

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 September 25.

Published in final edited form as: *Mamm Genome*. 2002 January ; 13(1): 5–19.

Genetic, physical, and comparative map of the subtelomeric region of mouse Chromosome 4

Xia Li¹, Alexander A. Bachmanov¹, Shanru Li¹, Zhenyu Chen¹, Michael G. Tordoff¹, Gary K. Beauchamp^{1,2}, Pieter J. de Jong^{3,4}, Chenyan Wu⁴, Lianchun Chen⁴, David B. West⁴, David A. Ross⁴, Jeffery D. Ohmen⁴, and Danielle R. Reed

1 Monell Chemical Senses Center, 3500 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

2 University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

3 Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute, Oakland, California 94609, USA

4 Pfizer Global Research and Development, Alameda, California 94502, USA

Abstract

The subtelomeric region of mouse chromosome (Chr) 4 harbors loci with effects on behavior, development, and disease susceptibility. Regions near the telomeres are more difficult to map and characterize than other areas because of the unique features of subtelomeric DNA. As a result of these problems, the available mapping information for this part of mouse Chr 4 was insufficient to pursue candidate gene evaluation. Therefore, we sought to characterize the area in greater detail by creating a comprehensive genetic, physical, and comparative map. We constructed a genetic map that contained 30 markers and covered 13.3 cM; then we created a 1.2-Mb sequence-ready BAC contig, representing a 5.1-cM area, and sequenced a 246-kb mouse BAC from this contig. The resulting sequence, as well as approximately 40 kb of previously deposited genomic sequence, yielded a total of 284 kb of sequence, which contained over 20 putative genes. These putative genes were confirmed by matching ESTs or cDNA in the public databases to the genomic sequence and/ or by direct sequencing of cDNA. Comparative genome sequence analysis demonstrated conserved synteny between the mouse and the human genomes (1p36.3). DNA from two strains of mice (C57BL/6ByJ and 129P3/J) was sequenced to detect single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). The frequency of SNPs in this region was more than threefold higher than the genome-wide average for comparable mouse strains (129/Sv and C57BL/6J). The resulting SNP map, in conjunction with the sequence annotation and with physical and genetic maps, provides a detailed description of this generich region. These data will facilitate genetic and comparative mapping studies and identification of a large number of novel candidate genes for the trait loci mapped to this region.

The subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4 harbors loci that cause alterations in development, behavior, and disease susceptibility (Table 1) but sparse physical and genetic mapping resources. Identification of candidate genes for these traits has been hampered because genetic and physical maps are difficult to construct accurately near the telomere (Riethman 1997). As a result, the quality of available mapping data is poor in this region. Examples of this include inconsistencies in marker and gene order, both in individual studies and composite maps, low marker density, poor YAC-coverage, lack of a sequence-ready BAC contig, and no available draft or finished genomic sequence.

Correspondence to: D.R. Reed; E-mail: reed@monell.org

The nucleotide sequence data reported in this paper have been submitted to GenBank and have been assigned the accession numbers: G67732–G67781 (STS *D4Mon1-D4Mon68*), AF311386 (*Tas1r3* gene) and AF389853 (BAC RPCI-23-118E21).

This region contains the saccharin preference locus (*Sac*), which affects the intake of sweeteners (Bachmanov et al. 1997). A *Sac* candidate gene in the area was identified (*Tas1r1*;Hoon et al., 1999), and then excluded (Li et al. 2001). *Sac* has effects on the peripheral sensitivity to sweeteners (Bachmanov et al. 1997;Inoue et al. 2001), and is allelic between the C57BL/6ByJ (B6; high sweetener preferring) and 129P3/J (129) and DBA/2 (low sweetener preferring) strains (Fuller 1974;Lush 1989;Belknap et al. 1992;Lush et al. 1995;Blizard et al. 1999). The *Sac* locus selectively affects sweet and alcohol intake; other taste stimuli, such as sour, salty, and bitter, are unaffected by *Sac* (Bachmanov et al. 1996a;1996b).

To increase the resources available for the identification of *Sac* and other neighboring loci, a detailed characterization of this region was undertaken. First, a dense genetic map was constructed, with markers previously mapped to the region, and updated with new markers generated during the project. The B6 and 129 strains were chosen to create the genetic map because they are allelic for the *Sac* locus and are distantly related. The newly generated genetic map resulted in the construction of a physical map with overlapping BAC clones. A BAC clone covering the *Sac* critical region was sequenced and analyzed for the presence of genes. In addition, comparative mapping was conducted because previous work suggested that significant conserved synteny existed between the telomeric region of mouse Chr 4 and the telomeric region of the p-arm of human Chr 1.

Materials and methods

DNA markers and sequences

Markers on distal Chr 4 used for mouse genotyping and physical mapping were obtained from several sources. The locations of ESTs R75150, M134G01, M136B1, K01599, AA408705, M134C6, D4Xrf497, D4Xrf215, Al225779, and K00231 were found in the radiation hybrid databases of the Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research/MIT Center for Genome Research (http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/), the Medical Research Council (http:// genex.hgu.mrc.ac.uk/), and the Mouse Genome Database (http://www.jax.org) maintained by The Jackson Laboratory. Markers based on BAC end sequences were obtained through The Institute for Genomic Research web site (http://www.tigr.org) or by direct sequencing, when necessary. Some STSs, e.g., R74924, D18402, and U37351 and genes, e.g., BG228522, *Ski*, *Pkcz, Gnb1, Cdc212, Dv11, Tnfrsf4* and *Agrn* were available from public databases (National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI], Massachusetts Institute of Technology [MIT]). Additional markers, including all *D4Mon* markers, were designed using sequence from the RPCI-23 BAC 118E21.

Primers were purchased from Research Genetics (Huntsville, Ala.) or synthesized at Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, Iowa). Most primer pairs and their PCR products were tested for polymorphisms between the B6 and 129 strains. Most polymorphic markers were used for genotyping the F_2 mapping panel and to construct the genetic map. Markers located between *D4Mit256* and *K00231* were used to make the BAC contig. Details about markers and their associated primer sequences are given in Table 2.

Genetic mapping and linkage analysis

Mouse genomic DNA was purified from tails by NaOH/Tris (Truett et al. 2000), or phenol/ chloroform extraction. Markers that differed in length of the PCR product (simple sequence length polymorphism; SSLP) were tested by using a standard protocol (Dietrich et al. 1992), with minor modifications (Bachmanov et al. 1997;Li et al. 2001). Other markers were tested by using a single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) protocol (Orita et al. 1989), or by sequencing the PCR products of B6 and 129 DNA, to detect single nucleotide or small insertion/deletion polymorphisms. Linkage analysis and construction of the genetic map were conducted by using MAPMAKER/EXP 1.1 (Lander et al. 1987).

Construction of a BAC contig

The RPCI-23 female (C57BL/6J) mouse BAC library (Osoegawa et al. 2000) was used for library screening. All probes were radioactively labeled by the random hexa-nucleotide method (Feinberg and Vogelstein 1983). Hybridization and washing of membranes followed standard protocols (Church and Gilbert 1984). The library was screened twice, first with a probe generated from YAC 178B3, and second, with pooled probes of markers on the distal end of Chr 4.

To determine the BAC clones corresponding to each probe, positive clones identified by the initial screenings were picked into 384-well microtiter plates and regridded onto 8×12 cm Hybond-N⁺ membranes (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ) by using a Biogrid robot (Biorobotics, Haslingfield, Cambridge, UK). Each filter was then hybridized against individual probes, under the same conditions as the primary screening. The secondary screening results were confirmed by PCR STS-content mapping, using overnight cell suspension as templates. BAC end STS markers were used to confirm the BAC physical map and identify polymorphisms.

To determine the size of individual BAC clones, we extracted the DNA using a modified alkaline lysis procedure (Sambrook et al. 1989) and analyzed after digestion with *Not*I (New England Biolabs, Beverley, Mass.) by pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFG), performed on a Chef Mapper (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.). Samples were run for 16 h on 1% agarose gels using $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer, at 14°C; the settings were 200 V (6V/cm) and a linear pulse time ramp from 0.3 to 33 s with a pulse angle of 120°. The gels were subsequently stained with ethidium bromide for photography. Approximate insert fragment sizes were determined by comparison with the low-range PFG size markers (New England Biolabs). Finally, the BAC contig was assembled by using SEGMAP, version 3.48 (University of Washington, Seattle, Wash.).

BAC ends sequencing and STS development

BAC DNA for sequencing was prepared from 200-ml overnight cultures, according to the modified protocol for BACs by using P100 midi-prep columns (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, Calif.). Unique sequences from BAC ends were identified by analysis with Repeatmasker (Smit and Green 2000), and used to design PCR primers for genotyping F_2 animals and to screen BAC clones to refine STS content mappling.

BAC DNA sequencing and analysis

BAC 118E21 was isolated by using an alkaline lysis protocol, followed by CsCl density gradient centrifugation. Following ethanol precipitation, the CsCl-purified DNA was resuspended at 15 ng/µl in TE. Two hundred microliter of this solution was sonicated for 2 s, with a Misonix XL2015 ultrasonicator (Farmingdale, New York). The sheared DNA was end-filled with T4 DNA polymerase, cloned into pUC18, and cut with *SmaI*. Twenty-five hundred transformants were grown, plasmid DNA was isolated, sequenced forward and reverse with Big Dye Terminators (PE Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.), and data were collected on an ABI3700 DNA sequencer. Sequence data were processed and assembled with the Phred, Phrap, and Consed package of programs on an SGI 02 workstation.

After BAC 118E21 was sequenced, the STS content of this BAC and overlapping BACs was confirmed by aligning the STS and BAC end sequences with the 118E21 sequence (Sequencher, Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Mich.). Another genomic clone, AF185591, overlapped with 118E21, and their sequences were assembled by Sequencher.

Repeat sequences were identified with RepeatMasker. Genscan (Burge and Karlin 1998) was used to predict gene content and intron/exon organization. The predicted proteins were submitted to a tBLASTn search through the *nr* and the mouse EST database at NCBI.

For identifying genes through their similarity to ESTs or gene sequences, portions of the 284kb genomic sequence were submitted to iterative BLAST searches to find matching genes and ESTs, and the cDNAs were aligned with genomic sequence to identify intron/exon organization.

Analysis of gene expression and detection of SNPs

Primers were designed to span intron/exon junctions suggested by Genscan (Tables 4 and 5) and were used to amplify genomic and tongue cDNA. Genomic DNA was either extracted as described above or purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Tongue cDNA was prepared as follows: total RNA was extracted by using TRIZol Reagent (Life Technologies Inc., Rockville, Md.) from enzymatically separated mouse lingual epithelium (Ruiz et al. 1995;Spielman and Brand 1995), which included fungiform, foliate, and circumvallate taste papillae. The RNA was reverse-transcribed (Superscript reverse transcriptase, Life Technologies). The cDNA samples were amplified by using AmpliTaq DNA Polymerase with GeneAmp (Perkin Elmer Corporation, Branchburg, N.J.). Single bands of expected sizes were excised from the gel, purified, and sequenced to determine the intron/exon junctions. The resulting sequence was used to confirm that the product was from the expected sequence, and to find polymorphism between strains. Sequences were aligned and polymorphisms were identified with Sequencher.

Comparative analysis of mouse and human sequences

To compare the mouse and human sequences over the entire contig, we used BLAST searches to identify conserved genes between mouse and human. Homologous human genes were identified and used as queries against the human *htgs* and *gss* databases. BAC or PAC clones positive for these human sequences were scanned by electronic PCR (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/sts/epcr.cgi) to determine the map location. Those BACs or PACs that mapped to human Chr 1p36 were identified and then were assembled by overlapping BLAST alignment to determine a minimum tiling path (Fig. 2).

From the minimum tiling path of ~1.2 Mb of human DNA, the sequence which corresponded to the 284-kb mouse sequence was extracted. A computer algorithm, Pipmaker (http:// nog.cse.psu.edu/pipmaker), was used to analyze the sequenced mouse and human region (284 kb). The intron/exon organization used as input to Pipmaker was produced from alignment of the identified cDNAs and genes with genomic DNA (Table 4). In an initial analysis, all mouse cDNA from Table 4 was included; however, for ease of presentation, only those sequences with human–mouse similarity were retained in the final analysis.

Results and Discussion

Creation of a high-resolution linkage map

A genetic map of the subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4 was produced by using an F_2 generation of mice (N = 628) originating from the C57BL/6ByJ (B6) and 129P3/J (formerly 129/J; abbreviated here as 129) strains (Bachmanov et al. 1997). Thirty markers, which were polymorphic between the 129 and B6 strains, were genotyped, and the constructed genetic map spanned a 13.3-cM region from *D4Mit33* (proximal) to *D4Ertd296e* (distal; Fig. 1a). Marker details are provided in Table 2.

Construction of a BAC contig

The RPCI-23 BAC library (Osoegawa et al. 2000) was screened twice to identify BAC clones covering the *Sac* locus. A probe prepared from a YAC clone (178B3) was used for the first screening. This YAC was mapped to the subtelomeric region of Chr 4, and it appeared to be nonchimeric. Pooled probes including all markers mapped to the subtelomeric region of Chr 4 were used for the second round of screening. To determine the BAC clones positive for each probe, we arrayed all putative clones identified from both screenings to prepare small high-density filters. Each small filter was then hybridized against individual probes. Only positive clones confirmed by both hybridization and PCR were used to construct a contig. The BAC end sequences were either obtained from the unique sequences of BAC ends and used to perform STS content mapping against all positive clones.

The BAC-insert sizes were determined by pulse-field gel electrophoresis. Analysis of the 42 BAC clones was conducted with the SEGMAP computer program (Green et al. 1991) and resulted in the assembly of an estimated ~1.2-Mb sequence-ready map representing a 5.1-cM region between *D4Mit256* and *K00231* (Fig. 1b). Since BAC clone 118E21 was the largest (246 kb) clone located within the *Sac* critical region, we chose it to prepare shotgun clones to generate a sequence contig with $5-6 \times$ coverage (Accession #AF389853). Consequently, we generated 50 new STS markers (Table 2), fourteen (28%) of which were polymorphic between 129 and B6 mouse strains. These new markers were developed to fill gaps between previously described polymorphic markers, and were selected to amplify simple repeats where possible (Table 3).

Annotation of raw sequence

BLAST searches using all STSs in the region were conducted to identify any other large genomic clones that overlapped with the sequenced BAC 118E21, but only one was found (Accession #AF185591). These two clones were assembled and yielded a total sequence of 284 kb. After the sequence of the 284 kb was complete, exact STS positions within the region were identified (Table 3).

Two methods were used to identify genes within the 284-kb sequence: predicting genes by computer algorithm (the ab initio method), and by the examination of sequence similarly with other genes and ESTs. Initially, the 284-kb sequence was analyzed by masking the repetitive elements, and a computer algorithm (Gen-scan) was used to predict genes. These predicted genes and the raw sequences were submitted to iterative BLAST searches to determine their similarity to known genes or ESTs. For a predicted gene or genomic sequence to be considered an exact match to a gene or EST deposited in GenBank, the sequence similarity had to be >92% for at least 50% of the sequence (Venter et al. 2001). This degree of similarity allowed for sequencing errors or stain differences, but minimized the possibility that closely related genes were misidentified as exact matches or that alternatively spliced forms were discarded inadvertently.

Of the genes in this region, seven had locus designations and were considered known genes (Fig. 2 and 3): dishevelled, Drosphila *dsh* homolog (*Dvl*, GenBank Accession number NM_010091), Paneth cell enhanced expression gene (*Pcee*, Accession #NM_018856), sweet taste receptor family 1 member 3 gene (*Tas1r3*, Accession #AF311386), calcium-binding protein (*Cab45a*, Accession #U45977), a mammalian homolog of yeast *UBC7* (*Ubc6p*, Accession #U93242), and two members of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, *Tnfrsf4* (Accession #X85214; aliases *Txgp1* and *ox40*) and *Tnfrsf18* (Accession #NM_009400). Dishevelled (*Dvl*) is one of the best-characterized genes in the region. It was originally discovered because it is an ortholog of the Drosophila dishevelled (*dsh*) gene, a gene that

influences the development of the fly body plan. In the mouse, *Dvl* influences complex social and sensorimotor behavior (Lijam et al. 1997;Lee et al. 1999). Several *Dvl* homologs are located on other mouse chromosomes. The tumor necrosis factor receptors *Tnfrsf4* and *Tnfrsf18* are involved in immune function and cancer biology. *Ubc6p* is a homolog of the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, but to the best of our knowledge, functional studies with this gene and associated enzyme have not been conducted. The calcium-binding protein *Cab45a* is present in the lumen of the Golgi apparatus (Scherer et al. 1996). *Tas1r3* belongs to a small family of taste receptors (Hoon et al. 1999), is expressed in taste receptor cells, and has been suggested as a candidate gene for the *Sac* locus (Bachmanov et al. 2001;Kitagawa et al. 2001;Max et al. 2001;Montmayeur et al. 2001;Nelson et al. 2001;Sainz et al. 2001).

In addition to the known genes, other putative genes were identified because sequences within this region matched 15 existing EST clusters (Mm.23825, Mm.23492, NM_025338, Mm. 28978, NM_024472, Mm.22021, Mm.56803, Mm.182484, Mm.133906, Mm.172945, Mm. 32248, NM_026125, Mm.102764, Mm.42006, and Mm.159514) and 11 single cDNA clones (AA546397, AA435261, AA967911, BG243209, BF181401, BF120370, AA218417, AW743506, AI225779, BF319334, AW987045; Table 4). The function of these genes, other than that suggested by expression patterns inferred from tissue libraries from which they were derived, are unknown.

To further confirm that putative genes were actual genes, the EST sequences were aligned with genomic DNA and the intron/exon junctions identified (Table 4). The location of each exon for each gene or EST was determined, as well as the acceptor and donor sites. If we assume that all exons identified by alignment of cDNA with genomic DNA are true exons, then Genscan accurately predicted 58% of the exons. If we assume that all EST clusters or single, non-overlapping ESTs are genes, Genscan predicted at least one exon correctly for 41% of genes.

Characterization of genes within this region

Several genes were selected for further study because they were located within a critical region for the taste-related QTL, *Sac* (Bachmanov et al. 2001). The intron/exon structure of these genes was determined by PCR amplification of genomic and tongue cDNA, using primers that spanned intronic sequence. The PCR experiments were designed to confirm that the predicted genes were present as mRNA, to assess the accuracy of predicted intron/exon junctions, and to determine whether these genes were expressed in tongue. Tongue is an un-derrepresented tissue as a source of template for publicly available EST sequencing data.

Of the seven genes tested, all were expressed in mouse tongue (primers are given in Table 5; results are given in Tables 4 and 5). Of the 16 intron/exon junctions tested by PCR, two junctions predicted by the computer algorithm did not match the junction obtained from sequencing of the cDNA (12.5%; Table 5). The results of this experiment confirm gene expression in tongue cDNA.

Analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms within genomic and cDNA

Genes within the critical *Sac* region were sequenced by using genomic and cDNA derived from the B6 and 129 strains. The purpose of this experiment was two-fold: to discover allelic genes that could be considered candidate genes for the *Sac* locus, and to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) useful for genetic mapping.

SNPs were detected, on average, every 100–200 bp. Most genes were polymorphic between the two strains, and the frequency of nucleotide variants did not differ appreciably from gene to gene. In addition to nucleotide substitutions, small insertions and deletions were a frequent

source of polymorphisms between strains. All sequence variants detected in coding regions were either silent or missense mutations (Table 5); no nonsense or frameshift mutations were found, nor were any variants detected that would alter an intron/exon splice junction.

There were several sequence variants within the 129 strain. The possibility that these variants were due to sequencing artifacts was excluded by re-sequencing of the areas, which confirmed the heterozygosity of the 129 strain in all cases.

Comparative map between mouse and human

To construct a human–mouse comparative map (Fig. 2), the sequences in the human that align with the 1.2-Mb mouse contig were identified. To do this, mouse genes were submitted to multiple BLAST searches of the human *nr, est, htgs,* and *gss* databases. For comparative mapping, we used human sequences that fit all three of the following criteria: (1) they were highly homologous to mouse genes; (2) they were located on human Chr 1p36; and (3) they were contained within a BAC or PAC clone.

The selected positive human BACs were then assembled, a minimum tiling path was selected, and the mouse genes and their human homologs were aligned (Fig. 2). This human sequence was compared with the mouse sequence, by using the PIP algorithm that plots percentage identity between two sequences (Schwartz et al. 2000). Almost all named genes in mouse were present in the human sequence, and they were arranged in the same order on the chromosome (Fig. 3). In many areas outside of the predicted exons, there were highly conserved sequences between mouse and humans (e.g., 6–8 kb, 121–126 kb; Fig. 3). These highly conserved regions may represent undetected exons or important and highly conserved regulatory elements.

Conclusions

We have described the genetic and physical map of the subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4, and a comparative map of the region of conserved synteny in humans. Several interesting features of this region were found. First, gene densities near the mouse and human telomeres are high. More than 20 genes were confirmed within the 284-kb sequence in the mouse. High gene density of the human telomeric region has been demonstrated (Saccone et al. 1992), and the current report suggests this is true in mice, to the extent that Chr 4 is representative.

Second, the human-mouse conservation between gene order and number was strong. Almost all named genes were present in both mouse and human, and in the same order along the chromosome. There is, however, a caveat to the interpretation of the mouse-human conserved synteny map: the human BAC clones were derived from the *htgs* database, and are unfinished. Although the PIP algorithm we used takes into account the possibility of broken clones and creates the most likely alignment, some adjustment of gene order may occur as finished sequence is available. The region of strict conserved synteny extends over at least 1.2 Mb (the size of the mouse–human contig and homology map). Mapping data from other sources indicate that the homology may extend as far as 1p31 (http://genome.ucsc.edu).

Third, the recombination frequency in this region was very high. The 1.2-Mb region represented in the BAC contig corresponded to a genetic distance of over 5 cM as measured in an F_2 mapping panel. This high rate of recombination has been observed in mouse telomeric regions (de Boer and Groen 1974). This terminal map expansion is fortunate for those mapping quantitative traits or genes, because more informative meioses are obtained than in less recombinogenic regions of the genome. The high rate of recombination, and its beneficial effects on gene mapping, partially offset the difficulties encountered in sequencing telomeric regions.

The rate of polymorphisms detected between the two mouse strains, B6 and 129, suggests that many of the genes may have altered function because of numerous amino acid substitutions. The B6 and 129 strains are only distantly related, and the large number of polymorphisms makes these strains good choices for gene mapping studies. Compared with data collected in a large-scale effort to map SNPs among mouse strains (Lindblad-Toh et al. 2000), the frequency of SNPs in distal Chr 4 was more than threefold higher than elsewhere in the mouse genome for comparable strains.

Polymophisms between substrains of the 129 strains have been well characterized (Simpson et al. 1997), but the rate of heterozygosity within the 129P3/J strain was surprising: inbred strains are supposed to be homozygous at all loci. This finding is consistent with our observations during genotyping with microsatellite markers, which identified some polymorphisms within the 129P3/J substrain (Bachmanov et al., unpublished observations). The rate of within substrain polymorphisms could account for some of the phenotypical withinstrain variation of the 129 substrain, such as variable agenesis of the corpus callosum (Wahlsten 1982). Several explanations are possible for this variability within this inbred strain. The 129P3/J substrain is maintained using a forced heterozygosity mating scheme: in each generation, heterozygotes at the albino locus Tyr^{c-ch} are mated with homozygotes, $Tyr^{c/r}$ Tyr^c. This mating scheme has been conducted at The Jackson Laboratory since 1948 (Withham 1990). The forced heterozygosity may account for variation in the albino region on Chr7, but should not increase the level of heterozygosity of other regions. Alternatively, the increased heterozygosity might be due to the later initiation of inbreeding of the 129P3/J strain compared with other inbred strains. Another possibility might be that the strains could have been contaminated, like other substrains of the 129 strain (Simpson et al. 1997). Finally, the 129P3/ J strain could be unusually prone to de novo mutations. Further study of the heterogeneity of the 129P3/J strain should be conducted, because this heterozygosity could have impact upon QTL mapping or other phenotypic studies.

There are two ways to detect genes within genomic DNA sequence: prediction of genes using computer algorithms, and similarity searches. Both work well at identifying most genes, but neither method identifies all genes with certainty. Ab initio methods, implemented with Genscan, detected more than half of the genes in our study, but the genes detected were not necessarily assembled with the same intron/exon boundaries found in the cDNA. These Genscan assemblies could be errors, or they could reflect alternatively spliced forms of a gene that are undetected because they are expressed in tissues not represented in cDNA libraries, or have a low abundance. Comparisons of the genomic sequence using sequence similarity methods also provided additional information about the presence of genes and their structures, many of which were not identified through the Genscan analysis. Still, other genes could exist in this region, and the regions of high sequence similarity identified through the PIP analysis would be good candidates for the undetected genes. The publicly available human draft sequence was poorly annotated in this region and reflected less than half of the gene content of the current analysis (http://genome.ucsc.edu/). Therefore, it is still important for investigators to annotate genomic regions rather than to rely on the annotation available through public and private databases.

Annotation of genomic sequence and predicting genes through areas of conserved synteny with other species should be approached cautiously. For example, regions of high human–mouse homology existed, and in some cases the sequence encompassed a known gene in one species, but not in the other species, and no cDNAs in the other species were found. A case in point is the *Ubc6p* gene in the mouse: there is a high sequence homology between mouse and human genomic sequence, but without the presence of human cDNAs, further work would be needed to name the human sequence appropriately. Another challenge was the large number of orphan

mouse cDNAs in the region; many of these cDNAs could represent novel genes, but it was difficult to determine this accurately without additional work.

Examination of this region led to the identification of many genes that were polymorphic between B6 and 129 mice, near the peak linkage interval for *Sac*, and that were expressed in the tongue. Of these genes, *Tas1r3* is the best candidate for the *Sac* locus (Bachmanov et al. 2001;Kitagawa et al. 2001;Max et al. 2001;Montmayeur et al. 2001;Nelson et al. 2001;Sainz et al. 2001).

The detailed genetic, physical, and comparative maps created here will assist in identification of loci that influence development, behavior, and disease susceptibility, and the sequence-ready BAC contig will be useful for the public Mouse Sequencing consortium. While drafts of the homologous regions are now available from human DNA (Human Genome Sequencing Consortium 2001; Venter et al. 2001), comparison of the maps described herein with the recently released human draft suggests that gaps still remain in the human sequence, particularly in areas closest to the telomere. Identification of all genes in human and mouse subtelomeric regions and the closing of telomeric gaps will involve more effort than that taken to close gaps in other regions of the genome.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tim Wiltshire and Maja Bucán for advice about physical mapping, and R. Arlen Price for help with initial genotyping of F₂ hybrids. We also thank Joe Catanese, Dulce San Juan, and Al Cairo for help with the physical mapping. The excellent technical support of Sarah Tobias and Pfizer Global Research and Development-Alameda genotyping and sequencing core is acknowledged. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01DC00882 (G.K. Beauchamp), R03DC03509, R01DC04188 and R01DK55853 (D.R. Reed), R01AA11028 (M.G. Tordoff) and R03DC03853 (A.A. Bachmanov), and by a special grant from the Ambrose Monell Foundation.

References

- Bachmanov AA, Reed DR, Tordoff MG, Price RA, Beauchamp GK. Intake of ethanol, sodium chloride, sucrose, citric acid, and quinine hydrochloride solutions by mice: a genetic analysis. Behav Genet 1996a;26:563–573. [PubMed: 8990535]
- Bachmanov AA, Tordoff MG, Beauchamp GK. Ethanol consumption and taste preferences in C57BL/ 6ByJ and 129/J mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1996b;20:201–206. [PubMed: 8730208]
- Bachmanov AA, Reed DR, Ninomiya Y, Inoue M, Tordoff MG, et al. Sucrose consumption in mice: major influence of two genetic loci affecting peripheral sensory responses. Mamm Genome 1997;8:545–548. [PubMed: 9250857]
- Bachmanov AA, Li X, Reed DR, Ohmen JD, Li S, et al. Positional cloning of the mouse saccharin preference (*Sac*) locus. Chem Senses 2001;26:925–933. [PubMed: 11555487]
- Belknap JK, Crabbe JC, Plomin R, McClearn GE, Sampson KE, et al. Single-locus control of saccharin intake in BXD/Ty recombinant inbred (RI) mice: some methodological implications for RI strain analysis. Behav Genet 1992;22:81–100. [PubMed: 1590732]
- Blizard DA, Kotlus B, Frank ME. Quantitative trait loci associated with short-term intake of sucrose, saccharin and quinine solutions in laboratory mice. Chem Senses 1999;24:373–385. [PubMed: 10480673]
- Burge CB, Karlin S. Finding the genes in genomic DNA. Curr Opin Struct Biol 1998;8:346–354. [PubMed: 9666331]
- Church G, Gilbert W. Genomic sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1984;81:1991–1995. [PubMed: 6326095]
- de Boer P, Groen A. Fertility and meiotic behavior of male *T70H* tertiary trisomics of the mouse (*Mus musculus*). Cytogenet Cell Genet 1974;13:489–510. [PubMed: 4461187]
- Dietrich W, Katz H, Lincoln SE, Shin H-S, Friedman J, et al. A genetic map of the mouse suitable for typing intraspecific crosses. Genetics 1992;131:423–447. [PubMed: 1353738]

- Eicher EM, Washburn LL, Schork NJ, Lee BK, Shown EP, et al. Sex-determining genes on mouse autosomes identified by linkage analysis of C57BL/6J-YPOS sex reversal. Nat Genet 1996;14:206– 209. [PubMed: 8841197]
- Feinberg AP, Vogelstein B. A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal Biochem 1983;132:6–13. [PubMed: 6312838]
- Fuller JL. Single-locus control of saccharin preference in mice. J Hered 1974;65:33–36. [PubMed: 4847746]
- Green ED, Mohr RM, Idol JR, Jones M, Buckingham JM, et al. Systematic generation of sequence-tagged sites for physical mapping of human chromosomes: application to the mapping of human chromosome 7 using yeast artificial chromosomes. Genomics 1991;11:548–564. [PubMed: 1837788]
- Hoon MA, Adler E, Lindemeier J, Battey JF, Ryba NJ, et al. Putative mammalian taste receptors: a class of taste-specific GPCRs with distinct topographic selectivity. Cell 1999;96:541–551. [PubMed: 10052456]
- Inoue M, McCaughey S, Bachmanov AA, Beauchamp GK. Whole nerve chorda tympani responses to sweeteners in C57BL6/ByJ and 129P3/J mice. Chem Senses 2001;26:915–923. [PubMed: 11555486]
- International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 2001;409:860–921. [PubMed: 11237011]
- Kitagawa M, Kusakabe Y, Miura H, Ninomiya Y, Hino A. Molecular genetic identification of a candidate receptor gene for sweet taste. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;283:236–242. [PubMed: 11322794]
- Lander E, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daley M, et al. MAPMAKER: An interactive complex package for constructing primary linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1987;1:174–181. [PubMed: 3692487]
- Leamy L, Routman E, Cheverud J. A search for quantitative trait loci affecting asymmetry of mandibular characters in mice. Evolution 1997;51:957–969.
- Lee JS, Ishimoto A, Yanagawa S. Characterization of mouse dishevelled (Dvl) proteins in Wnt/Wingless signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 1999;274:21464–21470. [PubMed: 10409711]
- Li X, Inoue M, Reed DR, Huque T, Puchalski RB, et al. High-resolution genetic mapping of the saccharin preference locus (*Sac*) and the putative sweet taste receptor (T1R1) gene (*Gpr70*) to mouse distal Chromosome 4. Mamm Genome 2001;12:13–16. [PubMed: 11178737]
- Lijam N, Paylor R, McDonald MP, Crawley JN, Deng CX, et al. Social interaction and sensorimotor gating abnormalities in mice lacking DvI1. Cell 1997;90:895–905. [PubMed: 9298901]
- Lindblad-Toh K, Winchester E, Daly MJ, Wang DG, Hirschhorn JN, et al. Large-scale discovery and genotyping of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the mouse. Nat Genet 2000;24:381–386. [PubMed: 10742102]
- Lush IE. The genetics of tasting in mice. VI. Saccharin, acesulfame, dulcin and sucrose. Genet Res 1989;53:95–99. [PubMed: 2744455]
- Lush IE, Hornigold N, King P, Stoye JP. The genetics of tasting in mice. VII. Glycine revisted, and the chromosomal location of *Sac* and Soa. Genet Res 1995;66:167–174. [PubMed: 8522158]
- Martin B, Clement Y, Venault P, Chapouthier G. Mouse chromosomes 4 and 13 are involved in betacarboline-induced seizures. J Hered 1995;86:274–279. [PubMed: 7657995]
- Max M, Shanker YG, Huang L, Rong M, Liu Z, et al. *Tas1r3*, encoding a new candidate taste receptor, is allelic to the sweet responsiveness locus *Sac*. Nat Genet 2001;28:58–63. [PubMed: 11326277]
- Montmayeur JP, Liberles SD, Matsunami H, Buck LB. A candidate taste receptor gene near a sweet taste locus. Nat Neurosci 2001;4:492–498. [PubMed: 11319557]
- Nelson G, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Zhang Y, Ryba NJ, et al. Mammalian sweet taste receptors. Cell 2001;106:381–390. [PubMed: 11509186]
- Orita M, Iwahana H, Kanazawa H, Hayashi K, Sekiya T. Detection of polymorphisms of human DNA by gel electrophoresis as single-strand conformation polymorphism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86:2766–2770. [PubMed: 2565038]
- Osoegawa K, Tateno M, Woon PY, Frengen E, Mammoser AG, et al. Bacterial artificial chromosome libraries for mouse sequencing and functional analysis. Genome Res 2000;10:116–128. [PubMed: 10645956]

- Riethman H. Closing in on telomeric closure. Genome Res 1997;7:853–855. [PubMed: 9314489]
- Rodrigues NR, Cornall RJ, Chandler P, Simpson E, Wicker LS, et al. Mapping of an insulin-dependent diabetes locus, *Idd9*, in NOD mice to chromosome 4. Mamm Genome 1994;5:167–170. [PubMed: 8199406]
- Ruiz, C.; McPheeters, M.; Kinnamon, S. Tissue culture of rat taste buds. In: Brand, J., editor. Experimental and Cell Biology of Taste and Olfaction: Current Techniques and Protocols. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc; 1995. p. 79-84.
- Saccone S, De Sario A, Della Valle G, Bernardi G. The highest gene concentrations in the human genome are in telomeric bands of meta-phase chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992;89:4913–4917. [PubMed: 1594593]
- Sainz E, Korley JN, Battey JF, Sullivan SL. Identification of a novel member of the T1R family of putative taste receptors. J Neurochem 2001;77:896–903. [PubMed: 11331418]
- Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E.; Maniatis, T. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.: Cold Spring Harbor University Press; 1989.
- Scherer PE, Lederkremer GZ, Williams S, Fogliano M, Baldini G, et al. *Cab45*, a novel (Ca2⁺)-binding protein localized to the Golgi lumen. J Cell Biol 1996;133:257–268. [PubMed: 8609160]
- Schwartz S, Zhang Z, Frazer KA, Smit A, Riemer C, et al. Pip-Maker—a web server for aligning two genomic DNA sequences. Genome Res 2000;10:577–586. [PubMed: 10779500]
- Silveira PA, Baxter AG, Cain WE, van Driel IR. A major linkage region on distal chromosome 4 confers susceptibility to mouse autoimmune gastritis. J Immunol 1999;162:5106–5111. [PubMed: 10227980]
- Simpson EM, Linder CC, Sargent EE, Davisson MT, Mobraaten LE, et al. Genetic variation among 129 substrains and its importance for targeted mutagenesis in mice. Nat Genet 1997;16:19–27. [PubMed: 9140391]
- Smit, F.; Green, P. Repeatmasker. 2000. http://ftp.genome.washington.edu/cgi-bin/RepeatMasker, Computer program
- Spielman, A.; Brand, J. Collection of taste tissue from mammals. In: Brand, JG., editor. Experimental Cell Biology of Taste and Olfaction: Current Techniques and Protocols. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Inc; 1995. p. 25-32.
- Stoye JP, Kaushik N, Jeremiah S, Best S. Genetic map of the region surrounding the retrovirus restriction locus, *Fv1*, on mouse chromosome 4. Mamm Genome 1995;6:31–36. [PubMed: 7719023]

- Truett GE, Heeger P, Mynatt RL, Truett AA, Walker JA, et al. Preparation of PCR-quality mouse genomic DNA with hot sodium hydroxide and tris (HotSHOT). Biotechniques 2000;29:52–54. [PubMed: 10907076]
- Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, et al. The sequence of the human genome. Science 2001;291:1304–1351. [PubMed: 11181995]
- Wahlsten D. Deficiency of corpus callosum varies with strain and supplier of the mice. Brain Res 1982;239:329–347. [PubMed: 7093694]
- Withham B (1990) Coat colors of sublines of 129 mice: JAX notes, no. 441

Taylor B. Epistatic circling gene of C57L/J. Mouse News Lett 1976;55:17.





Fig 1.

(A) Linkage map of the distal part of mouse Chr 4. Distances between markers were estimated in cM based on data from the $B6 \times 129$ F₂ intercross (n = 628). The genetic interval from D4Mit33 to D4Ertd296e is 13.3 cM. Thick line indicates region that was physically mapped. (B) Physical map and the BAC contig of the subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4 between D4Mit256 and K00231. BAC sizes (kb) are shown in parentheses. Dots indicate the presence of markers detected by hybridization and PCR, and in some cases, by direct sequencing.

Li et al.



Fig 2.

Mouse and human comparative 1.2-Mb map. The minimum tiling paths of the human BACs (upper) and mouse BACs (lower) are shown. Human BACs are identified by Accession number, and mouse BACs are identified by clone name. Mouse and human genes are denoted by approved gene symbols, if available. If no approved symbol was available in either species, Accession numbers were given. If no Accession number was found, but there was a sequence identity (see Fig. 3) the "like" suffix was added to the human gene name (*KIAA1716*-like).

Li et al.





Li et al.











Li et al.

-



Fig 3.

Percentage identity plot-based comparative map of mouse and human genomes. The mouse genomic sequence is upper, and the human genomic draft sequence is lower, assembled from three overlapping human BAC clones (Accession # AC026283, AL139287, and AL162741). Both the mouse and human sequences are oriented from the centromere (left) to telomere (right), and the percentage identity is shown on the vertical axis (50–100%). The portions of the figure corresponding to exons with exact matches to known genes are yellow; those with exact matches to cDNAs are blue. Mouse cDNA sequences with no homology to human genomic sequence are not included. Mouse genes are denoted as described in Fig. 2.

Table 1

Loci with developmental, behavioral, or disease susceptibility phenotypes mapped to the subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4.

Name	Symbol	cM	Reference	
Friend virus susceptibility 1	Fv1	76.5	(Stoye et al. 1995)	
Gastritis type A susceptibility locus 2	Gasa2	77.5	(Silveira et al. 1999)	
Epistatic circling gene of C57L/J	ecl	78.4	(Taylor 1976)	
Fluctuating asymmetry QTL 1	Faq1	79.1	(Leamy et al. 1997)	
Beta-carboline-induced seizures 1	Bis1	80.0	(Martin et al. 1995)	
Insulin-dependent diabetes susceptibility 9	Idd9	82.0	(Rodrigues et al. 1994)	
Testis-determining autosomal 1	tda1	82.0	(Eicher et al. 1996)	
Saccharin preference	Sac	83.0	(Fuller 1974)	

Gene names and symbols are from the Mouse Genome Database (MGD), maintained at The Jackson Laboratory. Distances from the centromere (cM) are from the integrated MGD map.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript Table 2

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Li et al.

Sequence-tagged sites (STS) in the subtelomeric region of mouse Chr 4.

Locus	Accession ^a	Forward primer $5' ightarrow 3'$	Reverse primer $5' ightarrow 3'$	Product size (bp) b	Polymorphic B6/129 ^c	Detection method ^d
D4Mit33	MGI92868	GGAGGTGTCAGGAGCCCT	CTCCTGACTGAGGTACCAAACC	126	Yes	ALISS
D4Mit190 D4Mit42	MGI92820 MGI92875	CAGTGAAGCAAAAAGATTCGG CATGTTTGCCACCCTGAAAC	TTUCAGTCITIGGGAATGTATUC CCTCACTTAGGCAGGTGACTUC	144 100	Yes Yes	SSLP
TasIrl	AF301161	GGAGTTGCAGCCTCTACAGC	CAGGAGGGGAAAATGGCAAA	100	Yes	SSCP
D4Mit254	MGI100505	AATACAATTATCATTTGCATTGTGG	TCGTGGTGGACCTTATCTCC	135	Yes	SSLP
Trp/3	AF138873	TGAGATCIGGTGCCCTCTCT	GUUTGATUTAGGUTGGAAAA	229	Yes	Both
D4Mit256 D4Mit256	MGI100503	CLIIGCIGGIICIGGCIAAG CTGGAGAGTTAGAATGGGGGTACC	CIALULUUGAU JUULUUGAUU ACAGAGGGGTTTCCTAAC	132	Yes Yes	d ISS
R75150	R75150	ACAGGACAAATGCTGGGTTG	GTGGTAAAGAACGCTTGGCT	217	Un	NA
BG228522	BE137821	GCCGATCCTGGTGATGTACT	ACAATGGCTCAAAACCGTTC	200	Un	NA
M136B1	AU042805	TCCTTTATGTCCAACAGCCA	CATGGTCTGTGATGTGACCA	164	No	SSCP
D4Smh6b	X58261 X58261	GUAAAAGGUAGI CUCCAIA CTGTAGGCTGCTTTTATCTTTTGG	GAGUCUCTICAGICI LAUAU TGCCCCTTCAGCACATGCCA	100	No Yes	SSLP
AA408705	TC87041	GCTTCAGAAAATCGAGGCAC	GCATGGGCTATGATAGGTGG	232	No	SSCP
Ski 300112-T7	U14173 A7052540	ATCTCTTGTTTCGTGGTGGG TGGTGGTGT AT ATTCCTTTG	AGGATGCCCATGACTTTGAG TCTTT A ATTTTTGGCTTTTTTGATACA	173	No	SSCP
Pkcz	NM_008860	ACACATTAAGCTGACGGACT	CAACATAAGGACACCCAGT	164	Yes	SSCP
R74924 M134C6	R74924 A 1008070	AGTGCCACCAACCTGGTAAG	AAGTGCCTGCAGGGATGC	165 764	No	SSCP
438C18-	AZ077160	CGGGACCTAAAACTGGACAA	TGGGGACAGTTACCAGGAAG	254	Yes	SSCP
570 472018- 77	AZ114562	CTITICCATTCTCCACCCTCA	AGGTCCTAGGGAGAGGGCCCA	260	No	SSCP
17 399112- 5726	AZ052537	CAGCTGTGTGCATGTTGACC	CATCATGAAGACTCAGGGCA	106	No	SSCP
360M12-	AZ027787	AATGATGAAGTGTCAGCCTCAG	CAACAGAACTCAAAGCCTGG	100	Yes	SSCP
570 151E4-	AZ263331	GACCTTCGGAAGAGCAGTTG	AGTGTGTCGCCATATCCA	223	No	SSCP
570 147A15- 77	AZ273113	GTGGTTGCTGGGATTTGAAC	CAAGCAACCAAACAACCAAA	101	No	SSCP
17 307E5- SDE	AQ982924	GCTAGTTGGGGGAACAAACCA	ACTGCAAATGTCCAACTCCA	149	No	SSCP
370 Gnb1 37D20-T7 415A22-	NM_008142 AZ088686 AZ057087	GGGCATCTGGCAAAGATTTA GAAAACAATCGGGGGGGGAGAGTC GTCCACACCTGGCTTTTGTT	AGATAACCTGTGTGGCCCGC TGAAATTATCACACGCCAGG CAGCACTCAGTGAGGTTCCA	281 109 199	No No Yes	SSCP SSCP SSCP
570 415G24- 576	AZ059760	ATGTAATGGAAGGGCTGCTG	CAGCACTCAGTGAGGTTCCA	113	No	SSCP
34MI5- StMS-	AZ238899	CGCTACTTCGCTTTTTATCCG	ATGATGACGTACGACGACGA	150	No	SSCP
550 Cdc2L2 436P10-	NM_00766 NA	GGCTTCAGCCTCAAGTTCTG CACAGGCCAAGTTGTTGTTG	AAAACAACCAAGTTGCCCTG CAGGGGACCTTCTGAATGAT	101 115	No Un	SSCP NA
17 D18402 360M12-	D18402 AZ027785	GGAGTTCTCCTACCCTGGCT CGGTCAGGAGTAGTGTGGGGT	GAGGCTCTGAGCAGTGTCAA CAGCAGCTGATATTGAGGCA	167 123	No No	SSCP
17 138D7-T7 151E4-T7 457N22- T7	AZ281648 AZ263335 AZ089282	ACCTCTAGGGTTTACGGGGA GTCCCAAAAGCTAGCACAGG CCGGAGGACCATAAATCTGA	CCTCAGGTAGTGCAAGCTCC TCATGAGCCAACCATGTGATT CCTCAAAAACAAGCCTGAGC	199 240 129	No No	SSCP SSCP SSCP

- T
~
1
-
1
5
5
$\underline{\circ}$
-
<u>S</u>
Ma
Man
Manu
Manus
Manus
Manusc
[.] Manuscri
[.] Manuscrip
[.] Manuscript

Reverse primer $5' \rightarrow 3'$

Accession^{*a*} Forward primer $5' \rightarrow 3'$

Locus

lanuscript
NIH-PA
Author Manuso

HIN	Detection method d
-PA Author Man	Polymorphic B6/129 ^c
uscript	Product size (bp) b

Li et al.

37D20- 5D6	AZ088681	GCTAGCCTTGAAGCCAACAC	TGAACAGCATGCTTACCCAG	122	No	SSCP
JFO 147A15- SP6	AZ273111	TCCGGAGGACCATAAATCTG	CACAGTCCCAGTCATTCCCT	249	Yes	SSCP
570 D4Xrf497 280G12-	AA117049 AZ986251	GCGAGACGAGTGGGTAGTTC GGGCTGGGAATTGAACCTAT	ACACTGAAACCTCGCTTGCT TGAATCCCTTACAGCCTTGC	129 420	No Yes	SSCP SSCP
17 4902-T7 34M15-T7 118E21-	AZ253249 AZ238896 AZ274152	TCCCTAGAGGCCTGTCTGTC GGGTTTATGTGGCAAGCACT GGGGCAGGTGGGTAATAAGT	TCGTCTCGGGGGCCTCTTCTA ACTCCATTTGCCGTTTTGTGG CAAAGGCCCAACTCCTTGAG	169 118 271	Yes No Yes	SSCP SSCP SSCP
520 U37351 338N4-T7 284D21- 506	U37351 AQ998700 AQ932576	GTGGCTTGGTGCTATTGACA AGTTACACAGCTGGGACGA ACAGAAATCCCTCATGCGA	GGGGCTATTAAGGCCATTTT GCAAGAGCCTAGCAATCCAC TCAGTGTGGACCAGAAAGTCC	160 245 105	No Yes No	SSCP SSCP SSCP
387F5- SB7F5- SB6	AQ998225	CAGTTACACAGCTGGGACGA	GCAAGAGCCTAGCAATCCAC	245	Yes	SSCP
D4Mon7 M134G01	G67778 A131686	CCCACTATGGTCCCAGAGAA	GCGCTGACATCCTCCTATGT GGC AGA A AGGA A TCAGA A GC	187 161	No Ves	SSLP
D4Mon4	G67775	ACATGCCTGCCTATCTTTGC	GGAACCTGTTTTCCATGGTG	197	No	SSLP
Dvl D4Mon6	G67777 G67777	AAGIICAIGGGUULUAUCAUUGIG TGAGTGTCCTCTGCCTGATG	TACTAGCTACUTTCACATACU CCATGGGAGACCAGAAGGTA	238 206	Un	SSLP
Taslr3 DAMon3	AF311386 Ge777A	GCAACAGAGTCACAACTGCC GCTTACGATGGTCGTGAGGT	ACACAGTACCAACCCGT GCAAGCAACCTGAACATGAA	293 188	Yes	SSCP
D18346	D18346	ATGTCCAGGGTAGAGCCC	TGTCCGCAGTGTGGGAAACTA	165	Yes	SSCP
<i>350D2-T7</i>	F07942 AZ032147	TTUCAAGUTUACAUAAGU TGTAGGGAATGTTTUCTGCACU	GTGCTGCTCTGCATTGAGTG ACATGGAACAGGATTCTGGC	124 295	No Yes	SSCP
D4Mon41	G67754	TGCATCACTATTAAGCCTCAACC	AAGAATTTGCAAAGACTGTGAGA	260	No	Both
D4Mon43	G67755	GGTGGCTCAAACCATCCATA	GAGGGCAATGAGCAAAATGT	203	Yes	SSCP
D4M0n44 D4Mon48	G67757	GGLCCIGICICIGGIICAGG CAGCAGGCAAGATGACCTC	IAAUAUUUAUUAUUAGUAAU GTCCCTCACCAGCCATGTTA	205	Yes Yes	Both
D4Mon49	G67758	AGCCTGGGCTAAGTTGTGTG	TATGGGCCAATGTTGTTCCT	204	Yes	Both
D4Mon50 D4Mon57	G67760 G67760	AIGGIGGCICACAACCAICI ATGCTCAGCCTGCTTTGTTT	ATTIGICCICIGALIGCAGC GCTGATAGCCCTGGGTTCTA	193 198	Yes No	SSCP Both
D4Mon53	G67761	TGTACGCACAAATTGACTTGC	GAATCCACATTGCAAAGCCTA	222	Yes	SSCP
D4Mon54	G67762	CACAGGCAAATGAAGGGAAG	CCAGACTTCTCCAGCTCTCC	187	Yes	Both
D4Mon31 D4Mon56	G67747 G67763	CAGATTCTCCAGCTGTCAGG CCTGTGGTTG ACTAGGCAGA A	CTGTGTTTCCGCACCAAGT GCCTGATAGCCTGGAATACA	229 406	No Vec	SSCP
D4Mon5	G67776	ACCTTGTTCCTGGTGTGGGGGC	TAGCTGGGACGTGGTATGGT	200	No	SSLP
D4Mon58	G67764	TGCACTGACCGTGATAGAGG	CGGTGTAGCTCTGGCTGTCT	200	No	SSCP
D4Mon59	G67765 G67766	CATCTCACCAACTCGCACTT GAACTCAACTTGGGGTAA	TTTCFGGGAACAAGGAGGCTA TGGA AGCCCATCTGTCTTT	418 777	No Vac	Both Both
D4Mon33	G67748	CAGCAGAGGTGATGGGTTCT	TTGTCACACAGGGGTTAAATGC	203	No	SSCP
D4Mon62	G67767	GGTGTGCACCACCATATTCA	GGGAATTATCAGCCAAAAAGC	201	Yes	Both
D4Mon34	G67749	TAGAACCGTGGCTGAGGACT	CCGTAAGATATGAAAGAACTTGGA	201	No	SSCP
D4Mon05 D4Mon64	G67769 G67769	GUUCAAUIGAAAGUIUAAU TGTTAATTTCAAGCACAGTGAGA	GGAAGGGGGATAACAATTGAA AGCTTGACACCTTGACAGCA	265 369	Yes Yes	Both SSCP
D4Mon36	G67750	CCTTCCTCGTCTGAGCTGTT	TTGGGACGTGACCTGAGAAT	232	Yes	SSCP
D4Mon66	G67770	TTCAATTGAGTTTCTCCTCTGA	TGCAGGACCAAGAAGTAGGC	200	Yes	SSCP
D4Mon3/ D4Mon39	G67752 G67752	TATGTG1C1GGCCG11G11C GCTGAGCAGCCTCTAGCAA	GATGTGGGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	206 241	No	SSCP
139J18-T7	AZ260477	ACCACTTGGGGGGCTACTTCT	GCTGATCCCCCTGTGATTTA	232	Yes	SSCP
D4Mon4U D4Mon1	G67753 G67772	CTGTGCCT111GGTGA1 CAGA GCAGTGAGCTGCAGAGTTTG	TGTGGCACTCTACGGCATAA AGGCCTACCCAAGGACATCT	261 201	No Yes	Both SSCP

_
_
_
_
_
_
· · ·
-
-
-
_
_
-
()
<u> </u>
_
<
\leq
S.
≦ a
Ma
Mar
Man
Manu
Manu
Manu
Manus
Manus
Manuso
Manusc
Manuscr
Manuscri
vlanuscri
Manuscrip
Manuscrip

Li et al.

Locus	Accession ^a	Forward primer $S' ightarrow 3'$	Reverse primer $S' o 3'$	$\frac{Product size (bp)}{b}$	Polymorphic B6/129 ^c	Detection method ^d
417B22- 506	AZ061836	AAACAGGCATGAAACTCAGGA	GGGTATCATTGTCACCTCCA	116	No	SSCP
22764-17 22764-17 D4Mon28 D4Mon27 D4Mon26 284D21-	N/A G67746 G67745 G67744 A0977902	GGAAGCAAATGCTCCACTAAA GACCTTTGGAAGAGCAGTCG TGCCTTTTTCTCACATTGTCTC TCAGACATCTCTGGCCTCCT GGTTTGGGAGTGTTAGGCAA	TATCCCTAGCCCCTTGTGTG TGGCAGCTCACAATGTCTTT TTAGAAGCAGAGGCAGAGGC TTCACTAAGTTGCCCAGGCT ACTCAGTTGGCCCAGGCT	243 296 250 160 138	° N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	SSCP SSLP SSLP SSLP SSLP SSCP
T7 280G12-	AZ001739	GCCCATAAAATCCACTCCT	GCTCCGGAAGGCTAGAAGAT	233	Yes	SSCP
SP6 387F5-T7 4902-SP6 338N4-	AQ998226 AZ253245 AQ998697	GCCCATAAATCCACTCCT GATAGTCCCTTAGCCAGCCC CAGTTTAGCACCCCACCC	TTGCCTAACACTCCCAAACC GCCATAGCTCCTCACTCACTCACTC TCTGCACCTCTGTTCACCTG	214 218 115	Yes No No	SSCP SSCP SSCP
570 130A12-	AZ266745	CAGTTAGCACCCCACCCTAA	TCTGCACCTCTGTTCACCTG	114	Yes	SSCP
2400 240025 710ff:sfa D4M0n24 D4M0n21 D4M0n21 D4M0n20 D4M0n16 D4M0n16 D4M0n16 D4M0n16 D4M0n13 D4M0n3 D4M000000000000000000000000000000000000	G67743 NM 011659 G67742 G67740 G67740 G67740 A1225779 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67733 G67773 G67779 G67773 G67778 G67777 G67778 G778 G	GTTTCACATGTTGTGGTGGC GTTTCACATGTTGTGGGGCG AGGCAGAAAGCAGACAGGA CAGTTCTTCCCGAAAAGGA CAGTTCTTCCCGAAAACCAC GCTGTTAGTGAGGGTCAGGGGG GCTGGTGGGGGTGGGGGGG GCTCAGGGGAGGGACGGAAAA GCTCAGGCACTGGGAAGGACACACCT ATCAGGAAGGAAGGACACACCT ATCAGGAAGGAAGGACACACCT ATCAGGAAGGAAGGAAAA TGATCTTCCAAACGCAAAA TGATCTTCCCAAACGCCAACA ACATGTCCCTGAGGTAGGG GGACAGGTAGGTCACCCAAC GGACAGGGTAGGTCACCCAAC GGACAGGGTAGGTCACGCAAAA TGATCTTCCCAAACGCCAACT CTAGGGGAACTTCCCAACG GGACAGGGTACCCCAAC GGACAGGGCTACCAAGGG GCAAGTTTCCGGAACT CTAGGGGACTCCCCAACG GGACAGGGTACCACCAAC TACTTCCCAACGGG GCAAGTTTCCCAAACGC GGACAGGGCTACCAGGG GCAAGGTTCCCCAACG GCACAGGGCTACCAGGG GCCAGGGCTACCAGGGG GCCAGGGCTACCAGGG GCCAGGGCTACCAGGG GCCCAGGGCTACCAACG GCCCAGGGCTACCAACCT CCAGGTTACCAACGCCAAC CCCAGGAACTCCCACCCAAC GCCCAGGGCTACCAGGGG GCCCAGGGCTACCACCACCAAC CCCAGGAACTCCCACCCAAC	GGGACCTTIGGGATAGCATT CGACAGCACTTGTGACCACT GGTGGGGCAGGCACTTGTGACCACT GGTGGGGCAGGGTGGGACTGGGACTT TTCTGGGAACTGGGACTGGGAG GGTGGGACTGGGGACGGTGGAG GGTCCAAGATTGGGGACTGGGG GGAGCTACGGACTGGGGATT GGGACTACGGACTGGGGATT GGGACTACGGACTGGGGATT GGCACTTAACATTTTGAGGCAT TATGTGCTTAACATTTTGAGGCAT TATGTGCTTAACATTTTGAGGCAT ATTCCCAGGACTGGGGAG AGACCCCAGGACTGGCGAG AGACCCCCAGGACTGGCGAG AGACCCCAGGACTACGGCAG AGACCCCAGGACTGCCAG AGACCCCAGGACTGGCG AGACCCAGGACTGCCAG AGACCCCAGGACTGCCAG AGACCCCAGGACTGCCAG AGACCCCGGAGACCACT CCCTGGGACCAGGACTAC CCCAGGAGCCCCAGGCCAGG	131 147 147 174 174 174 174 175 195 195 195 195 195 153 165 153 165 153 165 153 165	Yeson Volume Vol	SSCP SSCP Both Both Both Both Both Both Both SSSLP SSLP SSLP SSLP SSSSLP SSSSLP SSSLP SSSLP SSSLP SSSLP SSSSLP SSSLP SSS
V2r2 V2r2 23805-T7 D4Ertd29 6e	AF053986 NA C80036	CAATTGAGGAATGGCTACCAA TATAAGCAGCCCCTCATTGG AGGCATATTGTATAATAATTTGTA GT	TGGCTTCATGTCCATTGTGT CAGGCCAGACACTGCTTACA CCGGATGACTCTACTTGAC	201 244 201	Yes Yes Yes	SSCP

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 September 25.

a Accession numbers beginning with MGI are from the Mouse Genome Database and all other Accession numbers are from GenBank.

b Product size is obtained from B6 genomic DNA.

 c Un = Unknown, not attempted.

 $d_{N/A} =$ not available.

Methods of detection: SSLP = simple sequence length polymorphisms; SSCP = single-strand conformation polymorphisms. Several markers were within known genes, e.g., R74924 (Pkcz); D18402 (Mmp23); U37351 (Pcee) and 28.MMHAP7FLB4. seq (Agrn). The STSs are ordered from the centromeric end to the telomeric end.

Table 3 Marker positions within the 284-kb sequenced region of distal Chr 4.

Gene/Marker	Beginning	End
280G12-T7	11715	11858
4902-77	27642	27810
118E21-SP6	29363	29633
U37351	38244	38403
34M15-T7	44601	44218
338N4-T7	44905	45148
387F5-SP6	44904	45148
284D21-SP6	45018	45122
D4M0n/	53569	53/55
M134G01	58000	58160
D4Mon4 D4Mon6	38322 73082	38/18 74197
D4Mon0 D4Mon2	73962	/410/
D4M005	84280 103341	04407 102506
D/18340	105541	118021
350D2-T7	1/000	1/13/21
D4Mon41	145151	143431
D4Mon43	144734	144936
D4Mon44	145429	145629
D4Mon48	151165	151369
D4Mon49	151468	151671
D4Mon50	153730	153925
D4Mon52	155679	155876
D4Mon53	155857	157434
D4Mon54	157579	157765
D4Mon31	157787	158016
D4Mon56	160305	160710
D4Mon5	161128	161327
D4Mon58	164439	164638
D4Mon59	165441	165858
D4Mon60	167377	167598
D4Mon33	167809	168011
D4Mon62	171062	171262
D4Mon34	172821	173022
D4Mon63	173883	174145
D4Mon64	175196	175564
D4Mon36	182757	182988
D4Mon66	183512	183711
D4Mon37	187705	187955
D4Mon39	197739	197979
139J18-SP6	199176	199407
D4Mon40	202605	202865
D4Mon1	206251	206451
41/B22-SP0 227C4 T7	215552	213009
22/04-17 D/Man 28	214250	214473
D4Mon20	221574	221809
D4Mon26	225340	223783
28/D21_T7	220024	221752
284D21-17 280G12-SP6	231023	231001
387F5-T7	231023	231255
4902-SP6	231113	231233
338N4-SP6	231321	231436
130A12-SP6	231328	231443
D4Mon25	231647	231777
D4Mon24	240095	240241
D4Mon22	244624	245045
D4Mon21	246689	246862
AI225779	247955	248055
D4Mon20	250004	250153
D4Mon19	252161	252437
D4Mon18	253757	253867
D4Mon17	254169	254369
D4Mon16	254415	254620
D4Mon68	255365	255610
D4Mon2	256018	256168
D4Mon15	267313	267581
D4Mon9	268606	268805
D4Mon14	273253	273484
D4Mon13	273608	273802
D4Mon12	276854	277019
D4Mon11	279935	228277

Gene/Marker	Beginning	End
118E21-T7	282595	282779

Marker locations are given as bp within the sequenced region (1-284,000 bp; see Fig 3). Accession numbers for the markers are in Table 2.

ZŢ
+-Ρ/
A
utho
Ϋ́
anu
ISCI
pt

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Li et al.

Table 4	or cDNA matches and exon/intron junctions of genes in the distal region of Chr 4.
	EST

Gene/ EST Exon/CD	Exon Position Begin- End	Exon length (bp)	Detected by Genscan	Intron length (bp)	Acceptor site (intron/ EXON)	Donor site (EXON/intron)
BG176077 E1	22670–23413	744	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
AA24039/ E1	23756–24249	494	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
A1420090 E1 B	26304–26696	393	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Fcee</i> Ela	26817-26832	16	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1b (CD1)	26833-27114	282 75	No	N/A 215	N/A	CTGCCGCAGgtaagggcc
E2 (CD3) E3 (CD3)	27750-27859	110	Yes	215	acatticagCATGTGTCC	AGAGAAAAAgtgagteet
E4 (CD4)	29533-29653	121	Yes	1673	tecetgeagGAAGCCTGT	CCTCACAAGgtgggtttg
E6 (CD6)	34565-34664	100	Yes	2340	tggtttcagGAACTACAT	ACACTGGAGgtaagtatg
E7 (CD7) E8 (CD8)	35017-35121 35706-35347	105	No Vec	352 84	tgttcccagATCCCTTTA	CGGAAAAGgttettttg
E9 (CD9)	36039-36159	142	No	691 691	ggucucago I 10A1110 gattcagtaGAATCCCCA	TGTAAATGGgtaagggg
E10 (CD10)	36243-36336	94	No	83	ctgtttgcaGCTTGCTGA	AAAGAGAAGgtctgtgtc
E11b E11b	37986–38638	653	No	1294 N/A	terctacaguadadadu 1 ua 33 UTR	33UTR after stop codon 33UTR
NM 025338						
E1 E2a	45939–46028 46276–46308	90 33	No No	N/A 247	N/A etettctaeCTTTGAGCG	GTCTGTTTGgtgcgtgcg N/A
E2b (CD1)	46309-46363	55	No	N/A	N/A	CCTGGGCAGgtaagacgc
E3 (CD2) E4 (DC2)	46645-47090 47184 47350	446 167	Yes	281 02	tttecccagGTTTCAGTC	AAGAAGCAGgtgaatatg
AK004732	0001+-+01/+	101		<i>C</i> ¢	111 VARAUTATI 11	Y.M.
Ela	54090-54134	45	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
EIb (CD1)	5413554283 55071-55091	149 21	Yes Yes	N/A 787	N/A ttetteraaGCTCTGCAG	TIUTICAGAgtgagtgtg TGTCCTCAGotcagtate
E3 (CD3)	55199-55501	303	Yes	107	cccgacaagGGCCTTCAG	TCATTCGCGgtacactgt
E4 (CD4)	55738-55839	102	Yes	236	gccaagcagCTGTGGACA	CAGAGGATCgtgagtgtg
E5 (CD6) E6 (CD6)	56846-57004 56846-57004	4/1 159	Yes Yes	511 420	catccgcagUUUIAIIAA tacatctagATCCCACCC	CIAGCCCAGgtgtgtgtg ACAGCGGAGotogoocto
E7 (CD7)	57082-57121	40	Yes		tcttcacagGATGCAAGA	GTCAAAGGGgtgagtttg
E8 (CD8)	57194-57270		Yes	72	tccctttagGAAGGATGT	TCCAGCTAGgtaggggtg
E9 (CD9) E10a (CD10)	57594-57619	81 26	Y es No	165	cccaaccagATTACAAAA oocheeaoAGTTCAGGA	IGGALAAAGgtgagcact 33UTTR after ston codon
E10b	57620-58382	763	No	N/A	33UTR	33UTR
<i>Dvl</i> Promoter	59138-59177	40	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 (CD1)	62060-62229	170	No	N/A	N/A	GGACTTCGGgtcagtggg
E2 (CD2)	67743-67812	201	Yes	5513	tgaccacagGGTGGTGAA	GTTTCCTGGgtgagtcta
E3 (CD3) E4 (CD4)	0/902-08083 68308-68411	104	r es Yes	149 224	tctatgcagTCCAAATG	CICULICCA graaggeee GCGATGAGGgtactatgg
E5 (CD5)	68655-68793	139	Yes	243	tecceacagCTGCCCGG	TACGAGCCGgtgggtgac
E6 (CD6) E7 (CD7)	68891-68984 60056 60175	94 70	Yes Vac	97 17	etgeetcagGCTGAGCAG	ACAGACCGGgtaggcagc
E4 (CD8) E8 (CD8)	69231-69350	120	Yes	105	ctcctgcagAGAGGCACC	TTGCTGCAGgtggggggcc
E9 (CD9) E10 (CD10)	69429-69505 69429-69505	77	Yes Vac	78 01	accccgtagGTGAACGAT	CCAGACAGGgtgaggtgg TCCCAAGGGgtgaggtgg
E11 (CD11)	69804-69944	141	Yes	139	ccacacagCAGCACTGA	GCGCCCCACgtaagtggc
E12 (CD12)	70457-70588	132	Yes	512	gctctgcagAGCTTGAGG	CTGTCATTGgtgagtccc

Exor End	l Position Begin- 7-70854	Exon length (bp) 168	Detected by Genscan Yes	Intron length (bp)	Acceptor site (intron/ EXON) tereccaseGGGGGGATG	Donor site (EXON/intron) TGTGCAGTA stagatage
/-/08 9-711 8-720	524 145 591	168 207 374	res Yes Yes	98 84 1172	tgtgcccagGGGCGGGAT tcctgccagACCTCGCAT tccccctagGGAGCAAGA	1010CA01Aggaggagg AGAGTGAAGggagaggt 33UTR after stop codon
77-0 77-0	469 060	191 301	Yes Yes	UN 108	53UTR tggcctcag/GTTCTCACC	GTGCAACAG/gtatggagg ATGCCACAG/gtgagccca
9-76	176 867	804 198	Yes Vec	80	tcaccacag/GTCAGCATA	CCCTGGCAG/gtaaggta GGCAACCAG/gtaagggta
8-75 2-74	648 511	121 962	Yes No	98 175	catgtacag/GTGCCAGTC tcactacag/ATGACTTCA	AGCATCCAG/gggaaccgt 33UTR after stop codon
88]	1560	69	No	N/A	N/N	N/A
8-8	438 0608	122 1603	Yes No	N/A 227	N/A ctttcgcagGTTTCTAAA	ACTTGTCAGgtgcgtcta N/A
$1-8^{2}$	4017	87	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
x x x	4045 6584	28 08	No Vec	N/A 1770	N/A	CTCCCTTGGgtgagtccg
0-8-0	1283	74	Yes	625	teteateagAGGCGCTTT	GATCATCAGgtaggttgt
800	9726 0557	229	Yes	2214	ttcccccagCCACTTCCA	ACAGTTCAGgtcaggatc
2 - 1 - 0	9681 9681	35 35	No	16/9 90	tttggccagGTGGCGACTAT	GCATTTGGGgtaagtagg
5-1(0063	139	Yes	243	ctgttctagGGCTGCGTG	GGAGGAAAGgtattgact
52-	100516	65 100	Yes	388	cgctcccagGTGCTGATT	GACCTTCTGgtaggtgca
92	101559	84	Yes	128	accetecagGTTGTGTTT	AAGAACATGgtaagggtt
65-	101881	06	Yes	232	tgettgeagGTCATCATG	CGCCAGATGgtgagtgcc
15^{-1}	102322	108	res Yes	60 87	augecacage I UUAUU IA ttteeteagGGGTCAGCT	TGGTGCAGGgtatgaagg
08-	102469	62	Yes	85	tectcacagGACTGTTGC	AAAGACAGTgtaagtgta
54	-102686 -102885	143 130	No Yes	69	gececacagAATTTCUGG tetecttagCACCCTCAA	ICICAAGAGgtgcgccct ACTTACCAGgtaaggagc
80-	-103045 -103228	66 183	No	94 N/A	tccttacagGATGAAGAG 331 ITR	33UTR after stop codon
ł	077001-	601				VIIDCC
62-	-105412 -105113	70 150	No Yes	N/A 149	N/A ttgccacagTGTACTGAA	GGCCATTCGgtgagcccg CAGATCTGCgtgagctaa
59-	-104802	228	No	83	gctctgcagGTACCTGGA	N/A
59-	-110528	70	No	N/A	N/A	CTGGACAAGgtaagagac
57	-111046	120	Yes	398	cacttgtagCTGGTCAAA	GTCATCTCG gtg agg cac
₿. 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	-111297 -113793	59 59	res Yes	197 2437	etateccagATCCTGTTT	I A I CAUAUUgigageeee GTCAAAGA etaaeteae
8	-114464	184	Yes	487	ggcacccagGGATGTGCG	GTGCTGCAGgtcagctgc
23-23-	114867	45	Yes	358	ctctggcagATCAATGTC	TTAGATTCTgtgagtgct
5-1-2	115225	75	Yes	102	tecceacagTTGGACCAG	CAGCAACGGgtgaggccc
39-	116651	113	Yes	1313	cttcctcagGACTTTTCC	ATGGAACCGgtaagggcc
	117096	52 101	Yes Vec	88	ctcactcagACGGIGGII	AAACT CAA Ggtattetgg
69-1	17280	112	Yes	72	tecctacagGAGCTGTAT	TACAGTGAGgtgcactgc
55-	117730	209 20	Yes	241	atccaccagAGGCTGGAC	CATCCACAGgtagtcagt
42	117893	0/0	Yes Vec	93	gtctaggagCTTGGGCGT occcoracTGATGTGT	CTGCTAAAGgtgtgtgag CAGTTICAGotoaootto
75-1	18377	203	Yes		ctggtccagGCAGGACAA	TGTCCTCAGgtggggggggg

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Gene/ EST Exon/CD	Exon Position Begin- End	Exon length (bp)	Detected by Genscan	Intron length (bp)	Acceptor site (intron/ EXON)	Donor site (EXON/intron)
E17 (CD17) E18 (CD18) E19 (CD19) E20 (CD20) E21a (CD21)	118615-118722 119877-120097 120274-120383 120476-120582 120677-120825	108 221 110 107	Yes Yes No No	237 11154 176 92	ccettgcagCTGCTACTA ctcccacagAGGGTGCCG gctgtccagGGTTCCTTG cccagccagGTCTGTCG caaccccagGCTTCGCTG caaccccagGCTTCGCCT	GTCCACGCAgtgagtgct GTACTAGGGgtgagcaca CCGTACCGGgtgagttct TGTCACATTgggagttct 33UTR after stop codon
E21b AA967911 E1	120826-120847 116539-116651	22	No Yes	N/A N/A	33UTR N/A	33UTR ATGGAACCGgtaagggcc
E2 E3	116740–116791 116996–117096 117169–117280	101 112	Yes Yes Yes	204 72	ctcactcagACGGTGGTT tgcccacagGATGCACTC tccctacagGAGCTGTAT	AACTCCAAGgtattctgg ACCTACCAAgtgaggagg N/A
BG243209 E1 E3 E3 E4 E4	116996–117096 117169–117280 117522–117730 117824–117893 118003–118097	101 112 209 70	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	N/A 72 241 93 109	N/A tccctacagGAGCTGTAT atccaccagAGGCTGGAC gtctaggagCTTGGGCGT gcccgcagCTTGGGCGT	ACCTACCAAgtgaggagg TACAGTGAGgtgaggagg CATCCACAGgtagtcagt CTGCTAAAGgtgtgtgag N/A
BF181401 E1 E3 E3 E4 E4 E4	117824-117893 118003-118097 118175-118377 11815-118722 119692-119782	70 95 203 108 91	Yes Yes Yes No	N/A 109 237 969	N/A gcccgcagCTGATGTGT ctggtccagGCAGGACAA cccttgcagCTGCTACTA gtcctacagGTCTAAGCA	CTGCTAAAGgtgtgtgag CAGTTCCAGgtgaggttg TGTCCTCAGgtgggaggtg GTCCACGCAgtgagtgct N/A
BF120370 E1 E3 E3	120274-120383 120476-120582 120677-121189	110 107 513	No Yes No	N/A 92 94	N/A cccagccagGTCTGTCTG caaccccagGCTTCGCCT	CCGTACCGGgtgagttct TGTCACATTgtgagtctg 33UTR after stop codon
AA34/414 E1 DC085200	126572-127112	541	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
BU002299 E1 AI550640	127208-127796	589	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
AL220048 E1 BG070843	127744-128382	639	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 AA763221	129539–128723	817	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 AA718417	129440–130032	593	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 BG066449	131044–130398	647	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 A 199518	136781–135944	838	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 BF140854	136706–137369	664	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 A 87301/	139653-140275	623	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 E1 BE056856	141472–142259	788	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 A1530700	158678-159251	574	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 Ihefn	160031-159473	559	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
E1 (CD1) E2 (CD2) E3 (CD3)	163829–163959 166294–166334 170056–170158	131 41 103	Yes Yes Yes	N/A 2334 3721	N/A ctccgttagGCATTATGT ttggtgtagGTGGCTATT	TCTTGAATGgtaagacta CTTATGAAGgttagtaga CAACACAAGgtaagcttc

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 September 25.

Li et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	Exon Position Begin- End 170244-170382	Exon length (bp) 139	Detected by Genscan Yes	Intron length (bp) 85	Acceptor site (intron/ EXON) ttetttcagGCTGTGTCT	Donor site (EXON/intron) GACTTCACGetteagetet
171190-1712 171190-1712 171903-17213 172188-1724	52 87 54	285 285 267	Yes Yes No	807 632 N/A	tettectagAAAAAAA tettectagAAAAAAAA ttettectagGAAATTAAA 33UTR	GTTGTGGGGgtaggagg 33UTR after stop codon 33UTR
177140-177 177401-177 179365-179	400 559 481	261 159 117	No Yes Yes	N/A N/A 1805	N/A N/A actitica@CCCCCGGA A	N/A TCCGTCAAGgtaggcaga AAGAAGTCGortaaooccc
179590-175	710 953	121	Yes Yes	108	ctettutagCGAGGCCTC tetecacagAGGCCACAG	TACTGAAAGgtaagattg TTCCAAGCTgtgagtgg
180416-18	0524	109	Yes	462	tttccacagCCTACTACT tacccotaoACCACACTG	TGCACGTGGgtgagccag TCGTCATACorgagccag
180904-18 181226-18	0982 1334	79 109	Yes	125 243 243	tatgcccagGTCCCTGGA tetetttagTCTGGACAG	CATCTACAGggaagcaac CATCTACAGgtaagcaac 33UTR after stop codon
181335–18	1451	117	No	N/A	33UTR	33UTR
206936–20	6461	476	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
207908–20 211086–21 214029–21	8231 1415 4165	324 330 137	No No Ves	N/A 2854 2613	N/A acctigcagGAGTCCCAT tortactaoGGTAGACGT	GAAGCCGGGgtgagtgag CTTTTCCAAgtaagtgtc ACGGTGACGoracaotoo
215301-21	5414 3576	114	Yes	1135 8003	tettuttagGCCATGTTT	ATGAGGAGAgtgagtgct
223775-22 223775-22 224405-22	3950 3950 4581	176 176 171	Yes Yes	198 154	ttatcgcagATCAGGATG gtctcacagAACTACATG	GGCT AGG Ggt gag accur GGCT AGG Ggt gag caag 33UTR after stop codon
228399-2	23400 28577	179	o o	N/A		
228578-2 228932-2	28710 29054	133	Yes Yes	N/A 221	N/A ccactacagGCCATGGTA	GCCAGCCAGgtgagtagc GCAACCATCgtgagtcc
229606–2 230090–2	29713 30156	108	Yes No	551 376	cttccctagGAAGTGGAA ttgctgcagACTGTGTTC	TTGGAGTTGgtgagcctc CTGGACCAAgtgagtact
230582-2 230858-2	30778 30977	197 120	Yes No	425 79	gtcccacag11G1ACC11 tcctcatagGCCCTGCAT	CICCI GAGG gtaagggac AACCTTGTT gtgagtatc
231066-2 231137-2	31136 31293	11	Yes No	88 N/A	tictcttagGGGGGAAACA 33UTR	33UTR 33UTR
235140-2	35698	559	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
241046–2 241091–2	241090 241241	45 151	No Yes	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A ATGCTCCAGgtaaggcaa
242020–2 242666–2	42142 42753	123 88	Yes Yes	778 523	cttgtccagGCAAGGAGG tatccacagGGGATATTG	AGTCTCAAGgtatgttcc TTGGACCAAgtaagtcct
242925-2	43127	203	Yes	171	gtgtcccagCTGTTCTCA	GTCCTCGAGgtcagttgt
243321-24	13593	273	No	N/N	and	33UTR
248278–24	7905	374	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
249905-24	9544	362	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
259999–25 259420–25 252725–25	9859 9280 2550	141 141 176	No Yes No	N/A 438 6554	N/A gtgttgtagTTCATGCAG attttacagGGCTGGTGA	ACCAATCAGgtaagaacc ACCTTCACGgtcagtcga N/A

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 September 25.

Li et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

_
_
_
_
_
_
0
-
~
-
_
_
+
_
_
\sim
0
_
-
~
0
<u> </u>
_
5
_
-
_
4.0
(n)
0
_
()
<u> </u>

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Gene/ EST Exon/CD	Exon Position Begin- End	Exon length (bp)	Detected by Genscan	Intron length (bp)	Acceptor site (intron/ EXON)	Donor site (EXON/intron)
EI	264205-264135	71	No	N/A	N/A	TTCCTACTGgtaaggagg
E2	263876-263796	81	Yes	256	ggtcttcagGCTGGACCC	AAGATGCAGgtgggggtt
E3	263715-263583	133	Yes	80	tatecacagACGACCTGG	AGCATCAATgtgagtaga
E4	263438-263320	119	Yes	144	accetgeagAATCAGCAA	TTCGGGAAGgtaggagac
E5	263243-263020	224	No	76	tctgcccagGCCAGCAGT	CCAAACCAAgtgagccca
E6	261643-261605	39	No	1376	teteceaagGCTGGACCC	N/A
AK014686)	
EI	275577-275229	349	No	N/A	N/A	GCCTCAGAGgtgggggggca
E2	266501-266434	68	No	8727	cttcttcagGTTTAAACG	TCTGATCAGgtaaacatg
E3	264937-264525	413	No	1496	tctttgcagCAGACACAG	AGGTGCCAGgtgaatgca
E4	263715-263583	133	Yes	809	tatecacagACGACCTGG	AGCATCAATgtgagtaga
E5	263438 - 263320	119	Yes	144	accetgcagAATCAGCAA	TTCGGGAAGgtaggagac
E6	263243-263117	127	Yes	76	tctcgccagGCCAGCAGT	CCAAACCAAgtgagccca
E7	261643-261558	86	Yes	1473	tctcccaagGCTGGACCC	AAGATGCAGgtgagaagc
E8	259420-258537	884	No	2137	gtgttgtagTTCATGCAG	N/A
AI425800)))	
EI	275441 - 275352	90	No	N/A	N/A	TTAGAGCAGgtgggttca
E2	266501 - 266434	68	No	8850	cttcttcagGTTTAAACG	TCTGATCAGgtaaacatg
E3	264937-264618	320	No	1496	tctttgcagCAGACACAG	GTCCAAGATgtccaagat
E4	260993-260952	42	No	3624	taccgcaagATGCCCTTC	GGTGCAGAGgtgtggggcg
E5	260030–259995	36	No	921	acccctagATATGTCCA	N/A
AW98/045						
EI	270132–269542	591	No	N/A	N/A	N/A

Several ESTs, shown above, group with Unigene clusters and therefore are likely to represent the same gene. These instances are as follows: AA547414, BG085299 and AI550648 are part of Unigene to align genomic and cNDA sequence (BG176077 = Mm.23825, AI428898 = Mm.23492, AK004732 = Mm.28978, BF 140854 = Mm.172945, AW544835 = Mm.102764, AI595135 = Mm.159514). available in human, but there was a mouse EST, which was used to predict the boundary. When there was a Unigene cluster associated with a given EST, the longest EST within the cluster was used Positions are given from the start of the 284-kb sequence, with the first base pair = +1. E = Exon, CD = Coding region, UN = Unknown, N/A = Not Applicable. The region of 1–40143 bp is from a mouse genomic DNA, so the intron-exon structure of the human KIAA1716 was used to show the intron-exon structure in its mouse ortholog. This was true for all but the first exon, which was not almost fully overlapped by Pcee, we denote this sequence as Pcee. For most of the KIAA1716-like gene, no mouse EST or cDNA was available, but the human sequence was a close match to the genomic sequence identified as Cyclin ania 6b (Accession #AF185591), but because only a small part of mRNA for Cyclin ania 6b is known (Accession #AF246633), and because AF246633 is cluster Mm. 56803; BG070843, AA823914 and AA763221 are part of Mm. 182484; BG066449 and AA199518 are part of Mm. 133906; BE956856 and AI530700 are part of Mm. 322248, and Al132502, AK014686 and Al425800 are part of Mm.42006. Gene and cNDA names follow the rules outlined in Figs. 2 and 3.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 5 Polymorphisms between the 129 and B6 strains for genes on mouse distal Chr 4

Gene or EST	Source	μ#	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	Size (bp) a	Pos (bp)	Nt B6	Nt 129	AA B6	AA 129
Pcee	CDNA	-	GCCTCACCGATCGCTATT	GGGCCTTCGTACACTTAACG	132	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
Pcee	CDNA	00	TACAGCTGCCTCCCAGGAAC	ACCTTCAGGCTCCTTTCCAT	205	99 90	<.	A or G	0;	Q or R
Pcee	CDNA	210	TACAGCTGCCTCCCAGGAAC	AUCITICAGGCTUCTITICCAT	205	69	<u>-</u> -	TorC	>;	V or A
Pcee	CDNA	7	TACAGCIGCCICCCAGGAAC	ACCITCAGGCICCTTTCCAT	202	11	A	A or G	z	N or D
Pcee	Gen	ŝ	ATCGGCGACAGGCTCTACT	CTCCATGGAATGTTTCACGA	577	103	А	A or C	N/A	N/A
Pcee	Gen	ŝ	ATCGGCGACAGGCTCTACT	CTCCATGGAATGTTTCACGA	577	179	A or G	A	N/A	N/A
Pcee	Gen	ŝ	ATCGGCGACAGGCTCTACT	CTCCATGGAATGTTTCACGA	577	428	A or DEL	A or DEL	N/A	N/A
NM_025338	CDNA	-	GGGGTGATTGGCAGCTATG	GGTAGATCTTCGGGGGTCTGC	508	95	C	H	S	Г
NM_025338	CDNA	-	GGGGTGATTGGCAGCTATG	GGTAGATCTTCGGGGGTCTGC	508	344	А	A or G	Н	H or R
NM_025338	CDNA	-	GGGGTGATTGGCAGCTATG	GGTAGATCTTCGGGGGTCTGC	508	392	T or A	F	D or V	>
AK004732	CDNA	-	GCCGAGTCTTCCACCTACAG	CAGCAAGATGAAGAGCAGCA	215	127	Т	T or C	I	I or T
AK004732	CDNA	-	GCCGAGTCTTCCACCTACAG	CAGCAAGATGAAGAGCAGCA	215	182	F	U	Y	Y
DvlI	CDNA	-	GATGTGGTGGACTGGCTGTA	GAAGCCAGGGTCCTGGTAAG	330	73	A	A or G	S	S or G
DvlI	CDNA		GATGTGGTGGACTGGCTGTA	GAAGCCAGGGTCCTGGTAAG	330	306	IJ	A	Ъ	Ч
Tas1r3	Gen	-	CACCCATTGTTAGTGCTGGA	ACGGGGTTGGTACTGTGTGT	553	169	А	U	s	s
Tas1r3	Gen	-	CACCCATTGTTAGTGCTGGA	ACGGGGTTGGTACTGTGTGT	553	197	A	A or G	Т	T or A
Tas1r3	Gen		CACCCATTGTTAGTGCTGGA	ACGGGGTTGGTACTGTGTGT	553	213	Т	C	I	F
Tas1r3	Gen	-	CACCCATTGTTAGTGCTGGA	ACGGGGTTGGTACTGTGTGT	553	216	C	Т	Ь	Г
Tas1r3	Gen	7	CGGCTGGGCTATGACCTAT	TGCATTGGCCAGACTAGAAA	476	265	Г	C	N/A	N/A
Tas1r3	Gen	7	CGGCTGGGCTATGACCTAT	TGCATTGGCCAGACTAGAAA	476	306	Т	C	S	s
Tas1r3	Gen	ŝ	GGCAGTTGTGACTCTGTTGC	CACTCCTGCTGCTGCTTTG	1018	589	А	C	N/A	N/A
NM_024472	CDNA		GGATGACTCAGAGAAAGATTTCAA	GGTCTAGCAGGGAATGCTCA	641	142	C	Т	A	A
NM_024472	CDNA	-	GGATGACTCAGAGAAAGATTTCAA	GGTCTAGCAGGGGAATGCTCA	641	227	Ū	A	A	Т
NM_024472	CDNA	-	GGATGACTCAGAGAAAGATTTCAA	GGTCTAGCAGGGGAATGCTCA	641	373	C	Т	D	D
NM_024472	CDNA		GGATGACTCAGAGAAAGATTTCAA	GGTCTAGCAGGGAATGCTCA	641	379	Ū	А	L	Г
AK010425	CDNA	-	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	228	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
AK010425	Gen	-	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	560	174	Т	IJ	N/A	N/A
AK010425	Gen	-	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	560	177	TTT	DEL	N/A	N/A
AK010425	Gen	-	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	560	180	А	DEL	N/A	N/A
AK010425	Gen	1	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	560	181	TTTT	DEL	N/A	N/A
AK010425	Gen	-	AGGTGGATGATGAGCTGGAA	CTCTCTGCAGCGTTTGGAAT	560	490	A or G	U	>	>
AK010425	Gen	7	AACTTCGCTTCACCTGTCGT	TCCACAGTCACAGAGCCATC	208	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
AK010425	CDNA	7	AACTTCGCTTCACCTGTCGT	TCCACAGTCACAGAGCCATC	137	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
AA435261	Gen	-	AAATCATGTGTCCCGAGGAG	CTGCTCCTGGCCTAATCAAC	206	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
KIAA1716-	Gen	-	CATGTGAGGACATTGAACGG	GAGTCAGCCTGCAGCATACA	148	N/A	Iden	Iden	Iden	Iden
NIM 076175	VINCO	-		V UUV VUV ULVUUV ULVLUU	108	NI/A	Idan	Idon	Idon	Idon
C71070-WN	CUINA	-	IAUIAUIVAUUUUUUU	CUTATUACUATUACACACAAUUA	170	W/NI	Incit	וממו	Iucii	IIani

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 September 25.

Gen = genomic DNA. Pos = the nucleotide number, where the first nucleotide of the Forward primer is considered nucleotide 1. Iden = B6 and 129 DNA do not differ. N/A = not applicable; because nucleotide substitution is within an intron, there is no amino acid substitution. The 129 strain has many within strain polymorphism, e.g. A to A or G, means that the nucleotide is A for the B6 strain, and it is polymorphic (A or G) within the 129 strain.

 a Size in cDNA is the size suggested by aligning an EST with genomic DNA; where no EST was available, the Genscan prediction was used.

 $^{\#}$ P = primer pair.