



Published in final edited form as:

J Psychosom Res. 2007 June ; 62(6): 667–674.

Alexithymia and 7.5-year incidence of compensated low back pain in 1,207 urban public transit operators

Wolf E. Mehling, MD¹ and Niklas Krause, PhD, MD, MPH²

*1*Department of Family and Community Medicine; Osher Center for Integrative Medicine, University of California San Francisco

*2*Department of Medicine, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of California San Francisco

Abstract

Objective—Alexithymia, a lack of emotional awareness, was positively associated with self-reported low back pain (LBP) in cross-sectional studies. We assessed the association of alexithymia with 7.5-year incidence of LBP prospectively in a cohort study of 1,207 San Francisco transit operators.

Methods—Alexithymia was measured by the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20). LBP was assessed by physician-confirmed diagnoses from administrative workers' compensation data. Cox proportional hazard analyses controlled for demographic, behavioral, and physical and psychosocial job factors measured by questionnaire and interview.

Results—27.7% of all drivers (n=334) filed compensated claims for LBP injuries with workers' compensation insurance during the 7.5-year observation time. The hazard ratios from the fully adjusted model were 0.73 (0.56-0.96) for the TAS-20 scale and 0.82 (0.69-0.98) for the subscale “difficulty describing feelings”. Alexithymia scores did not predict the duration of compensated work disability.

Conclusion—In contrast to previous cross-sectional positive associations between alexithymia and LBP, alexithymia is negatively associated with compensated LBP claims. We hypothesize that shame and reporting behavior may explain these inconsistent results.

Keywords

Alexithymia; Coping; Emotions; Low Back Pain; Occupational Medicine; Psychosocial Risk Factors

Introduction

The annual estimated prevalence of acute severe disabling low back pain (LBP) in the adult US population is estimated to be around 8%¹ corresponding to 16.6 million US adults. A significant proportion of LBP sufferers experience continuous or recurrent symptoms and place a huge burden on society^{2, 3}. For the prognosis of LBP, psychosocial predictors appear to be more important than findings from physical examination,^{2, 4, 5} or imaging studies⁶.

Correspondence: Wolf E. Mehling, MD, Osher Center For Integrative Medicine, University of California San Francisco, Campus Box 1726, 1701 Divisadero, # 150, San Francisco, CA 94143-1726, Phone (415) 353-9506, Fax (415) 353-7358

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Numerous psychological factors are implicated as predictors (not necessarily as determinants) in the onset, chronification, recurrence, and responsiveness to treatment of LBP including: depression⁷, anxiety⁸, fear-avoidance⁹, catastrophizing¹⁰, somatization¹¹, acceptance¹², ignoring/endurance¹³, anger^{14, 15}, job problems¹⁶ and job dissatisfaction¹⁷, and screening methods for early identification of prognostic factors in the development of chronic pain have become a key theme in occupational LBP research^{18, 19}. Several theoretical models have been proposed^{9, 20, 21}. However, much uncertainty still remains about the weight and functions of the studied variables within these models and about the potential of confounding by unmeasured psychological variables²²⁻²⁴.

We previously reported a cross-sectional positive association of alexithymia, particularly the difficulty identifying feelings, with the prevalence of LBP²⁵. Alexithymia, literally (from Greek) no (a-) words (-lexi-) for feelings (-thymia), is a construct introduced in 1973 by Sifneos and stands for unawareness of emotions²⁶. It consists of three sub-domains: difficulty identifying feelings, difficulty describing feelings, and external-oriented thinking (a mode of thinking not guided by reflection of emotional cues or introspection). According to functional brain-imaging studies, alexithymia seems to be associated with dysregulations in the direct experience of emotions (“phenomenal awareness”) and the reflection of the emotion’s content (“reflexive awareness”) ²⁷. Alexithymic individuals seem to be quasi-blind to emotions²⁸ and are not able (1) to evaluate them and (2) to incorporate such analysis into their adaptive behavior. Alexithymia seems to be related to acute pain severity²⁹ and the affective rather than sensory dimension of chronic pain³⁰.

Our earlier cross-sectional findings²⁵ confirmed several studies in which an association between alexithymia and LBP was suggested. In summary, three descriptive or cross-sectional studies using instruments of limited psychometric quality showed a positive association between alexithymia and LBP, and one clinical trial showed delayed recovery from chronic LBP in alexithymic patients³¹⁻³⁵. More recent studies found a cross-sectional association of the difficulty identifying feelings with fibromyalgia³⁶, an association between alexithymia and acute experimental pain tolerance in healthy students²⁹, and a cross-sectional association of alexithymia with chronic pain in locations other than the low back but not in patients with chronic LBP³⁷.

The question of a possible causal relationship between alexithymia and LBP cannot be resolved by cross-sectional studies. If indeed a causal relationship exists, cognitive-behavioral interventions could potentially be improved by including a form of training for emotional awareness³⁰. This prospective cohort study of the same population of municipal bus drivers in San Francisco³⁸ used for previous cross-sectional analysis²⁵, examines whether alexithymia at baseline prospectively predicts the *incidence* of physician-diagnosed LBP (time to a first compensated LBP injury) and whether the duration of compensated work disability is predicted by alexithymia.

Methods

Study Design and Population

The TAS-20 questionnaire was integrated into a cohort study of San Francisco Municipal transit operators designed to test physical and psychosocial risk factors for work-related back injuries³⁸. After excluding supervisors, other non-active transit operators, and drivers without social security number, the study population consisted of 1,841 active operators of diesel buses, electric trolley buses, light rail streetcars, and historic cable cars. They underwent a mandated biannual medical examination between August 1993 and September 1995. Immediately following the medical evaluation and after the decision on license renewal as transit vehicle driver was made, 1,502 (81.6%) participants answered an epidemiological baseline

questionnaire including the TAS-20 items. Subjects were followed until February 2001. 163 participants were excluded from analyses due to missing data on alexithymia, and an additional 132 because of incomplete information on covariates used in our Cox regression models leaving a total of 1,207 public transit workers with complete data for analyses.

Measurement of LBP incidence

Primary study outcome was the incidence of LBP, defined as the hazard of a first compensated LBP injury during 7.5-years of follow-up. First compensated LBP injuries with work absence of at least 3 months and duration of work disability constituted secondary outcome measures. Hazard rate is defined as each individual's instantaneous probability of the event at precisely time t , given that the individual was at risk at time t ³⁹. The time at risk started with the day of the baseline examination and was censored at the day of the first LBP claim, the day of separation from active duty, or the end of the follow-up period, whichever came first. These dates were retrieved from company employment records and workers' compensation insurer's claim files. Medical bill records were reviewed to determine physicians' diagnoses of "definite" LBP based on ICD-9 codes. The list of ICD-9 codes indicating definite LBP and a severity ranking of these codes is provided elsewhere³⁸.

Measurement of alexithymia

Alexithymia was measured as (1) the continuous summary score of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20)⁴⁰ and (2) three subscales, using a 4-point Likert scale. The subscales measure the difficulty identifying feelings (TAS-DIF), difficulty describing feelings (TAS-DDF), and external-oriented thinking (TAS-EOT). The three-factor structure has been confirmed in college students and psychiatric patient samples. The TAS-20 scale has acceptable construct validity (in students better than in patients⁴¹) and internal consistency, at least for the factors measured by TAS-DIF and TAS-DDF.²⁵ Cronbach's alpha for the TAS-EOT subscale was low (0.48) across all ethnicities in San Francisco public transit workers²⁵. A low reliability for this subscale was found in other studies as well⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. Alexithymia as measured by the TAS-20 is generally seen as a relatively stable trait supported by test-retest reliability of 0.74 ($p < 0.001$)⁴¹, however modifiable by psychotherapy enhanced by mind-body techniques⁴⁵ or body-oriented psychotherapy⁴⁶. Questions have been raised whether a self-report instrument can assess the difficulty of symbolization and communication^{47, 48}, and a performance-based assessment of emotional awareness was at best weakly correlated to the self-rated TAS-20⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. However, for larger epidemiological studies the internationally widely used Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) is still the best psychometrically acceptable test^{43, 52, 53}.

Measurement of covariates

The following potential confounders were assessed by questionnaire and interview at baseline: age, gender, marital status, level of education and income, smoking, alcohol intake, physical workload, years and weekly hours of professional driving, vehicle type, job strain (Job Content Questionnaire, Karasek 1985, 1998⁵⁴). Details of the respective instruments have been described elsewhere^{16, 38, 55-57}. As coping styles have been reported to be associated with both alexithymia^{58, 59} and chronicity of LBP^{5, 20, 60, 61} and were important negatively confounding covariates in our cross-sectional study²⁵, we again assessed the following coping style variables: denial, behavioral disengagement, planning, and seeking social support (COPE Scale⁶²).

Analyses

Cox regression models were used to examine the association between alexithymia and incidence of first compensated LBP. The three alexithymia subscales were treated as separate

independent variables⁶³. The developers of the TAS-20 scale suggested cut-off scores for classifying individuals as alexithymic that were derived from college students⁴⁰ and not based on a critical evaluation of relative sensitivity and specificity. Therefore, rather than classifying individuals as alexithymic or not, we used the TAS-20 scores as continuous variables or compared those individuals with high scores (upper quartile) to those with low scores (lower quartile of the distribution). The latter method had been used previously in studies of alexithymia^{25, 64, 65}. Furthermore, the association between the degree of alexithymia (continuous measure) and the duration of compensated work disability was assessed using multivariate regression analyses.

Covariates to be entered into the models were chosen on theoretical grounds (e.g. demographic variables) or if they changed the hazard rate of alexithymia by more than 5%. As done previously in similar studies and following prior recommendations from a work group of the International Low Back Forum for Primary Care Research (Pincus et al.)^{16, 66, 67}, we entered groups of related covariates incrementally into the final model, adjusting for demographic (age, sex, ethnicity), physical work-related (vehicle type, ergonomic problems, hours and years of driving), psychosocial work-related (job strain, social support from coworkers and supervisors at work), psychological coping (denial and planning), and behavioral (alcohol, smoking) factors. We tested the proportional hazard assumption for the final models by Schoenfeld tests. We conducted subgroup analyses by ethnicity. Data were analyzed using Stata Statistical Software, version 9.2 (Stata Corporation; College Station, TX).

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of 1,207 study participants. Non-responders were more often women, African-Americans, and diesel bus drivers (see Table 1 in ²⁵). The average age was 46.5 years, 85% drivers were male, and 55% were African-American. Nearly a third of drivers experienced LBP in the 12 months before baseline. 334 (27.5%) transit operators had a compensated LBP claim during the 7.5-year observation period (average time to censoring 4.9 years).

Table 2 presents the results from Cox regression analyses assessing the hazard of filing a claim for LBP injury associated with a 1-point increase of the alexithymia score (within a range from 1 to 4 using the individual unweighted means of the total scale and subscale items). The first row shows unadjusted hazard ratios. The second row shows hazard ratios after adjustment for age, sex, and ethnicity. The following rows show hazard ratios with incremental adjustment for additional covariates; the last row displays the results after simultaneous adjustment for all covariates (full model). Higher alexithymia scores were consistently associated with a reduction in LBP claims in all multivariate analyses, and these associations were statistically significant in the fully adjusted models for the summary TAS-20 scale (HR = 0.73; 95% CI 0.56-0.96) and sub-scale TAS-DDF (difficulty describing feelings; HR = 0.82; 95% CI 0.69-0.98). Analyzing TAS-20 and subscale scores in quartiles (with cutoffs 1.5, 1.85, and 2.2 on a 1-4 TAS-20 scale range) did not change the direction of the association.

LBP prevalence during the past year increased the incidence of a first compensated claim by 45% ($P = 0.001$; not in table). Adding other covariates to the regression models (level of education, weight, height, overtime hours, self-rated ergonomic demands) did not change substantially (<0.1 change in HR) the strength of the association between alexithymia and LBP incidence.

Alexithymia scores were slightly higher ($P = 0.01$) in men (1.91 ± 0.47^1 ; range 1-4) than in woman (1.81 ± 0.49), lower (1.84 ± 0.45 ; $P = 0.002$) in more experienced drivers (over 15 years of driving) and higher (2.13 ± 0.48 ; $P < 0.001$) in those drivers who rated higher on the denial-of-stress coping scale. Based on Schoenfeld tests, the variables denial coping and job seniority violated the proportional hazard assumption. After stratification for these variables, we obtained P-values consistently above 0.6 for the global Schoenfeld test confirming the proportional hazard assumption for our models. We ruled out an interaction between alexithymia and denial coping ($p=0.98$ for the product term) and found no improvement of our models by adding a quadratic term for alexithymia or logarithmic transformation (Likelihood-ratio test $p=0.22$ and 0.26 , respectively). The hazard of a LBP injury claim due to alexithymia did not differ between men and women.

132 claims (39.5% of claims, 10.9% of all drivers) resulted in at least 90 days off work and, thus, can be defined as *chronic* disabling LBP. We found a further decreased adjusted hazard ratio of 0.64 (0.43-0.98) for the TAS-20 scale and the incidence of chronic disabling LBP claims. For the subgroup of drivers with claims, we found no association between alexithymia scores and duration of work disability in linear regression analyses (fully adjusted: coefficient -31.3 ; 95% CI $-92.5 - 29.1$; $P = 0.32$).

Table 3 presents the analyses stratified by ethnicity based on the same unadjusted and fully adjusted models. The effect of alexithymia on LBP claims appears to differ considerably between ethnic groups even after adjustment for demographic, workplace, psychological, and behavioral factors. The association is particularly strong and statistically significant in Caucasians for both continuous measures of TAS-20 (HR=0.21; CI 0.06-0.68) and TAS-20 in quartiles (HR = 0.68; 0.60; 0.20 for lowest to highest quartiles, respectively).

To summarize the main results: The hazards of filing a claim for a LBP injury (regardless of the duration of associated work disability) are reduced among drivers who have difficulty describing feelings compared to drivers without that difficulty after adjusting for a wide range of potentially confounding factors. The effects of alexithymia considerably differ by ethnicity and a strong and statistically significant effect was only seen for Caucasians. Duration of compensated work disability was not associated with alexithymia scores.

Discussion

Contrary to our expectation and in seeming contradiction to our previous cross-sectional analysis in the same cohort²⁵, this prospective study showed a negative rather than a positive association between alexithymia and occupational LBP, particularly for the factor “difficulty describing feelings”. From our previous cross-sectional and this new prospective study a paradoxical set of findings emerges: Reduced emotional awareness was associated with an increased 1-year prevalence of self-reported LBP in the cross-sectional analysis and a decreased incidence of compensated LBP claims in the prospective analysis. Several considerations are presented to understand these paradoxical findings.

First, we have to consider the validity of the TAS-20 instrument in assessing the ability to put one's emotion into words. The items for the factor “difficulty describing feelings” (factor 2 of TAS-20) do not distinguish the difficulty in symbolizing emotions from the difficulty in communicating emotions; in fact, they were only weakly or not at all associated with the observation-based Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale (LEAS).^{46, 49} Instead, they were strongly associated with shame anxiety (Corr. = 0.58) and shyness-embarrassment (0.69)⁵⁰. Our findings might therefore reflect fears of being ashamed and of self-devaluation in

¹All “±” statements relate to standard deviation

communicating emotions rather than difficulties in symbolizing emotions as the basis for the difficulty in emotional self-disclosure in social interactions⁵⁰.

Second, in previous cross-sectional research alexithymia was associated with increased symptom reporting⁶⁸. Alexithymia has previously been found to be stronger related to subjective pain and complaints of symptoms than to physical functioning and disability^{42, 68}. Our results seem to show a similar pattern, with alexithymia positively associated with self-reported LBP symptoms but not or negatively associated with compensated work disability from LBP.

Third, findings regarding the prevalence of self-reported LBP symptoms are not readily comparable to findings regarding the incidence of compensated LBP claims, even within the same study population. Filing a claim and receiving compensation is at least two steps removed from experiencing LBP symptoms. One possible interpretation is that the proneness or willingness to or the efficacy in filing a claim and navigating the bureaucratic process, rather than the onset of symptomatic LBP, might be negatively associated with the difficulty describing feelings. Or in other words: if one is less able to describe one's feelings, could one also be less apt and able or willing to complete the somewhat cumbersome process of filing a claim or convincing the examining physician of one's predicament? Recalled or present, the experience of LBP, the degree to which it is disabling, and the capacity or need of filing a claim are discernable consecutive steps in a chain of events, and personality traits can have different and maybe even opposite effects at each step. Such time-dependent or "disability phase-specific" effects have been shown in a cohort of Californian low back pain claimants examining psychosocial and physical job factors.^{69, 70}

Consequently, a possible interpretation of the result of this study is that the fear of being ashamed and self-devaluated, the shyness and anxiety around verbally expressing emotions is associated with a decreased willingness to file a claim with workers' compensation for a LBP injury. Thus the difficulty identifying feelings can be positively associated with recalled LBP prevalence, which is strongly predictive of LBP later on, but the difficulty in expressing emotions from fear of being ashamed may negatively modify the efficacy in successfully filing for workers' compensation.

The independent negative association between alexithymia and LBP incidence becomes stronger after controlling for the coping-with-stress strategy of denial. Denial of stress has a strong positive association with the incidence of compensated claims (separate publication in preparation). Denial and alexithymia are moderately and positively correlated ($r = 0.38$) but they seem to have opposite effects on LBP claim incidence. Alexithymia and denial are not mediators for each other, as including one of these variables to a model using the other as predictor strengthens rather than weakens the effect of the predictor on the outcome (Sobel-Goodman tests: negative value). Furthermore, they are not effect modifiers to each other as their product terms are not significant ($P = 0.91$). Rather, they are negative confounders to each other and mask each other's effect on the outcome.

Drivers with difficulties describing feelings likely also have difficulties identifying feelings ($r = 0.68$). One possible interpretation is that they might be more willing to complain about LBP in the past year and prefer to ignore the actual stress of it and avoid the stress of going through the hassles involved in filing a claim, in-line with a strategy of denial and disengagement.

A secondary aim of the study was to answer the question whether alexithymia might be a risk factor for the chronification of compensated LBP. We found that among workers filing a first claim for LBP, duration of work disability associated with this claim was unrelated to alexithymia.

Again, as reported in previous cross-sectional studies of different samples and diagnoses^{25, 42, 43}, ethnicity seems to play an important role for the effect of alexithymia on health outcomes and its role remains to be explored. Our findings from both cross-sectional and prospective analyses consistently contradict reports suggesting that variations in emotional awareness and expression may be more important for pain reports among African Americans than among Caucasians⁴².

The major strength of this study is its prospective design, its relatively large sample size compared to the smaller samples used in alexithymia research, its ethnic diversity, and our ability to control for several important psychological and workplace factors.

Limitations

A major limitation of this study is that depression or negative affect were not separately assessed. Both factors are associated with alexithymia^{30, 71, 72} particularly when assessed by TAS-20⁴⁶ and are associated with low back pain as well^{22, 30, 73-75}. Depression and alexithymia scores have been reported as being correlated (Pearson) at 0.40 to 0.59⁷⁶. Several studies found an effect of alexithymia on symptom report even after controlling for depression: Alexithymia predicted self-report of somatic symptoms in depressed patients⁷⁷, independently from depression⁷⁸, and remained stable among depressed patients when level of depression declined⁷⁹. Furthermore, the association between a distinct neuro-endocrine pattern and alexithymia was strengthened in men after controlling for depression⁸⁰. However, depression has also been suggested to mediate the association between alexithymia and the affective component of chronic myofascial pain^{30, 81} or symptom complaints in somatoform disorders⁴⁶. These latter studies found no additional contribution of alexithymia when controlling for depression but persistent contribution of depression when controlling for alexithymia: alexithymia, interfering with adaptive emotion regulation, resulted in negative affect such as depression, which in turn influenced the affective pain experience³⁰. These findings suggest that depression may be a mediator between alexithymia and the affective component of pain. In this case, adjustment for depression would be a methodological mistake.

The primary predictor variable alexithymia used for this report was collected by self-assessment. Observation- or performance-based measures, such as LEAS, clearly would be preferable. However, this was not feasible in such a large sample.

Respondents tended to be proportionally more men, less African-American, more light rail and less diesel-bus drivers. Therefore, our ability to generalize our findings to all San Francisco municipal transit operators is limited. However, since we controlled for vehicle type and ethnicity in our analyses and response rates were rather high we feel confident that our findings were not materially influenced by any response bias. The observed variation of effects across ethnic groups may have several explanations. In order to examine them it will be necessary to validate the concept and measurement of alexithymia (and of LPB for that matter) for different ethnic groups.

Conclusions

Our results did not confirm previously reported findings of a positive association between deficiencies in emotional awareness and LBP, at least regarding the incidence of work-related, compensated claims of LBP injuries with workers' compensation insurance. To the contrary, high alexithymia may reduce the incidence of such claims, at least among Caucasians. One plausible explanation in light of the literature is that shame rather than level of emotional awareness explains the discrepant findings in our cross-sectional and prospective studies.

Also, we found no positive relationship between alexithymia and duration of disability or the incidence of *chronic* disabling compensated LBP. This does not entirely rule out the possibility of alexithymia being a risk factor for non-disabling LBP when no formal insurance claim is filed and compensated, i.e. in a primary care clinic rather than occupational setting. The latter is a different outcome and may have different predictors.

As in our previous report²⁵, this study again demonstrated the importance of including coping styles in analyses of alexithymia and LBP. We will report separately about the relationship of coping styles with LBP.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Centers for Disease Control/National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (CDC/NIOSH) grant number 1-R01-OH-03604-01A2 and by the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM/NIH) grant number K23-AT2298.

We thank the City of San Francisco, the management of San Francisco Municipal Railways, San Francisco Transport Workers Union Local 250A for their support, and the transit operators for their participation in this research.

References

1. Carey TS, Evans AT, Hadler NM, et al. Acute severe low back pain. A population-based study of prevalence and care-seeking. *Spine* Feb 1;1996 21(3):339–344. [PubMed: 8742211]
2. Dunn KM, Croft PR. Epidemiology and natural history of low back pain. *Eura Medicophys* Mar;2004 40(1):9–13. [PubMed: 16030488]
3. Stewart WF, Ricci JA, Chee E, Morganstein D, Lipton R. Lost productive time and cost due to common pain conditions in the US workforce. *Jama* Nov 12;2003 290(18):2443–2454. [PubMed: 14612481]
4. Croft PR, Dunn KM, Raspe H. Course and prognosis of back pain in primary care: The epidemiological perspective. *Pain*. Mar 10;2006
5. Hasenbring M, Hallner D, Klasen B. Psychological mechanisms in the transition from acute to chronic pain: over- or underrated? *Schmerz* Dec;2001 15(6):442–447. [PubMed: 11793149]
6. Carragee EJ, Alamin TF, Miller JL, Carragee JM. Discographic, MRI and psychosocial determinants of low back pain disability and remission: a prospective study in subjects with benign persistent back pain. *Spine J* Jan-Feb;2005 5(1):24–35. [PubMed: 15653082]
7. Currie SR, Wang J. More data on major depression as an antecedent risk factor for first onset of chronic back pain. *Psychol Med* Sep;2005 35(9):1275–1282. [PubMed: 16168150]
8. Grotle M, Brox JI, Veierod MB, Glomsrod B, Lonn JH, Vollestad NK. Clinical course and prognostic factors in acute low back pain: patients consulting primary care for the first time. *Spine* Apr 15;2005 30(8):976–982. [PubMed: 15834343]
9. Sieben JM, Vlaeyen JW, Portegijs PJ, et al. A longitudinal study on the predictive validity of the fear-avoidance model in low back pain. *Pain* Sep;2005 117(12):162–170. [PubMed: 16099095]
10. Sullivan MJ, Thorn B, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Theoretical perspectives on the relation between catastrophizing and pain. *Clin J Pain* Mar;2001 17(1):52–64. [PubMed: 11289089]
11. Nickel R, Egle UT, Eysel P, Rompe JD, Zollner J, Hoffmann SO. Health-related quality of life and somatization in patients with long-term low back pain: a prospective study with 109 patients. *Spine* Oct 15;2001 26(20):2271–2277. [PubMed: 11598519]
12. McCracken LM, Carson JW, Eccleston C, Keefe FJ. Acceptance and change in the context of chronic pain. *Pain* May;2004 109(12):4–7. [PubMed: 15082120]
13. Hallner D, Hasenbring M. Classification of psychosocial risk factors (yellow flags) for the development of chronic low back and leg pain using artificial neural network. *Neurosci Lett* May 6;2004 361(13):151–154. [PubMed: 15135916]
14. Greenwood KA, Thurston R, Rumble M, Waters SJ, Keefe FJ. Anger and persistent pain: current status and future directions. *Pain* May;2003 103(12):1–5. [PubMed: 12749952]

15. Burns JW, Johnson BJ, Devine J, Mahoney N, Pawl R. Anger management style and the prediction of treatment outcome among male and female chronic pain patients. *Behav Res Ther* Nov;1998 36 (11):1051–1062. [PubMed: 9737057]
16. Krause N, Ragland DR, Fisher JM, Syme SL. Psychosocial job factors, physical workload, and incidence of work-related spinal injury: a 5-year prospective study of urban transit operators. *Spine* Dec 1;1998 23(23):2507–2516. [PubMed: 9854749]
17. Steenstra IA, Verbeek JH, Heymans MW, Bongers PM. Prognostic factors for duration of sick leave in patients sick listed with acute low back pain: a systematic review of the literature. *Occup Environ Med* Dec;2005 62(12):851–860. [PubMed: 16299094]
18. Linton SJ, Gross D, Schultz IZ, et al. Prognosis and the identification of workers risking disability: research issues and directions for future research. *J Occup Rehabil* Dec;2005 15(4):459–474. [PubMed: 16254749]
19. Pransky GS, Verma SK, Okurowski L, Webster B. Length of disability prognosis in acute occupational low back pain: development and testing of a practical approach. *Spine* Mar 15;2006 31(6):690–697. [PubMed: 16540875]
20. Truchon M. Determinants of chronic disability related to low back pain: towards an integrative biopsychosocial model. *Disabil Rehabil* Nov 20;2001 23(17):758–767. [PubMed: 11762878]
21. Sullivan MJ, Thorn B, Rodgers W, Ward LC. Path model of psychological antecedents to pain experience: experimental and clinical findings. *Clin J Pain* May-Jun;2004 20(3):164–173. [PubMed: 15100592]
22. Pincus T, Burton A, Vogel S, Field A. A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. *Spine* 2002;27(5):E109–E120. [PubMed: 11880847]
23. Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. *Spine* May 1;2000 25(9): 1148–1156. [PubMed: 10788861]
24. Keefe FJ, Rumble ME, Scipio CD, Giordano LA, Perri LM. Psychological aspects of persistent pain: current state of the science. *J Pain* May;2004 5(4):195–211. [PubMed: 15162342]
25. Mehling WE, Krause N. Are difficulties perceiving and expressing emotions associated with low-back pain? The relationship between lack of emotional awareness (alexithymia) and 12-month prevalence of low-back pain in 1180 urban public transit operators. *J Psychosom Res* Jan;2005 58 (1):73–81. [PubMed: 15771873]
26. Sifneos PE. Alexithymia: past and present. *Am J Psychiatry* Jul;1996 153:137–142. [PubMed: 8659637]
27. Lane, R. Neural correlates of conscious emotional experience. In: Lane, RD.; Nadel, L., editors. *Cognitive Neuroscience of Emotion*. Oxford UK: Oxford University Press; 2000. p. 345-370.
28. Lane RD, Ahern GL, Schwartz GE, Kaszniak AW. Is alexithymia the emotional equivalent of blindsight? *Biol Psychiatry* Nov 1;1997 42(9):834–844. [PubMed: 9347133]
29. Nyklicek I, Vingerhoets AJ. Alexithymia is associated with low tolerance to experimental painful stimulation. *Pain* Apr;2000 85(3):471–475. [PubMed: 10781921]
30. Lumley MA, Smith JA, Longo DJ. The relationship of alexithymia to pain severity and impairment among patients with chronic myofascial pain: comparisons with self-efficacy, catastrophizing, and depression. *J Psychosom Res* Sep;2002 53(3):823–830. [PubMed: 12217458]
31. Acklin MW, Alexander G. Alexithymia and somatization. A Rorschach study of four psychosomatic groups. *J Nerv Ment Dis* Jun;1988 176(6):343–350. [PubMed: 2967348]
32. Acklin MW, Bernat E. Depression, alexithymia, and pain prone disorder: a Rorschach study. *J Pers Assess* Fall;1987 51(3):462–479. [PubMed: 2958616]
33. Julkunen J, Hurri H, Kankainen J. Psychological factors in the treatment of chronic low back pain. Follow-up study of a back school intervention. *Psychother Psychosom* 1988;50(4):173–181. [PubMed: 2978626]
34. Kinder BN, Curtiss G. Alexithymia among empirically derived subgroups of chronic back pain patients. *J Pers Assess* Spring;1990 54(12):351–362. [PubMed: 2138220]
35. Viikari-Juntura E, Vuori J, Silverstein BA, Kalimo R, Kuosma E, Videman T. A life-long prospective study on the role of psychosocial factors in neck-shoulder and low-back pain. *Spine* Sep;1991 16(9): 1056–1061. [PubMed: 1835161]

36. Sayar K, Gulec H, Topbas M. Alexithymia and anger in patients with fibromyalgia. *Clin Rheumatol* Oct;2004 23(5):441–448. [PubMed: 15278756]
37. Gregory RJ, Manring J, Wade MJ. Personality traits related to chronic pain location. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* Apr-Jun;2005 17(2):59–64. [PubMed: 16075657]
38. Krause N, Rugulies R, Ragland DR, Syme SL. Physical workload, ergonomic problems, and incidence of low back injury: a 7.5-year prospective study of San Francisco transit operators. *Am J Ind Med* Dec;2004 46(6):570–585. [PubMed: 15551390]
39. Sczklo, M.; Nieto, F. *Beyond the basics*. Aspen Publication; Gaithersburg, Maryland: 2000. Epidemiology.
40. Taylor GJ, Bagby RM, Parker JD. The Revised Toronto Alexithymia Scale: some reliability, validity, and normative data. *Psychother Psychosom* 1992;57(12):34–41. [PubMed: 1584897]
41. Kooiman CG, Spinhoven P, Trijsburg RW. The assessment of alexithymia: a critical review of the literature and a psychometric study of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20. *J Psychosom Res* Dec;2002 53(6):1083–1090. [PubMed: 12479990]
42. Lumley MA, Radcliffe AM, Macklem DJ, et al. Alexithymia and pain in three chronic pain samples: comparing Caucasians and African Americans. *Pain Med* May-Jun;2005 6(3):251–261. [PubMed: 15972089]
43. Taylor GJ, Bagby RM, Parker JD. The 20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale. IV. Reliability and factorial validity in different languages and cultures. *J Psychosom Res* Sep;2003 55(3):277–283. [PubMed: 12932803]
44. Sondergaard HP, Theorell T. Alexithymia, emotions and PTSD; findings from a longitudinal study of refugees. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2004;58(3):185–191. [PubMed: 15204204]
45. Beresnevaite M. Exploring the benefits of group psychotherapy in reducing alexithymia in coronary heart disease patients: a preliminary study. *Psychother Psychosom* May-Jun;2000 69(3):117–122. [PubMed: 10773774]
46. Subic-Wrana C, Bruder S, Thomas W, Lane RD, Kohle K. Emotional awareness deficits in inpatients of a psychosomatic ward: a comparison of two different measures of alexithymia. *Psychosom Med* May-Jun;2005 67(3):483–489. [PubMed: 15911914]
47. Haviland MG, Warren WL, Riggs ML. An observer scale to measure alexithymia. *Psychosomatics* Sep-Oct;2000 41(5):385–392. [PubMed: 11015624]
48. Lane RD, Sechrest L, Reidel R, Weldon V, Kaszniak A, Schwartz GE. Impaired verbal and nonverbal emotion recognition in alexithymia. *Psychosom Med* May-Jun;1996 58(3):203–210. [PubMed: 8771618]
49. Lane RD, Sechrest L, Riedel R. Sociodemographic correlates of alexithymia. *Compr Psychiatry* Nov-Dec;1998 39(6):377–385. [PubMed: 9829146]
50. Suslow T, Donges US, Kersting A, Arolt V. 20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale: do difficulties describing feelings assess proneness to shame instead of difficulties symbolizing emotions? *Scand J Psychol* Dec;2000 41(4):329–334. [PubMed: 11131954]
51. Subic-Wrana C, Bruder S, Thomas W, Gaus E, Merkle W, Kohle K. Distribution of alexithymia as a personality-trait in psychosomatically ill in-patients--measured with TAS 20 and LEAS. *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol* Nov;2002 52(11):454–460. [PubMed: 12420248]
52. Bagby RM, Parker JD, Taylor GJ. The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale--I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J Psychosom Res* Jan;1994 38(1):23–32. [PubMed: 8126686]
53. Bagby RM, Taylor GJ, Parker JD. The Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale--II. Convergent, discriminant, and concurrent validity. *J Psychosom Res* Jan;1994 38(1):33–40. [PubMed: 8126688]
54. Karasek R, Brisson C, Kawakami N, Houtman I, Bongers P, Amick B. The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): an instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. *J Occup Health Psychol* 1998;3(4):322–355.
55. Krause N, Ragland DR, Greiner BA, Fisher JM, Holman BL, Selvin S. Physical workload and ergonomic factors associated with prevalence of back and neck pain in urban transit operators. *Spine* Sep 15;1997 22(18):2117–2126. [PubMed: 9322324]discussion 2127
56. Krause N, Ragland DR, Greiner BA, Syme SL, Fisher JM. Psychosocial job factors associated with back and neck pain in public transit operators. *Scand J Work Environ Health* Jun;1997 23(3):179–186. [PubMed: 9243727]

57. Rugulies R, Krause N. Job strain, iso-strain, and the incidence of low back and neck injuries. A 7.5-year prospective study of San Francisco transit operators. *Soc Sci Med Jul*;2005 61(1):27–39. [PubMed: 15847959]
58. Parker JD, Taylor GJ, Bagby RM. Alexithymia: relationship with ego defense and coping styles. *Compr Psychiatry Mar-Apr*;1998 39(2):91–98. [PubMed: 9515194]
59. De Gennaro L, Balistreri S, Lenzi A, Lombardo F, Ferrara M, Gandini L. Psychosocial factors discriminate oligozoospermic from normozoospermic men. *Fertil Steril Jun*;2003 79:1571–1576. [PubMed: 12801562]
60. Dionne C, Koepsell TD, Von Korff M, Deyo RA, Barlow WI, Checkoway H. Formal education and back-related disability. In search of an explanation. *Spine Dec 15*;1995 20(24):2721–2730. [PubMed: 8747251]
61. Turner JA, Jensen MP, Romano JM. Do beliefs, coping, and catastrophizing independently predict functioning in patients with chronic pain? *Pain Mar*;2000 85(12):115–125. [PubMed: 10692610]
62. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub JK. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol Feb*;1989 56(2):267–283. [PubMed: 2926629]
63. Haviland MG, Reise SP. A California Q-set alexithymia prototype and its relationship to ego-control and ego-resiliency. *J Psychosom Res Dec*;1996 41(6):597–607. [PubMed: 9032723]
64. Kauhanen J, Kaplan GA, Cohen RD, Julkunen J, Salonen JT. Alexithymia and risk of death in middle-aged men. *J Psychosom Res Dec*;1996 41(6):541–549. [PubMed: 9032717]
65. Kauhanen J, Kaplan GA, Cohen RD, Salonen R, Salonen JT. Alexithymia may influence the diagnosis of coronary heart disease. *Psychosom Med May-Jun*;1994 56(3):237–244. [PubMed: 8084970]
66. Pincus T, Burton AK, Vogel S, Field AP. A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. *Spine Mar 1*;2002 27(5):E109–120. [PubMed: 11880847]
67. Muller CF, Monrad T, Biering-Sorensen F, Darre E, Deis A, Kryger P. The influence of previous low back trouble, general health, and working conditions on future sick-listing because of low back trouble. A 15-year follow-up study of risk indicators for self-reported sick-listing caused by low back trouble. *Spine Aug 1*;1999 24(15):1562–1570. [PubMed: 10457576]
68. Lumley MA, Stettner L, Wehmer F. How are alexithymia and physical illness linked? A review and critique of pathways. *J Psychosom Res Dec*;1996 41(6):505–518. [PubMed: 9032714]
69. Krause N, Dasinger LK, Deegan LJ, Rudolph L, Brand RJ. Psychosocial job factors and return-to-work after compensated low back injury: a disability phase-specific analysis. *Am J Ind Med Oct*;2001 40(4):374–392. [PubMed: 11598987]
70. Dasinger LK, Krause N, Deegan LJ, Brand RJ, Rudolph L. Physical workplace factors and return to work after compensated low back injury: a disability phase-specific analysis. *J Occup Environ Med Mar*;2000 42(3):323–333. [PubMed: 10738711]
71. Bach M, Bach D, de Zwaan M. Independency of alexithymia and somatization. A factor analytic study. *Psychosomatics Sep-Oct*;1996 37(5):451–458. [PubMed: 8824125]
72. Bankier B, Aigner M, Bach M. Alexithymia in DSM-IV disorder: comparative evaluation of somatoform disorder, panic disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and depression. *Psychosomatics May-Jun*;2001 42(3):235–240. [PubMed: 11351112]
73. Rush AJ, Polatin P, Gatchel RJ. Depression and chronic low back pain: establishing priorities in treatment. *Spine Oct 15*;2000 25(20):2566–2571. [PubMed: 11034638]
74. Hasenbring M, Marienfeld G, Kuhlendahl D, Soyka D. Risk factors of chronicity in lumbar disc patients. A prospective investigation of biologic, psychologic, and social predictors of therapy outcome. *Spine Dec 15*;1994 19(24):2759–2765. [PubMed: 7899975]
75. Hasenbring M. Chronifizierung bandscheibenbedingter Schmerzen: Zur Bedeutung von Risikofaktoren und gesundheitsfoerndem Verhalten. In: Lamprecht; Johnen, editors. *Salutogenese*. Verlag fuer Akademische Schriften; Frankfurt(Main): 1994. p. 353-361. Book chapter in
76. Saarijarvi S, Salminen JK, Toikka TB. Alexithymia and depression: a 1-year follow-up study in outpatients with major depression. *J Psychosom Res Dec*;2001 51(6):729–733. [PubMed: 11750295]
77. Sayar K, Kirmayer LJ, Taillefer SS. Predictors of somatic symptoms in depressive disorder. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry Mar-Apr*;2003 25(2):108–114. [PubMed: 12676424]

78. Parker JD, Bagby RM, Taylor GJ. Alexithymia and depression: distinct or overlapping constructs? *Compr Psychiatry* Sep-Oct;1991 32(5):387–394. [PubMed: 1743009]
79. Luminet O, Bagby RM, Taylor GJ. An evaluation of the absolute and relative stability of alexithymia in patients with major depression. *Psychother Psychosom* Sep-Oct;2001 70(5):254–260. [PubMed: 11509895]
80. Spitzer C, Brandl S, Rose HJ, Nauck M, Freyberger HJ. Gender-specific association of alexithymia and norepinephrine/cortisol ratios. A preliminary report. *J Psychosom Res* Aug;2005 59(2):73–76. [PubMed: 16186001]
81. Kosturek A, Gregory RJ, Sousou AJ, Trief P. Alexithymia and somatic amplification in chronic pain. *Psychosomatics* Sep-Oct;1998 39(5):399–404. [PubMed: 9775696]

Table I

Characteristics of Study Participants (n = 1,207)

	Mean (SD) or Percent
Age	46.5 (\pm 7.7)
Sex	
female	15.2%
male	84.9%
Ethnicity	
African-American	55.3%
Caucasian	12.7%
Hispanic	12.0%
Asian/Pacific Islanders	11.0%
Philippino	7.6%
others	1.4%
Vehicle Type	
diesel buses	44.4%
electric trolley buses	33.7%
light rail streetcars	13.1%
historic cable cars	8.8%
Years of Driving	13.2 (\pm 8.0)
Driving Hours per Week	43.2 (\pm 11.6)
Job Iso-Strain*	-5.1 (\pm 1.4)
Coping Styles**	
denial	1.83 (\pm 0.76)
behavioral disengagement	1.70 (\pm 0.70)
planning	3.04 (\pm 0.79)
seeking support	2.78 (\pm 0.98)
LBP in past 12 Months	31.8%
Years to Censoring (mean)	4.9 (\pm 2.2)
Compensated 1 st LBP injury	27.5%
TAS-20**	1.89 (\pm 0.47)
TAS-DIF**	1.64 (\pm 0.65)
TAS-DDF**	1.97 (\pm 0.67)
TAS-EOT**	2.07 (\pm 0.44)

* Job iso-strain (Karasek): combination of high psychological demands with low decision latitude and low social support from coworkers and supervisors at work, range -11 to 2,

** Unweighted average of all item scores for summary or sub-scale, range 1-4, higher scores indicate higher alexithymia or coping style

Table 2
 Alexithymia* and 7.5-Year Incidence of Compensated Low Back Pain: Hazard Ratios (and 95% Confidence Intervals) with Incremental Adjustment for Demographic, Workplace, Psychological, and Behavioral Factors: San Francisco Public Transit Operators 1993-2001 (n=1,207)

	TAS-20 (95% CI)	P	TAS-DIF (95% CI)	P	TAS-DDF (95% CI)	P	TAS-EOT (95% CI)	P
Crude Hazard Ratios Hazard Ratios adjusted for	0.83 (0.66-1.05)	0.114	0.97 (0.82-1.15)	0.706	0.84 (0.71-0.99)	0.040	0.82 (0.64-1.03)	0.092
sex, age, ethnicity	0.91 (0.72-1.15)	0.422	0.99 (0.84-1.17)	0.942	0.91 (0.77-1.07)	0.252	0.91 (0.71-1.16)	0.428
+ low back pain in past year	0.89 (0.70-1.12)	0.322	0.97 (0.82-1.15)	0.731	0.90 (0.76-1.06)	0.208	0.91 (0.71-1.15)	0.421
+ vehicle type, seniority, hours/week	0.91 (0.72-1.15)	0.427	0.99 (0.83-1.17)	0.903	0.91 (0.77-1.07)	0.263	0.92 (0.72-1.17)	0.485
+ job strain	0.91 (0.72-1.15)	0.431	0.99 (0.83-1.18)	0.908	0.91 (0.77-1.08)	0.267	0.92 (0.72-1.17)	0.483
+ coping styles	0.77 (0.59-1.00)	0.052	0.89 (0.73-1.07)	0.218	0.83 (0.70-1.00)	0.047	0.83 (0.47-1.08)	0.164
+ smoking (fully adjusted HR)	0.73 (0.56-0.96)	0.025	0.86 (0.71-1.05)	0.139	0.82 (0.69-0.98)	0.030	0.80 (0.61-1.04)	0.101

* Alexithymia as a continuous variable (range 1-4)

** incremental adjustment: each line includes the previous adjustments.

*** Fully adjusted models stratified for denial coping and job seniority. Schoenfeld test $P > 0.6$.

TAS = Toronto Alexithymia Scale; DIF = Difficulty Identifying Feelings; DDF = Difficulty Describing feelings; EOT = External-Oriented Thinking

Table 3
 Alexithymia* and 7.5-Year Incidence of Compensated Low Back Pain by Ethnicity: Crude and Fully Adjusted** Hazard Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals in San Francisco Public Transit Operators 1993-2001 (n=1,207)

	n	Crude Hazard Ratios (95% CI)	P	Adjusted Hazard ratios (95% CI)	P
Entire sample	1,207	0.83 (0.66-1.05)	0.114	0.73 (0.56-0.96)	0.025
African-American	663	0.88 (0.65-1.22)	0.462	0.83 (0.59-1.16)	0.271
Caucasian	152	0.33 (0.13-0.88)	0.027	0.21 (0.06-0.68)	0.010
Asian/Pacific Islanders	227	0.96 (0.44-2.08)	0.913	0.75 (0.29-1.95)	0.553
Hispanic	147	0.93 (0.46-1.89)	0.841	1.13 (0.48-2.68)	0.781

* Alexithymia as a continuous variable

** adjusted for demographic, workplace, psychological, and behavioral factors as in fully adjusted models in Table 2