Photosynthesis and Growth of Tobacco with a Substituted Bacterial Rubisco Mirror the Properties of the Introduced Enzyme

Spencer M. Whitney and T. John Andrews*

Molecular Plant Physiology, Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2601, Australia

Complete replacement, by biolistic plastid transformation, of the hexadecameric ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase (Rubisco) of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) with the dimeric version from the bacterium, *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, resulted in fully autotrophic and reproductive tobacco plants that required high CO_2 concentrations to grow (Whitney SM, Andrews TJ [2001] Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 14738-14743). Growth and photosynthesis of these plants was compared with that of nontransformed tobacco and other controls where the *rbc*L gene for the large subunit of tobacco Rubisco was linked to the *aad*A selectable-marker gene, simulating the gene arrangement of the transformants with *R. rubrum* Rubisco. An arrangement of the *rbc*L and *aad*A genes that gave rise to an abundant monocistronic *rbc*L transcript and a one-fifth as abundant bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript had Rubisco levels and photosynthetic properties similar to those of nontransformed tobacco. Direct linkage of the *rbc*L and *aad*A genes, resulting in exclusive production of a bicistronic mRNA transcript analogous to that of the transformants with *R. rubrum* Rubisco, reduced transcript abundance and tobacco Rubisco content. The analogous transcript with the *R. rubrum rbc*M gene substituted for *rbc*L was not only reduced in abundance, but was also translated less efficiently. The photosynthetic rates of the transformants and controls were measured at high $CO₂$ concentrations, using a mass spectrometric method. The rates and their responses to atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration mirrored the amounts and the kinetic properties of the Rubiscos present. The contents of total nitrogen, carbohydrates, and photosynthetic metabolites of the leaves were also consistent with the content and type of Rubisco.

All plants depend on the photosynthetic $CO₂$ -fixing enzyme, Rubisco, to supply them with combined carbon. Plants, algae, and many bacteria have the socalled Form I Rubisco, which has a complex quaternary structure composed of eight large (50–55 kD) subunits, which bear the active sites, and eight small (12–18 kD) subunits (Roy and Andrews, 2000; Spreitzer and Salvucci, 2002). Two tetramers of small subunits glue four dimers of large subunits together in a $(L_2)_4(S_4)_2$ arrangement (Andersson, 1996). However, some bacteria and dinoflagellates have Rubiscos without small subunits. In these Form II Rubiscos, the basic L2 dimer occurs singly, as in *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, or as higher oligomers (Tabita, 1999).

Recently, we replaced the Form I Rubisco of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) with the Form II dimer from *R. rubrum* by substituting the *rbc*L gene for the large subunit in the tobacco plastid genome with the *rbc*M gene from *R. rubrum* (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). The replacement of Rubisco was complete. No tobacco small subunits were seen in the transformants, although the nuclear *Rbc*S gene family was undisturbed. Not being able to assemble with the *R. rubrum* large subunits, the tobacco small subunits presumably were degraded on arrival in the chloro-

plasts. *R. rubrum* is a photosynthetic anaerobe and its Rubisco has a weaker affinity for $CO₂$, and a much poorer ability to discriminate between $CO₂$ and $O₂$, than the higher-plant enzyme (Jordan and Ogren, 1981). Therefore, the transformants with *R. rubrum* Rubisco (termed tobacco-*rubrum* plants) required supplementation with $CO₂$ to grow. Provided with $CO₂$ at 70 times its concentration in air, the plants grew slowly, but normally, and were fully reproductive (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a).

The tobacco-*rubrum* plants had only approximately one-third as much *R. rubrum* Rubisco as the nontransformed controls had tobacco Rubisco (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). Plastid transformation depends on use of the *aad*A spectinomycin-resistance gene to select transformants. Here, we show that the reduced Rubisco content was the result of reduced abundance of the bicistronic *rbc*M-*aad*A transcript produced by the transgenes, combined with a reduction in its translational efficiency, compared with the *rbc*L transcript in nontransformed plants.

The requirement of *R. rubrum* Rubisco for high concentrations of $CO₂$ conferred on the transformed plants a requirement for $CO₂$ concentrations so high that $CO₂$ assimilation was barely detectable with conventional gas-exchange equipment based on infrared analyzers (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). Here, we measure gas exchange with a membrane-inlet mass spectrometer capable of measuring $CO₂$ uptake at much higher $CO₂$ concentrations. We compared pho-

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail john.andrews@anu.edu.au; fax 61–2– 6125–5075.

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.103.026146.

Figure 1. Organization of the transformed and nontransformed tobacco plastomes in the large single-copy region in the vicinity of *rbc*L. The LEV1 (Whitney et al., 1999) and LEV3 transformants have a promoter-less *aad*A gene inserted downstream of *rbc*L. For LEV1, the insertion point is downstream of the *rbc*L terminator sequence (T). For LEV3, the organization is similar, except that the *rbc*L terminator is deleted. The gene organization in tobacco-*rubrum* (t*r*) transformants is the same as for LEV3 transformants, except that the *R. rubrum rbc*M gene replaces *rbc*L (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). The annealing positions of the probes, *rbc*L1, *rbc*L2, and *aad*A, and the primers, LsD and LsE, are shown. The positions of *Bam*HI sites (*B*) and the sizes of the *Bam*HI fragments that hybridize to the *rbc*L1 probe are indicated. The dashed arrows represent the various mono- and bicistronic *rbc* transcripts. *H*, *Hind*III; *N*, *Nco*I; P, *rbc*L promoter and 5-untranslated region; t, *rps*16 terminator sequence; TSP, total soluble protein; nt; nontransformed.

tosynthesis in tobacco-*rubrum* plants with that of nontransformed plants and the other controls with different arrangements of *rbc*L and *aad*A genes. We show that the rates of $CO₂$ assimilation are entirely consistent with the contents and kinetic properties of the resident Rubisco, as are the leaves' contents of total nitrogen, carbohydrates, and photosynthetic metabolites.

RESULTS

Construction of Tobaccos With *rbc***L in Contexts Similar to That of** *rbc***M in Tobacco-***rubrum*

The antibiotic-resistance gene, *aad*A, required to select plastome transformants, was introduced to the tobacco plastome in two ways. In LEV1, a promoterless *aad*A gene was inserted immediately downstream of the *rbc*L terminator, giving rise to the possibility of two mRNA transcripts—a monocistronic one containing *rbc*L alone and a bicistronic one with *rbc*L and *aad*A. LEV3 was similar, except that the *rbc*L terminator was deleted so that only a bicistronic transcript was possible. LEV3 mimics the gene arrangement used in tobacco-*rubrum* (Fig. 1). Five and seven spectinomycin-resistant plantlets were obtained from 10 sets of leaves bombarded with the pLEV1 and pLEV3 transforming plasmids, respectively. After three rounds of regeneration on spectinomycin-containing medium, DNA blots showed that all of the plantlets were homoplasmic (Fig. 2). Two lines each of LEV1 (L1a and L1b) and LEV3 (L3a and L3b) transformants were grown to

Figure 2. DNA and RNA blots of nucleic acids from leaves of transformed and nontransformed plants. A, Blot of *Bam*HI-digested DNA from LEV1 (L1a), LEV3 (L3a), tobacco-*rubrum* (t*r*1), and nontransformed (nt) plants probed with the *rbc*L1 DNA fragment. B, Duplicate blots of total leaf RNA (10 μ g) probed with the *rbc*L2 (top) and *aad*A (bottom) probes. RNA from independent LEV1 (L1a and L1b) and LEV3 (L3a and L3b) transformants is shown. Positions of the monocistronic *rbc*L (nt, L1), the bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A1 (L1), and *rbc*L/M-*aad*A3 (L3 and t*r*1 respectively) mRNAs are indicated.

maturity in soil and their flowers were pollinated with wild-type pollen. In the CO_2 -enriched atmosphere required for growth of tobacco-*rubrum* plants, the LEV1 and LEV3 plants grew similarly to nontransformed tobacco and substantially faster than tobacco-*rubrum* plants. However, eventually, all of the plants reached a similar size and bore the same number of leaves (Fig. 3). The thickness of the leaves $(0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm})$ and the density of stomata (1.0 ± 1) 0.1×10^4 cm⁻²) were similar in all genotypes (data not shown).

Abundance of Rubisco and *rbc* **Transcripts**

The Rubisco content of leaves of LEV1 plants was similar to that of the nontransformed controls, but, in LEV3 and tobacco-*rubrum* plants, it was reduced to 50% and 20%, respectively (Fig. 4A). Total soluble leaf protein was similar in LEV1, LEV3, and nontransformed plants, but was reduced in tobacco*rubrum* plants, with most of the reduction attributable to the missing Rubisco (Fig. 4A). Under the high-CO₂ growth conditions, Rubisco's carbamylation status inversely reflected its abundance (Fig. 4B).

Leaves of LEV1 and nontransformed plants had similar amounts of monocistronic *rbc*L transcripts (Figs. 2 and 4C). In addition, the LEV1 plants had a bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript that was approximately 20% as abundant. The single bicistronic *rbc*L*aad*A transcript of LEV3 leaves and *rbc*M-*aad*A tran-

Figure 3. Comparison of plant growth in 2.5% (v/v) $CO₂$ in air at 240 μ mol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹. A, Plant height; B, number of leaves per plant $(\pm s_D)$ of T₁-generation tobacco-*rubrum* (tr, ∇ , *n* = 14), LEV3 (L3, \Box , $n = 9$), and LEV1 (L1, Δ , $n = 9$) transformants and nontransformed plants (nt, \bullet , $n = 6$). C, Shoot dry weight measured 37 d (L1, L3, and nt) and 55 d (t*r*) after cotyledon emergence.

Plant Physiol. Vol. 133, 2003 289

Figure 4. Soluble leaf protein, Rubisco, and *rbc*L/M transcript contents, and Rubisco carbamylation status in light-adapted leaves of transformants and controls. Measurements $(\pm$ s ${\scriptstyle {\rm SD}})$ were made on samples from leaf 5 of T_2 -generation tobacco-*rubrum* (tr, $n = 4$), LEV3 (L3, $n = 3$), and LEV1 (L1, $n = 3$) transformants and nontransformed plants (nt, $n = 3$; see "Materials and Methods"). A, Soluble leaf protein (white plus black), Rubisco content (white). B, Carbamylation status of active sites. C, The relative abundances of the bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A1, *rbc*L-*aad*A3, and *rbc*M-*aad*A3 transcripts in L1, L3, and t*r* plants, respectively, measured from RNA blots probed with the *aad*A probe (cross-hatched bars) and of the monocistronic *rbc*L transcript in nontransformed and L1 plants (black bars) and the bicistronic transcripts *rbc*L-*aad*A1 in L1 (white cross-hatched bars) and *rbc*L-*aad*A3 (black bars) in L3 plants probed with the *rbc*L probe. The mRNA abundance was normalized relative to that of the *rbc*L transcript in nontransformed plants. The relative responses to the *rbc*L and *aad*A probes was determined using the L3 plants, where the two probes detect the same mRNA species (Fig. 1). D, Relative translational efficiency of Rubisco mRNAs in tr, L3, and L1 transformants was obtained by dividing Rubisco content by transcript abundance and normalized relative to the result obtained with nontransformed leaves (see "Materials and Methods"). For L1 samples, the translational efficiency is calculated from the sum of the abundances of the *rbc*L and *rbc*L-*aad*A1 transcripts (black bar) and from the abundance of the *rbc*L transcript alone (black plus white bar).

script in tobacco-*rubrum* leaves (Fig. 2) was present at approximately 45% and 70%, respectively, of the level of the *rbc*L transcript in nontransformed leaves (Fig. 4C). Although the bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript in LEV3 plants was less abundant, it was translated with an efficiency similar to that of the monocistronic *rbc*L transcript in LEV1 and nontransformed plants (Fig. 4D). By contrast, the translational efficiency of the bicistronic *rbc*M-*aad*A transcript in

tobacco-*rubrum* plants was approximately one-third of that of the *rbc*L transcripts. Thus, the large reduction of Rubisco content of the tobacco-*rubrum* plants is a product of a modest reduction in message content and a larger reduction in its translational efficiency.

Abundance of *rbc***S mRNA Is Increased in Tobacco-***rubrum* **Plants**

RNA blotting showed that LEV1 and nontransformed plants produced similar amounts of mRNA from their nuclear *rbc*S gene family. LEV3 and tobacco-*rubrum* plants had 1.6- and 2.5-fold higher amounts, respectively (data not shown). Presumably, this is a response to the reduction in content, or total lack, of tobacco Rubisco.

CO2 Assimilation and Photosynthetic Metabolites

Where it was possible to compare them, there was generally reasonable agreement between $CO₂$ assimilation measurements made with the infraredanalyzer and mass-spectrometer systems (Fig. 5). The membrane-inlet cuvette of the mass spectrometer system is unstirred and there are likely to be large boundary layer resistances adjacent to the leaf disc. These, or calibration imprecision, may be the cause of the slightly lower maximal assimilation rates measured with this system. At both levels of illumination, the reduced Rubisco content of the LEV3 plants compared with nontransformed plants was manifest as a reduction in slope of the curves at low $CO₂$ pressures. However, at the much higher $CO₂$ pressures under which the plants were grown, little difference in assimilation rate was apparent, indicating that both types of plants were limited by lightsupported D-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (ribulose- P_2) regeneration under these conditions. As reported previously (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a), tobacco*rubrum* plants were massively impaired in $CO₂$ assimilation at lower $CO₂$ pressures. However, this impairment was substantially relieved at higher $CO₂$ pressure so that, in the 25 mbar $CO₂$ growth atmosphere, assimilation was only approximately 50% and 25% impaired at the higher and lower illumination, respectively (Fig. 5).

The reduced Rubisco content of the tobacco-*rubrum* plants caused only modest increases in the ribulose- P_2 content of leaves sampled under the growth conditions—no larger than that seen in LEV3 leaves (Fig. 6). By contrast, the 3-phospho-Dglycerate (P-glycerate) content, which was similar in LEV1, LEV3, and nontransformed tobacco, was massively reduced in tobacco-*rubrum* leaves, leading to an approximately 10-fold increase in the P-glycerate: ribulose- P_2 ratio (Fig. 6).

Figure 5. Leaf CO_2 assimilation rate as a function of intercellular (c_i) or atmospheric (c_a) CO₂ partial pressure measured with a flowthrough leaf chamber (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) and by mass spectrometry in a sealed unstirred cuvette. The fifth leaf $(15 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm})$ in diameter) from nontransformed (nt; \circ , 17.6 μ mol Rubisco sites m⁻²) and T₂-generation tobacco-*rubrum* (tr; \square , 5.2 μ mol Rubisco sites m⁻²; \diamond \blacklozenge , 6.2 μ mol Rubisco sites m⁻²) and LEV3 (L3a; $\nabla \blacktriangledown$, 6.9 μ mol Rubisco sites m⁻²; L3b; $\Delta \blacktriangle$, 6.9 μ mol Rubisco sites m⁻²) plants of similar physiological ages (24.6 \pm 1.7 cm in height, bearing 15 leaves) grown at 450 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ were measured at 25°C at the different light levels shown. The Li-Cor measurements for tobacco*rubrum* plants reported previously (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a) are reproduced for comparison. Symbols are shown for every fifth (nt and L3) or 10th (t*r*) mass spectrometric measurement.

Leaf Dry Matter and Its Components

The photosynthetic impairment of the tobacco*rubrum* plants was particularly obvious in their leaf dry matter. Although the fresh weight per unit area of the leaves was little diminished $(<20\%)$ compared with the other three genotypes, the dry-to-fresh weight ratio was reduced by approximately 60% (Fig. 7A). Most of this reduction was attributable to the near absence of starch and Glc from the tobacco*rubrum* leaves (Fig. 7B). This massive reduction in storage carbohydrate caused an approximately 70% reduction in total leaf carbon (Fig. 7D), far outstripping more modest changes in total leaf nitrogen (Fig. 7E) and leading to a halving of the C:N ratio (Fig. 7F).

Figure 6. Leaf contents (\pm sD) of ribulose- P_2 and P-glycerate in T₂generation tobacco-*rubrum* (tr, $n = 4$), LEV3 (L3, $n = 3$), LEV1 (L1, $n = 3$), and nontransformed (nt, $n = 3$) plants grown at 450 μ mol m⁻² s^{-1} (see "Materials and Methods"). A, Ribulose- P_2 (white) and P-glycerate (black) content; B, ribulose-P₂:P-glycerate ratio.

Differences between the LEV1, LEV3, and nontransformed plants were small and consistent only in the case of total leaf N, which ranked approximately according to Rubisco content (Fig. 7E). Chlorophyll content was not reduced by reduction in or substitution of Rubisco (Fig. 7C), and the chlorophyll *a*:chlorophyll b ratio was 2.4 \pm 0.1 in all cases (not shown), indicating that none of the genotypes suffered photoinhibition in the high- $CO₂$ growth atmosphere.

DISCUSSION

Low Rubisco Content of Tobacco-*rubrum* **Plants Is Caused Mostly by Impaired Translation**

Previous ³⁵S pulse-labeling experiments showed that little turnover occurred with *R. rubrum* Rubisco in tobacco-*rubrum* plants and tobacco Rubisco in the controls (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a, 2001b; Whitney et al., 2001); therefore, the content of Rubisco in both cases must be determined largely by the rate of synthesis. Thus, we sought to understand the reasons for the reduced Rubisco content of the tobacco*rubrum* plants (20% of nontransformed plants in the present experiments; Fig. 4A) by comparing these bacterial-Rubisco transformants with transformants with analogous *rbc* gene arrangements that retained the tobacco *rbc*L gene (Fig. 1). Two reasons were uncovered. First, the bicistronic character of the *rbc*M-*aad*A mRNA transcript in tobacco-*rubrum* plants caused a modest (approximately 30%) reduction in its abundance compared with the monocistronic *rbc*L transcripts in the LEV1 and nontransformed controls. The analogous *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript in LEV3 plants was affected similarly (approximately 50% reduction; Fig. 4C). The longer mRNA molecules must be more unstable or transcribed less rapidly, or both. Second, the translational efficiency of the bicistronic *rbc*M-*aad*A transcript in tobacco-*rubrum* plants was severely impaired (approximately 65%). This impairment was not shared by the analogous *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript in LEV3 plants, therefore, its cause must lie in the intrinsic translatability of the *rbc*M message. The *rbc*M and *rbc*L genes had identical promoters, 5-untranslated regions, and 5-transcriptional control regions (the first 14 codons of the coding sequence; Kuroda and Maliga, 2001). The sequences 3' to the *rbc* coding region were also identical in the *rbc*M-*aad*A and *rbc*L-*aad*A transcripts (Fig. 1). Therefore, the reduced translational efficiency must be caused by the *rbc*M coding sequence itself. Perhaps it causes the transcript to fold inappropriately, hindering binding of translation-activating factors or engagement with the ribosome. Alternatively, the GCrich bacterial *rbc*M gene has a preference for some redundant codons used less frequently in the tobacco plastome (Shimada and Sugiura, 1991), and this may slow translation.

The unaltered translational efficiency of the bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A transcript in the LEV3 plants, compared with the monocistronic *rbc*L transcript in nontransformed or LEV1 plants (Fig. 4D), demonstrates that the tobacco chloroplast is unable to increase the translation rate of the bicistronic message to compensate for its lesser abundance. This contrasts with the situation in the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* chloroplast

Figure 7. Structural and other components $(\pm s_D)$ of leaves of similar physiological age of T₂-generation tobacco-*rubrum* (tr, $n = 4$), LEV3 (L3, $n = 3$), LEV1 (L1, $n = 3$), and nontransformed (nt, $n = 3$) plants (see "Materials and Methods"). A, Leaf fresh weight (total bar height) and its dry-weight component (white); B, leaf dry weight (total bar height) and its starch (gray, upward error bars) and Glc (black, downward error bars) components; C, chlorophyll; D through F, leaf carbon and nitrogen and their ratio.

where reductions in transcript abundance have been shown to be sometimes compensated by increases in translational efficiency, making transcript abundance nonlimiting in the expression of some chloroplastencoded proteins, including the large subunit of Rubisco (Hosler et al., 1989; Eberhard et al., 2002). This difference may reflect an underlying difference between higher plants and *C. reinhardtii* in the way translation of the *rbc*L message and other plastid transcripts are regulated.

Photosynthesis and Growth of Tobacco-*rubrum* **Plants Are Consistent with the Content and Properties of** *R. rubrum* **Rubisco**

Measurement of photosynthetic gas exchange with equipment based on a membrane-inlet mass spectrometer allowed measurements at much higher $CO₂$ partial pressures than are accessible with conventional equipment based on sensitive infrared analyzers. These measurements confirmed earlier suspicions, based on measurements at low $CO₂$ only (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a), that the photosynthetic characteristics of the tobacco-*rubrum* plants were in accord with their content of *R. rubrum* Rubisco and its kinetic properties (Fig. 5).

At high $CO₂$, the 50% reduced tobacco Rubisco content of the LEV3 plants was still sufficient to support wild-type rates of $CO₂$ assimilation that were limited solely by the rate of light-driven ribulose- P_2 regeneration, regardless of the intensity of illumination (Fig. 5). This accords with the wild-type growth characteristics of these plants at 25 mbar $CO₂$ pressure (Fig. 3).

By contrast, $CO₂$ assimilation by the tobacco*rubrum* plants clearly displayed the much higher K_c^{air} (apparent K_m for $CO₂$ at air levels of $O₂$) of the *R*. *rubrum* enzyme (Jordan and Ogren, 1981; Fig. 5). At 1,050 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ illumination, CO₂ assimilation was not $CO₂$ saturated (i.e. not limited by ribulose- $P₂$ regeneration) even at 25 mbar $CO₂$. However, at 240 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ illumination, the curve approached a plateau at higher $CO₂$ pressures, indicating that assimilation had become limited by ribulose- P_2 regeneration (Fig. 5). This is consistent with the smaller stimulation of $CO₂$ assimilation at 25 mbar $CO₂$ induced by increasing illumination from 240 to 1,050 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ seen with tobacco-*rubrum* plants compared with LEV3 and nontransformed plants that are limited by ribulose- P_2 regeneration at both light intensities. Boundary layer limitations to $CO₂$ diffusion in the mass spectrometer cuvette preclude estimation of intercellular CO_2 partial pressures (c_i) from these measurements. Therefore, the kinetic properties of tobacco-*rubrum* Rubisco cannot be derived from these data. Nevertheless, the gross difference in the shape of the CO₂-response curves of tobacco-*rubrum* leaves compared with LEV3 and nontransformed leaves readily reveals the presence of the bacterial Rubisco (Fig. 5).

The ribulose- P_2 and P-glycerate contents of leaves sampled under $\frac{450}{\mu}$ μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ illumination in the growth cabinet (an intensity midway between the two intensities used for gas-exchange measurements; Fig. 5) showed that photosynthesis in the tobacco*rubrum* plants was limited by Rubisco activity under these conditions. Although the ribulose- P_2 contents were not very different for all four genotypes, the severe reduction of P-glycerate content (and the large increase in ribulose- P_2 : \vec{P} -glycerate ratio) testify to the Rubisco-activity limitation in the tobacco-*rubrum* leaves (Fig. 6). By contrast, the more balanced ribulose- P_2 and P-glycerate contents (Fig. 6), coupled with diminished Rubisco carbamylation status (Fig. 4B), are consistent with ribulose- P_2 -regeneration limitation applying in LEV1, LEV3, and nontransformed plants under the same conditions.

Comparisons with Previous Manipulation of Rubisco by Nuclear Antisense

Before the advent of technology for manipulating the higher-plant plastome, genetic manipulation of Rubisco in plants was restricted to targeting the *Rbc*S gene for the nuclear-encoded small subunit. Its expression was reduced by antisense genes in tobacco (Rodermel et al., 1988; Hudson et al., 1992), rice (*Oryza sativa*; Makino et al., 1997), and *Flaveria bidentis* (Furbank et al., 1996). Varying degrees of suppression were obtained, sometimes to very low Rubisco contents. The contents of sense *Rbc*S mRNA, small subunits, Rubisco holoenzyme, and Rubisco activity were all reduced, leading to important insights into the mechanisms that coordinate the synthesis of the large and small subunits, and intergenomic integration in general (Rodermel et al., 1996; Rodermel, 1999). Such experiments also revealed the effects of varying the amount of Rubisco on the regulation of its activity and photosynthetic metabolism, and on leaf development (Quick et al., 1991; Hudson et al., 1992; von Caemmerer et al., 1994; Stitt and Schulze, 1994; Miller et al., 2000). Our present observations are fully consistent with the conclusions drawn from anti-*Rbc*S studies and we extend them by demonstrating the consequences of changing the kinetic properties of Rubisco, rather than just its amount. Thus, the reductions in leaf $CO₂$ assimilation (Fig. 5), P-glycerate:ribulose- P_2 ratio (Fig. 6), Glc and starch contents, C:N ratio, dry weight:fresh weight ratio (Fig. 7), and the increase in Rubisco carbamylation status (Fig. 4) caused by the reduced Rubisco activity in our experiments all resemble similar perturbations resulting from the reduced Rubisco content of anti-*RbcS* plants. However, the gross changes in the CO₂ response of assimilation in the tobacco-*rubrum* plants (Fig. 5) is an unprecedented consequence of the substitution of a Form II Rubisco.

The absence of detectable small subunits in the tobacco-*rubrum* plants (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a) is consistent with a model, derived partly from anti-*Rbc*S studies, whereby the appropriate stoichiometry between small subunits and large subunits is maintained by rapid proteolytic degradation of excess unassembled small subunits (Rodermel, 1999). Our present observations demonstrate that transcriptional regulation of small subunit synthesis plays no part in this mechanism. Not only was the *Rbc*S transcript undiminished when there was reduced (LEV3) or no (tobacco-*rubrum*) demand for small subunits, but its amount increased in apparent inverse proportion to the amount of tobacco Rubisco remaining (see "Results"). In the case of the very carbohydratedeficient tobacco-*rubrum* plants, the increased *Rbc*S mRNA content accords with ideas about the regulation of photosynthesis genes according to the demand for photosynthate (Koch, 1996). However, increased *Rbc*S message in the LEV3 plants, whose photosynthesis was not Rubisco limited in the high- $CO₂$ growth conditions and whose glut of leaf carbohydrates rivaled that of the controls, suggests a more direct response to low Rubisco content or some other more subtle perturbation of carbon metabolism caused by it.

Future Experiments

Although the tobacco-*rubrum* plants had sufficient Rubisco activity for their photosynthesis to encounter the ribulose- P_2 -regeneration limitation at low light intensity (Fig. 5), it would be interesting to investigate whether higher contents of *R. rubrum* Rubisco would be able to eliminate the growth impairment under the better illuminated conditions in the high-CO₂ growth cabinet. The present experiments indicate that perhaps a doubling of the present content of bacterial Rubisco would be required to achieve this. This will probably necessitate improvement of the translatability of the *rbc*M mRNA. Experiments with a synthetic *rbc*M with a plastid-optimized codon preference are in progress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction and Transformation of Plasmid pLEV3

The transforming plasmid pLEV3 is equivalent to pRubLev14 (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a), except that the *rbc*M coding region is replaced with the coding region of the tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plastid *rbc*L gene (Fig. 1). A 1,869-bp product encompassing the *rbc*L promoter, 5-untranslated region, and coding sequence was amplified from pLEV1 (Whitney et al., 1999) with primers TrbcLCla (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a) and TrbcLHind (5-CAAGCTT**TTA**CTTATCCAAAACGTCCAC-3, *Hind*III site underlined, complement of *rbc*L terminator codon in bold) and cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI) to give plasmid pTVE*rbc*L. The plasmid was sequenced using BigDye terminator sequencing (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the 1,595-bp *rbc*L *Nco*I-*Hind*III fragment was cloned into pRubLev14 to give pLEV3.

The plastid genome of tobacco cv Petit Havana [*N,N*] was transformed biolistically with plasmids pLEV1 and pLEV3, and spectinomycin-resistant plantlets were regenerated in tissue culture as described (Svab and Maliga, 1993). After three rounds of repeated tissue culture and regeneration, homoplasmic transformants were selected by DNA blotting of *Bam*HI-digested leaf DNA using the *rbc*L1 probe (Fig. 1). Correct insertion into the plastid genome was confirmed by cloning and sequencing the 2,580- and 2,830-bp DNA products amplified by PCR from total DNA from LEV3 and LEV1 leaves, respectively, using the primers LsD (Whitney et al., 1999) and LsE (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a; Fig. 1).

Plant Growth

LEV1, LEV3, and tobacco-*rubrum* (t*r*1; Whitney and Andrews, 2001a) transformants and nontransformed control plants were germinated and grown in 5-liter pots of soil in an air-conditioned growth chamber at 25°C with 200 or 450 μ mol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ artificial illumination as previously described (Whitney et al., 1999). The atmosphere was supplemented with $CO₂$ to 2.5% (v/v). Flowers of the transformants were hand pollinated with pollen from nontransformed plants at each generation. For growth analyses, the height of the plants and the number of leaves that they bore were recorded every 2 to 4 d until floral buds appeared, when the weights of the complete shoots were measured before and after oven drying at 80°C.

Leaf Sampling

All physiological, anatomical, and biochemical measurements were made on samples taken 8 h into the 14-h photoperiod from the fifth leaf below the apical meristem of T₂-generation tobacco-*rubrum*, LEV3 and LEV1 transformants, and nontransformed plants of similar physiological age. At this stage, the plants were 15.5 ± 0.8 cm tall, they bore 12.5 ± 0.5 leaves, and the fifth leaf was 14 ± 0.5 cm in diameter. Because the tobacco-*rubrum* plants grew slower than the others, the former were chronologically older at the time they reached this stage. The plants were grown at 450 μ mol quanta m⁻² s^{-1} in 2.5% (v/v) CO₂ as described above.

DNA and RNA Blotting

Total DNA was extracted from leaves, electrophoresed, and blotted as described previously (Whitney et al., 1999; Whitney and Andrews, 2001b). DNA blots were probed with an alkaline-phosphatase-conjugated (AlkPhos Direct labeling kit; AP Biotech, Sydney) 1,856-bp *rbc*L1 DNA probe (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). Hybridizing fragments were visualized with AttoPhos reagent (Promega) using a Vistra FluorImager (AP Biotech).

Total RNA was isolated from the fifth leaf of T_2 -generation transformants and nontransformed plants (see above) with Tri-reagent (Sigma, St. Louis) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was electrophoretically separated through formaldehyde-denaturing agarose gels (Sambrook et al., 2000) and was blotted onto Hybond N^+ membranes (AP Biotech) as described (Kucharski and Maleska, 1998). Blots were hybridized with ³²Plabeled DNA probes (Megaprime labeling kit; AP Biotech), the membranes were exposed to a storage phosphor screen (PhosphorImager 400S; Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA), and densitometry was carried out with computer-generated images using ImageQuant software. Blots were hybridized with a 383-bp *Nco*I-*Xba*I *rbc*S DNA fragment from pJSTSSu (Whitney and Andrews, 2001b), an 814-bp *aad*A DNA probe (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a), or a 991-bp *rbc*L2 DNA fragment (Fig. 1) amplified from pLEV1 using the primers LSb (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a) and LSa (5-CGAGCGTGTTGTTGGAGAAAAAGA-3).

The abundances of *rbc*L- or *rbc*M-containing mRNA transcripts were measured in blots of gels loaded with 10 μ g of total leaf RNA, using the *rbc*L2 and *aad*A DNA probes. Two RNA samples were extracted from each leaf sampled. The abundances of the monocistronic *rbc*L mRNA in nontransformed and LEV1 plants, and of the bicistronic *rbc*L-*aad*A1 and *rbc*L-*aad*A3 mRNAs in LEV1 and LEV3 leaf samples were measured using the *rbc*L2 probe. Similarly, the abundances of the bicistronic *rbc*M-*aad*A3, *rbc*L-*aad*A1, and *rbc*L-*aad*A3 mRNAs in the tobacco-*rubrum*, LEV1, and LEV3 leaf samples were measured in duplicate blots probed with the *aad*A probe (Fig. 2B).

Elemental and Anatomical Measurements

Total N and total C content of dried leaf material were measured using an elemental analyzer (model EA 1110; Carlo Erba Instruments, Milan).

Leaf discs (0.5 cm²) were snap frozen in liquid N_2 and were immediately embedded onto a grooved aluminum stud using equal volumes of colloidal graphite (Agar Scientific, Essex, UK) and tissue-TEK embedding compound 4583 (Mile Scientific, Naperville, IL). While still frozen, and at approxi-

Whitney and Andrews

mately 13 Pa of water vapor pressure, leaf thickness and abaxial stomatal density were measured using a scanning electron microscope (model S-2250N; Hitachi, Tokyo) at an acceleration voltage of 27 kV.

Biochemical Analyses

Soluble leaf protein and the content and carbamylation status of Rubisco were measured as described (Whitney and Andrews, 2001a). Leaf ribulose- P_2 and P-glycerate pool sizes were measured in leaf discs (2.4 cm²) rapidly frozen in liquid N_2 under illumination in the growth chamber as described previously (He et al., 1997). Additional leaf discs (0.5 cm²) were assayed for starch and Glc (total starch and Glc assay kits; Megazyme, Boronia, Australia) as previously described (Atkin et al., 1999). Chlorophyll was measured after extraction with methanol (Porra et al., 1989).

Leaf Gas Exchange

Photosynthetic gas exchange by leaves in air was measured after transfer to the laboratory using a flow-through photosynthesis system (model LI-6400; Li-Cor) as described (Whitney et al., 1999). For mass spectrometric measurements at very high $CO₂$ concentrations, a leaf punch (1 cm²) was taken from the same leaves and $CO₂$ assimilation was measured simultaneously at 25° C in a closed cuvette (0.77 cm³ in volume) attached to a membrane-inlet mass spectrometer (Maxwell et al., 1998; Ruuska et al., 2000). The leaf discs were equilibrated with air containing 3.5% (v/v) $CO₂$ and were illuminated at 240 or 1,050 μ mol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ for 10 min before sealing the cuvette and measuring the depletion of $CO₂$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Stephanie McCaffery for assistance with the carbohydrate analyses and Heather Kane and Grant Pearce for reading the manuscript.

Received May 6, 2003; returned for revision May 27, 2003; accepted May 27, 2003.

LITERATURE CITED

- **Andersson I** (1996) Large structures at high resolution: the 1.6Å crystal structure of spinach ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase complexed with 2-carboxyarabinitol bisphosphate. J Mol Biol **259:** 160–174
- **Atkin OK, Schortemeyer M, McFarlane N, Evans JR** (1999) The response of fast- and slow-growing *Acacia* species to elevated atmospheric CO₂: an analysis of the underlying components of relative growth rate. Oecologia **120:** 544–554
- **Eberhard S, Drapier D, Wollman FA** (2002) Searching limiting steps in the expression of chloroplast-encoded proteins: relations between gene copy number, transcription, transcript abundance and translation rate in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.* Plant J **31:** 149–160
- **Furbank RT, Chitty JA, von Caemmerer S, Jenkins CLD** (1996) Antisense RNA inhibition of *RbcS* gene expression reduces Rubisco level and photosynthesis in the C4 plant *Flaveria bidentis.* Plant Physiol **111:** 725–734
- **He Z, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS, Price GD, Badger MR, Andrews TJ** (1997) Ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase deficiency delays senescence of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase but progressively impairs its catalysis during tobacco leaf development. Plant Physiol **115:** 1569–1580
- **Hosler JP, Wurtz EA, Harris EH, Gillham NW, Boynton JE** (1989) Relationship between gene dosage and gene expression in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.* Plant Physiol **91:** 648–655
- **Hudson GS, Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Arvidsson YBC, Andrews TJ** (1992) Reduction of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase content by antisense RNA reduces photosynthesis in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Physiol **98:** 294–302
- **Jordan DB, Ogren WL** (1981) Species variation in the specificity of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. Nature **291:** 513–515
- **Koch KE** (1996) Carbohydrate-modulated gene expression in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol **47:** 509–540
- **Kucharski R, Maleska R** (1998) Arginine kinase is highly expressed in the compound eye of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera.* Gene **211:** 343–349
- **Kuroda H, Maliga P** (2001) Sequences downstream of the translation initiation codon are important determinants of translation efficiency in chloroplasts. Plant Physiol **125:** 430–436
- **Makino A, Shimada T, Takumi S, Kaneko K, Matsuoka M, Shimamoto K, Nakano H, Miyao-Tokutomi M, Mae T, Yamamoto N** (1997) Does decrease in ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase by antisense *RbcS* lead to a higher N-use efficiency of photosynthesis under conditions of saturating CO2 and light in rice plants? Plant Physiol **114:** 483–491
- **Maxwell K, Badger MR, Osmond CB** (1998) A comparison of $CO₂$ and $O₂$ exchange patterns and the relationship with chlorophyll fluorescence during photosynthesis in C₃ and CAM plants. Aust J Plant Physiol 25: 45–52
- **Miller A, Schlagnhaufer C, Spalding M, Rodermel S** (2000) Carbohydrate regulation of leaf development: prolongation of leaf senescence in Rubisco antisense mutants of tobacco. Photosynth Res **63:** 1–8
- **Porra RJ, Thompson WA, Kriedemann PE** (1989) Determination of accurate coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls *a* and *b* extracted with four different solvents: verification of the chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Biochim Biophys Acta **975:** 384–394
- **Quick WP, Schurr U, Scheibe R, Schulze E-D, Rodermel SR, Bogorad L, Stitt M** (1991) Decreased ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylaseoxygenase in transgenic tobacco transformed with "antisense" rbcS: impact on photosynthesis in ambient growth conditions. Planta **183:** 542–554
- **Rodermel S** (1999) Subunit control of Rubisco biosynthesis: a relic of an endosymbiotic past? Photosynth Res **59:** 105–123
- **Rodermel S, Haley J, Jiang CZ, Tsai CH, Bogorad L** (1996) A mechanism for intergenomic integration: abundance of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small-subunit protein influences the translation of the large-subunit mRNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **93:** 3881–3885
- **Rodermel SR, Abbott MS, Bogorad L** (1988) Nuclear-organelle interactions: nuclear antisense gene inhibits ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase enzyme levels in transformed tobacco plants. Cell **55:** 673–681
- **Roy H, Andrews TJ** (2000) Rubisco: assembly and mechanism. In RC Leegood, TD Sharkey, S von Caemmerer, eds, Photosynthesis: Physiology and Metabolism. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 53–83
- **Ruuska SA, Badger MR, Andrews TJ, von Caemmerer S** (2000) Photosynthetic electron sinks in transgenic tobacco with reduced amounts of Rubisco: little evidence for significant Mehler reaction. J Exp Bot **51:** 357–368
- **Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T** (2000) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- **Shimada H, Sugiura M** (1991) Fine structural features of the chloroplast genome: comparison of the sequenced chloroplast genomes. Nucleic Acids Res **19:** 983–995
- **Spreitzer RJ, Salvucci ME** (2002) Rubisco: structure, regulatory interactions, and possibilities for a better enzyme. Annu Rev Plant Biol **53:** 449–475
- **Stitt M, Schulze D** (1994) Does Rubisco control the rate of photosynthesis and plant growth? An exercise in molecular ecophysiology. Plant Cell Environ **17:** 465–487
- **Svab Z, Maliga P** (1993) High-frequency plastid transformation in tobacco by selection for a chimeric *aadA* gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **90:** 913–917
- **Tabita FR** (1999) Microbial ribulose 1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase: a different perspective. Photosynth Res **60:** 1–28
- **von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ** (1994) The kinetics of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in vivo inferred from measurements of photosynthesis in leaves of transgenic tobacco. Planta **195:** 88–97
- **Whitney SM, Andrews TJ** (2001a) Plastome-encoded bacterial ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) supports photosynthesis and growth in tobacco. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **98:** 14738–14743
- **Whitney SM, Andrews TJ** (2001b) The gene for the ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) small subunit relocated to the plastid genome of tobacco directs the synthesis of small subunits that assemble into Rubisco. Plant Cell **13:** 193–205
- **Whitney SM, Baldet P, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ** (2001) Form I Rubiscos from non-green algae are expressed abundantly but not assembled in tobacco chloroplasts. Plant J **26:** 535–547
- **Whitney SM, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ** (1999) Directed mutation of the Rubisco large subunit of tobacco influences photorespiration and growth. Plant Physiol **121:** 579–588