# The Sequence of the Single 16S rRNA Gene of the Thermophilic Eubacterium Rhodothermus marinus Reveals a Distant Relationship to the Group Containing Flexibacter, Bacteroides, and Cytophaga Species

ÓLAFUR S. ANDRÉSSON\* AND ÓLAFUR H. FRIÐJÓNSSON†

Institute for Experimental Pathology, University of Iceland, Keldur, 112 Reykjavik Iceland

Received 7 February 1994/Accepted 16 August 1994

Rhodothermus marinus, a gram-negative heterotrophic marine thermophile, has been the subject of several recent studies. Isolation, sequencing, and analyses of a 16S rRNA gene have shown that R. marinus diverges sharply from major bacterial phyla and is most closely allied to the Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides group. Further analyses revealed that the R. marinus chromosome contains a single rRNA operon with a 16S-23S intergenic region coding for tRNA<sup>Ile</sup> and tRNA<sup>Ala</sup>.

Thermophilic bacteria have been the subject of rising interest because of their biological adaptations and potential in biotechnology. A number of novel bacteria have been isolated at submarine hot springs and vents. Among these are eubacteria of the genus Rhodothermus which have been isolated in Iceland and the Azores (3, 15). Rhodothermus marinus is an aerobic heterotroph with optimum growth at 65 to 75 $\rm ^{o}C$  (11) and may therefore be well suited for genetic engineering of thermostable enzymes. Plasmid vectors are being developed for this purpose (5), and genes coding for DNA ligase (23a), P-glucanase, and cellulase have been isolated (18a).

The genus Rhodothermus is not affiliated with the wellknown thermophilic genus Thermus (19), and to clarify the phylogenetic status of the genus Rhodothermus, we isolated and sequenced <sup>a</sup> 16S rRNA gene and the 16S-23S intergenic spacer region coding for two tRNAs. Comparisons with other available 16S rRNA sequences utilizing several methods for reconstruction of phylogenies place the genus Rhodothermus close to the root of the Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides (F-C-B) group with affinities to green sulfur bacteria, fibrobacteria, and spirochetes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA was extracted from R marinus R-10 (DSM 4252, ATCC 43812) and used to construct <sup>a</sup> genomic library in phage EMBL4 (20). This library was screened with a  $32P$ -labeled probe made by reverse transcription of total R. marinus RNA primed with three universal 16S rRNA-specific oligonucleotides (13). DNA fragments generated from recombinant phage by restriction enzymes EcoRI and XhoI were cloned in phages M13mpl8 and M13mpl9 and screened with a 16S rRNAspecific probe as described above. Single-stranded M13 DNA was sequenced by the dideoxy method (21) by using modified T7 DNA polymerase (Sequenase; United States Biochemical) and <sup>a</sup> standard sequencing primer, 16S rRNA universal primers, or custom-made primers. DNA fragments generated by amplification with AmpliTaq (Perkin-Elmer) and labeled with digoxigenin (Boehringer Mannheim) were used as hybridization probes. 16S-23S intergenic sequences were amplified from bacterial DNA by using primers G1 and Li (10). Inverse PCR of 16S 5'-terminal restriction fragments was performed by ligating 40 ng of digested bacterial DNA in 100  $\mu$ l and then amplifying 1 ng in a  $20$ - $\mu$ l reaction volume by using divergent primers homologous to the 16S rRNA sequence (109R [CCC ACRYRTTACKCACCCGT] and 906F [GAAACTTAAAK GAATTG]). Aligned rRNA sequences were obtained from the Ribosomal Database Project (14).

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide sequence data reported here will appear in the EMBL, Gen-Bank, and DDBJ nucleotide sequence databases under accession number X80994.

#### RESULTS

A complete 16S rRNA gene from R. marinus was isolated in <sup>a</sup> recombinant EMBL4 phage. This cloned fragment of the bacterial chromosome contains approximately 0.5 kb upstream and 12 kb downstream of the 16S coding sequence. The entire sequence of this 16S rRNA gene and the 16S-23S intervening sequence coding for two tRNAs was determined via subcloning into M13 phage.

Previous work has shown that the genus Rhodothermus is distinct from the genus *Thermus*  $(3, 17, 19)$  but has given no indications of affinities to other bacterial genera. Inspection of the R. marinus 16S rRNA data revealed three sequence signatures characteristic of the F-C-B group and three that are also characteristic of the Chlorobium green sulfur bacteria (Table 1). At signature position 1410, there is <sup>a</sup> C which appears unique to the genus Rhodothermus and this also appears to be true for the adjacent G-A pairs at positions 1425 and 1426 (paired to 1474 and 1475) in the penultimate helix, where three G-A pairs are found in the F-C-B group (25). This helix is also longer, by five base pairs, than in most bacteria, a feature also seen in the genus  $Aquifer$  (4) and in eukaryotic 18S rRNA (16), supporting <sup>a</sup> deep phylogenetic branching of the genus Rhodothermus.

When the R. marinus 16S rRNA sequence is projected onto the secondary-structure model of Escherichia coli 16S rRNA (26), all major features are well conserved, substitutions being most common in the helixes, often with concerted replacement of the two bases in each pair. The R. marinus chromosome has a G+C content of 64% (3), and the 16S rRNA has a G+C content of 62%. In comparison, the E. coli chromosome contains 51%  $G+C(8)$  and the 16S rRNA is 54%  $G+C$ . This

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Phone: (354)-1-674700. Fax: (354)-i-673979. Electronic mail address: osa@rhi.hi.is.

t Present address: Institut ffir Industrielle Genetik, Universitat Stuttgart, 7000 Stuttgart 1, Germany.





Positions of bases or base pairs in the  $E$ . coli sequence (26).

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Consensus (>90%) of other bacteria (14, 25, 27).</sup>

-, no nucleotide at this position.

is <sup>a</sup> reflection of <sup>a</sup> 16S rRNA structure, which is more strongly hydrogen bonded than that of  $E.$  coli, with approximately  $65$ new G-C pairs versus 30 bp changing from G-C to G-U or A-U.

When complete and aligned 16S rRNA sequences were compared, R. marinus did not show a high degree of similarity to any of the available aligned sequences (14). Even when areas df uncertain alignment were excluded and the companson was restricted to purines versus pyrimidines, equal similarity to species from different bacterial phyla was found, indicating a deep branching and that distance matrix methods would not be effective in resolving the R. marinus phylogeny. Therefore, three different methods were employed to clarify the phylogenetic status of  $R$  marinus.

Maximum-parsimony analysis (22) with complete 16S rRNA sequences from 30 representatives of all major bacterial groups (Table 2) yielded trees placing R marinus close to the F-C-B group and green sulfur bacteria. To test the robustness of this placement,  $17$  sequences likely to be close to the R. marinus sequence were added and bootstrap analyses were run with various numbers of taxa. Possible bias was reduced by excluding positions of uncertain alignment (positions 69 to 100, 154 to 217, 452 to 484, 837 to 849, and 1441 to 1463 [E. coli numbering]), and bias due to selection for high G+C content was countered by considering only transversions (purines  $\Leftrightarrow$ pyrimidines). This analysis firmly placed  $R$ . *marinus* with the F-C-B group, as illustrated by the subset in Fig. 1.

Next, Lake's method of linear invariants (12) was utilized to test whether  $R$ . marinus is closer to the F-C-B group than to Chlorobium green sulfur bacteria, to spirochetes and fibrobacteria, or to purple bacteria. In all Cases, the association of R marinus with the F-C-B group was favored (Table 3). Also, transversion parsimony supports such grouping strongly, in accordance with the bootstrap analysis presented in Fig. 1. The parsimony tests also place the genus Rhodothermus closer to the green sulfur bacteria than to the spirochetes and fibrobacteria.

Maximum-likelihood analysis (7, 18) utilizing 16S rRNA sequences from R. marinus and various numbers of sequences also used in the parsimony analyses was used to construct phylogenetic trees. The results were consistent with the placement of R. marinus between the F-C-B group and the green sulfur bacteria (Fig. 2).

The number of  $16S$  rRNA genes in  $R$  marinus was determined as follows. The chromosomal DNAwas digested with six different restriction enzymes, and the separated fragments were probed with labeled DNA corresponding to the <sup>5</sup>' third of the 16S rRNA molecule. In each case, a single restriction fragment was detected (Fig. 3), indicating a single rRNA operon in the  $R$ . marinus chromosome. This conclusion was supported by two different approaches. First, R. marinus chromosomal DNA was digested with the restriction enzyme BsaHI and the digest was diluted and ligated to circularize the restriction fragments. Primers directing divergent DNA synthesis from sites internal to the 16S rRNA gene were used to amplify DNA from such circular self-ligated molecules. A single DNA product of the expected size (1,000 bp) was produced. Second, primers hybridizing to conserved 16S and 23S sequences were used to amplify the intergenic region (10). A single product of approximately <sup>500</sup> bp was produced. Sequencing confirmed the size of the 16S-23S intergenic space and revealed coding sequences for both tRNA<sup>IIe</sup> and tRNA<sup>Ala</sup> as found in the  $rmA$ ,  $rmD$ , and  $rmH$  operons of E. coli (15).

#### DISCUSSION

The capacity to grow at high temperatures is found in many different phylogenetic groups of bacteria, and many of the thermophiles branch deeply from the phylogenetic tree, indicating that thermophily is an ancient and perhaps original trait (1). The heterotrophic marine thermophile  $R$ . marinus was originally isolated at a single remote site in Iceland but has since been found in other locations (18b), as well as in the Azores (17). It may well be that the genus Rhodothermus and related bacteria yet to be discovered are widespread in the marine habitat, and it should not be surprising, considering that  $R$  marinus is related to ubiquitous marine bacteria of the F-C-B group. As is true of many marine heterotrophs, R. marinus excretes a number of exoenzymes, many with biotechnological potential which is being pursued through cloning and expression in E. coli (18a).

Rapidly growing bacteria may have 6 to <sup>10</sup> rRNA operons (9, 15, 24). In contrast, the hyperthermophilic Archaea have only one rRNA operon (2). As shown here,  $R$  marinus has only one rRNA operon sufficing for <sup>a</sup> 65°C growth temperature and an 80-min generation time (3). Coding regions for certain



TABLE 2. Aligned 16S rBNA sequences used in this study.

<sup>a</sup> Ribosomal Data Project (RDP) version 4.0 short-ID labels and group numbers are shown (14).

tRNAs are commonly associated with the rRNA operons of bacteria. Thus, a tRNA<sup>-Ha</sup> sequence intervening between the 16S and 23S regions appears to be widespread, e.g., in the hyperthermophilic *Archaea* (2) and in chloroplasts (23). In bacteria, the tRNA<sup>Ala</sup> sequence is usually preceded by a

sequence coding for tRNA<sup>ne</sup> (6). *Rhodothermus* species en-<br>code both of these tRNAs within a single rRNA operon.

The results of the bootstrap transversion parsimony analysis presented here firmly place the genus *Rhodothermus* at the root of the F-C-B group, but this result is sensitive to such



FIG. 1. Bootstrap maximum-parsimony analysis (22) of selected 16S rRNA sequences (1,000 replicates). Gaps and positions with uncertain alignment were excluded. Numbers indicate percentages of replicates yielding the grouping shown; numbers below lines are based on transversions only.

changes as allowing transitions or using only half of the 16S rRNA sequence. As both Lake's method of invariants (evolutionary parsimony) (12) and Felsenstein's method of maximum-likelihood analysis (7) yield the same result, it can be concluded that the genus Rhodothermus occupies a deeply branching new niche in the rapidly expanding tree of bacterial phylogenies and will serve as an important reference in future studies. One example is the comparison of nucleotide sequences, especially of closely related mesophilic and thermophilic bacteria, which can yield information on features important for thermal stability of structural RNA molecules. Thus,

TABLE 3. Parsimony tests of alternative trees<sup>a</sup>

Ouartet <sup>b</sup>	No. of times tree favored		
	Lake's invariants	Standard parsimony	Transversion parsimony
(A, B), (C, D)	370	562	648
(A, C), (B, D)	148	66	23
(A, D), (B, C)	175	65	22
(B, C), (D, E)	116	170	74
(B, D), (C, E)	168	58	5
(B, E), (C, D)	310	366	515
(A, B), (D, E)	185	290	235
(A, D), (B, E)	169	128	107
(A, D), (B, D)	108	44	120

<sup>a</sup> All possible quartets from four phylogenetic groups (see Table 2) were evaluated by using PAUP (22).

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Groups: A, Spirochaetes and fibrobacteria; B, purple bacteria; C, F-C-B</sup> group; D, R marinus; E, green sulfur bacteria.



FIG. 2. Maximum-likelihood analysis of selected 16S rRNA sequences. Gaps and positions with uncertain alignment were excluded. The fastDNAml program (18) was used to determine the most likely tree by randomly jumbling the taxon input order until the same most-likely tree was found five times. The bar indicates the expected number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

the general trend of increasing G-C pairs is clear in comparing the 16S rRNA secondary structures of the mesophile E. coli and the thermophile R. marinus. A parallel increase in G-C base pairs is seen in the tRNA<sup>IIe</sup> from R. marinus and Thermus thermophilus compared with  $E$ . coli, so that the  $R$ . marinus  $tRNA$  appears to be more related to the  $T$ . thermophilus  $tRNA$ than to the tRNA from its closer relative. In addition to furthering understanding of structure and stability, increased information on stable RNA sequences from <sup>a</sup> variety of psychro-, meso-, and thermophiles can give clues to the question of whether thermophily is an ancestral state among bacteria and thus shed light on the origin and evolution of life (1).



FIG. 3. Hybridization analysis of the R. marinus genome to determine the number of rRNA operons. R. marinus DNA was digested with various restriction enzymes, electrophoresed through 0.8% agarose, and transferred to a Hybond  $N^+$  membrane (Amersham). The blot was probed with <sup>a</sup> labeled PCR product corresponding to positions 43 through 519 in the 16S rRNA. Lanes: 1, EcoRI; 2, HincII; 3, NcoI; 4, PstI; 5, SmaI; 6, BsaHI.

J. BACTERIOL.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eirikur Steingrimsson for help in the initial stages of this project and Einar Arnason for help with fastDNAml.

This work was supported by grants from the Icelandic Science Fund and the University of Iceland Research Fund.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Achenbach-Richter, L., R. Gupta, K. 0. Stetter, and C. R. Woese. 1987. Were the original eubacteria thermophiles? Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 9:34-39.
- 2. Achenbach-Richter, L., and C. R. Woese. 1988. The ribosomal gene spacer region in archaebacteria. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 10:211-214.
- 3. Alfredsson, G. A., J. K. Kristjansson, S. Hjorleifsdottir, and K. 0. Stetter. 1988. Rhodothermus marinus, gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic, halophilic bacterium from submarine hot springs in Iceland. J. Gen. Microbiol. 134:299-306.
- 4. Burggraf, S., G. J. Olsen, K. 0. Stetter, and C. R. Woese. 1992. A phylogenetic analysis of Aquifex pyrophilus. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 15:352-356.
- 5. Ernstsson, S., Á. Pálsdóttir, Z. O. Jónsson, S. H. Porbjarnardóttir, J. K. Kristjansson, and G. Eggertsson. 1992. Characterization and sequence of a plasmid from a thermophilic marine eubacterium, Rhodothermus marinus, p. 92. In A. M. Geirsd6ttir, H. P. Brown, and T. Skjenstad (ed.), Conf. Program Abstr. Thermophiles: Sci. Technol. IceTec, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- 6. Feingold, J., V. Bellofatto, L. Shapiro, and K. Amemyia. 1985. Organization and nucleotide sequence analysis of an rRNA and tRNA gene cluster from Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. 163:155-166.
- 7. Felsenstein, J. 1993. PHYLIP (phylogeny inference package), version 3.5c. [Distributed by the author, University of Washington, Seattle.]
- 8. Gandelman, B., S. Zamenhof, and E. Chargaff. 1952. The deoxypentose nucleic acids of three strains of Escherichia coli. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 9:399-401.
- 9. Garnier, T., B. Canard, and S. T. Cole. 1991. Cloning, mapping and molecular characterization of the rRNA operons of Clostridium perfringens. J. Bacteriol. 173:5431-5438.
- 10. Jensen, M., J. A. Webster, and N. Straus. 1993. Rapid identification of bacteria on the basis of polymerase chain reactionamplified ribosomal DNA spacer polymorphisms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:945-952.
- 11. Kristjansson, J. K., and G. A. Alfredsson. 1992. The heterotrophic, thermophilic genera Thernomicrobium, Rhodothermus, Saccharococcus, Acidothermus and Scotothermus, p. 63-76. In J. K. Kristjansson (ed.), Thermophilic bacteria. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Fla.
- 12. Lake, J. A. 1987. A rate-independent technique for analysis of nucleic acid sequences: evolutionary parsimony. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:167-191.
- 13. Lane, D. J., B. Pace, G. J. Olsen, D. A. Stahl, M. L. Sogin, and N. R. Pace. 1985. Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:6955-6959.
- 14. Larsen, N., G. J. Olsen, B. L. Maidak, M. J. McCaughey, R. Overbeek, T. J. Macke, T. L. Marsh, and C. R. Woese. 1993. The ribosomal database project. Nucleic Acids Res. 21(Suppl.):3021- 3023.
- 15. Morgan, E. A., T. Ikemura, and M. Nomura. 1977. Identification of spacer tRNA genes in individual ribosomal RNA transcription units of Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:2710-2714.
- 16. Neefs, J.-M., Y. Van de Peer, P. De Rijk, A. Goris, and R. De Wachter. 1991. Compilation of small ribosomal subunit RNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 19:1987-2015.
- 17. Nunes, 0. C., M. M. Donato, and M. S. Da Costa. 1992. Isolation and characterization of Rhodothermus strains from S. Miguel, Azores. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 15:92-97.
- 18. Olsen, G. J., H. Matsuda, R. Hagstrom, and R. Overbeek. 1994. fastDNAml: <sup>a</sup> tool for construction of phylogenetic trees of DNA sequences using maximum likelihood. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 10:41-48.
- 18a.Palsdottir, A. Personal communication.
- 18b.Petursd6ttir, S. Personal communication.
- 19. Ruffet, M., S. Hammond, R. A. D. Williams, and R. J. Sharp. 1992. A taxonomic study of red pigmented gram negative thermophiles, p. 74. In A. M. Geirsd6ttir, H. P. Brown, and T. Skjenstad (ed.), Conf. Program Abstr. Thermophiles: Sci. Technol. IceTec, Reykjavik, Iceland, p. 2-32.
- 20. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed., p. 2-32. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 21. Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 22. Swofford, D. L. 1993. PAUP: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony, version 3.1. Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign.
- 23. Takaiwa, F., and M. Sugiura. 1982. Nucleotide sequence of the 16S-23S spacer region in an rRNA gene cluster from tobacco chloroplast DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:2665-2676.
- 23a.Porbjarnard6ttir, S. H., Z. 0. J6nsson, A. Paflsd6ttir, S. Hjorleifsdóttir, J. K. Kristjánsson, and G. Eggertsson. 1992. Cloning of a thermostable ligase from Rhodothermus marinus and Scotothermus ductus, p. 108. In A. M. Geirsdóttir, H. P. Brown, and T. Skjenstad (ed.), Conf. Program Abstr. Thermophiles: Sci. Technol. IceTec, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- 24. Wada, A., H. Ohta, K. Kulthanan, and K. Hiramatsu. 1993. Molecular cloning and mapping of 16S-23S rRNA gene complexes of Staphylococcus aureus. J. Bacteriol. 175:7483-7487.
- 25. Weisburg, W. G., Y. Oyaizu, H. Oyaizu, and C. R. Woese. 1985. Natural relationship between bacteroides and flavobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 164:230-236.
- 26. Woese, C. R., R. Gutell, R. Gupta, and H. F. Noller. 1983. Detailed analysis of the higher-order structure of 16S-like ribosomal ribonucleic acids. Microbiol. Rev. 47:621-669.
- 27. Woese, C. R., D. Yang, L. Mandelco, and K. 0. Stetter. 1990. The Flexibacter-Flavobacter connection. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 13:161- 165.