Escherichia coli **DNA polymerase II catalyzes chromosomal and episomal DNA synthesis** *in vivo*

SAVITHRI RANGARAJAN*†, GUDMUNDUR GUDMUNDSSON*†, ZHIHAO QIU*, PATRICIA L. FOSTER‡, AND MYRON F. GOODMAN*§

*Department of Biological Sciences, Hedco Molecular Biology Laboratories, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1340; and ‡Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02118-2394

Communicated by I. Robert Lehman, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, November 26, 1996 (received for review October 7, 1996)

ABSTRACT We have investigated a role for *Escherichia coli* **DNA polymerase II (Pol II) in copying chromosomal and episomal DNA in dividing cells** *in vivo***. Forward mutation frequencies and rates were measured at two chromosomal loci,** *rpoB* **and** *gyrA***, and base substitution and frameshift mutation frequencies were measured on an F*****(***lacZ***) episome. To amplify any differences in polymerase error rates, methyl-directed mismatch repair was inactivated. When wild-type Pol II (***polB*1**) was replaced on the chromosome by a proofreadingdefective Pol II exo**⁻ (*polBex1*), there was a significant increase in mutation frequencies to rifampicin resistance $(Rif^R)(\textit{rpoB})$ **and nalidixic acid resistance (NalR) (***gyrA***). This increased mutagenesis occurred in the presence of an antimutator allele of** *E. coli* **DNA polymerase III (Pol III) (***dnaE915***), but not in the presence of wild-type Pol III (***dnaE*1**), suggesting that Pol II can compete effectively with DnaE915 but not with DnaE**1**.** Sequencing the Rif^R mutants revealed a $G \rightarrow A$ hot spot highly **specific to Pol II exo⁻. Pol II exo⁻ caused a significant increase in the frequency of base substitution and frameshift mutations on F*** **episomes, even in** *dnaE*¹ **cells, suggesting that Pol II is able to compete with Pol III for DNA synthesis on F episomes.**

DNA polymerase II (Pol II) from *Escherichia coli* was discovered 26 years ago (1), yet its primary function in the cell remains an enigma. Pol II is induced as part of the SOS regulon under control of the LexA repressor (2), and it has been identified as the product of the DNA damage-inducible *dinA* gene (3, 4). Pol II may be involved in repair of DNA damaged by UV radiation (5) or by oxidation (6), and it has been suggested that Pol II is needed to catalyze bypass of abasic lesions if heat shock genes cannot be induced (7).

Pol II synthesizes DNA with high processivity *in vitro* in the presence of the *E. coli* DNA polymerase III (Pol III) accessory proteins, the β sliding clamp and the clamp loading γ complex (8–10). These accessory proteins are known to play a central role during DNA synthesis as part of the Pol III holoenzyme complex (11). The potential for sharing the $\beta\gamma$ complex with Pol III implies that Pol II has an important, although not essential, role to play during DNA synthesis *in vivo*. We have recently shown that Pol II is directly involved in DNA synthesis on an F' episome in nondividing E . *coli* (12). In this paper, we provide genetic data demonstrating that Pol II is involved in DNA synthesis on the chromosome and on the F' episome in actively dividing cells.

PNAS is available online at **http://www.pnas.org**.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Media. Only relevant markers are listed. NR11555 (ara, leu: Tn10, Δprolac), NR9918 (*zae502*::Tn*10, zae*::Tn*10*dCam, *mutL*::Tn*5*), NR9915 (as NR9918, but *dnaE915*), HC203 (*polBex1*), and SH2101 ($polB\Delta$ *1*) have been described (6, 12). KA796 (*ara,* Δ *prolac*) and NR9901 (*dnaE911*) were kindly provided by R. M. Schaaper (National Institute on Environmental Health Sciences).

The strains carrying episomes with *lacZ* frameshift and base substitution mutations, CC101–CC111 $(13, 14)$ and their F' parent, P90C [ara , $\Delta (lapropB)_{\text{XIII}}$], were obtained from J. Miller (University of California, Los Angeles). The scavenger strain, FC755, is P90C carrying F' [Δ *lacIZ, pro*⁺]. Mutant alleles were placed into the desired strains by transduction with P1 bacteriophage. The *dnaE915, dnaE911*, and *dnaE*⁺ markers, flanked by the *zae502*::Tn*10* and *zea*::Tn*10*dCam markers, were transduced by selecting for tetracycline resistance and screening for chloramphenicol resistance. *mutL*::Tn*5* and *mutH*::Tn*5* were transduced by selecting for kanamycin resistance. The episomes bearing the *lacZ* frameshift and base substitution mutations were mated into the relevant strains last. M9 minimal medium has been described (15, 16). In addition, M9 lactose plates were supplemented with 5 ml/liter of Luria-Bertani (LB) to allow for approximately three cell divisions before selection of lactose utilization. We also used M9 lactose plates that were not supplemented with LB. In the latter case, tiny colonies corresponding to $Lac⁺$ revertants were barely detectable after 24 h. For the latter case, colonies were counted after 48-h incubations. Antibiotic concentrations were as follows: kanamycin, 30 μ g/ml; tetracycline, 10 μ g/ml; chloramphenicol, 17 μ g/ml; rifampicin, 100 μ g/ml; nalidixic acid, 40 μ g/ml, and streptomycin, 10 μ g/ml. Genetic and molecular procedures were standard (16).

Episomal Lac^{ $-$ **}** \rightarrow **Lac^{** $+$ **} Reversions.** Several independent isolates were tested for all episomal *lacZ* reversions. Cells were grown to saturation in M9 glucose medium, centrifuged, and resuspended in the same volume of 0.85% NaCl/ 0.001% gelatin. To titer the cell number, dilutions were plated in duplicate on LB and M9 glucose media. The cell suspensions were mixed with 10⁹ scavenger cells (FC755) and plated on M9 lactose plates. Revertants were counted at 24 h for LBsupplemented plates and at 48 h for nonsupplemented plates. The data presented in Table 1 are for plates containing LB; however, the reversion frequencies were similar in the presence or absence of LB (data not shown). Reversion frequen-

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked ''*advertisement*'' in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Copyright $@$ 1997 by The NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE USA 0027-8424/97/94946-6\$2.00/0

Abbreviations: Pol II, *E. coli* DNA polymerase II; Pol III, *E. coli* DNA polymerase III; LB, Luria–Bertani; Rif^R, rifampicin resistance; Nal^R, nalidixic acid resistance.

[†]S.R. and G.G. contributed equally to this work.

[§]To whom reprint requests should be addressed at: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, SHS Room 172, University Park, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1340.

cies are the mean number of $Lac⁺$ revertants divided by the number of cells plated.

Chromosomal Mutation Frequencies. Single colonies of the relevant strains were inoculated into 5 ml of LB containing tetracycline and grown overnight. Typically, for each strain 12–15 single colonies from 2–3 isolates were tested.

An isolate refers to an independent transductant carrying *dnaE915* or *dnaE*⁺ and either $polB$ ⁺ or $polBex1$. Aliquots (0.1) ml) of each culture were plated on LB agar containing rifampicin or nalidixic acid to determine the number of mutants, and dilutions were plated on LB agar containing tetracycline and chloramphenicol to determine the total cell number. Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and colonies counted at 24 h. Mutation frequencies are the median number of mutations divided by the average cell titer (17).

Chromosomal Mutation Rates to Rifampicin Resistance. Overnight cultures were diluted 107-fold in LB and 0.2 ml of the dilutions inoculated into 96-well microtiter dishes and grown at 37° C overnight with shaking (18, 19). The low initial cell number increased the probability of starting without mutants. Dilutions from five to six randomly picked wells were plated on LB agar to determine the cell titer. The entire contents of 24–48 wells were each plated on LB agar containing rifampicin to determine the number of mutants. Colonies were counted 24 h after plating. Mutation rates were calculated using the maximum likelihood method (20, 21).

Sequencing of Rifampicin Resistant (Rif^R) Mutants. Independent cultures were grown and plated as described above. To ensure that the same original clone was not sequenced repeatedly, only one Rif^R colony was picked at random from each plate for sequencing. Each colony was inoculated into 1 ml of LB and grown to saturation at 37°C. Genomic DNA was prepared using standard phenol/chloroform extractions followed by ethanol precipitation. An ≈ 200 -bp section of the *rpoB* gene, including the two Rif clusters (22, 23) of each mutant, was amplified using PCR. The PCR primer sequences were GM175 (5'-GCCAAGCCGATTTCCGCAGCAGT-GAAAGAG-3'), and GM176 (5'-GTATTCGTTAGTCTGT-GCGTACACGGACAG-3'). The PCR-amplified DNA was purified using the Wizard PCR-Preps kit, and sequenced using the F-Mol Cycle Sequencing kit (Promega).

Oligonucleotide Probing of *rpoB* **Mutations.** One hundred and fifty independent RifR mutants in each genetic background were further analyzed by oligonucleotide hybridization (12, 24) for the $G \rightarrow A$ mutation at position 1583 (R529H) and the $A \rightarrow G$ mutation at position 1544 (D516G). The oligonucleotides were complimentary to the mutant, MU19 $(5')$ -GCGGAGATATGACGTTTGT-3'), and wild type, WT19 (5'-GCGGAGATACGACGTTTGT-3'), sequence at position 1583 and the mutant, SRWT (5'-GTTTATGGGCCAGAA-CAAC-3'), and wild type, SRMU (5'GTTTATGGACCA-GAACAAC3'), sequence at position 1544. The ³²P-labeled oligomers were hybridized *in situ* to genomic DNA immobilized in a grid pattern on filter paper (24). Three filters, each containing DNA obtained from 50 independently isolated Rif^R mutants were used for each strain. The probes hybridized with high selectivity to their complementary sequences. The location of the 32P-labeled spots corresponding to a positive hybridization signal was determined by phosphorimaging.

RESULTS

To investigate the involvement of Pol II in DNA synthesis in dividing *E. coli*, three genetic loci were examined, two on the *E. coli* chromosome and the other on an F'(*lac*) episome. The ability of Pol II to carry out chromosomal synthesis was assayed by measuring forward mutation rates to Rif^R and nalidixic acid resistance (NalR) in strains with different combinations of mutant and wild-type alleles of *polB* (Pol II) and *dnaE* (Pol III α subunit). To detect the ability of Pol II to copy $F'(lac)$, we

measured changes in reversion frequencies of *lacZ* base substitution and frameshift mutations in different Pol II and Pol III backgrounds.

Exonuclease-Deficient DNA Pol II Increases Spontaneous Mutation to Rif ^R and Nal^R in a *dnaE915* **Antimutator Background.** Polymerase errors are efficiently corrected by postreplication methyl-directed mismatch repair (25). To observe differences in the intrinsic error rates of mutant polymerases, we inactivated mismatch repair by using a strain that was *mutL*::Tn*5*. In addition, an antimutator allele of *dnaE*, *dnaE915* (17), was used to minimize the contribution that Pol III makes to mutation frequencies. In this background, a chromosomal replacement of wild-type Pol II (*polB*⁺) with a proofreading-defective Pol II derivative (*polBex1*) resulted in a significant increase in spontaneous mutation frequencies to Rif^R and Nal^R of 7.8-fold and 9.3-fold, respectively (Fig. 1). Similar results were obtained in cells grown in minimal medium, and if the cells were *mutH*::Tn*5* instead of *mutL*::Tn*5* (data not shown). We also observed that Pol II exo^- stimulated the mutation frequency to Rif^R 3- to 4-fold in mismatch repair-proficient strains (data not shown).

These data suggest that Pol II is able to copy chromosomal DNA in dividing cells in the presence of the DnaE915 antimutator DNA Pol III. We performed a fluctuation analysis (see *Materials and Methods*) that provided additional evidence that exonuclease-deficient Pol II strongly enhanced $Rif^S \rightarrow$ Rif^R mutation rates in the *dnaE915* background (data not shown).

In the presence of wild-type Pol III, mutation frequencies to Rif^R and Nal^R appeared to be slightly higher in *polBex1* compared with $\text{pol}B^+$ cells (Fig. 1). However, in contrast to the clear effect in the *dnaE915* background, these differences in mutation frequencies are too small to demonstrate that Pol II is carrying out chromosomal DNA synthesis in the presence of wild-type Pol III. The ability of Pol II exo⁻ to increase the frequency of chromosomal mutations also depended on the specific Pol III antimutator allele used.

FIG. 1. DNA Pol II spontaneous mutant frequencies in wild-type and antimutator Pol III genetic backgrounds. All strains were *mutL*::Tn*5*. We measured spontaneous mutant frequencies to rifampicin and nalidixic acid resistance at the *rpoB* and *gyrA* loci, respectively, in various *polB* and *dnaE* backgrounds. The data represent an average of the medians from three experiments, each with 10–20 independent cultures grown from two to three isolates. Error bars indicate $\pm 95\%$ confidence intervals for the medians.

Table 1. Spontaneous mutant frequencies in cells with various mutant polymerases

	Spontaneous mutants/ 10^6 cells [†]		
Genotype*	R if ^{R‡}	Na ^{IR}	
$polB^+$ dna E^+	$3.6 \left(\begin{array}{c} +0.68 \\ -1.56 \end{array} \right)$	$1.1\left(\frac{+0.83}{-0.52}\right)$	
$polB\Delta1$ dna E^+	$3.7 \binom{+2.17}{-1.93}$	$1.1 \left(\begin{array}{c} +0.95 \\ -0.71 \end{array} \right)$	
$polB^+$ dnaE915	$0.8 \left(\frac{+0.26}{-0.20} \right)$	$0.2\left(\frac{+0.29}{-0.04}\right)$	
polBΔ1 dnaE915	$0.9\left(\begin{array}{c} +0.27\\ -0.23 \end{array}\right)$	$0.2\left(\frac{+0.10}{-0.03}\right)$	
$polB^+$ dna E^+	2.2 $\binom{+0.21}{-0.03}$	ND	
p ol $Bex1$ dna E^+	$2.0 \left(\frac{+0.46}{-0.31} \right)$	ND	
$polB^+$ dnaE911	$0.6 \left(\frac{+0.07}{-0.20} \right)$	ND	
polBex1 dnaE911	$0.6 \left(\frac{+0.06}{-0.09} \right)$	ND	

ND, not determined.

*Genotype corresponds to isogenic parental strains for top and bottom half of table, respectively.

†Medians of 15 independent cultures from 2–3 isolates each, grown in rich medium (top half of table) and minimal medium (bottom half of table). Errors represent 95% confidence intervals for the medians. ‡Mutations from rifampicin sensitivity to rifampicin resistance.

§Mutations from nalidixic acid sensitivity to nalidixic acid resistance.

There was no detectable increase in Rif^R mutation frequency in the presence of the *dnaE911* (17) Pol III antimutator allele (Table 1), in contrast to the 7.8-fold increase in the frequency of Rif^R mutants in the *dnaE915* background (Fig. 1). A possible explanation for this result is that Pol II can gain access to the chromosome much more easily in the presence of the DnaE915 protein because this mutant polymerase binds poorly to primer–template DNA compared with either wildtype Pol III or DnaE911 Pol III (ref. 18; Roel Schaaper, personal communication). In contrast to data showing a 3-fold increased rate of adaptive mutation on an F' episome in the absence of Pol II in nondividing cells (12), elimination of Pol II ($polB\Delta$ *1*) had no measurable effect on the frequencies of either Rif^R or Nal^R mutations in $dnaE^+$ or $dnaE915$ backgrounds (Table 1).

Spontaneous Mutational Spectra of Proofreading-Defective and Wild-Type Pol II. Based on the 7.8-fold increase in the frequency of Rif^R mutations in the presence of Pol II exo⁻ (Fig. 1), we asked if there were differences between $\text{pol}B^+$ and *polBex1* strains in types or sites of occurrence of mutations giving the Rif^R phenotype. Seventy-two and 80 randomly isolated Rif^R mutants (see *Material and Methods*) from *dnaE915 polB⁺* and *dnaE915 polBex1* cells, respectively, were directly sequenced. Only two clusters within the *rpoB* gene need to be sequenced to obtain almost all of the single base substitutions that have been observed to give the Rif^R phenotype (22). However, because of the cell's absolute requirement for the β -subunit of RNA polymerase, encoded by $\eta \circ B$, other important classes of mutations, such as frameshifts, are generally not detected in this analysis, [although, one 3-base deletion has been observed *in vivo* (23)].

In contrast to the $polB⁺$ strain, which had a single mutational hot spot, a $G \rightarrow A$ transition (D516N) at position 1543 (Fig. 2 *Upper*), *polBex1* cells exhibited two major hot spots, the $G \rightarrow$ A transition at position 1543 and another $G \rightarrow A$ transition (R529H) at position 1583 (Fig. 2 *Lower*). The difference at

FIG. 2. Forward mutational spectra in the *rpoB* locus for wild-type and proofreading-defective *polB* alleles in a *dnaE915* antimutator background. (*Upper*) *polB*¹ mutational spectrum. (*Lower*) *polBex1* mutational spectrum. All strains were *mutL*::Tn*5*.

position 1583 is highly significant; 30% ($24/80$) of the total number of mutants in the *polBex1* strain were at this site compared with none $(0/72)$ in the *polB*⁺ strain. Position 1543, the largest hot spot, accounted for 63.9% $(46/72)$ of the mutants in $\text{pol}B^+$ cells but 26% (21/80) of the mutants in *polBex1* cells. About 7% (6/80) of the mutations in *polBex1* cells were $A \rightarrow T$ transversions at position 1711 (I572F) compared with none for $\text{pol}B^+$ (Fig. 2), but this difference in the two spectra may not be significant.

A potentially important difference in the $polB^+$ and $polBexI$ spectra occurs at position 1544, immediately adjacent to the major hot spot site (Fig. 2). For $\text{pol}B^+$, we observed $A \rightarrow T$ transversions (D516V) only, but for *polBex1*, we found both A \rightarrow T transversions and A \rightarrow G transitions (D516G). Although clearly not statistically significant, this result was of interest because while an $A \rightarrow T$ transversion had been reported at this site by C. Gross and coworkers in a wild-type DNA Pol III background, an $A \rightarrow G$ transition had not (23).

Pol II-Dependent Rif^R Mutants Determined by Filter Hy**bridization.** A filter hybridization method (24) was used to screen 150 Rif^R mutants from six different genetic backgrounds for $G \rightarrow A$ transitions at position 1583 and $A \rightarrow G$ transitions at position 1544. We compared $polB^+$, $polB\Delta1$ and *polBex1* strains that were also *dnaE915* and *dnaE*1; all of the strains were in a *mutL*::Tn*5* background.

First we determined the fraction of RifR mutants that had a $G \rightarrow A$ transition at position 1583. In the *dnaE*⁺ background, the fraction was 7% for $polB^+$ and $polBex1$ cells and 4% for the *polB*D*1* cells. In the *dnaE915* background, the fraction was 9% for $polB^+$, 4% for $polB\Delta 1$, and 32% for $polBex1$ cells. Therefore, the only strain exhibiting a significant difference in $G \rightarrow$ A transition mutants at position 1583 was the proofreadingdefective *polBex1* strain. This result demonstrates that Pol II exo⁻ polymerase copies chromosomal DNA in the presence of DnaE915 antimutator Pol III (Figs. 1 and 2).

Based on the *in situ* hybridization data, roughly 7 of the 72 Rif^R mutants sequenced in the *polB⁺* dnaE915 cells should have been $G \rightarrow A$ transitions at position 1583 (Fig. 2 *Upper*). It is surprising, therefore, that there were none. We view this as a statistical anomaly because we verified by sequencing that $G \rightarrow A$ transitions had indeed occurred at position 1583 for each of the Rif^R mutants identified by *in situ* hybridization.

In situ hybridization also revealed that $A \rightarrow G$ mutations occurred at position 1544 at roughly similar frequencies for *polB*¹ and *polBex1* alleles in the presence of wild-type Pol III. Therefore, these data cannot be used either to demonstrate or to exclude the possibility that Pol II may be active in copying chromosomal DNA in the presence of wild-type Pol III. The reason that no $A \rightarrow G$ mutations were detected at position 1544 in the experiments of C. Gross and coworkers (22, 23) may be because these mutations are particularly well corrected by methyl-directed mismatch repair.

Episomal Lac^{ $-$ **}** \rightarrow **Lac^{** $+$ **} Mutations Induced by DNA Pol II in Dividing Cells.** We measured the effect of Pol II on base substitution and frameshift mutations using a series of $F'(lac)$ episomes that carry mutant *lacZ* alleles, each of which reverts to Lac⁺ phenotype by a specific mutational event $(13, 14)$. These experiments employed genetic backgrounds similar to those used to measure the effect of Pol II on chromosomal Rif^r mutations—i.e., $polB⁺$ and $polBex1$ alleles combined with *dnaE*¹ and *dnaE915* alleles. The strains were also *mutL*::Tn*5*.

Base substitution mutations. Compared with $\text{pol}B^+$, the presence of the *polBex1* allele resulted in significant increases in the frequency of Lac⁺ revertants due to $\tilde{G}^{\dagger}C \rightarrow A^{\dagger}T$ transitions in the presence of both *dnaE*⁺ and *dnaE915* alleles (4.2-fold and 9.8-fold compared with $polB^+$, respectively) (Table 2). G·C \rightarrow A^T mutations have been shown to be reduced by *dnaE915* (17), as confirmed by the data in Table 2. The results suggest that both Pol II and Pol III are able to copy the same DNA template position—i.e., the G·C \rightarrow A·T reversion site on $F'(lac)$.

Pol II exo⁻ also appeared to increase $G-C \rightarrow T:A$ and A T \rightarrow T·A transversions (Table 2). Because of the low number of $Lac⁺$ revertants, the estimation of the mutational frequencies in the $polB⁺$ background are unreliable. However, the reversion frequencies in *polBex1* cells were consistently elevated. In the *dnaE*⁺ background, Pol II exo⁻ appeared to stimulate G·C \rightarrow T·A mutations about 4-fold, and A ·T \rightarrow T·A mutations about 3-fold (Table 2). In the *dnaE915* background, Pol II exo⁻ appeared to stimulate $G-C \rightarrow T-A$ mutations about 20-fold, and $A \cdot T \rightarrow T \cdot A$ mutations about 10-fold. (Table 2). We note that Pol II exo⁻-induced A $T \rightarrow T$ A revertants were reproducibly detected at frequencies of about 2×10^{-8} , whereas revertants were barely detectable in the presence of wild-type Pol II (Table 2). Thus, it is quite likely that Pol II exo^- is stimulating both G·C \rightarrow T·A and A·T \rightarrow T·A transversions in the *dnaE915* background.

A similar conclusion can be made for the G·C \rightarrow C·G transversions. A clear qualitative increase in frequency of Lac^+ revertants was found for Pol II exo⁻ in the $\frac{dnaE915}{}$ background (Table 2). The 35-fold increase is a very rough estimate because the frequency of $polB⁺$ *dnaE915* revertants was too small to obtain a reliable measurement (Table 2). These low frequencies of spontaneous revertants for several of the base substitution markers agrees with previously published data (13).

Table 2. Spontaneous reversion frequencies of episomal *lacZ* alleles in various *polB* backgrounds

Reversion event	Lac ⁺ revertants/10 ⁸ cells plated*				
	$dnaE^+$		dnaE915		
	polBex1	$polB^+$	polBex1	$polB^+$	
Base substitutions					
$G-C \rightarrow A \cdot T$	64.5 ± 2.1	14 ± 2.6	22.2 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.8	
$G-C \rightarrow C-G$	0.5 ± 0.1	0.02 ± 0.02	7 ± 1.2	0.2 ± 0.1	
$G-C \rightarrow T-A$	1.7 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.7	0.3 ± 0.1	
$A \cdot T \rightarrow T \cdot A$	0.8 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1	
$A \cdot T \rightarrow G \cdot C$	20.3 ± 2.8	13.3 ± 1.9	2.8 ± 0.3	17.0 ± 2.1	
$A \cdot T \rightarrow C \cdot G$	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Frameshifts					
$+1$ A	175 ± 6	35 ± 3	1497 ± 71	96 ± 3	
-1 A	663 ± 76	564 ± 50	1114 ± 68	258 ± 19	
-1 G	4196 ± 177	2074 ± 305	7687 ± 187	4428 ± 79	
$+1$ G	7241 ± 245	6952 ± 342	3092 ± 96	3287 ± 174	
-2 (CG)	638 ± 25	846 ± 53	530 ± 37	605 ± 34	

All strains were *mutL*::Tn*5*. ND, not detected.

*Average of five or more independent isolates \pm SEM.

It was surprising that in *dnaE915* cells the presence *polBex1* resulted in a 6-fold *reduction* in the frequency of $A \cdot T \rightarrow G \cdot C$ mutations compared with $polB⁺$ (Table 2). Although we cannot offer a mechanistic explanation for this result, we have previously shown that mutations in the conserved exonuclease domain of Pol II (3, 4) also reduce the specific activity of the polymerase function by about a factor of 3 (26, 27). Perhaps there are alterations in the specificity of nucleotide incorporation accompanying the reduction in polymerase activity, allowing for more accurate insertions in certain sequence contexts. In $dnaE⁺$ cells, there was no significant difference between *polBex1* and *polB*⁺ cells in $A \cdot \overline{T} \rightarrow G \cdot C$ mutation frequencies (Table 2).

Frameshift mutations. A large increase was observed in the frequency of 11 A frameshift mutations in *polBex1* cells (Table 2). The increase was 5-fold in $dnaE⁺$ cells and 15-fold in the *dnaE915* cells (Table 2). The *polBex1* also resulted in a smaller (\approx 2-fold), yet significant, increase in -1 G frameshift mutations in both *dnaE* and *dnaE915* backgrounds (Table 2). It is interesting to note that the $+1$ A and -1 G frameshifts occurred at *higher* frequencies in the *dnaE915* strains, a result reminiscent of the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase antimutator *tsL141* which also showed a decreased frequency of base substitutions (28) with a concomitant increased frequency of frameshift mutations (29). A similar observation was made for the *dnaE915* and *dnaE911* antimutator strains (17). For the remaining frameshift events listed in Table 2, there were no significant differences in the frequency of Lac ⁺ revertants between $\text{pol}B^+$ and $\text{pol}B\text{ex}1$ alleles in either $\text{dn}aE^+$ or *dnaE915* cells.

Based on the data in Table 2, we conclude that the proofreading-defective Pol II exo⁻ polymerase causes significant increases in the frequencies of base substitution and frameshift mutations on episomes. In contrast to the increase in chromosomal mutations, which was significant only in the *dnaE915* background, the increase in episomal mutations occurred in both wild-type and antimutator Pol III backgrounds.

DISCUSSION

Previous attempts to define a role for DNA Pol II in *E. coli* have been hampered by the absence of phenotypes associated with *polB* mutants. It has been established that *dinA*(*polB*), the structural gene coding for Pol II, is repressed by LexA as part of the SOS regulon, and that the cellular levels of Pol II are increased by a factor of 7 following induction of the SOS regulon (2–4). In spite of data suggesting that Pol II could be involved in repair of UV-induced damage (5), null mutants of *polB* do not appear to exhibit an increased sensitivity to UV light (6) .

In an effort to demonstrate a role for Pol II in DNA synthesis *in vivo*, we replaced the wild-type *polB* gene on the chromosome with a proofreading-defective *polB* derivative (*polBex1*) and examined whether there was a concomitant increase in mutagenesis on the *E. coli* chromosome and on F' episomes. To maximize detection of Pol II-induced mutations and to ensure that the mutational events were an unbiased sample of replication errors, all of the mutagenesis data were obtained in the absence of methyl-directed mismatch repair. Mutations to Rif^R and Nal^R (mutations in the *rpoB* and *gyrA* genes), and the reversion of specific mutant alleles of *lacZ*, were used to measure mutation frequencies on the *E. coli* chromosome and on F9(*lac*) episomes, respectively. Each of these loci have been extremely well characterized (13, 14, 22, 23). It was of particular interest to study the effects Pol II exo^- on chromosomal and episomal mutations in dividing cells in light of our recent observation that adaptive mutation, occurring on F'(*lac*) episomes in nondividing *E. coli*, is significantly enhanced in both p olBex1 and p olB Δ *1* backgrounds (12).

Pol II Replicates Chromosomal DNA in Dividing *E. coli***.** Replacing wild-type Pol II with a proofreading-deficient Pol II mutant resulted in a 7.8-fold increase in mutation frequencies to Rif^R and a 9.3-fold increase in mutation frequencies to Nal^R in a *dnaE915* antimutator background (Fig. 1). These increases are strong evidence that Pol II can copy chromosomal DNA in dividing cells. However, Pol II exo^- did not have this effect in either $dn a E⁺$ or $dn a E911$ cells. This result suggests that Pol II can compete effectively with the DnaE915 antimutator DNA polymerase, but not nearly as well with either the wild-type or the DnaE911 polymerases.

Schaaper and coworkers (ref. 30; Roel Schaaper, personal communication) suggested that the DnaE915 polymerase is an antimutator because it has difficulty extending from a primer with a mismatch at the $3'$ terminus. Thus, in comparison to DnaE⁺, DnaE915 may dissociate much more readily from a mismatched terminus, and, when the same or another polymerase rebinds to the terminus, DnaE915 may be more likely to excise the mismatch rather than extend from it. In contrast to DnaE915, the DnaE911 antimutator polymerase may bind much more avidly to primer 3' termini. The high fidelity of DnaE911 is presumed to be due to an enhanced proofreadingto-polymerase ratio compared with wild-type Pol III, which would favor excision of rather than extension from a mismatch at the $3'$ terminus (31–33). If these suppositions are valid, then Pol II may be able to compete more successfully for primer 3' termini against DnaE915 than against either DnaE911 or DnaE⁺.

A comparison of the spectra of Rif^R mutations in *polBex1* and $\textit{pol}B^+$ backgrounds revealed a mutational hot spot at position 1583 that is unique to the proofreading-defective polymerase (Fig. 2 *Lower*). As the overall frequency of Rif^R mutants was 3-fold higher in $polBex1$ than in $polB⁺$ cells (based on the colony hybridization data), the $G \rightarrow A$ transitions at position 1583, which represent about 30% of all the Rif^R mutants in *polBex1 dnaE915* cells, must occur at a greatly enhanced frequency in the presence of Pol II exo^- (Fig. 2). It is important to note that because RNA polymerase is absolutely required for viability, the Rif^R mutational spectra consists only of base substitutions. In an *in vitro* gap-filling assay, however, Pol II exo⁻ catalyzes a variety of simple and complex deletions (27). These mutational events would not be scored in the Rif^R screening assay and, therefore, the Rif^R spectrum may not reflect the most common mutational events produced by the proofreading-defective Pol II.

Pol II Copies F* **Episomal DNA in Dividing** *E. coli***.** Replacement of *polB*⁺ with *polBex1* on the chromosome resulted in significant increases in the spontaneous reversion frequencies of several different *lacZ* alleles carried on F' episomes (Table 2). In contrast to chromosomal mutations, the increase in episomal mutations occurred in the presence of either the wild-type Pol III or the DnaE915 polymerase. The frequencies of four of the six base substitutions (G·C \rightarrow A·T, G·C \rightarrow C·G, $G-C \rightarrow T-A$, and $A-T \rightarrow T-A$) and three of the five frameshifts $(+1 \text{ A}, -1 \text{ A}, \text{ and } -1 \text{ G})$ were increased significantly in the presence of Pol II exo^- (Table 2).

Surprisingly, *polBex1* had an antimutator phenotype for 1 of the 11 $lacZ^-$ alleles. In the dnaE915 background, the A $\cdot T \rightarrow$ G·C reversion frequency was 6-fold higher in $\text{pol}B^+$ compared with *polBex1* cells (Table 2). This unexpected result might relate to our observation that the mutations introduced in the $polB$ Exo I domain (27) , which reduce $3'$ -exonuclease activity by \approx 1000-fold, also cause a 3-fold reduction in the specific activity of nucleotide insertion $(26, 27)$. Thus, the Pol II exo⁻ polymerase may have a decrease in the efficiency of either dGMP^T or dCMP^A misinsertion that over-compensates for the absence of proofreading. Note also that the $+1A$ frameshift reverts at a significantly higher frequency in the *dnaE915* compared with $dnaE⁺$ background. Schaaper (17) has reported that for *dnaE915* and *dnaE911*, both $A \cdot T \rightarrow G \cdot C$ and

 $G-C \rightarrow A \cdot T$ mutations are reduced in a *mutL* background, but not transversions and frameshifts. An increase in frameshift mutagenesis in an antimutator background has also been observed in bacteriophage T4, where the temperaturesensitive L141 antimutator DNA polymerase reduces $A \cdot T \rightarrow$ G.C mutations (28) but increases frameshift mutations (29).

Relationship of Pol II to the DNA Polymerase from the Archaeon *Methanococcus jannaschii***.** *E. coli* Pol II is a group B polymerase sharing five domains with eucaryotic Pol α and bacteriophage T4 Pol (3, 4). The entire genome from the Archaeon *M. jannaschii* has been recently sequenced (34) and found to contain a single DNA polymerase gene which conforms to group B polymerases $(35, 36)$. A comparison of the *M. jannaschii* and *E. coli* Pol II shows that domains IV, II, III, I, and V (36) exhibit amino acid identities of 40%, 57%, 27%, 45%, and 86%, respectively; allowing for conservative amino acid substitutions, the identities are 53%, 71%, 39%, 64%, and 86%, respectively. The signature sequences for the motifs A (domain II), B (domain III), and C (domain I) (37) are also highly conserved. Because there may be just one DNA polymerase in *M. jannaschii*, this group B enzyme may be able to catalyze both replicative and repair DNA synthesis.

E. coli has three DNA polymerases, and specific roles for Pol II have proven difficult to define. The observation that both Pol II and Pol III are converted from relatively nonprocessive to highly processive polymerases in the presence of the β clamp (8–10), the replication accessory protein that confers processive DNA synthesis, suggests that Pol II might share overlapping functions with Pol III *in vivo*. We have shown here that Pol II is involved in both chromosomal and episomal DNA synthesis in dividing cells. These observations, coupled with the finding that Pol II is involved in DNA synthesis in nondividing *E. coli* (12), provides a strong rationale to intensify efforts to identify precise roles for Pol II in pathways of DNA replication and repair.

We are grateful to Dr. Roel M. Schaaper (National Institute on Environmental Health Sciences) for providing *dnaE* antimutator bacterial strains, to Dr. Thomas A. Cebula (U.S. Food and Drug Administration) for his valuable advice concerning the filter hybridization analysis, and to Dr. Miriam Suskind (University of Southern California) for providing excellent advice on all aspects of this study. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM42554, National Science Foundation Grant MCB-9214137, and by an National Institutes of Health–National Institute on Aging Predoctoral Training Grant Fellowship T32 AG00093 to Z.Q.

- 1. Knippers, R. (1970) *Nature (London)* **228,** 1050–1053.
- 2. Bonner, C. A., Randall, S. K., Rayssiguier, C., Radman, M., Eritja, R., Kaplan, B. E., McEntee, K. & Goodman, M. F. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* **263,** 18946–18952.
- 3. Bonner, C. A., Hays, S., McEntee, K. & Goodman, M. F. (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **87,** 7663–7667.
- 4. Iwasaki, H., Nakata, A., Walker, G. & Shinagawa, H. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.* **172,** 6268–6273.
- 5. Masker, W., Hanawalt, P. & Shizuya, H. (1973) *Nature (London) New Biol.* **244,** 242–243.
- 6. Escarcellar, M., Hicks, J., Gudmundsson, G., Trump, G., Touati, D., Lovett, S., Foster, P., McEntee, K. & Goodman, M. F. (1994) *J. Bacteriol.* **176,** 6221–6228.
- 7. Tessman, I. & Kennedy, M. A. (1993) *Genetics* **136,** 439–448.
- 8. Wickner, S. & Hurwitz, J. (1974) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **71,** 4120–4124.
- 9. Hughes, A. J., Bryan, S. K., Chen, H., Moses, R. E. & McHenry, C. S. (1991) *J. Biol. Chem.* **266,** 4568–4573.
- 10. Bonner, C. A., Stukenberg, P. T., Rajagopalan, M., Eritja, R., O'Donnell, M., McEntee, K., Echols, H. & Goodman, M. F. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* **267,** 11431–11438.
- 11. Maki, H. & Kornberg, A. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* **263,** 6561–6569.
- 12. Foster, P. L., Gudmundsson, G., Trimarchi, J. M., Cai, H. & Goodman, M. F. (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **92,** 7951– 7955.
- 13. Cupples, C. G. & Miller, J. H. (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **86,** 5345–5349.
- 14. Cupples, C. G., Cabrera, M., Cruz, C. & Miller, J. H. (1990) *Genetics* **125,** 275–280.
- 15. Cairns, J. & Foster, P. L. (1991) *Genetics* **128,** 695–701.
- 16. Miller, J. H. (1992) *A Short Course in Bacterial Genetics: A Laboratory Manual and Handbook for Escherichia coli and Related Bacteria* (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), 2nd Ed.
- 17. Schaaper, R. M. (1993) *Genetics* **134,** 1031–1038.
- 18. Fijalkowska, I. J. & Schaaper, R. M. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.* **177,** 5979–5986.
- 19. Schaaper, R. M. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* **268,** 23762–23765.
- 20. Koziol, J. A. (1991) *Mutat. Res.* **249,** 275–280.
- 21. Stewart, F. M. (1994) *Genetics* **137,** 1139–1146.
- 22. Jin, D. J. & Gross, C. A. (1988) *J. Mol. Biol.* **202,** 45–58.
- 23. Jin, D. J. & Gross, C. A. (1989) *J. Bacteriol.* **171,** 5229–5231.
- 24. Cebula, T. & Koch, W. (1990) *Mutat. Res.* **229,** 79–87.
- 25. Modrich, P. (1987) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **56,** 435–466.
- 26. Cai, H., Yu, H., McEntee, K. & Goodman, M. F. (1995) *Methods Enzymol.* **262,** 13–22.
- 27. Cai, H., Yu, H., McEntee, K., Kunkel, T. A. & Goodman, M. F. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* **270,** 15327–15335.
- 28. Drake, J. W. & Allen, E. F. (1968) *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **33,** 339–344.
- 29. Ripley, L. S. & Shoemaker, N. B. (1983) *Genetics* **103,** 353–366.
- 30. Fijalkowska, I. J., Dunn, R. L. & Schaaper, R. M. (1993) *Genetics* **134,** 1023–1030.
- 31. Muzyczka, N., Poland, R. L. & Bessman, M. J. (1972) *J. Biol. Chem.* **247,** 7116–7122.
- 32. Bessman, M. J., Muzyczka, N., Goodman, M. F. & Schnaar, R. L. (1974) *J. Mol. Biol.* **88,** 409–421.
- 33. Clayton, L. K., Goodman, M. F., Branscomb, E. W. & Galas, D. J. (1979) *J. Biol. Chem.* **254,** 1902–1912.
- 34. Bult, C. J., White, O. W., Olsen, G. J., Zhou, L., Fleischmann, R. D., *et al.* (1996) *Science* **273,** 1058–1073.
- 35. Jung, G. H., Leavitt, M. C., Hsieh, J. C. & Ito, J. (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **84,** 8287–8291.
- 36. Wang, T. S., Wong, S. W. & Korn, D. (1989) *FASEB J.* **3,** 14–21.
- 37. Delarue, M., Poch, O., Tordo, N., Moras, D. & Argos, P. P. (1990) *Protein Eng.* **3,** 461–467.