Is gastric cancer part of the tumour spectrum of hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer? A molecular genetic study

A Gylling, W M Abdel-Rahman, M Juhola, K Nuorva, E Hautala, H J Järvinen, J-P Mecklin, M Aarnio, P Peltomäki

Gut 2007;56:926–933. doi: 10.1136/gut.2006.114876

Background: Gastric cancer is the second most common extracolonic malignancy in individuals with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC)/Lynch syndrome. As gastric cancer is relatively common in the general population as well, it is not clear whether or not gastric cancer is a true HNPCC spectrum malignancy.

Aim: To determine whether or not gastric cancer is a true HNPCC spectrum malignancy.

...

Subjects and methods: The molecular and clinicopathological profiles of gastric cancers $(n = 13)$ from HNPCC mutation carriers were evaluated and compared with the profiles of sporadic gastric cancers ($n = 46$) stratified by histology and microsatellite instability (MSI) status.

Results: This study on sporadic and HNPCC gastric cancers revealed several important universal associations. Loss of heterozygosity in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) region was associated with intestinal histology regardless of the MSI ($p = 0.007$). KRAS-mutations ($p = 0.019$) and frameshift mutations in repeat tracts of growth-regulatory genes ($p<0.001$) were associated with MSI tumours being absent in microsatellite stable (MSS) tumours. The average number of methylated tumour suppressor gene loci among the 24 genes studied (methylation index) was higher in MSI than in MSS tumours regardless of histology (p <0.001). Gastric cancers from HNPCC mutation carriers resembled sporadic intestinal MSI gastric cancers, except that MLH1 promoter methylation was absent (p <0.001) and the general methylation index was lower (p = 0.038), suggesting similar, but not identical, developmental pathways. All these lacked the mismatch repair protein corresponding to the germline mutation and displayed high MSI.

Conclusion: The present molecular evidence, combined with the previous demonstration of an increased incidence relative to the general population, justify considering gastric cancers as true HNPCC spectrum malignancies.

ereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC, Lynch
syndrome) is among the most prevalent hereditary
cancers in humans and is associated with germline
mutations in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes, usually syndrome) is among the most prevalent hereditary mutations in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes, usually MLH1 or MSH2, and microsatellite instability (MSI) in tumour tissue.¹ Besides cancer in the colon and rectum, individuals with HNPCC develop cancers in several other organs. Among these, cancer of the endometrium, small bowel, ureter and renal pelvis are considered to have sufficiently high relative risks in HNPCC compared with the average population, and hence warrant their inclusion in the Amsterdam criteria II, the clinical consensus criteria for the diagnosis of HNPCC.²

Despite the fact that gastric cancer is the second most common extracolonic malignancy in $HNPCC³⁴$ and in some populations even the most common extracolonic cancer,^{5 6} at present it is not included in the Amsterdam criteria II. This is because, despite the currently decreasing trends of this cancer in the Western world, it is relatively common in the general population making the specificity of the association of HNPCC difficult to prove. Although the risk of extracolonic cancers, including gastric cancer, could be higher in MSH2 than MLH1 mutation carriers,⁷ the correlation between germline mutation and clinical phenotype is generally poor in the case of HNPCC. For a further assessment of the relationship between gastric cancer and HNPCC, comprehensive molecular characterisation of gastric carcinoma tumours from patients with HNPCC would be necessary; however, the existing literature is surprisingly scarce in this regard.

At the same time, the knowledge of the molecular pathogenesis of sporadic gastric cancers has increased enormously.

Gastric carcinoma can histologically be classified into two variants, an intestinal type and a diffuse type,⁸ and these two types seem to develop by distinct molecular pathways. $9-12$ Intestinal gastric carcinoma is often preceded by chronic atrophic gastritis with intestinal metaplasia,¹³ and is related to environmental exposures such as diet, smoking, alcohol and Helicobacter pylori infection.¹¹ High degree of MSI and, possibly, increased loss of heterozygosity (LOH) frequencies have been associated with intestinal-type differentiation.^{14 15} Gastric cancers with MSI often display MLH1 promoter methylation and a consequent lack of MLH1 protein.16–19 The above-described changes are rare in the diffuse type of gastric cancer, which is a poorly differentiated tumour that often starts from the gastric mucosa with normal appearance, and is seen only in the stomach. Diffuse carcinomas occur more often among younger patients. It could have a primary genetic aetiology, hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, owing to germline mutations in the E-cadherin gene.10 Additionally, somatic E-cadherin mutations have been detected in most diffuse-type tumours but not in intestinal-type gastric cancers.²⁰²¹

We examined gastric carcinomas arising in families with HNPCC for predisposing mutations and tumour alterations to

Abbreviations: APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; BRAF, B-Raf mutations; CIMP, CpG island methylator phenotype; HNPCC, hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer; IHC, immunohistochemistry; KRAS, K-ras mutation; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; MLPA, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; MMR, DNA mismatch repair; MSI, microsatellite instability; MS-MLPA, methylation-specific MLPA; MSS, microsatellite stable; SNuPE, single nucleotide primer extension

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Professor P Peltomäki, Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki, Biomedicum Helsinki, P O Box 63 (Haartmaninkatu 8), Helsinki 00014 Finland; paivi. peltomaki@helsinki.fi

Revised 15 January 2007 Accepted 16 January 2007 Published Online First 31 January 2007 clarify whether gastric cancer is a true HNPCC spectrum malignancy. The tumour profiles of HNPCC gastric cancers were compared with those of sporadic gastric carcinomas, stratified by histology and MSI status. Our findings shed light on the molecular pathogenesis of gastric cancer in both HNPCC and sporadic settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and specimens

The study material consisted of 61 gastric carcinoma samples. Of these, 15 tumours were from patients belonging to families with verified HNPCC, with HNPCC according to the Amsterdam criteria^{2 22} or the revised Bethesda guidelines.²³ All families were known to have segregate germline mutations in MMR genes. The other 46 tumours represented sporadic gastric cancers. To obtain subgroups with defined histology and MSI status, a larger cohort of sporadic late-onset gastric carcinomas was first subjected to MSI screening, which made it possible to construct three subgroups (not selected for any other characteristics): intestinal MSI ($n = 10$), intestinal microsatellite stable (MSS) $(n = 20)$ and diffuse MSS $(n = 16)$. Due to the infrequent occurrence of MSI among diffuse cancers, no ''diffuse MSI'' group could be assembled. The stage of tumours was determined according to the TNM classification.²⁴

Formalin-fixed paraffin-wax-embedded specimens of tumours and matching normal tissues were collected from the pathology departments of different hospitals in Finland. Based on histological verification, areas with pure normal or high tumour percentages were selected and subsequently dissected out for DNA extraction. DNA was prepared from archival tissue samples according to the method of Isola et al.²⁵ In addition, $5 \mu m$ thick tissue sections were cut, mounted on glass slides (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and air-dried overnight at 37˚C for immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. The relevant institutional review boards of the Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki Finland and Jyväskylä, Finland, Central Hospital, Jyväskylä approved this study.

Histology and H pylori status

Gastric carcinomas were classified according to Laurén⁸ into intestinal and diffuse types of tumours, and the differentiation grade was determined according to the World Health Organization classification.26 Chronic gastritis, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and H pylori infection were evaluated and graded according to the Sydney classification.²⁷ H pylori status was verified using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Alcianblue/periodic acid Schiff stains added with modified Giemsa.

IHC analysis

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-wax-embedded tissue sections were stained by IHC methods using the following primary antibodies of mouse: anti-MLH1 (clone G168-15, Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA), anti-MSH2 (clone FE-11, Calbiochem, San Diego, California, USA /Oncogene Research, San Diego, California, USA), anti-MSH6 (clone 44, Transduction Laboratories, San Diego, California, USA), anti-b-catenin antibody (clone 14, BD Transduction Laboratories) and anti-p53 (clone DO7, DakoCytomation, Dako).²⁸ The DAKO EnVision+ System (DakoCytomation, Dako) was applied according to the manufacturer's instructions with antigen-retrieval step by microwave boiling for 15 min in citrate buffer pH 6.0. IHC results for the MMR proteins were interpreted as described previously.²⁹ β -Catenin expression was considered aberrant if there was nuclear staining in $>10\%$ (not observed in the matching normal tissue). In reporting p53 protein stabilisation a cut-off level of $>10\%$ positive tumour cells was used.

MSI analysis

MSI status was determined by using the Bethesda panel (BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123 and D17S250).30 Tumours with two or more unstable markers were considered to have MSI-H, whereas those with no unstable markers were considered as MSS.

Loss of heterozygosity

Single nucleotide primer extension (SNuPE) based on a polymorphism in exon 8 was used to analyse the LOH at MLH1.29 In the carriers of a genomic deletion of MLH1 exon 16, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) was also applied to detect locus-restricted LOH.31 LOH at adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) was examined by SNuPE analysis using a polymorphism in exon 11 as well as flanking microsatellite markers, D5S1965 (200 kb upstream of APC) and D5S346 ($<$ 100 kb downstream of APC).³² In both SNuPE and microsatellite marker LOH analyses, ratios of allelic peak areas in normal tissue to tumour were calculated, and values $<$ 0.6 or $>$ 1.67 (indicating that the transcript of one allele had decreased $>40\%$) were considered to be strict LOH³³ and ratios between 0.61–0.75 and 1.66–1.33 were considered to be putative LOH.14 15 34

Mutation analysis

To examine whether patients with HNPCC gastric cancer carried the mutations previously identified in their families, the respective exons of MLH1 and MSH2 were screened by direct sequencing of genomic PCR products using primers from Chadwick et al.³⁵ For the genomic deletion affecting MLH1 exon 16, a direct assay described in Nyström-Lahti et al³⁶ was used.

KRAS, CTNNB1 and BRAF were screened for mutations by single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of genomic DNA, followed by sequencing, to determine the exact nucleotide changes. KRAS exon 2 was studied with primers from Deng et al.³⁷ The primers for the CTNNB1 exon 3 were forward 5'-TGCTAATACTGTTTCGTATTTATAGC-3' and reverse 5'-TGACTTTCAGTAAGGCAATGA-3'. BRAF exon 15 was studied using primers from Abdel-Rahman et al.²⁸

Eight mononucleotide repeats in six frameshift-prone target genes were studied using primers shown in table 1.

Methylation-specific MLPA

The SALSA methylation-specific (MS)-MLPA ME001 (MRC Amsterdam, Holland) tumour suppressor probe mix detects aberrant methylation of DNA using probes containing a digestion site for the methylation-sensitive HhaI enzyme. All reactions were carried out and the results were analysed according to the manufacturer's instructions (http://www.mrcholland.com). The 24 different tumour-suppressor genes whose methylation status could be monitored are TP73, CASP8, VHL, RARB, MLH1, RASSF1, FHIT, APC, ESR1, CDKN1B, CDKN2A/ p14ARF, CDKN2B, DAPK1, PTEN, CD44, GSTP1, ATM, IGSF4, CHFR, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDH13, HIC1 and TIMP3. Normal DNA specimens derived from the lymphocytes of healthy controls and tumour cell lines (HCT116, HCT15, RKO, HEC59, LoVo, SW48), with verified methylation status, were included in every assay. For each MLPA reaction, 100–150 ng of DNA extracted from paraffin-wax-embedded tissue was used.

The MS-MLPA technique was validated on tumour cell lines by demonstrating high concordance relative to the results obtained by an independent method (methylation-specific PCR). On the basis of our titration experiments with cell lines known to have full methylation or complete lack of methylation of a given gene and, in the case of MLH1, correlating methylation level and protein expression, a dosage ratio of >0.15 (corresponding to 15% of methylated DNA) was

regarded to indicate promoter methylation. This threshold value also provided the best discrimination of tumour DNA relative to paired normal DNA where no methylation was generally expected.

Statistical analysis

Significance level for the differences between groups (p value) was determined using Fisher's or Student's t test as appropriate. All reported p values were two-tailed, and values $<$ 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Clinicopathological characteristics

All gastric cancer specimens $(n = 15)$ from families with HNPCC, with known mutations in MMR genes, wherever adequate normal and tumour specimens were available, were collected and subjected to molecular analyses, and 13 tumours turned out to originate from germline mutation carriers (see below). The average age at diagnosis for HNPCC gastric cancer was 58 years. For comparison, a cohort of sporadic late-onset gastric cancers assigned to the following three subgroups was examined: intestinal MSI ($n = 10$; average age at diagnosis 76 years), intestinal MSS ($n = 20$; 73 years) and diffuse MSS $(n = 16; 69 \text{ years})$. Table 2 gives the results from Sydney $classification²⁷$ and the distribution of grades and stages. HNPCC and the three sporadic subgroups did not reveal essential differences in the Sydney classification. H pylori infection was rare in all groups. Lymphocyte infiltration of MSI colorectal cancers²³ was not common, being present in only 3/10, 1/10, 2/20 and 2/16 among HNPCC, intestinal MSI, intestinal MSS and diffuse MSS groups, respectively (data not shown). Poor differentiation was relatively common in HNPCC (4/9) and was more frequent for MSI than for MSS cancers among sporadic intestinal cancers $(5/10 \text{ vs } 1/20, \text{ p} = 0.009)$. Among the MSI cancers (HNPCC and sporadic), the tumour stages were relatively evenly distributed, whereas most sporadic intestinal MSS gastric cancers were diagnosed at stages I and II and most diffuse gastric cancers at stages III and IV.

MMR genes

Of the 15 examined patients with HNPCC, 13 were found to have the predisposing MMR gene germline mutation of their families, 11 in MLH1 and 2 in MSH2 (table 3). These cancers were mainly of intestinal histology (12/13, 92% and 1 diffuse). All 13 patients lacked the MMR protein corresponding to the

germline mutation (case 122:1 additionally lacked MSH6, as expected for a MSH2 mutation carrier), 38 and displayed a high degree of MSI. Among the initial 15 patients with tumours, 2 did not to have the predisposing MMR gene germline mutation of their families, making a phenocopy frequency of 13%. These tumours were MSS and showed no evidence of abnormal MMR protein expression. The two phenocopies were omitted from further calculations.

Among the sporadic gastric cancers that were studied for comparison, all intestinal MSI gastric cancers showed the absence of MLH1 protein by IHC (table 4). The mechanism of MLH1 inactivation was different between HNPCC and sporadic gastric cancers. Among sporadic MSI cancers, it was probably due to MLH1 promoter methylation that was present in 7/10 (70%), and the degree of methylation (by MS-MLPA, see Materials and methods section) suggested the methylation of both alleles. HNPCC cancers showed no significant MLH1 promoter methylation (0/13; p <0.001 for differences relative to the sporadic MSI tumours). Instead, by SNuPE and MLPA, LOH at MLH1 seemed to be an important mechanism for somatic inactivation of the wild-type allele in HNPCC gastric cancers (4/ 8, 50%). Only two of the 10 sporadic intestinal MSI tumours were informative (constitutionally heterozygotic) for the intragenic MLH1 polymorphism used in SNuPE, and one showed putative LOH. This tumour was one of those three that did not show MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in this group.

Wnt pathway genes

In the HNPCC group, strict or putative LOH at APC occurred in 5 (42%) of the 12 informative tumours. In general, APC-LOH was typical of intestinal tumours, whether hereditary or sporadic and whether MSI or MSS (20/39, 51%), and was significantly less common in diffuse tumours (2/17, 12%; $p = 0.001$; tables 3 and 4). Nuclear localisation of β -catenin was seen in 2/10 (20%) of gastric cancers from inherited MMR gene mutation carriers and in sporadic cancers with comparable frequencies (table 4). Among the 16 tumours with nuclear localisation of b-catenin, APC-LOH co-occurred in 9 cases (56%). No mutations in the β -catenin gene, CTNNB1, were found in any group.

p53, KRAS/BRAF

The number of tumours with positive/stabilised p53 ranged from 20–30% in all four groups (table 4). Somatic mutations in

available/not applicable. *Of the 13 MMR gene mutation-positive gastric cancers, 10 were available for evaluation. Differentiation grade and tumour stage are also shown.

KRAS were only found in MSI tumours, 4/23 (17%), as opposed to none among 36 MSS tumours $(p = 0.019)$. All KRAS mutations consisted of G12D. No somatic mutations in BRAF were found in any group.

Mononucleotide repeats within growth-regulatory genes

The tumours were screened for mutations in six growthregulatory target genes known to be prone to inactivation by frameshift mutations as they contain mononucleotide repeats in their coding regions (PTEN, ACVR2, BLM, MBD4, TGF β RII) or in an adjacent intronic splice site (MRE11A). All genes have previously been implicated in the development of sporadic gastric or colorectal cancers and the protein products of at least three (BLM,³⁹ MBD4,⁴⁰ MRE11A⁴¹) interact with MLH1. As expected, frameshift mutations were limited to MSI gastric cancers only, whether hereditary or sporadic, and were absent in MSS tumours (68/135 vs 0/97, p<0.001). ACVR2, TGF β RII and MRE11A were highly mutable in MSI tumours, with mutation frequencies ranging between 62% and 100%; MBD4 was mutated in 18–40%, and BLM and PTEN in \leq 10% (tables 3 and 4). The high rate of ACVR2 and $TGF\beta RII$ frameshift

mutations might be explained by the similarity between the activin and $TGF\beta$ signalling systems: as both systems use the same set of SMADs, they may share common regulatory mechanisms.⁴²

Promoter methylation of tumour-suppressor genes

MS-MLPA method was used to examine the methylation status of the promoters for 24 tumour-suppressor genes, including MLH1 (see Materials and methods section). Table 4 gives the average numbers of methylated genes among these 24 for each group of tumours as well as the methylation frequencies for selected loci that showed frequent methylation in tumour DNA (but not in paired normal DNA). As described above, MLH1 methylation was absent in HNPCC gastric cancers in contrast to sporadic MSI gastric cancers ($p<0.001$). The average number of genes with promoter methylation among all 24 genes studied was significantly associated with MSI, being 4.9 for the MSI tumours (HNPCC and sporadic intestinal) compared with 2.0 for the MSS tumours (sporadic intestinal and diffuse; $p<0.001$). The present sporadic tumours, in particular, highlighted the fact that MSI, and not histology, determined the general methylation profile, as among intestinal tumours, the

average number of methylated genes was significantly higher for MSI than MSS tumours $(5.9 \text{ vs } 2.3, \text{ p} < 0.001)$, whereas among MSS tumours, intestinal and diffuse tumours showed no difference (2.3 vs 1.6; table 4). Note that there was a significant difference within MSI tumours depending on whether the tumours represented the HNPCC or the sporadic intestinal MSI group $(4.1 \text{ vs } 5.9; \text{ p} = 0.038)$. As the MLH1 methylation status was drastically different in these two groups (table 4), one could conclude that the general methylation profile did not entirely follow the methylation status of MLH1 even if the whole study series showed a strong correlation between MLH1 methylation and methylation of other tumoursuppressor-promoter loci $(p<0.001)$.

DISCUSSION

Associations of molecular alterations with histology and MSI status

The present study of 61 gastric cancer samples revealed several important universal associations that increase the understanding of gastric tumourigenesis. First, LOH in the APC region was associated with intestinal histology regardless of MSI $(p = 0.007)$. Other investigators have also noted an association between APC-LOH and intestinal histology, $43,44$ although contradictory reports exist.⁴⁵ The Wnt pathway, in general, was found to be involved in nearly half (27/59, 46%) of the present gastric carcinomas, through either alterations in β -catenin expression (nuclear localisation) or APC-LOH. We found no mutations in CTNNB1, which is in agreement with many published studies (eg, Sasaki et al⁴⁶ and Kim et al⁴⁷), whereas fairly high mutation frequencies (up to 38%) and variable associations with histology have also been reported.^{48 49}

Second, KRAS mutations ($p = 0.019$) and frameshift mutations in repeat-containing tumour-suppressor genes $(p<0.001)$ were limited to MSI tumours, being absent in MSS tumours, whether intestinal or diffuse. Other investigators have also described a preferential occurrence of KRAS mutations in MSI gastric cancers.50 51 Our data on instability in repeat-containing target genes (association with MSI tumours, overall frequencies of frameshift mutations) are broadly compatible with the previous reports on sporadic gastric cancers.⁵²⁻⁵⁶ The instability profile we report for gastric cancer shares features with gastrointestinal tumours in general, such as high frequency of TGFβRII, ACVR2 and MRE11A mutations.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ Specifically, the instability profile for our HNPCC gastric cancers resembles that reported for colorectal or small bowel tumours from patients with HNPCC.⁶¹⁻⁶⁴

Third, the average number of methylated tumour-suppressorgene loci among the 24 loci that were studied was significantly higher in MSI versus MSS tumours, regardless of histology $(p<0.001)$. Our results are broadly compatible with some previous reports, which found by studying a variable number of indicator genes (typically \leq 10) that MSI gastric cancers are associated with MLH1 hypermethylation and CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP).^{47 65} Recent observations on colorectal cancers suggest the existence of a CIMP+, non-MSI group that shows different clinicopathological characteristics relative to the CIMP+, MSI group.⁶⁶ Although the average number of methylated loci in our sporadic MSS gastric cancers was low (2 out of 24 loci studied), a small subgroup (3/36 tumours) did exist that had 6–7 methylated loci per tumour, implying that a CIMP+, non-MSI phenotype also exists among gastric cancers. Interestingly, two of these tumours were diagnosed at stage I and one at stage II. MSS gastric cancers, in general, showed an inverse trend between the overall methylation index and tumour stage (with average methylation indices of 2.5, 3.1, 1.6 and 0.71 for stages I, II, III and IV, respectively). Among the MSI gastric cancers (HNPCC and Table 4 Summary of molecular data on hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (mismatch repair gene germline mutation positive) and sporadic gastric cancers

HNPCC, hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer; MMR, DNA mismatch repair; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not done. *Twelve intestinal and one diffuse

- Of the 24 studied genes

Values are given as proportion of tumours with alterations (percentage) unless specified otherwise.

sporadic), the methylation index was similar for all stages (being 4.3, 4.9, 5.3 and 4.3 according to increasing stage). Besides the CIMP+ non-MSI group, the relatively high methylation index in the present HNPCC gastric cancers, which was associated with MSI but did not involve MLH1 promoter, may represent another variant of CIMP. H pylori infection has been suggested to induce methylation of CpG islands in gastric mucosa.⁶⁷ In our study, H pylori infection was rare in all groups and therefore it is unlikely to explain the observed methylation differences.

Differences and similarities between HNPCC and sporadic gastric cancers

The present gastric cancers from germline carriers of MMR gene mutations had mostly intestinal histology; most showed the lack of MLH1 protein and all displayed a high-degree of MSI. Thus, the closest sporadic counterpart was intestinal MSI gastric cancer, most of which were also MLH1-deficient as observed in this and in previous studies.⁶⁸ According to our findings, the tumourigenic events in HNPCC gastric cancers closely resembled those in sporadic intestinal MSI gastric cancers, except for the mechanism of MLH1 inactivation and overall epigenetic changes. Thus, although biallelic methylation of the MLH1 promoter underlies MSI and the absence of MLH1 protein in most sporadic cancers, no significant MLH1 promoter methylation was seen in HNPCC, and, instead, the wild-type allele was inactivated by LOH. Even, more importantly, the overall frequency of tumour-suppressor-gene loci with promoter methylation was significantly ($p = 0.038$) lower in HNPCC than in sporadic intestinal MSI gastric cancers, let alone sporadic MSS gastric cancers, which suggests that despite many similarities, the tumourigenic mechanisms in HNPCC and sporadic intestinal MSI gastric cancers are not identical. The methylation rates of certain loci are known to increase with age,⁶⁹ and as our patients with HNPCC gastric cancers were diagnosed at a younger age than patients with sporadic intestinal MSI gastric cancers (average 58 vs 76 years, respectively) one might argue that age played a role. This

may partly be true as, for example, the oestrogen receptor α locus whose methylation has typically been connected with age was less often methylated in HNPCC than sporadic intestinal MSI tumours; by contrast, the possible association with age did not extend to sporadic MSS cancers (table 4).

Gastric cancers and HNPCC tumour spectrum

According to our study, HNPCC gastric cancer (MMR gene germline mutation positive) has the following characteristics: intestinal histology (92%), MSI-high (100%), absence of the MMR protein corresponding to the germline mutation (100%), frequent APC-LOH as typical of intestinal gastric cancer, patterns of KRAS mutation and mutations in repeat-containing target genes similar to sporadic MSI gastric cancers and a unique pattern of promoter methylation of tumour-suppressor genes. Additionally, our previous investigation shows rare changes in DNA copy number by comparative genomic hybridisation.⁷⁰

At present, gastric cancer is not included in the Amsterdam II criteria² but is included in the revised Bethesda criteria.²³ Evidence to support the idea that a given type of tumour from a MMR gene germline mutation carrier is part of the HNPCC tumour spectrum would include the increased incidence in HNPCC compared with the average population and the demonstration of MMR deficiency as the driving force for tumourigenesis.71 The relative risk of gastric cancer in HNPCC mutation carriers compared with the general population has been reported to be higher by $4-19$ -fold³⁴⁷² in populations of the Western world and at least by twofold in endemic areas in Asia.5 Although all tissues of a MMR gene germline mutation carrier are genetically predisposed to cancer as all cells carry the ''first hit'', tumour development additionally requires somatic inactivation of the remaining wild-type allele (''second hit'') in a target tissue. If a second hit does not occur, there will be no loss of MMR protein, no MSI and no MMR deficiency-driven tumourigenesis. This was not the case with the present HNPCC gastric cancers, which showed MLH1-LOH as a second hit,

MMR protein loss, MSI-high and a number of other tumourigenic events typical of MMR deficiency. Together, these data justify considering gastric cancer as a true HNPCC spectrum malignancy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Kirsi Pylvänäinen, Tuula Lehtinen and Kaija Koivula for sample collection and procurement, and Saila Saarinen for laboratory analyses. This study was supported by the Academy of Finland, the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the Albin K. Johansson Foundation, Helsinki University Science Foundation, Jyväskylä Central Hospital Science Foundation and the Cancer Society of Middle-Finland.

.......................

Authors' affiliations

A Gylling, W M Abdel-Rahman, E Hautala, P Peltomäki, Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

M Juhola, K Nuorva, Department of Pathology, Jyväskylä Central Hospital, Jyväskylä, Finland

H J Järvinen, Second Department of Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

J-P Mecklin, M Aarnio, Department of Surgery, Jyväskylä Central Hospital, Jyväskylä, Finland

Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Rahman WM, Mecklin JP, Peltomaki P. The genetics of HNPCC: application to diagnosis and screening. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2006;58:208–20.
- 2 Vasen HF, Watson P, Mecklin JP, et al. New clinical criteria for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC, Lynch syndrome) proposed by the
international collaborative group on HNPCC. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:1453–6.
- 3 Aarnio M, Sankila R, Pukkala E, et al. Cancer risk in mutation carriers of DNAmismatch-repair genes. Int J Cancer 1999;81:214–18.
- 4 Goecke T, Schulmann K, Engel C, et al. Genotype-phenotype comparison of German MLH1 and MSH2 mutation carriers clinically affected with Lynch syndrome: a report by the German HNPCC consortium. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:4285–92.
- 5 Park YJ, Shin KH, Park JG. Risk of gastric cancer in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer in Korea. Clin Cancer Res 2000;6:2994–8.
- 6 Zhang YZ, Sheng JQ, Li SR, et al. Clinical phenotype and prevalence of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome in Chinese population. World J Gastroenterol 2005;11:1481–8.
- 7 Vasen HF, Stormorken A, Menko FH, et al. MSH2 mutation carriers are at higher risk of cancer than MLH1 mutation carriers: a study of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer families. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:4074–80.
- 8 Laurén P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and socalled intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 1965;64:31–49. 9 Werner M, Becker KF, Keller G, et al. Gastric adenocarcinoma:
- pathomorphology and molecular pathology. *J Cancer Res Clin Onco*ı
2001;**127**:207–16.
- 10 Zheng L, Wang L, Ajani J, et al. Molecular basis of gastric cancer development and progression. Gastric Cancer 2004;7:61–77.
- 11 Lynch HT, Grady W, Suriano G, et al. Gastric cancer: new genetic evelopments. \acute{J} Surg Oncol 2005;90:114–33; discussion 133.
- 12 Tamura G. Alterations of tumor suppressor and tumor-related genes in the development and progression of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2006;12:192–8.
- 13 Ming SC. Cellular and molecular pathology of gastric carcinoma and precursor lesions: a critical review. Gastric Cancer 1998;1:31–50.
- Kim KM, Kwon MS, Hong SJ, et al. Genetic classification of intestinal-type and diffuse-type gastric cancers based on chromosomal loss and microsatellite instability. Virchows Arch 2003;443:491–500.
- 15 Vauhkonen M, Vauhkonen H, Sajantila A, et al. Differences in genomic instability between intestinal- and diffuse-type gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2005;8:238–44.
- Leung SY, Yuen ST, Chung LP, et al. hMLH1 promoter methylation and lack of hMLH1 expression in sporadic gastric carcinomas with high-frequency microsatellite instability. Cancer Res 1999;59:159–64.
- 17 Fleisher AS, Esteller M, Wang S, et al. Hypermethylation of the hMLH1 gene promoter in human gastric cancers with microsatellite instability. Cancer Res 1999;59:1090–5.
- 18 Suzuki H, Itoh F, Toyota M, et al. Distinct methylation pattern and microsatellite instability in sporadic gastric cancer. Int J Cancer 1999;83:309–13.
- 19 Carvalho B, Pinto M, Cirnes L, et al. Concurrent hypermethylation of gene promoters is associated with a MSI-H phenotype and diploidy in gastric carcinomas. Eur J Cancer 2003;39:1222-7.
- 20 Becker KF, Atkinson MJ, Reich U, et al. E-cadherin gene mutations provide clues to diffuse type gastric carcinomas. Cancer Res 1994;54:3845-52.
- 21 Grady WM, Willis J, Guilford PJ, et al. Methylation of the CDH1 promoter as the second genetic hit in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. Nat Gene $2000 \cdot 26 \cdot 16 - 17$
- 22 Vasen HF, Mecklin JP, Khan PM, et al. The international collaborative group on hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (ICG-HNPCC). Dis Colon Rectum 1991;34:424–5.
- 23 Umar A, Boland CR, Terdiman JP, et al. Revised Bethesda guidelines for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) and microsatellite
- instability. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004;96:261–8. 24 Sobin LH, Wittekind CH, eds. UICC:TNM classification of malignant tumors.5th edn. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 2005.
- 25 **Isola J**, DeVries S, Chu L, et al. Analysis of changes in DNA sequence copy number by comparative genomic hybridization in archival paraffin-embedded tumor samples. Am J Pathol 1994;145:1301–8.
- 26 Fenoglio-Preiser C, Carneiro F, Correa P, et al. Tumours of the stomach Hamilton SR, Aaltonen LA, eds. In: Pathology and genetics of tumours of the digestive system. Lyon: IARC Press, 2000:38–52.
- 27 Dixon MF, Genta RM, Yardley JH, et al. Classification and grading of gastritis. The updated Sydney System. International Workshop on the Histopathology of Gastritis, Houston 1994. Am J Surg Pathol 1996;20:1161–81.
- 28 Abdel-Rahman WM, Ollikainen M, Kariola R, et al. Comprehensive characterization of HNPCC-related colorectal cancers reveals striking molecular features in families with no germline mismatch repair gene mutations. Oncogene 2005;24:1542–51.
- 29 Renkonen E, Zhang Y, Lohi H, et al. Altered expression of MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 in predisposition to hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:3629–37.
- 30 **Boland CR**, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on microsatellite instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 1998;58:5248-57.
- 31 **Zhang J**, Lindroos A, Ollila S, et al. Gene conversion is a frequent mechanism of inactivation of the wild-type allele in cancers from MLH1/MSH2 deletion carriers. Cancer Res 2006;66:659–64.
- 32 Renkonen ET, Nieminen P, Abdel-Rahman WM, et al. Adenomatous polyposis families that screen APC mutation-negative by conventional methods are enetically heterogeneous. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:5651–9.
- 33 Ollikainen M, Abdel-Rahman WM, Moisio AL, et al. Molecular analysis of familial endometrial carcinoma: a manifestation of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer or a separate syndrome? J Clin Oncol 2005;23:4609–16.
- 34 Cleton-Jansen AM, Callen DF, Seshadri R, et al. Loss of heterozygosity mapping at chromosome arm 16q in 712 breast tumors reveals factors that influence
- delineation of candidate regions. *Cancer Res* 2001;**61**:1171–7.
35 **Chadwick RB**, Pyatt RE, Niemann TH, *et al.* Hereditary and somatic DNA mismatch repair gene mutations in sporadic endometrial carcinoma. *J Med*
Genet 2001;**38**:461–6.
- 36 Nystrom-Lahti M, Kristo P, Nicolaides NC, et al. Founding mutations and Alu-
- mediated recombination in hereditary colon cancer. Nat Med 1995;1:1203–6. 37 Deng G, Bell I, Crawley S, et al. BRAF mutation is frequently present in sporadic colorectal cancer with methylated hMLH1, but not in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:191–5.
- 38 Schweizer P, Moisio AL, Kuismanen SA, et al. Lack of MSH2 and MSH6 characterizes endometrial but not colon carcinomas in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 2001;61:2813–15.
- 39 Langland G, Kordich J, Creaney J, et al. The Bloom's syndrome protein (BLM) interacts with MLH1 but is not required for DNA mismatch repair. J Biol Chem 2001;276:30031–5.
- 40 Parsons BL. MED1: a central molecule for maintenance of genome integrity and response to DNA damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:14601–2.
- 41 Her C, Vo AT, Wu X. Evidence for a direct association of hMRE11 with the human mismatch repair protein hMLH1. DNA Repair Amst, 2002;1:719-29.
- 42 Deacu E, Mori Y, Sato F, *et al.* Activin type II receptor restoration in ACVR2-
deficient colon cancer cells induces transforming growth factor-β response pathway genes. Cancer Res 2004;**64**:7690-6.
- 43 Sano T, Tsujino T, Yoshida K, et al. Frequent loss of heterozygosity on chromosomes 1q, 5q, and 17p in human gastric carcinomas. Cancer Res 1991;51:2926–31.
- 44 Tahara E. Molecular biology of gastric cancer. World J Surg 1995;19:484–8; discussion 489–90.
- 45 Sugai T, Habano W, Nakamura S, et al. Correlation of histologic morphology and tumor stage with molecular genetic analysis using microdissection in gastric carcinomas. Diagn Mol Pathol 1998;7:235-40.
- 46 Sasaki Y, Morimoto I, Kusano M, et al. Mutational analysis of the β -catenin gene n gastric carcinomas. Tumour Biol 2001;22:123-30.
- 47 Kim HC, Kim JC, Roh SA, et al. Aberrant CpG island methylation in early-onset sporadic gastric carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2005;131:733–40.
- 48 Park WS, Oh RR, Park JY, et al. Frequent somatic mutations of the β -catenin gene n intestinal-type gastric cancer. Cancer Res 1999;59:4257-60.
- 49 Clements WM, Wang J, Sarnaik A, et al. B-Catenin mutation is a frequent cause of Wnt pathway activation in gastric cancer. *Cancer Res* 2002;**62**:3503–6.
50 **Brennetot C**, Duval A, Hamelin R, *et al.* Frequent Ki-ras mutations in gastric
-
- tumors of the MSI phenotype. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**125**:1282.
51 **Zhao W**, Chan TL, Chu KM, *et al. M*utations of BRAF and KRAS in gastric cancer and their association with microsatellite instability. Int J Cancer 2004;108:167–9.
- 52 Calin G, Ranzani GN, Amadori D, et al. Somatic frameshift mutations in the Bloom syndrome BLM gene are trequent in sporadic gastric carcinomas with
microsatellite mutator phenotype. *BMC Genet* 2001;2:14.
53 **Mori Y**, Sato F, Selaru FM, *et al.* Instabilotyping reveals unique mutational
- spectra in microsatellite-unstable gastric cancers. *Cancer Res 2*002;**62**:3641–5.
54 **Pinto M**, Wu Y, Suriano G, *et al. MBD4* mutations are rare in gastric carcinomas
- with microsatellite instability. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2003;145:103-7.
- 55 Pinto M, Oliveira C, Cirnes L, et al. Promoter methylation of TGF β receptor I and mutation of TGFb receptor II are frequent events in MSI sporadic gastric carcinomas. J Pathol 2003;200:32–8.
- 56 **Ottini L**, Falchetti M, Saieva C, et al. MRE11 expression is impaired in gastric
cancer with microsatellite instability. *Carcinogenesis* 2004;**25**:2337–43.
57 **Mori Y**, Yin J, Rashid A, *et al.* Instabilotyping: compr
- frameshift mutations caused by coding region microsatellite instability. Cancer Res 2001;61:6046–9.
- Hempen PM, Zhang L, Bansal RK, et al. Evidence of selection for clones having genetic inactivation ot the activin A type II receptor (ACVR2) gene in
gastrointestinal cancers. *Cancer Res* 2003;**63**:994–9.
- 59 Jung B, Doctolero RT, Tajima A, et al. Loss of activin receptor type 2 protein expression in microsatellite unstable colon cancers. Gastroenterology 2004;126:654–9.
- Giannini G, Rinaldi C, Ristori E, et al. Mutations of an intronic repeat induce impaired MRE11 expression in primary human cancer with microsatellite instability. Oncogene 2004;23:2640–7.
- 61 Planck M, Wenngren E, Borg A, et al. Somatic frameshift alterations in mononucleotide repeat-containing genes in different tumor types from an HNPCC family with germline MSH2 mutation. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2000;29:33–9.
- 62 Kuismanen SA, Moisio AL, Schweizer P, et al. Endometrial and colorectal tumors trom patients with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer display ditterent patterns
of microsatellite instability. Am J Pathol 2002;**160**:1953–8.
- 63 Gonzalez-Aguilera JJ, Nejda N, Fernandez FJ, et al. Genetic alterations and MSI status in primary, synchronous, and metachronous tumors in a family with

hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). *Am J Clin Oncol*
2003;**26**:386–91.

- 64 Schulmann K, Brasch FE, Kunstmann E, et al. HNPCC-associated small bowel cancer: clinical and molecular characteristics. Gastroenterology 2005;128:590–9.
- 65 An C, Choi IS, Yao JC, et al. Prognostic significance of CpG island methylator phenotype and microsatellite instability in gastric carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:656–63.
- 66 Samowitz WS, Albertsen H, Herrick J, et al. Evaluation of a large, populationbased sample supports a CpG island methylator phenotype in colon cancer. Gastroenterology 2005;129:837–45.
- 67 Maekita T, Nakazawa K, Mihara M, et al. High levels of aberrant DNA methylation in Helicobacter pylori-infected gastric mucosae and its possible association with gastric cancer risk. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:989–95.
- 68 Baek MJ, Kang H, Kim SE, et al. Expression of hMLH1 is inactivated in the gastric adenomas with enhanced microsatellite instability. Br J Cancer 2001;85:1147–52.
-
- 69 **Toyota M**, Ahuja N, Ohe-Toyota M, *et al.* CpG island methylator phenotype in
colorectal cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;*96:8681–6.
70 **Larramendy MI**, el-Ritai W, Kokkola A, *et al.* Comparative genomic
hybri ereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1998;106:62–5.
- 71 Watson P, Riley B. The tumor spectrum in the Lynch syndrome. Fam Cancer 2005;4:245–8.
- 72 Vasen HF, Wijnen JT, Menko FH, et al. Cancer risk in families with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer diagnosed by mutation analysis. Gastroenterology 1996;110:1020–7.
- 73 Holmberg M, Kristo P, Chadwicks RB, et al. Mutation sharing, predominant involvement of the MLH1 gene and description of four novel mutations in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Mutations in brief no. 144. Online. Hum Mutat 1998;11:482.

Access a vast information database with Toll-Free linking

''Toll-free'' linking gives you immediate access to the full text of many of the cited articles in a paper's reference list—FOR FREE. With the support of HighWire's vast journal catalogue, a huge reference library is now open to you. If HighWire hosts the journal, you can view the full text of the referenced article, completely free of charge by following the Free Full Text links in the references.