The Yeast Saccharomyces kluyveri as a Recipient Eukaryote in Transkingdom Conjugation: Behavior of Transmitted Plasmids in Transconjugants

KOJI INOMATA, MASANOBU NISHIKAWA, AND KAZUO YOSHIDA*

Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan

Received ¹ November 1993/Accepted 28 May 1994

The prokaryote Escherichia coli successfully conjugated with the eukaryote Saccharomyces kluyveri, which is relatively distant from the species S. cerevisiae. To achieve this transkingdom conjugation, we constructed three types of conjugative plasmids, namely integrative, replicative, and centromere vectors, for S. cerevisiae. By transfer of any of the three plasmids from E. coli, an S. kluyveri Ura⁻ mutant was converted to the Ura⁺ phenotype. This phenotype was easily lost under nonselective conditions. Southern analysis of the transconjugants clearly indicated the presence of the plasmids in many different structures and sizes.

The four different types of plasmid vectors for Saccharomyces cerevisiae are distinguishable by their mechanisms of replication in the transformant. These are (i) integrative vectors (YIp, autonomously replicating sequence [ARS]-less integrative plasmids), (ii) replicating vectors (YRp, ARS-containing plasmids), (iii) centromere vectors (YCp, CEN-containing plasmids), and (iv) episomal vectors (YEp, 2μ ori plasmids). These plasmids frequently do not work in other yeasts because of the species specificity of their chromosomal elements.

Saccharomyces kluyveri is a heterothallic budding yeast with two mating types (a and α). It is a relatively distant species from S. cerevisiae according to restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of mitochondrial DNAs (11) and sequence analysis of α pheromones (14). Recently, the transkingdom conjugation between Escherichia coli and S. cerevisiae has been established (5, 6, 8-10). A preliminary report on conjugation between E. coli and the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe has also appeared (12). Because of the evolutionary and ecological interest of these studies, we attempted to carry out transkingdom conjugation between E. coli and S. kluyveri. These crosses allowed us to compare and contrast S. kluyveri and S. cerevisiae as recipients of different plasmid types transferred from E. coli with respect to two criteria: the efficiency of conjugation and subsequent plasmid stability.

E. coli HB101, which harbors conjugative and helper plasmids, was used as a donor. S. kluyveri D1-15 (4), was used as a recipient for the transkingdom conjugation (Table 1). Plasmids pAY201, pAY205, and pAY211 were constructed on the basis of pKT230, which was derived from RSF1010 (1) (Fig. ¹ and Table 1). Plasmid pAY201, which carries URA3 and tetracycline resistance genes from YIp5 (13), was originally designed as an integrative plasmid for S. cerevisiae. Plasmid pAY205 was constructed by the insertion of a TRP1/ARS1 fragment from S. cerevisiae (13) at the EcoRI site of pAY201. Plasmid pAY211 has been constructed by the insertion of S. cerevisiae CEN4 from YCpG-11 (7) at the EcoRI site of pAY205. Plasmid $pRK2013$ (3) containing mobilization genes was used as a helper plasmid.

The conjugation procedure has been described elsewhere (9, 10). The results of transkingdom conjugation between E. coli HB101 and the ura3 mutant of S. kluyveri D1-15 are summarized in Table 2. We have observed that the conjugation frequency varies by more than 100 times and is particularly dependent on the recipient strains and mating conditions. By carefully choosing such conditions, we could control the conjugation frequency within a factor of 10. As shown in Table 2, the Ura⁺ phenotype was conjugatively transmitted to S. kluyveri from E. coli which harbors one of the three kinds of pAY shuttle plasmids (YIp, YRp, or YCp for S. cerevisiae). However, the conjugation frequency was about 10^2 to 10^3 times lower than that obtained using S. cerevisiae YNN281 as the recipient. Ura⁺ transconjugants did not appear in the absence of helper (cross numbers 3, 6 and 9), and essentially no Ura+ revertants appeared in S. kluyveri (cross number 11). These results clearly indicate that three kinds of pAY plasmids were successfully transmitted from E. coli to S. kluyveri by transkingdom conjugation. Moreover, the frequency of conjugation caused by ARS-containing plasmid pAY205 was about 10 times higher than that caused by ARS-less plasmid pAY201. This suggests that ARS1 from S. cerevisiae can work even in relatively distant species such as S. kluyveri, although its function is not as strong as it is in S. cerevisiae.

Previously, we found that the URA3 gene introduced by transformation or conjugation can yield an "abortant microcolony" in S. cerevisiae (10). The abortant colonies were

TABLE 1. Plasmids and strains

Plasmid or strain	Relevant characteristics	Reference or source
YI _{p5}	$URA3$, Tc ^T	13
pKT230	oriV-O oriT-O mob-O, Km ^r	
pRK2013	oriV-C oriT-P mob-P tra-P, Km ^r	3
pAY201	oriV-Q oriT-Q mob-Q URA3, Kmr . Tc ^r	10
pAY205	oriV-O oriT-O mob-O URA3 TRP1 ARS1, Km ^r , Tc ^r	10
pAY211	oriV-O oriT-O mob-O URA3 TRPI ARSI CEN4 Km ^r , Tc ^r	This study
E. coli HB101	F^- recA13 pro Sm ^r	2
S. kluyveri D1-15	MATa ura3 his	
S. cerevisiae YNN281	$MATa$ trp1- Δ ura3-52 ade2-1 lys2-801 his3-∆200	YGSC"

^a YGSC, Yeast Genetic Stock Center, Berkeley, Calif.

^{*} Corresponding author. Phone: 0824-24-7455. Fax: 0824-24-0734. Electronic mail address: R515582 @ue.ipc.hiroshima-u.acjp.

FIG. 1. Construction of $E.$ coli-yeast conjugative shuttle pAY vectors. $pAY205$ was constructed by the insertion of an $ARSI/TRPI$ fragment into pAY201. pAY211 was constructed by the insertion of a $CEN4$ fragment into pAY205. E and H indicate $EcoRI$ and HindIII cleavage sites, respectively. The shaded region indicate region with YIp5 probe.

essentially formed because of transient expression of the URA3 gene without chromosomal integration. According (4), the URA3 gene of S. cerevisiae, when introduced by transformation, never recombines with the S. kluyveri chromosome because of its lack of homologous DNA sequence. Therefore, integration is highly repressed in S. kluyveri. Can conjugatively transmitted URA3 make recipient S. kluyveri grow abortively? Abortant colonies of S. kluyveri did not appear unlike in S. cerevisiae. S. kluyveri transconjugants

harboring pAY201 formed only normal colonies instead of pAY211 abortant microcolonies. Furthermore, pAY201, as well as 17.5kb pAY205 and pAY211, were quickly cured under nonselective conditions (data not shown). This indicates that pAY201 exists as an autonomously replicating plasmid in spite of its lack of ARS. In other words, pAY201 itself may have some potential portion(s) of ARS activity which functions in S. kluyveri. Fujimura (4) has also obtained data indicating that a sequence(s) analogous to S. kluyveri ARS is expected to exist in YIp5, which consists of the main portion of pAY201 (Fig. 1).

To obtain more direct evidence for the presence of pAY plasmids in S. kluyveri transconjugants, total DNAs extracted from transconjugants were subjected to Southern hybridization after electrophoresis. URA3 and tetracycline resistance genes from YIp5, which were ^a common component of pAY plasmids, were used as hybridization probes. We showed previously that there is no homology between genomic DNA of S. kluyveri and YIp5. DNA extracted from transconjugants formed by transfer of pAY201, pAY205, or pAY211 was digested with HindIll. The resultant digestion products were fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis and analyzed by Southern hybridization using ^{32}P -labeled YIp5 probe. As shown in Fig. 2, pAY plasmids transmitted from E . coli by conjugation are clearly present in S. kluyveri transconjugants. To our surprise, almost all plasmids were structurally altered during conjugation, in contrast to conjugation into S. cerevisiae (Fig. 2A, lanes 2 through 6; 2B, lanes 1, 3, and 4; and 2C, lanes 2 through 6). Due to the homologous sequence among the original pAY plasmid and the YIp5 probe (Fig. 1), the hybridization signals are expected to appear at 10.5 and 1.9 kb in the case of HindIII fragments of pAY201, whereas 10.5- and 2.7-kb fragments are expected in the case of $HindIII$ digestion of pAY205 and pAY211. As for the alteration ratio, the yield of altered plasmids for pAY201, pAY205, and pAY211 was 6 of 12, 3 of 6, and 6 of 6 transconjugants analyzed, respectively. In order to investigate the mechanism of this structural alteration, E. coli cells were transformed to kanamycin or tetracycline resistance by using plasmid DNA isolated from yeast transconjugants. Subsequently, the pAY plasmids extracted from $E.$ \ddot{coll} transformants were digested with HindIII and then analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. As shown in Fig. 3, the conjugatively transmitted pAY plasmids were altered in their structures, regardless of plasmid type, compared with the original plasmids. When Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 are compared, some plasmid profiles cannot be reconciled (see, for example, Fig.

TABLE 2. Conjugation of E. coli and S. kluyveri via pAY plasmids

Cross				No. of transconjugants
No.	Donor	Helper	Recipient	per recipient
	HB101(pAY201)	HB101(pRK2013)	YNN281 ^a	0.5×10^{-7} 0.9×10^{-4} (abortant) ^b
2	HB101(pAY201)	HB101(pRK2013)	$D1-15$	1.0×10^{-7}
3	HB101(pAY201)		$D1-15$	ND^{c}
4	HB101(pAY205)	HB101(pRK2013)	YNN281 ^a	1.1×10^{-4}
5	HB101(pAY205)	HB101(pRK2013)	$D1-15$	0.8×10^{-6}
6	HB101(pAY205)		$D1-15$	ND
7	HB101(pAY211)	HB101(pRK2013)	YNN281ª	0.6×10^{-4}
8	HB101(pAY211)	HB101(pRK2013)	D ₁ -15	2.9×10^{-7}
9	HB101(pAY211)		$D1-15$	ND
10		HB101(pRK2013)	$D1-15$	ND
11			$D1-15$	ND

^a Recipient is S. cerevisiae as a control (see Table 1). All others are S. kluyveri.
^b Abortant microcolony. All others are normal colonies.

^c ND, not detected ($\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$).

FIG. 2. Southern hybridization analysis of DNA from S. kluyveri transconjugants. Total DNA extracted from S. kluyveri transconjugants was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then Southern hybridization was carried out by using a ³²P-labeled YIp5 probe. (A) Lane 1, original plasmid pAY201 digested with HindIII; lanes ² through 6, genomic DNA from transconjugants induced by pAY201 (designated TC2011 to TC2015) digested with HindIII. (B) Lanes ¹ through 5, genomic DNA from transconjugants induced by pAY205 (designated TC2051 to TC2055) digested with HindIII. (C) Lane 1, original plasmid pAY211 digested with HindIII; lanes 2 through 6, genomic DNA from transconjugants induced by pAY211 (designated TC2111 to TC2115) digested with HindIII.

2A, lane 2 and Fig. 3A, lane 3). This indicates the appearance of structurally altered plasmids with which E. coli transformants cannot be obtained. These results suggest that $oriV$ or kanamycin and tetracycline resistance genes of the pAY plasmid may have been lost during conjugative transmission. On the other hand, both pAY201 and pAY211 introduced by the conventional transformation technique (4) maintained their original structure in S. kluyveri transformants, as shown in Fig. 4. These results suggest that the structural alteration is due to the conjugative transfer mechanism of plasmids rather than the characteristics of plasmids themselves. This raises another question, i.e., whether the plasmid alteration is due to adaptation for survival in host transconjugants or whether it is merely due to the conjugation mechanism. To investigate this, we retransmitted the pAY plasmids of E. coli transformants to S. kluyveri by conjugation. The result was that almost none of the altered pAY plasmids showed an increase in conjugation frequency (data not shown). Furthermore, Southern analysis of the yeast transconjugants indicates that the plasmid was altered again during the second conjugation (data not shown). Consequently, these results indicate that the structural alteration of the pAY plasmids is due to the conjugation mechanism and not to survival adaptation in S. kluyveri. Although pAY plasmids introduced by transformation did not change their structure at high frequency as described above, we cannot exclude the possibility that the pAY plasmid alteration is due

FIG. 3. Agarose gel electrophoresis of pAY plasmids recovered from S. kluyveri transconjugants. Above each lane is indicated the transconjugant from which the plasmid was prepared, transformed into $E.$ coli, and subsequently analyzed after reextraction. For example, TC2051 indicates pAY205-induced transconjugant no. 1, from which the plasmid was transformed into E. coli. r and s at the bottom in the figure indicate kanamycin (Km) or tetracycline (Tc) resistance and sensitivity, respectively. Lane ¹ in each panel contains molecular size markers.

FIG. 4. Southern hybridization analysis of DNA from conventional S. kluyveri transformants. Transformation of S. kluyveri was carried out with pAY201 or pAY211. Southern hybridization of DNA from the transformants was carried out with ³²P-labeled YIp5 probe. Lane 1, original plasmid pAY201 digested with HindIII; lanes 2 through 4, genomic DNA from transformants (by pAY201 DNA) digested with HindIII; lane 5, original plasmid $pAY211$ digested with HindIII; lanes ⁶ through 8, genomic DNA from transformants (by pAY211 DNA) digested with HindIII.

to their reversible integration into the S. kluyveri genome. However, we could not obtain positive evidence on integration by Southern analysis of the genome of the S. kluyveri transconjugants by using the conjugatively altered plasmid as a probe (data not shown). The lack of integration may be attributed to the evolutionary differences between S. cerevisiae and S. kluyveri (11, 14) because the chromosomal integration in S. cerevisiae is caused by the homologous recombination between URA3 on the plasmids and *ura3* on the host chromosome (9).

We are grateful to H. Fujimura for providing yeast strains.

This study was supported in part by grants from the Electric Foundation of Chugoku and the Mishima Kaiun Memorial Foundation and by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan to K.Y. and M.N.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bagdasarian, M., R. Lurz, B. Ruckert, F. C. H. Franklin, M. M. Bagdasarian, J. Frey, and K. N. Timmis. 1981. Specific-purpose plasmid cloning vectors. II. Broad host range, high copy number, RSF1010-derived vectors, and a host-vector system for gene cloning in Pseudomonas. Gene 16:237-247.
- 2. Boyer, H. W., and D. Roulland-Dussoix. 1969. A complementation analysis of the restriction and modification of DNA in *Escherichia* coli. J. Mol. Biol. 41:459-472.
- 3. Figurski, D. H., and D. R. Helinski. 1979. Replication of an origin-containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on ^a plasmid function provided in trans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:1648-1652.
- 4. Fujimura, H. 1991. Transformation of the yeast Saccharomyces kluyveri by Saccharomyces cerevisiae-based plasmids. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 82:149-152.
- 5. Heinemann, J. A. 1991. Genetics of gene transfer between species. Trends Genet. 7:181-185.
- 6. Heinemann, J. A., and G. F. J. Sprague. 1989. Bacterial conjugative plasmids mobilize DNA transfer between bacteria and yeast. Nature (London) 340:205-209.
- 7. Kojima, H. Ph.D thesis. University of Tokyo, Japan.
- 8. Nishikawa, M., K. Inomata, T. Sekito, K. Suzuki, and K. Yoshida. 1993. Trans-kingdom conjugation as a symbiotic plasmid transfer between bacteria and yeasts, p. 537-544. In S. Sato, M. Ishida, and H. Ishikawa (ed.), Endocytobiology. Tubingen University Press, Tubingen, Germany.
- 9. Nishikawa, M., K. Suzuki, and K. Yoshida. 1990. Structural and functional stability of IncP plasmids during stepwise transmission by trans-kingdom mating: promiscuous conjugation of Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Jpn. J. Genet. 65:323-334.
- 10. Nishikawa, M., K. Suzuki, and K. Yoshida. 1992. DNA integration into recipient yeast chromosomes by trans-kingdom conjugation between Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Genet. 21:101-108.
- 11. Okamoto, K., K. Suzuki, and K. Yoshida. 1991. Physical mapping and RFLP analysis of mtDNAs from the ascosporogenous yeasts: Saccharomyces exiguus, S. kluyveri and Hansenula wingei. Jpn. J. Genet. 66:709-718.
- 12. Sikorski, R. S., W. Michaud, H. L. Levin, J. D. Boeke, and P. Hieter. 1990. Trans-kingdom promiscuity. Nature (London) 345: 581-582.
- 13. Struhl, K., D. T. Stinchcomb, S. Scherer, and R. W. Davis. 1979. High-frequency transformation of yeast: autonomous replication of hybrid DNA molecules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:1035- 1039.
- 14. Yoshida, K., T. Hisatomi, and N. Yanagishima. 1989. Sexual behavior and its pheromonal regulation in ascosporogenous yeasts. J. Basic Microbiol. 29:99-128.