# The PP2C-Type Phosphatase AP2C1, Which Negatively Regulates MPK4 and MPK6, Modulates Innate Immunity, Jasmonic Acid, and Ethylene Levels in *Arabidopsis*<sup>™</sup>

Alois Schweighofer,<sup>a</sup> Vaiva Kazanaviciute,<sup>a</sup> Elisabeth Scheikl,<sup>a</sup> Markus Teige,<sup>a</sup> Robert Doczi,<sup>a</sup> Heribert Hirt,<sup>a</sup> Manfred Schwanninger,<sup>b</sup> Merijn Kant,<sup>c</sup> Robert Schuurink,<sup>c</sup> Felix Mauch,<sup>d</sup> Antony Buchala,<sup>d</sup> Francesca Cardinale,<sup>e</sup> and Irute Meskiene<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Max F. Perutz Laboratories of the University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, 1190 Vienna, Austria

<sup>c</sup> Department of Plant Physiology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, 1098 SM Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Département de Biologie, Université de Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

<sup>e</sup> Dipartimento di Valorizzazione e Protezione delle Risorse Agroforestali, Plant Pathology, University of Turin, I-10095 Grugliasco, Italy

Wound signaling pathways in plants are mediated by mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and stress hormones, such as ethylene and jasmonates. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the transmission of wound signals by MAPKs has been the subject of detailed investigations; however, the involvement of specific phosphatases in wound signaling is not known. Here, we show that AP2C1, an *Arabidopsis* Ser/Thr phosphatase of type 2C, is a novel stress signal regulator that inactivates the stress-responsive MAPKs MPK4 and MPK6. Mutant *ap2c1* plants produce significantly higher amounts of jasmonate upon wounding and are more resistant to phytophagous mites (*Tetranychus urticae*). Plants with increased AP2C1 levels display lower wound activation of MAPKs, reduced ethylene production, and compromised innate immunity against the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*. Our results demonstrate a key role for the AP2C1 phosphatase in regulating stress hormone levels, defense responses, and MAPK activities in *Arabidopsis* and provide evidence that the activity of AP2C1 might control the plant's response to *B. cinerea*.

# INTRODUCTION

Biotic and abiotic stresses in eukaryotes activate mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades that typically consist of a MAPKKK, MAPKK, and MAPK and transmit the signal to generate cellular responses. A MAPK is activated when the conserved TEY motif at the activation loop is phosphorylated by a dual specificity kinase (MAPKK) on both Thr and Tyr (Kiegerl et al., 2000). This activation can be reversed by dephosphorylation through Ser/Thr phosphatases, Tyr phosphatases (PTPs), or dual specificity phosphatases (DSPs; Keyse, 2000; Meskiene et al., 2003). PTPs and DSPs are considered to be primary MAPK phosphatases (MKPs) in yeast and in animals, respectively; however, in some cases, Ser/Thr phosphatases of the PP2C family also regulate MAPK(K) (Tamura et al., 2006). Plant DSPs At MKP1 (Ulm et al., 2001, 2002), Nt MKP1 (Yamakawa et al., 2004; Katou et al., 2005), and PTP At PTP1 (Gupta and Luan, 2003) were suggested to regulate MAPKs. Arabidopsis thaliana genomic data show a single PTP and  $\sim$ 23 DSPs, while the PP2C family is expanded to 76 putative members (Kerk et al., 2002;

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Irute Meskiene (irute.meskiene@univie.ac.at).

<sup>™</sup>Online version contains Web-only data.

www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.106.049585

Schweighofer et al., 2004; Kerk, 2006). PP2Cs are ubiquitous protein phosphatases found in all eukaryotes; however, in plants, their individual functions and substrates await characterization. Several PP2Cs are involved in abscisic acid responses (Leung et al., 1994; Meyer et al., 1994; Sheen, 1998; Tahtiharju and Palva, 2001; Saez et al., 2004), but their substrates have not been identified. The kinase-associated protein phosphatase regulates receptor-like kinases (Stone et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1997; Li et al., 1999). The demonstration that alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) MP2C regulates MAPKs by dephosphorylation of phospho-Thr in the TEY loop of the MAPK (Meskiene et al., 1998, 2003) suggested that PP2Cs may regulate MAPKs in plants; however, it provided no proof of biological relevance at the whole-plant level.

Wounding induces production of jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET), which are messengers to activate defense responses. Plants with high constitutive levels of JA are more resistant to herbivores and pathogens (Liechti et al., 2006). Impaired ET perception or signal transduction leads generally to higher susceptibility to necrotrophic pathogens (Knoester et al., 1998; Asai et al., 2002) but may give the opposite phenotype in other pathosystems (Lund et al., 1998; Berrocal-Lobo and Molina, 2004). *Arabidopsis* MAPKs are involved in stress signaling and plant defense at least in part through effects on JA, ET, and salicylic acid (SA) response pathways. An *mpk4* null mutant has constitutively high levels of SA but fails to express the defense marker genes *PDF1.2* and *THI2.1* in response to JA (Petersen et al., 2000). MPK4 is essential for the induction of a subset of ET-responsive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Address correspondence to irute.meskiene@univie.ac.at.

genes and crucial for the antagonism between SA- and JA/ETdependent responses (Brodersen et al., 2006). MPK6 mediates flagellin-induced signaling and thus links MAPKs to plant innate immunity (Asai et al., 2002; Menke et al., 2004). However, it is not known which phosphatases regulate wound- and pathogenactivated MAPKs in plants.

Here, it is shown that the *Arabidopsis* PP2C-type phosphatase AP2C1 is a MKP. AP2C1 influences the induction of the defense marker *PDF1.2. ap2c1* mutant plants exhibit elevated JA levels in response to wounding and higher resistance to phytophagous mites (*Tetranychus urticae*). AP2C1-overexpressing (AP2C1-oe) plants are compromised in their ability to synthesize wound ET and in their defense response to the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*.

## RESULTS

# AP2C1 Is a MAPK-Interacting Phosphatase

To identify signaling components regulating stress-activated MAPK pathways in Arabidopsis, PP2Cs were selected as likely candidates. Among 76 genes classified as PP2C-type phosphatases according to their amino acid sequences (Kerk et al., 2002; Schweighofer et al., 2004; Kerk, 2006), a protein domain search identified a region matching the consensus for a MAPK interaction motif (named KIM; [K/R](3-4)-X(1-6)-[L/I]-X-[L/I]) in four phosphatases of one cluster. A similar KIM is found in yeast and animal MKPs (Tanoue and Nishida, 2003) as well as in plant MAPKKs (Kiegerl et al., 2000). As a result of in silico analysis, AP2C1 (genomic locus: At2g30020; Schweighofer et al., 2004), which is highly similar to alfalfa MP2C (Meskiene et al., 1998, 2003), was selected for further study. The AP2C1 protein contains a C-terminal catalytic domain typical to PP2C-type phosphatases (Bork et al., 1996) and an N-terminal extension including the KIM. The full-length coding sequence was isolated from a cDNA library by PCR.

To find proteins interacting with AP2C1, an Arabidopsis cDNA library was screened in a yeast two-hybrid approach. Four out of the 26 isolated positive clones contained the cDNA of MPK6. To test the specificity of this interaction, 18 out of the at least 20 predicted MAPKs and 10 MAPKKs in the Arabidopsis genome (Ichimura, 2002) were tested for interaction with AP2C1 in yeast. The strongest interaction was found with MPK6 followed by MPK4, but no other MAPKs (Figure 1A) or MAPKKs tested showed interaction (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). To investigate the importance of the putative KIM for phosphatase/ MAPK interaction, the missense mutations K98A and K98A, R99Q in the KIM of AP2C1 were created. Both mutant proteins were tested for their interaction with MPK6 and MPK4 in yeast. Compared with wild-type AP2C1, interaction with both MAPKs was weaker for AP2C1-K98A and completely abolished for AP2C1-K98A,R99Q (Figure 1B). These results show that the interaction with MPK4 or MPK6 in yeast depends on an intact KIM in AP2C1.

To find if AP2C1 and MAPKs associate in plant extracts, coimmunoprecipitation assays from plants were performed. Protein gel blot analysis with MPK6-specific antibodies of protein complexes immunoprecipitated from extracts of AP2C1-oe leaves with green fluorescent protein (GFP) antibody identified MPK6 as a protein associated with AP2C1 (AP2C1-oe; Figure 2A). Two MPK6 forms with different electrophoretic mobilities were identified in the immunoprecipitate. More MPK6 was coimmunoprecipitated from wounded leaves, though in crude extracts, MPK6 abundance was not altered. This indicates that more association of AP2C1 with MPK6 occurs in a signal-dependent manner. Efforts to immunoprecipitate a MPK4/AP2C1 complex from leaves were unsuccessful, possibly due to much lower levels of MPK4 protein and/or instability of that association. To see where the AP2C1/MAPK complexes are located in the plant cell, a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay based on split yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was performed (Walter et al., 2004). The N- and C-terminal domains of YFP were fused to AP2C1 and either MPK6 or MPK4, respectively, and transiently coexpressed in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Fluorescence from reconstituted YFP indicated interaction between AP2C1 and MAPKs. No fluorescence was detectable either in the controls (Figure 2B) or with the combination 35S-YFPctd/35S-YFPntd (data not shown). It was found that AP2C1/MPK4 complexes localize in the nucleus, whereas AP2C1/MPK6 complexes are detectable in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm (Figure 2B). However, this approach did not allow us to identify selectivity between the MAPKs (data not shown). Nevertheless, protein interaction in yeast cells, the BiFC assay, and coimmunoprecipitation results collectively support the model that AP2C1 interacts with MPK4 and MPK6. This prompted us to test whether these interactions may have effects in plant cells.

# Inactivation of MPK4 and MPK6 by AP2C1 in Protoplasts

The constitutively active MAPKKK  $\Delta$ ANP1 can activate MPK6 and MPK3 in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts (Kovtun et al., 2000). An activated MAPK pathway was reconstituted in Arabidopsis cell culture protoplasts by cotransfection of plasmids expressing HA epitope-tagged MPK3, MPK4, or MPK6, together with  $\Delta ANP1$ . Myelin basic protein-based MAPK assays revealed that in addition to MPK3 and MPK6, MPK4 also can be activated by  $\Delta ANP1$  (Figure 3A). Increasing amounts of the AP2C1expressing plasmid cotransformed with different MAPKs resulted in progressively more complete inactivation of MPK4 and MPK6 but not of MPK3 (Figure 3A). However, other PP2Cs, such as ABI2 and HAB1, were not able to inactivate MPK6, suggesting that PP2C-type phosphatases can select for specific substrates. Experiments with recombinant proteins performed in vitro demonstrated that AP2C1 is a Mg2+-dependent PP2C-type phosphatase with a dephosphorylation activity similar to ABI2 or HAB1 phosphatases on phosphor-casein (Figure 3B). However, AP2C1 was able to dephosphorylate MPK6 directly in vitro, while ABI2 and HAB1 could not (Figure 3C). Taken together, these data suggest that PP2C-type phosphatases can select for their substrates and that in plant cells, MPK4 and MPK6 activity is regulated by the abundance of AP2C1.

# Stress Activation of MPK4 and MPK6 Is Regulated by AP2C1 in Planta

To test for the biological relevance of the observed interactions, plant lines stably overexpressing AP2C1 (AP2C1-oe) were



Figure 1. AP2C1 Interaction with Arabidopsis MAPKs in Yeast.

(A) AP2C1 interacts specifically with MPK4 and MPK6. Quantitative β-galactosidase measurements in a yeast two-hybrid assay were performed using pBTM116-AP2C1 in combination with 18 MAPKs in the pGAD424 vector.

(B) AP2C1 directs the interaction with MAPKs through its kinase interaction motif (KIM). Quantitative β-galactosidase yeast two-hybrid assay of AP2C1, AP2C1-K98A, or AP2C1-K98A, R99Q in combination with MPK4 or MPK6.

generated. Three independent AP2C1-oe lines were analyzed for wound-induced MAPK activities in each generation (T2-T4) in comparison to the wild type. MPK4 and MPK6 were found to be activated in wild-type plants by wounding as reported earlier (Ichimura et al., 2000); however, they could not be fully activated in AP2C1-oe lines (Figure 4A). At the same time, MPK4 and MPK6 protein amounts remained unaltered, showing that AP2C1 affects their activity posttranslationally.

To test if the inactivation of MAPKs depends on the enzymatic activity of AP2C1, the AP2C1-G178D mutant was created based on the G180D mutation in the ABI1 phosphatase (Bertauche et al., 1996). Activation kinetics of MPK4 and MPK6 upon wounding were not altered in plants constitutively expressing AP2C1-G178D (Figure 4B), indicating that AP2C1 enzymatic activity is essential for the modulation of MPK4 and MPK6 activation in

plants. This molecular phenotype strongly suggests that physical interaction between AP2C1 and MPK4 or MPK6 results in MAPK inactivation in intact plants as well.

A homozygous insertion mutant in AP2C1 (*ap2c1*) was isolated from the SALK collection (http://signal.salk.edu) and characterized as carrying a tandem T-DNA insertion in the second exon of the *AP2C1* gene (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). *ap2c1* plants had no obvious phenotype under normal growth conditions. However, the analysis of wound-induced MPK4 and MPK6 activities upon wounding showed more pronounced and/or sustained activation of kinases in *ap2c1* than in wild-type plants (Figure 4A). This enhanced MAPK activation in the mutant line could be abolished by complementation with the cDNA coding for AP2C1 under the control of its own promoter (see Supplemental Figure 3 online).





(A) AP2C1 coimmunoprecipitates with MPK6 in plant extracts. Leaves of wild-type and AP2C1-GFP overexpressing (AP2C1-oe) plants (line #640.2) were subjected to wounding and harvested at the indicated times. The top panel represents a protein gel blot of crude protein extracts from wild-type and AP2C1-oe plants probed with antibodies raised against native MPK6. The bottom panel shows an identical protein gel blot analysis of protein complexes previously immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibodies from the same extracts analyzed in the top panel. An arrow indicates the position of the MPK6 protein after SDS-PAGE. Co-IP, coimmunoprecipitation.

(B) Intracellular localization of AP2C1/MPK4 and AP2C1/MPK6 in a split YFP-based BiFC assay in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. The C-terminal domain of YFP fused to MPK4 or MPK6 was coexpressed under the control of the 35S promoter (YFPctd-MPK4 or YFPctd-MPK6) with a fusion protein of the N-terminal domain of YFP and AP2C1 (YFPntd-AP2C1). The subcellular localization of the interaction is visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Yellow fluorescence is converted to a green signal for better definition in RGB acquisition mode. 35S-YFPntd (YFPntd) and 35S-YFPctd (YFPctd) vectors expressing split YFP domains alone were used as controls.

# AP2C1 Expression Is Pathogen and Wound Induced

The phenotypically normal appearance of AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants suggested that AP2C1 function is restricted to specific conditions. To determine whether pathogen stimuli may influence AP2C1 levels, AP2C1 expression was examined in leaves of transgenic plants carrying a  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene transcriptionally fused to the AP2C1 promoter region. Strong induction of local GUS expression was detected in leaf cells 24 h after inoculation with conidia of B. cinerea (Figure 5A). GUS expression also was strongly and locally induced 30 min after wounding (Figure 5B), whereas no GUS expression was detected in wounded control wild-type plants (data not shown). RT-PCR and RNA gel blot analysis confirmed that the AP2C1 RNA levels increase upon wounding in wild-type leaves (see Supplemental Figure 2C online; data not shown). Our observation that fungal inoculation and wounding each increase AP2C1 transcript levels supports the idea that the interaction of AP2C1 with MPK4 or MPK6 may take place downstream of non-self perception and mechanical damage.

### AP2C1 Modulates Pathogen Response in Plants

Considering the induction of AP2C1 by B. cinerea (Figure 5A) and the fact that a MAPK cascade was implicated in resistance to this pathogen (Asai et al., 2002), the effect of manipulation of AP2C1 expression on resistance against B. cinerea was investigated. Figures 6A to 6C show susceptibility of wild-type, AP2C1-oe, and ap2c1 lines to B. cinerea. When leaves were inoculated with fungal conidia, the level of susceptibility of the AP2C1-oe line was similar to that of the camalexin-deficient pad3-1 mutant (Glazebrook, 2005) and was significantly higher than wild-type or ap2c1 plants (Figures 6A and 6B). Similar results were obtained using B. cinerea mycelium, where the measurement of lesion size confirmed that AP2C1-oe lines are significantly more susceptible (P < 0.05) than the wild type or *ap2c1* (Figure 6C; see Supplemental Figure 4 online). On the other hand, the resistance of ap2c1 plants was only slightly altered compared with the wild type under the same experimental conditions. However, the incidence of aborted infections was higher (20%) in the ap2c1 line than in either wild-type (10%) or AP2C1-oe plants (8%). The incidence of collapsed leaves followed a reverse trend (AP2C1-oe [24%] > wild type [8%] > ap2c1 [7%]).

When the same lines were tested for resistance against herbivores, the fecundity of spider mites was reduced on *ap2c1* plants (Figure 6D). The number of eggs laid in a 5-d period on this line was markedly lower than that on the wild type (P = 0.04), whereas it did not differ significantly between AP2C1-oe and wild-type plants. Complementation of the *ap2c1* mutation enhanced mites' fecundity (see Supplemental Figure 5 online).

These results establish AP2C1 as a negative regulator of *Arabidopsis* innate immunity against both a fungal pathogen and a herbivorous pest.

# AP2C1 Regulates Plant Stress Hormones and the Expression Pattern of a Stress Marker

MPK6 was shown to phosphorylate and thereby stabilize members of the ACC synthase family, rate-limiting enzymes in ET



Figure 3. AP2C1 Inactivates MAPKs in Vivo and in Vitro.

(A) AP2C1 inactivates MPK4 and MPK6 in vivo. Protoplasts from an *Arabidopsis* cell suspension were transfected with 5  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA coding for MPK3-HA, MPK6-HA,  $\Delta$ ANP1-HA (as MAPK activator), and increasing amounts of AP2C1-Myc plasmid DNA (0.05, 0.1, 0.5, and 1  $\mu$ g) or 1  $\mu$ g of ABI2-Myc or HAB1-Myc plasmid DNA. Kinase assays were performed on myelin basic protein (MBP). Immunoblots of the MAPKs and  $\Delta$ ANP1 were performed using anti-HA antibodies; AP2C1, HAB1, and ABI2 protein amounts were monitored with anti-Myc antibodies.

(B) In vitro phosphatase assay. Phosphatase activities of recombinant AP2C1, ABI2, and HAB1 proteins (0.1 µg) toward [<sup>32</sup>P] phospho-casein (12.5 µg) monitored by measuring the released free phosphate.

(C) AP2C1 dephosphorylates MPK6 in vitro. AP2C1, ABI2, and HAB1 proteins (0.1  $\mu$ g) were incubated with [<sup>32</sup>P] phospho-MPK6 (~1  $\mu$ g), and the released free phosphate was measured.

biosynthesis (Liu and Zhang, 2004). As our findings suggested that MPK6 is one of the substrates of AP2C1 and that *AP2C1* expression is induced locally by wounding (Figure 5B), plant lines with altered AP2C1 content were examined for ET production after wounding. As shown in Figure 7A, AP2C1-oe plants exhibit a significant reduction in ET levels compared with the wild type and *ap2c1* 24 h after leaf wounding.

As wounding also activates the synthesis of JA, basal and wound-induced levels of JA were measured in *ap2c1* and AP2C1-oe plants (Figure 7B). After wounding, JA accumulated to levels severalfold higher in *ap2c1* compared with wild-type or AP2C1-oe plants. AP2C1-oe plants showed reduced JA accumulation that was repeatedly observed. Enhanced JA accumulation due to loss of AP2C1 could be reversed by complementation of the *ap2c1* line with the cDNA coding for AP2C1 under the control of its own promoter (see Supplemental Figure 6 online).

To elucidate whether AP2C1 function affects wound- and pathogen-induced gene expression, semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed for the marker gene defensin (*PDF1.2*), which is induced after pathogen attack and wounding via JA- and ET-dependent signaling pathways (Thomma et al., 2002; Glazebrook, 2005). The kinetics of *PDF1.2* transcript accumulation in re-

sponse to wounding was analyzed in plants (Figure 8). *PDF1.2* was already expressed at low levels prior to wounding in *ap2c1* plants, as compared with stepwise upregulation in the wild type after wounding. By contrast, accumulation of *PDF1.2* transcript was delayed in AP2C1-oe plants by  $\sim$ 12 h and was generally attenuated compared with the wild type.

Taken together, these data suggest a role for AP2C1 in regulating the levels of ET and JA as well as the expression pattern of *PDF1.2* upon wounding.

# DISCUSSION

# AP2C1 Acts as a MAP Kinase Phosphatase in Planta

AP2C1 contains all features of a PP2C phosphatase, including the conserved catalytic domain with the characteristic 11 subdomains as well as a unique N-terminal domain hosting a putative MAP kinase interaction motif (KIM) (Schweighofer et al., 2004). *Arabidopsis* PP2Cs studied so far have been found to be involved in processes other than MAPK dephosphorylation (Stone et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1997; Li et al., 1999; Merlot et al., 2001; Cherel et al., 2002; Shah et al., 2002; Ohta et al., 2003; Yu



Figure 4. Wound Activation of MPK4 and MPK6 in Plants with Altered AP2C1 Expression.

(A) MAPKs activities and protein amounts in leaves of wild-type, AP2C1-overexpressing (AP2C1-oe), and *ap2c1* mutant plants in response to wounding. Analysis of line #640.1 is shown (similar data were obtained with lines #640.2 and #591.4). MAPKs were immunoprecipitated for kinase assays or immunodecorated on blots with isoform-specific antisera. AP2C1 amounts were detected with anti-GFP antibodies.

(B) MAPKs activities are not altered in plants overexpressing AP2C1-G178D in comparison with the wild type. Analysis of line #789.5 is represented (similar results were obtained on line #789.6). AP2C1 was detected with an anti-HA antibody.

et al., 2003; Saez et al., 2004; Rienties et al., 2005; Song and Clark, 2005). AP2C1 therefore represents an interesting and unusual example of a PP2C phosphatase that bears a KIM and interacts with MAPKs.

MPK6 and MPK4 but not other MAPK(K)s interaction with AP2C1 in two-hybrid assays (Figure 1A; see Supplemental Figure 1 online) is consistent with AP2C1 inactivation of MPK4/MPK6 but not of MPK3 in transient assays (Figure 3). That these interactions are functional is shown by the formation of complexes involving AP2C1 and MPK6 in plant extracts (Figure 2A) and the strong inhibition of MPK6 and MPK4 activation during wounding of plants overexpressing AP2C1 (AP2C1-oe; Figure 4A). The nucleocytoplasmic localization of the phosphatase-MAPK complexes (Figure 2B) is consistent with the proposed shuffling of the MAPKs to the nucleus upon stress (Ahlfors et al., 2004) and with the involvement of MPK6 in the regulation of gene expression (Asai et al., 2002). Finally, MAPK activities appear to be altered in AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants. The inactivation of the MAPKs requires the catalytic activity of the phosphatase, as the overexpression of a mutated AP2C1 phosphatase (AP2C1-G178D) did not influence the MAPK activities (Figure 4B). Somewhat higher and more sustained wound activation of MAPKs in plants in the absence of AP2C1 suggests that this phosphatase regulates the level and duration of MAPK activation. On the other hand, MAPK inactivation was not completely abolished in ap2c1 plants, suggesting functional redundancy with other phosphatases, possibly DSPs and/or other KIM-containing members in cluster B of the PP2C family. AP2C1 action is very likely linked to specific environmental conditions because unstressed AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants appear phenotypically normal.

# The Arabidopsis PP2C Phosphatase AP2C1 Contains a Functional KIM

Substrate selectivity of mammalian MKPs (both DSPs and PTPs) is determined by specific protein-protein interactions mediated through KIMs (Tanoue and Nishida, 2003). Here, it was found that KIMs are functional elements in plant MAPK/PP2C interactions, as an intact KIM in AP2C1 is essential for protein-protein interaction in yeast cells (Figure 1B). The crystal structure analysis of human PP2C $\alpha$  reveals that the catalytic and noncatalytic regions form two separated domains, and this feature appears to be conserved among PP2Cs (Das et al., 1996), implying that these phosphatases may have evolved by fusion of coding regions for a catalytic phosphatase and various docking extensions. So far, KIM-containing PP2Cs have been found only in plants. Whereas the interaction of MPK6 and MPK4 with AP2C1 in yeast cells required a functional KIM, the KIM-defective AP2C1-K98A, R99Q did appear to interact in protoplasts tested by BiFC (data not shown), possibly due to additional factors facilitating this interaction in plant cells.

# AP2C1 Transcription Is Induced by Stress and Modulates Plant Disease Resistance

AP2C1 may contribute to local crosstalk between abiotic/biotic stress signaling, as *AP2C1* is induced early after wounding and infection with the necrotrophic fungus *B. cinerea* (Figure 5). Transcriptomic data showed that *AP2C1* is induced after wounding (Cheong et al., 2002), elicitor treatment (Navarro et al.,



Figure 5. AP2C1 Induction by Abiotic/Biotic Stress.

(A) Histochemical localization of the activity of the GUS reporter gene transcriptionally fused to 1.3 kb of 5'-upstream sequence of *AP2C1* by *B. cinerea* conidia germinating on leaves (mock-treated leaf on the left). (B) Induction of GUS expression in leaves 30 min after wounding. Representative experiments were performed on line #557.2. Bars = 1 mm (images on the left) and 20  $\mu$ m (images on the right).

2004) (therein designated as At ACRE284a), and a series of abiotic and biotic stresses (Zimmermann et al., 2004; https://www. genevestigator.ethz.ch).

Resistance to B. cinerea in Arabidopsis is influenced by several defense mechanisms, including some that are MAPK dependent (Thomma et al., 1999; Asai et al., 2002; Alonso et al., 2003; Nandi et al., 2003; Berrocal-Lobo and Molina, 2004). Indeed, there are indications that both of the AP2C1 targets demonstrated here, MPK4 and MPK6, are positively involved in disease resistance toward necrotrophic pathogens, which relies mainly on ET- and JA-regulated defense responses (Asai et al., 2002; Andreasson et al., 2005; Glazebrook, 2005; Brodersen et al., 2006). Overexpression of wild-type AP2C1 enhances susceptibility to B. cinerea. Both the severity of disease symptoms (expressed as mean lesion size) and the incidence of disease (expressed as percentage of successful infections) were increased in transgenic plants compared with the wild type and even more when compared with ap2c1 plants (Figure 6). Thus, AP2C1-oe plants are both more susceptible to penetration and to colonization by B. cinerea than wild-type and ap2c1 plants. The level of acquired susceptibility of AP2C1-oe is similar to that of the pad3-1 mutant, which is more susceptible to B. cinerea infection than wild-type Arabidopsis and fails to accumulate the phytoalexin camalexin (Glazebrook, 2005). However, accumulation of camalexin in AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants is similar to the wild type (data not shown).

# Effect of AP2C1 on Plant Hormone Production and Induction of the Stress Marker *PDF1.2*

Protein phosphorylation/dephosphorylation controls ET production (Wang et al., 2002; Alonso and Stepanova, 2004; Guo and Ecker, 2004). The MKK4-MPK6 pathway positively regulates ET (Takahashi et al., 2007), and MPK6 regulates ET biosynthesis through phosphorylation-mediated 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase stabilization (Liu and Zhang, 2004). The data presented here support the model of an initiation of ET synthesis downstream of MAPK activation, as AP2C1-oe plants with reduced MPK6 and MPK4 activities show significant reduction of wound-induced ET levels (Figure 7A). The constant presence of the active phosphatase, preventing normal levels of MAPK activity, could lead to reduced phosphorylation of MAPK targets, among which at least one is a 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase family member.

Wound signal transduction by the octadecanoid pathway and the crucial role for JA in plant responses to wounding is well established (Reymond et al., 2000; Liechti et al., 2006), but little is known about the regulation of JA levels. Here, it was found that a PP2C, which negatively regulates MPK4/6, is also responsible for the regulation of JA levels upon wounding of *Arabidopsis* plants (Figure 7B). MPK4 modulates the antagonism between SA and ET/JA signaling (Petersen et al., 2000; Brodersen et al., 2006) and is a positive regulator of JA-induced signaling (Petersen et al., 2000). In light of the results obtained here on *ap2c1* plants, inactivation of either (or both) MAPKs after wounding by AP2C1, or an effect of AP2C1 on additional targets, seems essential for the regulation of JA synthesis. Recently, Takahashi et al. (2007) showed that MPK6 (but not MPK4) is activated by JA through



Figure 6. Effect of AP2C1 on Disease Resistance.

(A) and (B) Necroses and mean lesion area on leaves 48 h after inoculation with conidia of *B. cinerea*.

**(C)** Mean necrotic areas 48 h after inoculation with *B. cinerea* culture. Different letters on top of the bars indicate statistically significant differences between means (standard errors are reported). The results are from one representative of three blind experiments and are averages of  $\sim$ 100 leaves from 10 to 15 plants per line (lines #640.1 and #640.2 were analyzed, and the results of #640.2 are presented).

**(D)** Spider mite reproductive performance. Adult female spider mites (five per plant) were allowed to produce eggs for 5 d on 20 plants per genotype (results from #640.1 are shown). The average number of eggs produced per female on each genotype is shown, along with standard errors. Different letters above bars denote significant differences (P < 0.05).



Figure 7. Effect of AP2C1 on Wound-Induced Hormone Production.

(A) Production of ET in leaves of AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants after wounding. Approximately 50 leaves from four plants/line were wounded, and accumulated ET was measured after 24 h. Lines #640.1 and #640.2 were analyzed, and results from #640.1 are shown.

**(B)** JA levels in wounded leaves of wild-type, AP2C1-oe (line #640.2), and *ap2c1* plants. Standard errors are indicated, and asterisks denote significant differences among genotypes for a given time point (P < 0.05). hpw, hours post-wounding.

MKK3 and that one of the gene targets upregulated by the MKK3-MPK6 pathway is At LOX2, encoding a chloroplasttargeted lipoxygenase that may be involved in the biosynthesis of JA (Bell et al., 1995). Whether MAPKs participate in the regulation of JA synthesis downstream of JA perception requires further study. Increased transcript levels of the plant defensin PDF1.2a in the *ap2c1* lines indicate that abnormal expression may occur due to misregulated kinase activities in the absence of the phosphatase (Figure 8). In support of our findings, the JA-activated MKK3-MPK6 module positively regulates *PDF1.2* (Takahashi et al., 2007). At the same time, MPK6 was described as a negative regulator of ET-induced *PDF1.2* transcription (Menke et al., 2004), and MPK4 was reported as a positive regulator of this stress marker after JA treatment (Petersen et al., 2000). Our results indicate that the expression of *PDF1.2* upon wounding is influenced by AP2C1, in agreement with the model proposed by Brodersen et al. (2006).

# Effect of AP2C1 on Resistance to Pathogens

The increased sensitivity to B. cinerea correlates well with the delayed PDF1.2 mRNA accumulation and strong reduction of ET production in AP2C1-oe lines (Figures 6A to 6C and 7A). On the other hand, increased PDF1.2 transcript and JA accumulation in ap2c1 plants correlates well with enhanced resistance to spider mites. We could only detect phenotypic differences between AP2C1-oe, ap2c1 mutant, and wild-type plants under specific stress conditions: wounding, herbivore attack, and challenge with certain pathogens. Importantly, MPK4/MPK6 activation and production of JA were only transiently altered in the ap2c1 mutant compared with the wild type, in parallel with moderate effects on kinase activity kinetics in AP2C1-oe plants. The observations are consistent with our finding that AP2C1 association with MPK6 is stronger after wounding. These data indicate that AP2C1 is a signal-dependent modulator of MAPK signaling pathways, and under some circumstances, AP2C1 activity may control the amount of damage the plant incurs in response to biotic challenge through its regulation of the MAPK pathway. Compared with the wild type, no variation in growth of virulent and avirulent Pseudomonas syringae strains was observed in AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 plants, in which the levels of free and conjugated SA were not significantly altered (data not shown), suggesting that AP2C1 does not participate in the regulation of SA biosynthesis. However, its putative substrate MPK4 was reported to do so (Petersen et al., 2000). This apparent discrepancy might be linked to different physiological effects in the absence of MPK4 in the mpk4 null line or to differences between the genetic backgrounds to which the data refer (Landsberg erecta ecotype for the mpk4 null mutant of Petersen et al. [2000] versus Columbia-0 for this study).

Plant-eating insects induce accumulation of defense compounds in a JA-dependent manner, such as secondary metabolites (Kliebenstein et al., 2005) and proteinase inhibitors (Zavala et al., 2004). Constitutively high levels of JA in plants promote



Figure 8. Marker Gene Expression in AP2C1-oe and ap2c1 Lines.

Expression of the stress marker *PDF1.2* in AP2C1-oe (represented by line #640.2) and *ap2c1* plants after wounding in comparison with the wild-type as detected by semiquantitative RT-PCR using gene-specific primers. Actin (ACT3) was used as a control.

resistance to several herbivores (Li et al., 2002) in contrast with JA biosynthesis mutants, which are highly susceptible (Bell et al., 1995; Li et al., 2002, 2004; Halitschke and Baldwin, 2003; Devoto et al., 2005). *T. urticae*, an extremely polyphagous, herbivorous spider mite, which is recorded from >900 plant species (Egas et al., 2003), causes more feeding damage and has higher reproductive performance on JA mutants than on wild-type plants, with resistance being restored through the addition of exogenous JA (Li et al., 2002; Ament et al., 2004). In our study, the enhancement of wound-induced JA levels in *ap2c1* plants (Figure 7B) correlates well with the marked reduction of *T. urticae* reproductive performance (-25%) on the same mutant (Figure 6D), suggesting a connection between PP2C-regulated stress signaling, JA stress, and plant defense against herbivores.

Taken together, our results demonstrate a role for AP2C1 as a key player in the regulation of MPK4/6 activities upon a stress encounter, in the regulation of wound-induced hormonal and transcriptional pathways and in innate immunity. A deeper understanding of the control of JA levels and of MAPK pathways is a prerequisite for uncovering plant mechanisms of stress perception and their responses and adaptation to the environment and may serve in future improvements of stress tolerance traits in crops. Indeed, normal growth of plants with modified AP2C1 levels highlights the possibility of obtaining desired traits without deleterious consequences to plants.

# METHODS

#### **Molecular Cloning and Vector Construction**

The AP2C1 cDNA was amplified by PCR from an Arabidopsis thaliana cDNA library (Minet et al., 1992) and cloned into pBluescript SK+. For yeast two-hybrid analysis, AP2C1 cDNA was cloned into pBD-GAL4cam (Stratagene), pBTM116 (Vojtek et al., 1993), or pGAD424 (Clontech). MAPKs and MAPKKs for yeast two-hybrid analysis were cloned as described previously (Teige et al., 2004). AP2C1-K98A, AP2C1-K98A, R99Q, and AP2C1-G178D were created using a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). AP2C1 was cloned into pGreenII0029 (Hellens et al., 2000) downstream of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and tagged with a 9-mer c-myc epitope, triple HA, or sGFP(S65T) (Chiu et al., 1996). AP2C1-G178D was tagged with a triple HA epitope. The cDNAs of ABI2 and HAB1 (Meskiene et al., 2003) were tagged with a 9-mer c-myc epitope and cloned into the same vector as AP2C1. Plasmids expressing HA-tagged MPK3, MPK4, MPK6, and  $\Delta$ ANP1 were described by Asai et al. (2002). The 1.3-kb AP2C1 promoter region was cloned by PCR using BAC clone f23f1 (ABRC), fused with the GUS reporter, and cloned into pGreenII0029. For ap2c1 mutant complementation, the AP2C1 promoter region was fused with AP2C1 cDNA and cloned into pGreenII0029. For in vitro studies, the cDNAs of AP2C1, ABI2, and HAB1 were cloned into pGEX-4T-1. The pGEX-MPK6-K92M,K93R and MKK2-T220E,T226E constructs have been described previously (Teige et al., 2004). For BiFC, the split YFP components were cloned by PCR from pUC-SPYNE and pUC-SPYCE vectors (Walter et al., 2004) and inserted into pRT100-MPK4 and pRT100-MPK6 vectors (Teige et al., 2004). Original pUC-SPYNE/pUC-SPYCE vectors were used as controls.

# Yeast Two-Hybrid Library Screen and Interaction Assays

The yeast two-hybrid screen of an *Arabidopsis* cDNA library (Minet et al., 1992) was performed in the yeast strain PJ-69A (James et al., 1996) with the pBD-GAL4cam-AP2C1 bait plasmid according to Meskiene et al.

(2003). Interaction assays with yeast strain L40 (Vojtek et al., 1993) were done as described (Teige et al., 2004).

### Protoplast Transient Expression and Immunocomplex Assays

Transient expressions using *Arabidopsis* suspension protoplasts were performed according to Meskiene et al. (2003). Immunocomplex assays were performed as described (Cardinale et al., 2002; Meskiene et al., 2003).

#### **Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins**

Recombinant MPK6, MKK2, AP2C1, ABI2, and HAB1 were expressed as glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins as previously described (Kiegerl et al., 2000). The protein concentrations of the recombinant proteins were determined with the Bio-Rad detection system using BSA as a standard, and the purity of the protein fractions was determined by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining after 10% SDS-PAGE.

### **Measurement of Phosphatase Activity**

Phosphatase activity was measured using <sup>32</sup>P-labeled casein (12.5  $\mu$ g/reaction) or <sup>32</sup>P-labeled GST-MPK6-K92M,K93R (1  $\mu$ g/reaction) as a substrate and incubated with 0.1  $\mu$ g/reaction of each PP2C at 30°C for 30 min in triplicates (Meskiene et al., 2003). GST-MPK6 K92M,K93R was labeled by GST-MKK2 T220E,T226E (Teige et al., 2004).

#### Analysis of the AP2C1 Mutant Line

The putative null line for AP2C1 (SALK\_065126) was analyzed by PCR using a T-DNA primer (LBa1 5'-TGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG-3'; http:// signal.salk.edu) and gene-specific primers AP1-fwd (5'-CTTGCTCCGT-CGCCGTATGTAATTCTCCCGG-3') and AP2C1rev (5'-CATCAGACGAGC-CTCGTGAAGCAGATAAATCG-3'). Putative homozygous plants were subjected to DNA gel blotting using the 0.74-kb *Aspl-Eco*RI fragment from the pBI101 vector (Clontech) as a left border–specific probe. Labeling was performed with the Genes Images Labeling Module (Amersham) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Plant Material and Generation of Transgenic Lines

Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as genetic background. Six-week-old plants grown under short-day conditions (16 h dark/8 h light) were used for the experiments (kinase assays, GUS stainings, pathogen assays, immunoprecipitation, RT-PCR, and ET and JA measurements). pGreen vectors were transferred in Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101::pMP90 (Koncz and Schell, 1986) via electroporation and verified by PCR. Plants were transformed using the floral dipping method (Clough and Bent, 1998) and transformed seeds selected on kanamycincontaining Murashige and Skoog plates (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Nine independent transgenic AP2C1-overexpressing lines were generated, and three of these lines were analyzed in MAP kinase activity assays (#640.1, #640.2, and #591.4). Two lines were selected (#640.1 and #640.2) and used for further analyses. ap2c1 plants were complemented with AP2C1 promoter-driven cDNA expression (four lines were isolated, and two of these lines, #ap2c1-644.1 and #ap2c1-644.2, were analyzed). Six lines overexpressing AP2C1-G178D were isolated, and two lines (#789.5 and #789.6) were analyzed. Five lines expressing AP2C1-GUS were generated, and three lines were analyzed for induced GUS expressions (#557.1 to #557.3).

# Wounding of Leaves, Histochemical GUS Assay, and Kinase Assays

Wounding of leaves and kinase assays were performed according to Meskiene et al. (2003). Histochemical GUS reporter assay was performed according to Jefferson et al. (1986).

#### **RNA Isolation and RT-PCR**

Total RNA from leaves was isolated with the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RT-PCR was performed (Teige et al., 2004) using the following primers: ACT3 (At2g37620) fwd, 5'-ATGGTTAAGGCTGGTTTTGC-3'; ACT3 rev, 5'-AGCACAATACC-GGTAGTACG-3'; PDF1.2 (At5g44420) fwd, 5'-TTGCTGCTTTCGACG-CACCGG-3'; PDF1.2 rev, 5'TAGATTTAACATGGGACGTAACAG-3'; AP2C1 (At2g30020)fwd, 5'- TCGGCCGCTGTGGCTGCG-3'; AP2C1rev, 5'-CAT-CAGACGAGCCTCGTGAAGCAGATAAATCG-3'.

#### **Disease Assays**

*Arabidopsis* plants were grown in soil and inoculated by placing an agar plug (3 mm in diameter) on top of fully expanded leaves. Seven-day-old *Botrytis cinerea* cultures grown at room temperature on potato dextrose agar plates were used to this purpose. Microwounding was performed to facilitate fungal infection by poking three holes in the leaf with a needle before inoculation. Noncolonized potato dextrose agar agar plugs were used as a negative control. A minimum of 10 plants per line and five leaves per plant were inoculated. Disease severity was evaluated 48 h after inoculation by calculating mean areas in mm<sup>2</sup>. Statistical significance was calculated by the least significance attributed if P < 0.05. Blind experiments were performed on two independently transformed plant lines (#640.1 and #640.2) three times, with similar results. Leaf infection with conidia of *B. cinerea* was performed as described (Zimmerli et al., 2001).

#### **Fecundity Experiments**

Spider mites (*Tetranychus urticae*) were reared on detached lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*) leaves in a climate room with a 16/8-h light regime, 100  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 60% RH, 22°C. Twenty plants of each *Arabidopsis* genotype were grown in a different climate room under the same conditions. For the fecundity experiment, five adult female spider mites were randomly dispersed over each *Arabidopsis* plant. After 5 d, plants were taken from the soil using tweezers, and each leaf was inspected under a stereomicroscope for eggs and mites. The number of eggs per female was calculated per plant. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance and the Fisher post hoc test.

#### **ET and JA Measurements**

For ET measurements, fully expanded leaves were wounded, transferred into 20-mL vials containing 2 mL of half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium, and air-tight sealed. After 24 h, 250  $\mu$ L of the gas phase were taken from the headspaces of vials and analyzed by gas chromatography-flame ionization detection. ET production was calculated per hour and milligram of fresh tissue. For JA measurement, 0.5 g (fresh weight) of leaves were harvested at 0 to 24 h after wounding, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C. JA was extracted and measured by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry as described (Penninckx et al., 1996). For both hormones, statistical significance was evaluated by the least significant difference method and significance attributed if P < 0.05.

#### Accession Number

The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative locus identifier for *AP2C1* is At2g30020.

# Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure 1.** Interaction Assay of AP2C1 with At MKKs in the Yeast Two-Hybrid System.

Supplemental Figure 2. Analysis of the ap2c1 Mutant Line.

**Supplemental Figure 3.** Comparison of Wound Activation of MAP Kinases in Wild-Type, *ap2c1*, and *ap2c1* Complemented Plants.

Supplemental Figure 4. Effect of AP2C1 on Disease Resistance.

**Supplemental Figure 5.** Complementation of *ap2c1* Plants Enhances Spider Mite Reproductive Performance.

**Supplemental Figure 6.** JA Production in Wild-Type, *ap2c1*, and *ap2c1* Complemented Plants.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank B. van Zaal for the cDNA library, C. Koncz for suspension culture, J. Sheen for ANP1, MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 expression plasmids, J. Kudla for split YFP constructs, K. Mockaitis for comments on the manuscript, R. Schweyen and A. Barta for lab space for I.M.'s group, M. Baccarini for sharing an office with I.M., A. Auer and A. Belokurov for technical assistance, and the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory for the T-DNA insertion mutant. This work was supported by grants from the Austrian Science Fund and the Charlotte Bühler Habilitation fellowship to I.M. and by the European Union project Growth, Vigour, Environment. Further support was from the British Council, Austrian, and Italian Ministries of Education and Science and from the Lithuanian Science Council.

Received December 10, 2006; revised June 24, 2007; accepted June 30, 2007; published July 13, 2007.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahlfors, R., Macioszek, V., Rudd, J., Brosche, M., Schlichting, R., Scheel, D., and Kangasjarvi, J. (2004). Stress hormone-independent activation and nuclear translocation of mitogen-activated protein kinases in *Arabidopsis thaliana* during ozone exposure. Plant J. 40: 512–522.
- Alonso, J.M., and Stepanova, A.N. (2004). The ethylene signaling pathway. Science 306: 1513–1515.
- Alonso, J.M., Stepanova, A.N., Solano, R., Wisman, E., Ferrari, S., Ausubel, F.M., and Ecker, J.R. (2003). Five components of the ethylene-response pathway identified in a screen for weak ethyleneinsensitive mutants in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 2992–2997.
- Ament, K., Kant, M.R., Sabelis, M.W., Haring, M.A., and Schuurink, R.C. (2004). Jasmonic acid is a key regulator of spider mite-induced volatile terpenoid and methyl salicylate emission in tomato. Plant Physiol. 135: 2025–2037.
- Andreasson, E., et al. (2005). The MAP kinase substrate MKS1 is a regulator of plant defense responses. EMBO J. 24: 2579–2589.
- Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M., and Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. Nature 415: 977–983.
- Bell, E., Creelman, R.A., and Mullet, J.E. (1995). A chloroplast lipoxygenase is required for wound-induced jasmonic acid accumulation in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 8675–8679.
- Berrocal-Lobo, M., and Molina, A. (2004). Ethylene response factor 1 mediates Arabidopsis resistance to the soilborne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **17**: 763–770.
- Bertauche, N., Leung, J., and Giraudat, J. (1996). Protein phosphatase activity of abscisic acid insensitive 1 (ABI1) protein from Arabidopsis thaliana. Eur. J. Biochem. 241: 193–200.

- Bork, P., Brown, N.P., Hegyi, H., and Schultz, J. (1996). The protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) superfamily: Detection of bacterial homologues. Protein Sci. 5: 1421–1425.
- Brodersen, P., Petersen, M., Bjorn Nielsen, H., Zhu, S., Newman, M.A., Shokat, K.M., Rietz, S., Parker, J., and Mundy, J. (2006). Arabidopsis MAP kinase 4 regulates salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid/ ethylene-dependent responses via EDS1 and PAD4. Plant J. 47: 532–546.
- Cardinale, F., Meskiene, I., Ouaked, F., and Hirt, H. (2002). Convergence and divergence of stress-induced mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways at the level of two distinct mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases. Plant Cell **14:** 1–10.
- Cheong, Y.H., Chang, H.S., Gupta, R., Wang, X., Zhu, T., and Luan,
  S. (2002). Transcriptional profiling reveals novel interactions between wounding, pathogen, abiotic stress, and hormonal responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 129: 661–677.
- Cherel, I., Michard, E., Platet, N., Mouline, K., Alcon, C., Sentenac, H., and Thibaud, J.B. (2002). Physical and functional interaction of the Arabidopsis K(+) channel AKT2 and phosphatase AtPP2CA. Plant Cell **14:** 1133–1146.
- Chiu, W., Niwa, Y., Zeng, W., Hirano, T., Kobayashi, H., and Sheen, J. (1996). Engineered GFP as a vital reporter in plants. Curr. Biol. 6: 325–330.
- Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 16: 735–743.
- Das, A.K., Helps, N.R., Cohen, P.T., and Barford, D. (1996). Crystal structure of the protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2C at 2.0 A resolution. EMBO J. 15: 6798–6809.
- Devoto, A., Ellis, C., Magusin, A., Chang, H.S., Chilcott, C., Zhu, T., and Turner, J.G. (2005). Expression profiling reveals COI1 to be a key regulator of genes involved in wound- and methyl jasmonate-induced secondary metabolism, defence, and hormone interactions. Plant Mol. Biol. 58: 497–513.
- Egas, M., Norde, D.J., and Sabelis, M.W. (2003). Adaptive learning in arthropods: Spider mites learn to distinguish food quality. Exp. Appl. Acarol. **30:** 233–247.
- Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 43: 205–227.
- Guo, H., and Ecker, J.R. (2004). The ethylene signaling pathway: New insights. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7: 40–49.
- Gupta, R., and Luan, S. (2003). Redox control of protein tyrosine phosphatases and mitogen-activated protein kinases in plants. Plant Physiol. 132: 1149–1152.
- Halitschke, R., and Baldwin, I.T. (2003). Antisense LOX expression increases herbivore performance by decreasing defense responses and inhibiting growth-related transcriptional reorganization in *Nicoti*ana attenuata. Plant J. 36: 794–807.
- Hellens, R.P., Edwards, E.A., Leyland, N.R., Bean, S., and Mullineaux, P.M. (2000). pGreen: A versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. Plant Mol. Biol. 42: 819–832.
- Ichimura, K. (2002). Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plants: A new nomenclature. Trends Plant Sci. 7: 301–308.
- Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Yoshida, R., Yuasa, T., and Shinozaki,
  K. (2000). Various abiotic stresses rapidly activate Arabidopsis MAP kinases ATMPK4 and ATMPK6. Plant J. 24: 655–665.
- James, P., Halladay, J., and Craig, E.A. (1996). Genomic libraries and a host strain designed for highly efficient two-hybrid selection in yeast. Genetics **144**: 1425–1436.
- Jefferson, R.A., Burgess, S.M., and Hirsh, D. (1986). beta-Glucuronidase from *Escherichia coli* as a gene-fusion marker. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83: 8447–8451.

- Katou, S., Karita, E., Yamakawa, H., Seo, S., Mitsuhara, I., Kuchitsu, K., and Ohashi, Y. (2005). Catalytic activation of plant MAPK phosphatase NtMKP1 by its physiological substrate SIPK, but not by calmodulins. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 39569–39581.
- Kerk, D. (2006). Genome-scale discovery and characterization of classspecific protein sequences: An example using the protein phosphatases of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Methods Mol. Biol. 365: 347–370.
- Kerk, D., Bulgrien, J., Smith, D.W., Barsam, B., Veretnik, S., and Gribskov,
  M. (2002). The complement of protein phosphatase catalytic subunits encoded in the genome of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 129: 908–925.
- Keyse, S.M. (2000). Protein phosphatases and the regulation of mitogenactivated protein kinase signalling. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 12: 186–192.
- Kiegerl, S., Cardinale, F., Siligan, C., Gross, A., Baudouin, E., Liwosz, A., Eklof, S., Till, S., Bogre, L., Hirt, H., and Meskiene, I. (2000). SIMKK, a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) kinase, is a specific activator of the salt stress-induced MAPK, SIMK. Plant Cell 12: 2247–2258.
- Kliebenstein, D.J., Kroymann, J., and Mitchell-Olds, T. (2005). The glucosinolate-myrosinase system in an ecological and evolutionary context. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8: 264–271.
- Knoester, M., van Loon, L.C., van den Heuvel, J., Hennig, J., Bol, J.F., and Linthorst, H.J.M. (1998). Ethylene-insensitive tobacco lacks nonhost resistance against soil-borne fungi. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 1933–1937.
- Koncz, C., and Schell, J. (1986). The promoter of TL-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel type of Agrobacterium binary vector. Mol. Genet. Genomics 204: 383–396.
- Kovtun, Y., Chiu, W.L., Tena, G., and Sheen, J. (2000). Functional analysis of oxidative stress-activated mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 2940–2945.
- Leung, J., Bouvier-Durand, M., Morris, P.C., Guerrier, D., Chefdor, F., and Giraudat, J. (1994). Arabidopsis ABA response gene ABI1: Features of a calcium-modulated protein phosphatase. Science 264: 1448–1452.
- Li, C., Williams, M.M., Loh, Y.T., Lee, G.I., and Howe, G.A. (2002). Resistance of cultivated tomato to cell content-feeding herbivores is regulated by the octadecanoid-signaling pathway. Plant Physiol. 130: 494–503.
- Li, J., Smith, G.P., and Walker, J.C. (1999). Kinase interaction domain of kinase-associated protein phosphatase, a phosphoprotein-binding domain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 7821–7826.
- Li, L., Zhao, Y., McCaig, B.C., Wingerd, B.A., Wang, J., Whalon, M.E., Pichersky, E., and Howe, G.A. (2004). The tomato homolog of CORONATINE-INSENSITIVE1 is required for the maternal control of seed maturation, jasmonate-signaled defense responses, and glandular trichome development. Plant Cell 16: 126–143.
- Liechti, R., Gfeller, A., and Farmer, E.E. (2006). Jasmonate signaling pathway. Sci. STKE 2006: cm2.
- Liu, Y., and Zhang, S. (2004). Phosphorylation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase by MPK6, a stress-responsive mitogenactivated protein kinase, induces ethylene biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **16**: 3386–3399.
- Lund, S.T., Stall, R.E., and Klee, H.J. (1998). Ethylene regulates the susceptible response to pathogen infection in tomato. Plant Cell 10: 371–382.
- Menke, F.L., van Pelt, J.A., Pieterse, C.M., and Klessig, D.F. (2004). Silencing of the mitogen-activated protein kinase MPK6 compromises disease resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16: 897–907.
- Merlot, S., Gosti, F., Guerrier, D., Vavasseur, A., and Giraudat, J. (2001). The ABI1 and ABI2 protein phosphatases 2C act in a negative feedback regulatory loop of the abscisic acid signalling pathway. Plant J. **25:** 295–303.

- Meskiene, I., Baudouin, E., Schweighofer, A., Liwosz, A., Jonak, C., Rodriguez, P.L., Jelinek, H., and Hirt, H. (2003). The stress-induced protein phosphatase 2C is a negative regulator of a mitogen-activated protein kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 18945–18952.
- Meskiene, I., Bogre, L., Glaser, W., Balog, J., Brandstotter, M., Zwerger, K., Ammerer, G., and Hirt, H. (1998). MP2C, a plant protein phosphatase 2C, functions as a negative regulator of mitogenactivated protein kinase pathways in yeast and plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 1938–1943.
- Meyer, K., Leube, M.P., and Grill, E. (1994). A protein phosphatase 2C involved in ABA signal transduction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science **264**: 1452–1455.
- Minet, M., Dufour, M.E., and Lacroute, F. (1992). Complementation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae auxotrophic mutants by Arabidopsis thaliana cDNAs. Plant J. 2: 417–422.
- Murashige, T., and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant 15: 473–497.
- Nandi, A., Kachroo, P., Fukushige, H., Hildebrand, D.F., Klessig, D.F., and Shah, J. (2003). Ethylene and jasmonic acid signaling affect the NPR1-independent expression of defense genes without impacting resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Peronospora parasitica* in the Arabidopsis ssi1 mutant. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16: 588–599.
- Navarro, L., Zipfel, C., Rowland, O., Keller, I., Robatzek, S., Boller, T., and Jones, J.D. (2004). The transcriptional innate immune response to flg22. Interplay and overlap with Avr gene-dependent defense responses and bacterial pathogenesis. Plant Physiol. 135: 1113–1128.
- Ohta, M., Guo, Y., Halfter, U., and Zhu, J.K. (2003). A novel domain in the protein kinase SOS2 mediates interaction with the protein phosphatase 2C ABI2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 11771–11776.
- Penninckx, I.A., Eggermont, K., Terras, F.R., Thomma, B.P., De Samblanx, G.W., Buchala, A., Metraux, J.P., Manners, J.M., and Broekaert, W.F. (1996). Pathogen-induced systemic activation of a plant defensin gene in Arabidopsis follows a salicylic acid-independent pathway. Plant Cell 8: 2309–2323.
- Petersen, M., et al. (2000). Arabidopsis map kinase 4 negatively regulates systemic acquired resistance. Cell **103**: 1111–1120.
- Reymond, P., Weber, H., Damond, M., and Farmer, E.E. (2000). Differential gene expression in response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **12:** 707–720.
- Rienties, I.M., Vink, J., Borst, J.W., Russinova, E., and d Vries, S.C. (2005). The Arabidopsis SERK1 protein interacts with the AAA-ATPase CDC48, the 14-3-3 protein GF14lambda and the PP2C phosphatase KAPP. Planta **221**: 394–405.
- Saez, A., Apostolova, N., Gonzalez-Guzman, M., Gonzalez-Garcia, M.P., Nicolas, C., Lorenzo, O., and Rodriguez, P.L. (2004). Gain-offunction and loss-of-function phenotypes of the protein phosphatase 2C HAB1 reveal its role as a negative regulator of abscisic acid signalling. Plant J. 37: 354–369.
- Schweighofer, A., Hirt, H., and Meskiene, I. (2004). Plant PP2C phosphatases: Emerging functions in stress signaling. Trends Plant Sci. 9: 236–243.
- Shah, K., Russinova, E., Gadella, T.W., Jr., Willemse, J., and De Vries, S.C. (2002). The Arabidopsis kinase-associated protein phosphatase controls internalization of the somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 1. Genes Dev. 16: 1707–1720.
- Sheen, J. (1998). Mutational analysis of protein phosphatase 2C involved in abscisic acid signal transduction in higher plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 975–980.
- Song, S.K., and Clark, S.E. (2005). POL and related phosphatases are dosage-sensitive regulators of meristem and organ development in Arabidopsis. Dev. Biol. 285: 272–284.

Stone, J.M., Collinge, M.A., Smith, R.D., Horn, M.A., and Walker, J.C.

(1994). Interaction of a protein phosphatase with an Arabidopsis serinethreonine receptor kinase. Science **266**: 793–795.

- Tahtiharju, S., and Palva, T. (2001). Antisense inhibition of protein phosphatase 2C accelerates cold acclimation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 26: 461–470.
- Takahashi, F., Yoshida, R., Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Seo, S., Yonezawa, M., Maruyama, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2007). The mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade MKK3-MPK6 is an important part of the jasmonate signal transduction pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **19**: 805–818.
- Tamura, S., Toriumi, S., Saito, J., Awano, K., Kudo, T.A., and Kobayashi, T. (2006). PP2C family members play key roles in regulation of cell survival and apoptosis. Cancer Sci. 97: 563–567.
- Tanoue, T., and Nishida, E. (2003). Molecular recognitions in the MAP kinase cascades. Cell. Signal. 15: 455–462.
- Teige, M., Scheikl, E., Eulgem, T., Doczi, R., Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K., Dangl, J.L., and Hirt, H. (2004). The MKK2 pathway mediates cold and salt stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Mol. Cell 15: 141–152.
- Thomma, B.P., Cammue, B.P., and Thevissen, K. (2002). Plant defensins. Planta **216**: 193–202.
- Thomma, B.P., Eggermont, K., Tierens, K.F., and Broekaert, W.F. (1999). Requirement of functional ethylene-insensitive 2 gene for efficient resistance of Arabidopsis to infection by *Botrytis cinerea*. Plant Physiol. **121**: 1093–1102.
- Ulm, R., Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Peck, S.C., Zhu, T., Wang, X., Shinozaki, K., and Paszkowski, J. (2002). Distinct regulation of salinity and genotoxic stress responses by Arabidopsis MAP kinase phosphatase 1. EMBO J. 21: 6483–6493.
- Ulm, R., Revenkova, E., di Sansebastiano, G.P., Bechtold, N., and Paszkowski, J. (2001). Mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase is required for genotoxic stress relief in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 15: 699–709.
- Vojtek, A.B., Hollenberg, S.M., and Cooper, J.A. (1993). Mammalian Ras interacts directly with the serine/threonine kinase Raf. Cell **74**: 205–214.
- Walter, M., Chaban, C., Schutze, K., Batistic, O., Weckermann, K., Nake, C., Blazevic, D., Grefen, C., Schumacher, K., Oecking, C., Harter, K., and Kudla, J. (2004). Visualization of protein interactions in living plant cells using bimolecular fluorescence complementation. Plant J. 40: 428–438.
- Wang, K.L., Li, H., and Ecker, J.R. (2002). Ethylene biosynthesis and signaling networks. Plant Cell 14 (suppl.): S131–S151.
- Williams, R.W., Wilson, J.M., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1997). A possible role for kinase-associated protein phosphatase in the Arabidopsis CLAVATA1 signaling pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 10467–10472.
- Yamakawa, H., Katou, S., Seo, S., Mitsuhara, I., Kamada, H., and Ohashi, Y. (2004). Plant MAPK phosphatase interacts with calmodulins. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 928–936.
- Yu, L.P., Miller, A.K., and Clark, S.E. (2003). POLTERGEIST encodes a protein phosphatase 2C that regulates CLAVATA pathways controlling stem cell identity at Arabidopsis shoot and flower meristems. Curr. Biol. 13: 179–188.
- Zavala, J.A., Patankar, A.G., Gase, K., Hui, D., and Baldwin, I.T. (2004). Manipulation of endogenous trypsin proteinase inhibitor production in *Nicotiana attenuata* demonstrates their function as antiherbivore defenses. Plant Physiol. **134**: 1181–1190.
- Zimmerli, L., Metraux, J.P., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2001). beta-Aminobutyric acid-induced protection of Arabidopsis against the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea*. Plant Physiol. **126**: 517–523.
- Zimmermann, P., Hirsch-Hoffmann, M., Hennig, L., and Gruissem,
  W. (2004). GENEVESTIGATOR. Arabidopsis microarray database and analysis toolbox. Plant Physiol. 136: 2621–2632.