (circles), BG152 (squares), and LM608 (triangles). Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1. Wt, wild type.



FIG. 3. DksA overproduction suppresses amino acid auxotrophy of an MC4100 ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant. Suppression of the amino acid auxotrophy of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> and  $\Delta dksA$  strains by overproduction of DksA. Ten microliters of serial dilutions of overnight cultures was spotted on minimal medium plates. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and for an additional 24 h at room temperature. The strains used are LM659, LM571, PJ13, PJ14, BG144, and PJ11. Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1.

mutant (data not shown). The amino acid auxotrophy of the  $\Delta dksA$  strain could also be complemented by DksA overproduction (Fig. 3). Another case where overexpression of DksA cannot compensate for the loss of ppGpp even if the two molecules appear to have the same effect is in aminotriazole sensitivity of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant in the MG1655 background (5).

**DksA and ppGpp affect cell aggregation and adhesion.** Upon entry into stationary phase, *E. coli* cells initiate a factordependent self-aggregation leading to sedimentation (20). During the course of working with the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant, we noticed that it failed to sediment in liquid culture even after hours of static incubation (Fig. 4A, upper panel). Microscopic observations of overnight cultures revealed that the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant did not form cell aggregates while the wild-type strain did (Fig. 4A, lower panel). We determined if the same was true for the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant. Both the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain and the  $\Delta dksA$ strain are defective in the ability to sediment in liquid culture, and in agreement with the sedimentation phenotypes we could detect no aggregates in the two mutant strains (Fig. 4A, lower panel). Overproduction of DksA can complement the sedi-



FIG. 4. ppGpp and DksA affect cellular autoaggregation. Overnight cultures were vigorously shaken and subsequently incubated statically at room temperature. (A) The top panel shows the cell settling state of each strain after 2 h. For the experiment shown in the lower panel, an aliquot of each culture was taken at the beginning of the assay for microscopic observation. Images were captured with a magnification of  $\times 1,000$ , and representative data are shown. The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM657, LM694, and LM624. Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1. (B) Ag43 production. For each strain, the equivalent of 0.2 OD<sub>600</sub> unit of an overnight culture was analyzed by Western blotting and immunodetection using a polyclonal rabbit antiserum raised against the  $\alpha$ -domain of Ag43. Quantification of the signal in the upper panel is normalized to the wild type, and the Western blot is shown below.

TABLE 2. Result of the yeast cell agglutination

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Agglutination titer of strain <sup>b</sup>				
	Wt	$\Delta dksA$	ppGpp <sup>0</sup>		
Control plasmid (pBR322)	1/4	1/16	_		
DksA overproduction (pJK537)	1	1/4	-		

 $^a$  The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM657, LM694, and LM624 (Table 1).

<sup>b</sup> The agglutination titer refers to the highest dilution of bacteria giving a positive yeast agglutination reaction. A value of 1 corresponds to a nondiluted bacterial suspension, and 1/16 corresponds to very high agglutination that could be detected even with very diluted bacteria. The minus sign indicates that no agglutination was detected.

mentation defect of the  $\Delta dksA$  strain as well as suppress the sedimentation defect of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain. Thus, as for gene expression, DksA appears to be able to work alone, in the total absence of ppGpp. Notably, overexpression of DksA in the wild-type context reduces the cell's ability to form aggregates. Sedimentation in liquid culture is partly associated with the expression of Ag43 (encoded by the *flu* gene) on the cell surface and has been shown to be counteracted by the presence of fimbriae (10). Therefore, we checked the levels of Ag43 in the different strains by Western blotting and detection using antibody raised against the  $\alpha$ -domain of Ag43. The decreased autoaggregation in wild type upon DksA overexpression is correlated to lower levels of Ag43 (Fig. 4B). The  $\Delta dksA$  strain expressed low levels of Ag43, and this is complemented by DksA overexpression (Fig. 4B). The ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain expressed very low levels of Ag43, and this is suppressed by overexpression of DksA (Fig. 4B).

The Ag43-dependent aggregation of E. coli has been shown to be antagonized by the presence of type 1 fimbriae on the bacterial cell surface such that the presence of fimbriae abolishes auto aggregation regardless of the presence of Ag43 (10). Therefore, we also tested Fim-dependent adhesion of the  $\Delta dksA$  and ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutants by scoring for their ability to agglutinate yeast cells, a fimbriae-dependent process (the FimH subunit binds to mannose residues on the yeast cell surface). To confirm that yeast agglutination was indeed due to the presence of bacterial fimbriae, we verified that the agglutination observed was abolished in the presence of D-mannose (data not shown). The ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain was found to be defective in yeast agglutination (Table 2), as has been shown previously (1). The  $\Delta dksA$  strain, on the other hand, shows increased yeast agglutination compared to wild type (Table 2). This may explain why the  $\Delta dksA$  strain failed to self aggregate in stationary phase despite expressing Ag43 (Fig. 4B), since elevated expression of fimbriae is known to physically antagonize Ag43dependent aggregation (10). Overexpression of DksA decreased adhesion of both the wild-type and the  $\Delta dksA$  strains, which is consistent with increased adhesion in the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant. As expected, overproduction of DksA had no effect on adhesion in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain.

These results demonstrate that the two regulatory molecules have opposing roles in the cell, i.e., in adhesion (analyzed as yeast cell agglutination), where the loss of DksA increases while the loss of ppGpp abolishes adhesion (Table 2). ppGpp has previously been shown to affect adhesion by positively controlling the expression of the FimB recombinase (1). The  $ppGpp^0$  mutant exhibits lower expression of FimB and subsequently type 1 fimbriae and is therefore deficient in adhesion and biofilm formation (1). The increase in yeast cell agglutination of the DksA strain is most likely due to increased expression of type 1 fimbriae since it could be reversed by adding D-mannose (data not shown).

**DksA overproduction rescues the motility defect of ppGpp<sup>0</sup> cells.** We also found that the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain is defective in motility on 0.3% agar plates (Fig. 5A), and electron microscopy analysis shows that the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain does not produce flagella (less than 1/10,000 cells have flagella) (Fig. 5B), which explains its failure to move. The  $\Delta dksA$  strain is less motile than the wild type but more than the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain (Fig. 5A). Overexpression of DksA complements the motility defect of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain (Fig. 5A). Overexpression of DksA also increases the motility of the wild-type strain (Fig. 5A).

Reciprocal regulation of the two counterproductive activities, motility and adhesion (fimbriae), is logical, and direct cross talk between fimbrial gene products and bacterial motility genes has been shown (7). It is, however, argued that all cells within a population do not necessarily express the same surface-associated structures, and both structures have been observed on the bacterial surface at the same time (11). Both



FIG. 5. DksA overproduction rescues the motility defect of  $ppGpp^0$  cells. Effect of *dksA* and *relA spoT* mutations as well as DksA overproduction on cell motility and flagella expression in wild type and  $ppGpp^0$ . (A) Low-agar plates (0.3%) were inoculated with each strain as indicated. The halo of growing motile cells was observed after a 24-h incubation at room temperature. The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM657, LM694, and LM624. (B) Detection of flagella by scanning electron microscopy. The relevant genotype of the observed strain is indicated in each panel; the strains used are MG1655 and KK371. Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1.



FIG. 6. Filamentation of both  $ppGpp^0$  and  $\Delta dksA$  mutants can be suppressed by overproduction of DksA. Cells were grown on LB plates overnight, resuspended in water, dried on glass slides, stained with crystal violet for 6 min, and destained with water. Photomicrography was performed with a Leica DMRXA microscope. Images were captured at a magnification of  $\times 1,000$ . Representative panels are shown. In all the aliquots tested similar proportions of filaments were observed in the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> and  $\Delta dksA$  strains with control plasmids, while no filaments were observed in the corresponding strains overproducing DksA. The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM657, LM694, and LM624. Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1.

flagella and type 1 fimbriae have been shown to be important in biofilm formation, and within the population there is a dynamic interplay between adhesion and motility. Motility is controlled by the alternative sigma factor,  $\sigma^{\rm F}$  (14), and it is possible that ppGpp can affect the competitiveness of this sigma factor, as it has been shown to do for other alternative sigma factors (12).

DksA overproduction can rescue filamentation and stationary phase morphology of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant. Cellular morphology was observed under the microscope after cells were stained with crystal violet. The ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant forms filaments in log phase and fails to induce a spherical cell shape in stationary phase (6, 31) (Fig. 6 and 7). The  $\Delta dksA$  strain also forms filaments, and the filamentation phenotype of the  $\Delta dksA$ strain can be complemented by overproduction of DksA (Fig. 6). DksA has previously been shown to counteract the filamentation of a DnaK mutant (13). Overproduction of DksA also suppresses the filamentation of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain while overproduction of DksA in wild type has no obvious effect on cell morphology (Fig. 6). In addition, the cells that do not produce filaments in the heterogeneous population of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> culture also fail to become coccoid in stationary phase (Fig. 7), presumably due to problems in expressing BolA, a morphogene required for the cells to become coccoid (29); and therefore the cells look more or less like growing, rod-shaped cells. The same is true for the  $\Delta dksA$  strain (Fig. 7). DksA overproduction complements the lack of DksA and suppresses the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain with respect to stationary phase morphology (Fig. 7). Again, this suggests that DksA can act on its own without ppGpp also in respect to cellular morphology. The morphogene *bolA* is regulated by  $\sigma^{s}$  (16), and it is possible that the deficiency of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain and the  $\Delta dksA$  strain in expressing RpoS can account for the failure in inducing sphere shape (5).

5201



FIG. 7. Failure of both  $ppGpp^0$  and  $\Delta dksA$  mutants to acquire the coccoid form in stationary phase can be suppressed by overproduction of DksA. Cells were grown 2 h into stationary phase in liquid LB medium, dried on glass slides, stained with crystal violet for 6 min, and destained with water. Photomicrography was performed with a Leica DMRXA microscope. Images were captured at a magnification of  $\times$ 1,000, and representative panels are shown. Less pronounced morphological differences were observed also in overnight cultures (data not shown). The strains used are PJ1, LM569, LM667, LM657, LM694, and LM624. Relevant genotypes of the strains used are listed in Table 1.

**Concluding remarks.** It has been suggested that ppGpp and DksA work together, and, indeed, it turns out that deleting dksA causes similar phenotypes as deleting relA and spoT. However, DksA and ppGpp seem to be able to function independently of one another, and DksA overproduction can compensate for the loss of ppGpp in many cases where the two molecules have the same effect. It therefore seems unlikely that the main role of DksA is to facilitate ppGpp binding to RNAP, as has been suggested (24). Notably, overexpression of DksA can suppress the amino acid auxotrophy of a ppGpp<sup>0</sup> mutant. Even though deleting dksA can recreate parts of the relaxed phenotype at the same time as DksA overproduction can compensate for the loss of ppGpp, it turns out that the two mutants are different (Fig. 8), and the roles of ppGpp and DksA are not totally overlapping since the absence of ppGpp or DksA has opposite effects on adhesion. It has been shown that even though expression of rRNA is higher in the  $\Delta dksA$ mutant, there is still a reduction in rRNA expression in stationary phase in the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant of *P. aeruginosa* (25), indicating that ppGpp can also work independently of DksA; future experiments will include elucidating if ppGpp can, indeed, work in the absence of DksA in E. coli.



FIG. 8. The surface features of wild-type, ppGpp<sup>0</sup>, and  $\Delta dksA$  strains. A summary of the surface features (Ag43, fimbriae, and flagella) of the three strains and the effect of overproducing DksA are shown. Both the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> and  $\Delta dksA$  strains express lower levels of Ag43 than wild type and are nonmotile. The dotted flagella on the  $\Delta dksA$  mutant indicate the failure to move on motility agar even though the presence or absence of flagella has not been confirmed. Overexpression of DksA can restore wild-type surface structures of the  $\Delta dksA$  strain and also to some extent of the ppGpp<sup>0</sup> strain except in fimbriation, where ppGpp and DksA have opposite effects.

It has been suggested that the role of ppGpp is to relocate cellular resources from growth-related activities to maintenance (21), and our results indicate that DksA also has its major function in this regulatory trade-off.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council and an award from the Göran Gustafsson Foundation for Scientific Research in Molecular Biology.

We sincerely thank Ulf Nannmark for performing the electron microscopy, Mike Cashel for sharing strains and antibodies and discussing unpublished results, Peter Owen for sharing antibodies, and Rick Gourse and Kristian Kvint for sharing strains. Colleagues of the Nyström laboratory are gratefully acknowledged for discussion and suggestions on the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Aberg, A., V. Shingler, and C. Balsalobre. 2006. (p)ppGpp regulates type 1 fimbriation of *Escherichia coli* by modulating the expression of the sitespecific recombinase FimB. Mol. Microbiol. 60:1520–1533.
- Albertson, N. H., and T. Nystrom. 1994. Effects of starvation for exogenous carbon on functional mRNA stability and rate of peptide chain elongation in *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 117:181–187.
- Artsimovitch, I., V. Patlan, S. Sekine, M. N. Vassylyeva, T. Hosaka, K. Ochi, S. Yokoyama, and D. G. Vassylyev. 2004. Structural basis for transcription regulation by alarmone ppGpp. Cell 117:299–310.
- Barker, M. M., T. Gaal, C. A. Josaitis, and R. L. Gourse. 2001. Mechanism of regulation of transcription initiation by ppGpp. I. Effects of ppGpp on transcription initiation in vivo and in vitro. J. Mol. Biol. 305:673–688.
- Brown, L., D. Gentry, T. Elliott, and M. Cashel. 2002. DksA affects ppGpp induction of RpoS at a translational level. J. Bacteriol. 184:4455–4465.
- 6. Cashel, M., D. R. Gentry, V. J. Hernandez, and D. Vinella. 1996. The stringent response, p. 1458–1496. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed., vol. I. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Clegg, S., and K. T. Hughes. 2002. FimZ is a molecular link between sticking and swimming in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 184:1209–1213.
- Godfrey, H. P., J. V. Bugrysheva, and F. C. Cabello. 2002. The role of the stringent response in the pathogenesis of bacterial infections. Trends Microbiol. 10:349–351.
- Gustavsson, N., A. Diez, and T. Nystrom. 2002. The universal stress protein paralogues of *Escherichia coli* are co-ordinately regulated and co-operate in the defence against DNA damage. Mol. Microbiol. 43:107–117.
- Hasman, H., T. Chakraborty, and P. Klemm. 1999. Antigen-43-mediated autoaggregation of *Escherichia coli* is blocked by fimbriation. J. Bacteriol. 181:4834–4841.
- Holden, N. J., and D. L. Gally. 2004. Switches, cross-talk and memory in Escherichia coli adherence. J. Med. Microbiol. 53:585–593.

- Jishage, M., K. Kvint, V. Shingler, and T. Nystrom. 2002. Regulation of sigma factor competition by the alarmone ppGpp. Genes Dev. 16:1260–1270.
- Kang, P. J., and E. A. Craig. 1990. Identification and characterization of a new *Escherichia coli* gene that is a dosage-dependent suppressor of a *dnaK* deletion mutation. J. Bacteriol. 172:2055–2064.
- Kundu, T. K., S. Kusano, and A. Ishihama. 1997. Promoter selectivity of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase sigmaF holoenzyme involved in transcription of flagellar and chemotaxis genes. J. Bacteriol. 179:4264–4269.
- Kvint, K., C. Hosbond, A. Farewell, O. Nybroe, and T. Nystrom. 2000. Emergency derepression: stringency allows RNA polymerase to override negative control by an active repressor. Mol. Microbiol. 35:435–443.
- Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1991. Growth phase-regulated expression of *bolA* and morphology of stationary-phase *Escherichia coli* cells are controlled by the novel sigma factor sigma S. J. Bacteriol. 173:4474–4481.
- Magnusson, L. U., A. Farewell, and T. Nystrom. 2005. ppGpp: a global regulator in *Escherichia coli*. Trends Microbiol. 13:236–242.
- Magnusson, L. U., T. Nystrom, and A. Farewell. 2003. Underproduction of sigma 70 mimics a stringent response. A proteome approach. J. Biol. Chem. 278:968–973.
- Miller, J. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Nachin, L., U. Nannmark, and T. Nystrom. 2005. Differential roles of the universal stress proteins of *Escherichia coli* in oxidative stress resistance, adhesion, and motility. J. Bacteriol. 187:6265–6272.
- Nystrom, T. 2004. Growth versus maintenance: a trade-off dictated by RNA polymerase availability and sigma factor competition? Mol. Microbiol. 54: 855–862.
- 22. Paul, B. J., M. M. Barker, W. Ross, D. A. Schneider, C. Webb, J. W. Foster, and R. L. Gourse. 2004. DksA: a critical component of the transcription initiation machinery that potentiates the regulation of rRNA promoters by ppGpp and the initiating NTP. Cell 118:311–322.

- Paul, B. J., M. B. Berkmen, and R. L. Gourse. 2005. DksA potentiates direct activation of amino acid promoters by ppGpp. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:7823–7828.
- Perederina, A., V. Svetlov, M. N. Vassylyeva, T. H. Tahirov, S. Yokoyama, I. Artsimovitch, and D. G. Vassylyev. 2004. Regulation through the secondary channel—structural framework for ppGpp-DksA synergism during transcription. Cell 118:297–309.
- Perron, K., R. Comte, and C. van Delden. 2005. DksA represses ribosomal gene transcription in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by interacting with RNA polymerase on ribosomal promoters. Mol. Microbiol. 56:1087–1102.
- Potrykus, K., D. Vinella, H. Murphy, A. Szalewska-Palasz, R. D'Ari, and M. Cashel. 2006. Antagonistic regulation of *Escherichia coli* ribosomal RNA *rmB* P1 promoter activity by GreA and DksA. J. Biol. Chem. 281:15238– 15248.
- Rutherford, S. T., J. J. Lemke, C. E. Vrentas, T. Gaal, W. Ross, and R. L. Gourse. 2006. Effects of DksA, GreA, and GreB on transcription initiation: insights into the mechanisms of factors that bind in the secondary channel of RNA polymerase. J. Mol. Biol.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Santos, J. M., M. Lobo, A. P. Matos, M. A. De Pedro, and C. M. Arraiano. 2002. The gene *bolA* regulates *dacA* (PBP5), *dacC* (PBP6) and *ampC* (AmpC), promoting normal morphology in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 45:1729–1740.
- Wanner, B. L., R. Kodaira, and F. C. Neidhardt. 1977. Physiological regulation of a decontrolled *lac* operon. J. Bacteriol. 130:212–222.
- Xiao, H., M. Kalman, K. Ikehara, S. Zemel, G. Glaser, and M. Cashel. 1991. Residual guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate synthetic activity of *relA* null mutants can be eliminated by *spoT* null mutations. J. Biol. Chem. 266:5980– 5990.