# Coronary disease LONG TERM MEDICAL TREATMENT OF **STABLE CORONARY DISEASE**

David M Shavelle 1473

Heart 2007; 93:1473-1477. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2005.085464

Take the online multiple choice auestions associated with this article (see page 1483)

hronic stable angina is a common manifestation of cardiovascular disease and represents a frequent problem encountered for medical practitioners. While percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is routinely performed in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), medical treatment remains the cornerstone for long term treatment. The goals of medical treatment in patients with established CAD are to: (1) reduce the frequency and severity of angina, thereby improving the quality of life; (2) reduce future cardiovascular events; and (3) improve survival. This review will specifically focus on the long term medical management of patients with chronic stable angina.

## PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENT

Medical treatment for chronic CAD is best classified as either (1) antianginal or (2) vascular protective. Antianginal medications improve exercise duration until onset of angina, decrease the severity and frequency of anginal episodes, and improve objective measures of ischaemia such as time to onset of exercise induced ST segment depression. In contrast, vascular protective medications may reduce progression of atherosclerosis and potentially stabilise coronary plaques, thereby reducing future cardiovascular events.

## Antianginal medications

### β-blockers

 $\beta$ -blockers should be first line treatment in patients with established CAD. For patients with a prior history of myocardial infarction (MI), β-blockers reduce mortality by approximately 20%.<sup>1</sup> β-blockers are classified according to their ability to block the  $\beta_1$  and/or  $\beta_2$  receptors (referred to as cardiac selectivity) and the presence of additional pharmacodynamic properties (table 1).

Despite perceived contraindications such as reactive airway disease, peripheral vascular disease and diabetes mellitus, cardioselective  $\beta$ -blockers are well tolerated in the majority of patients.<sup>2</sup> Absolute contraindications to β-blockers include severe bradycardia, advanced atrioventricular block, decompensated congestive heart failure and severe reactive airway disease when airway support is required. Even in the absence of perceived and absolute contraindications, the use of  $\beta$ -blockers in patients with established CAD remains low.<sup>3</sup> In a large meta-analysis,  $\beta$ -blockers were found to have similar efficacy to calcium channel blockers for angina relief, but were associated with fewer adverse events.<sup>4</sup> Potential side effects of  $\beta$ -blockers include impaired sexual function, reduced exercise capacity, bradycardia and generalised fatigue.

In patients with stable angina who also manifest congestive heart failure symptoms with reduced left systolic function,  $\beta$ -blockers are particularly beneficial and reduce heart failure related mortality by approximately 35%.5

### Calcium channel blockers

In the early 1990s, several studies documented adverse cardiovascular events with several short acting formulations of calcium channel blockers, which subsequently reduced the use of this class of agents in patients with chronic stable angina.<sup>6</sup> However, recent trials including ACTION (A Coronary disease Trial Investigating Outcome with Nifedipine) and CAMELOT (Comparison of Amlodipine versus Enalapril to Limit Occurrences of Thrombosis) have documented that calcium channel blockers are safe and beneficial in the treatment of CAD (table 2).78

Calcium channel blockers are classified as dihydropyridine and non-dihydropyridine (table 3). For patients with absolute contraindications to β-blockers, calcium channel blockers should be initiated as first line treatment. When quality of life, preserved exercise capacity and normal sexual function are the primary treatment goals, calcium channel blockers can be considered as preferred treatment

Correspondence to: Dr David M Shavelle, Los Angeles BioMedical Research Institute at Harbor UCLA Medical Center, Division of Cardiology, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA 90509, USA; dshavelle@ hotmail.com

β-blocker class	Cardio- selective	Initial dose	Dose range	Unique additional properties
First generation				None
Propranolol	No	20 mg twice daily	40–320 mg twice daily	
Timolol	No	5 mg twice daily	10–240 mg twice daily	
Second generation		<b>o</b> ,	с ,	None
Metoprolol	Yes			
Short acting		25 mg twice daily	50–200 mg twice daily	
Sustained release		25 mg daily	50–200 mg daily	
Atenolol	Yes	25 mg daily	25–200 mg daily	
Bisoprolol	Yes	2.5 mg daily	2.5–20 mg daily	
Betaxolol	Yes	10 ma daily	10–40 mg daily	
Third generation		<b>ö</b> ,	0,	Vasodilation
Labetalol	No	100 mg twice daily	200–1200 mg twice daily	Higher affinity for $\alpha_1$ receptor ( $\alpha_1$ receptor blockade) than $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$
Carvedilol	Yes	3.125 mg twice daily	3.125–25 mg twice daily	β1 selective, ↓ selectivity at higher doses α1 receptor blockade; increases insulin sensitivity: antioxidant properties
Nebivolol	Yes	2.5 mg daily	2.5–10 mg daily	Higher β <sub>1</sub> selectivity; endothelium-dependen vasodilation via the L-arginine/nitric oxide pathway

over  $\beta$ -blockers. For patients who remain symptomatic despite optimal doses of  $\beta$ -blockers and nitrates, calcium channel blockers can be added with an acceptable safety profile.

Potential side effects of calcium channel blockers include oedema, dizziness, headache and constipation. Bradycardia and heart block can occur in patients with significant conduction system disease. For patients with severe systolic dysfunction, calcium channel blockers can worsen and/or precipitate congestive heart failure.

### Nitrates

A variety of formulations of nitrate based medications exist, and choosing a specific delivery route (oral, ointment or transdermal patches) and specific agent is largely based on personal preference. Regardless of the agent and route used, patients requiring chronic nitrate treatment must be managed for nitrate tolerance, which is defined as the loss of the haemodynamic and antianginal effects that occurs during prolonged nitrate treatment. The only accepted method to prevent nitrate tolerance is to provide a "nitrate-free" period of 8–12 h every 24 h, which is most practically accomplished during sleep. All patients with established CAD should carry either a sublingual or a spray form of glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) for use during anginal events. In an attempt to expedite care for cardiac patients, current guidelines advocate contacting emergency services for severe anginal episodes not relieved by one GTN dose.<sup>9</sup>

A common problem in clinical practice involves use of medications for erectile dysfunction such as sildenafil citrate (Viagra) when patients require nitrates for the relief and/or control of angina. Sildenafil citrate relaxes vascular smooth muscle cells and potentiates the hypotensive effects of nitrates. Therefore, for patients using nitrates in any form, either on a daily basis or intermittently, sildenafil citrate is contraindicated.<sup>10</sup>

#### Newer agents

Ranolazine is a novel antianginal agent that causes selective inhibition of the late sodium channel and was recently approved for the treatment of chronic stable angina. In the ERICA (Efficacy of Ranolazine in Chronic Angina) trial, ranolazine reduced both the frequency of angina and the use of GTN in patients with continued angina despite the maximum dose of amlodipine (10 mg/day).<sup>11</sup> Ranolazine should be considered in patients whom remain symptomatic despite optimal doses of  $\beta$ -blockers, calcium channel blockers and nitrates.

Study name	n	Patients studied	Treatment arms	Major findings
A Coronary disease Trial Investigating Outcome with Nifedipine (ACTION)	3825	Symptomatic, stable chronic angina, ambulatory, age 35 years, symptoms present ≥1 month	GITS 60 mg vs placebo	<ol> <li>Safe: No ↑ MI or CHF</li> <li>↓ Need for coronary angiography and PCI</li> </ol>
Comparison of Amlodipine vs Enalapril to Limit Occurrences of Thrombosis (CAMELOT)	1997	Symptomatic patients requiring angiography for evaluation of chest pain, angiographically documented CAD, diastolic BP <100 mg Hg	Amlodipine 10 mg vs enalapril 20 mg vs placebo	<ol> <li>↓ Cardiovascular event:</li> <li>↓ Progression of atherosclerosis as assessed by IVUS</li> </ol>

alcium channel blocker class	Initial dose	Dose range
Dihydropyridine		
Amlodipine	2.5 mg daily	5—10 mg daily
Nicardipine	20 mg three times daily	60–120 mg three times daily
Nifedipine	о́,	с ,
Short acting	10 mg three times daily	30–120 mg three times daily
Sustained release	30 mg daily	30–120 mg daily
Non-dihydropyridine	<b>ö</b> ,	0 /
Diltiazem		
Short acting	60 mg three times daily	60–120 mg three times daily
Sustained release	90 mg twice daily	90–180 mg twice daily
Sustained release	180 ma daily	180–360 ma daily
Verapamil	0 ,	5 ,
Short acting	40 mg three times daily	80–120 mg three times daily
Sustained release	80 mg daily	240–480 mg daily

#### Vascular protective medications Aspirin

A meta-analysis including 2920 patients with stable angina by the Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration found a 33% reduction in stroke, MI and vascular death with aspirin treatment.<sup>12</sup> The Swedish Angina Pectoris Aspirin Trial found similar findings with a 34% reduction in MI and sudden death in 2035 patients with stable angina randomised to aspirin 75 mg daily or placebo.<sup>13</sup> In the chronic setting, the appropriate dose of aspirin should be at least 75 mg per day.<sup>14</sup>

### Clopidogrel

While the use of clopidogrel is beneficial in patients with unstable angina and non-ST segment elevation MI (NSTEMI), its role in the long term management of patients with stable angina is less clear. The CAPRIE (Clopidogrel versus Aspirin in Patients at Risk of Ischemic Events) trial studied patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease, including a subgroup with chronic stable angina, and found clopidogrel to be superior to aspirin alone in reducing the composite end point of stroke, MI or vascular death.<sup>15</sup> However, outcome events for the subgroup with chronic stable angina have not been published. The recently reported CHARISMA (Clopidogrel for High Stabilization, Atherothrombotic Risk and Ischemic Management and Avoidance) trial included a subgroup of patients with documented CAD and angina and found only a possible benefit of clopidogrel in reducing MI, stroke and cardiovascular death.<sup>16</sup> Given that the clinical benefit was small and bleeding events were increased, the routine use of clopidogrel in patients with chronic stable angina above aspirin treatment remains controversial.

### Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors have been extensively studied in patients with CAD and various risk factor profiles. For those with preserved left ventricular function, ACE inhibitors reduce cardiovascular and all cause mortality by 17% and 13%, respectively.<sup>17</sup> These findings translate into the need to treat 100 patients for approximately 4 years to prevent one cardiovascular event (death, non-fatal MI or coronary revascularisation procedure). In contrast, the recently reported PEACE (Prevention of Events with Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibition) trial failed to show a benefit of the ACE inhibitor trandolapril in non-diabetic patients with known vascular

disease and normal left ventricular systolic function, possibly related to an effect of combined statin treatment or suboptimal dosing.<sup>18</sup> For patients with reduced left ventricular function, ACE inhibitors provide a more substantial benefit and reduce death or MI and all cause mortality by 23% and 20%, respectively.

Contraindications to ACE inhibitors include pregnancy, a history of angioedema or anuric renal failure during previous exposure to an ACE inhibitor and severe hypotension. While the majority of patients with pre-existing renal insufficiency often tolerate ACE inhibitors well, a baseline serum creatinine  $>220 \ \mu mol/l$  ( $>2.5 \ mg/dl$ ) should be considered a relative contraindication and requires close observation if an ACE inhibitor is initiated. The most common side effect of ACE inhibitors observed in clinical practice is a non-productive cough that occasionally requires discontinuation.

### HMG Co-A reductase inhibitors

The 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG Co-A) reductase inhibitors (statins) reduce future cardiovascular events including MI and death by approximately 25–30% in patients with established CAD.<sup>19</sup> A review of multiple studies indicates that the benefits of statins are proportional to the level of low density lipoprotein (LDL) reduction—that is, lower concentrations of LDL are associated with fewer cardiovascular events.<sup>20</sup> Although the optimal concentration of LDL cholesterol remains a moving target, recent studies suggest a goal of <1.8 mmol/l (<70 mg/dl) in patients with chronic stable angina.

Statins are generally well tolerated but require monitoring of liver enzymes at the initiation of treatment and with any change in dose. While a moderate elevation in liver enzymes ( $>3 \times$  normal) occurs in approximately 3% of patients treated with high dose statins, myositis and rhabdomyolysis are extremely rare side effects.

### Dipyridamole

Although dipyridamole was occasionally prescribed for patients with chronic stable angina, there were little data to support this. Furthermore, because dipyridamole causes vasodilation in addition to its antithrombotic effects, routine use can enhance exercise induced myocardial ischaemia in patients with chronic stable angina.<sup>21</sup>

## 1475

# Medical treatment of stable coronary disease: key points

- Medical treatment reduces the frequency and severity of angina, thereby improving quality of life, reduces future cardiovascular events and improves survival.
- In contrast, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) only reduces the frequency and severity of angina but does not reduce future cardiovascular events and does not improve survival.
- Medical treatment for chronic stable angina is best classified as (1) antianginal and (2) vascular protective.
- Optimal medical treatment for chronic stable angina includes aspirin, β-blockers, nitrates and statins. Calcium channel blockers can be considered for patients who remain symptomatic. ACE inhibitors can be considered for all patients with documented CAD, but provides the most benefit in the setting of reduced left ventricular function.
- The benefits of clopidogrel above aspirin treatment in patients with chronic stable angina have not yet been established.
- Ranolazine should be considered when patients remain symptomatic despite maximally tolerated doses of β-blockers, nitrates and calcium channel blockers.

### NON-PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENT

In addition to medical treatment, exercise, dietary modification and stress reduction should be applied in all patients. Additional therapeutic options in highly symptomatic patients on optimal medical treatment include external enhanced counter pulsation, transmyocardial laser revascularisation and PCI (table 4).

### Exercise

While firm data are lacking, common recommendations for aerobic exercise training include three times per week of at least 20 min duration.

### **Dietary modification**

A variety of diets have been proposed for patients with established CAD.<sup>22</sup> While considerable debate exists regarding the "optimal" diet, widely accepted recommendations include a diet that promotes fruits, vegetables, grains, low fat dairy products, fish, poultry and lean meat with a saturated fat intake of <10% and total cholesterol <200 mg/day.<sup>23</sup>

## External enhanced counterpulsation

External enhanced counterpulsation (EECP) is a non-invasive technique that uses three sets of pneumatic cuffs that are wrapped around the lower extremities and achieves haemodynamic effects similar to an intra-aortic balloon pump.<sup>24</sup> Appropriate patients for EECP include those with angina refractory to maximal medical treatment when surgical and/ or percutaneous revascularisation is not possible. Observational and randomised trials indicate that a majority of patients will achieve both an improvement in symptoms and exercise capacity that can persist for up to 2 years.<sup>25</sup>

### Laser revascularisation

The thermal energy of laser beams can be used to create channels in the myocardium either surgically (transmyocardial laser revascularisation—TMR) or percutaneously (percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularisation—PTMR). These channels are thought to deliver nutrients directly to ischaemic myocardium, but other suggested mechanisms include denervation of the left ventricle and promotion of angiogenesis. While initial observational trials found a benefit for laser revascularisation, recent randomised trials suggest a significant placebo effect and no difference between medical treatment and laser revascularisation.<sup>26</sup>

### Percutaneous coronary intervention

PCI has been shown to reduce the frequency and severity of angina, but does not reduce future cardiovascular events nor improve survival. In recent years there have been dramatic advances in the percutaneous management of CAD including newer anticoagulants such as bivalirudin, drug eluting stents and closure devices.<sup>27</sup> The recently reported COURAGE (Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation) trial found that a strategy of PCI combined with aggressive medical treatment was not superior to medical treatment alone in patients with stable angina.<sup>28</sup> PCI should therefore be reserved for patients who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical treatment.

### CONCLUSIONS

The long term medical management of patients with stable CAD includes the use of aspirin,  $\beta$ -blockers, nitrates and statins. Calcium channel blockers can be added if patients remain symptomatic, or used instead of  $\beta$ -blockers when quality of life issues (such as preserved exercise capacity) are

	Mechanism(s) of benefit	Advantages	Disadvantages
External enhanced counterpulsation (EECP)	Reduced aortic impedance Increased mean coronary perfusion pressure	Non-invasive No major adverse effects Relatively inexpensive	Minor adverse effects: leg discomfort and oedema Contraindications: severe CHF, AR, severe PVD and severe ectopy
Myocardial laser revascularisation (PTMR and TMR)	Direct delivery of nutrients to ischaemic myocardium via laser created channels Denervation of the left ventricle Promotion of angiogenesis	TMR can be used at time of CABG PTMR has low procedural morbidity	Significant placebo effect with both TMR and PTMR TMR—requires surgical or thoracoscopic approach Not widely available Benefits of PTMR not clear
Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)	Revascularise ischaemic myocardium	Reduction in angina in symptomatic patients Define coronary anatomy	Invasive Costly

AR, aortic regurgitation; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CHF, congestive heart failure; PTMR percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularisation; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; TMR, transmyocardial laser revascularisation.

### Non-pharmacologic treatment for stable coronary disease: key points

- Non-pharmacologic treatment for chronic stable angina includes external enhanced counterpulsation (EECP), laser revascularisation (TMR and PTMR) and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).
- The haemodynamics effects of EECP are similar to an intraaortic balloon pump.
- Clinical studies of laser revascularisation showing an improvement in angina are complicated by a significant placebo effect.
- PCI should be considered in patients with chronic stable angina who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical treatment.

the primary treatment goals. ACE inhibitors can be considered in patients with established CAD, but provide the most benefit in the setting of reduced left ventricular function. The novel agent ranolazine can be added to conventional treatment when patients remain symptomatic. PCI should be considered when angina limits quality of life.

### INTERACTIVE MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

This Education in Heart article has an accompanying series of six EBAC accredited multiple choice questions (MCQs).

To access the questions, click on BMJ Learning: Take this module on BMJ Learning from the content box at the top right and bottom left of the online article. For more information please go to: http://heart.bmj.com/misc/education.dtl Please note: The MCQs are hosted on BMJ Learning-the best available learning website for medical professionals from the BMJ Group.

If prompted, subscribers must sign into Heart with their journal's username and password. All users must also complete a one-time registration on BMJ Learning and subsequently log in (with a BMJ Learning username and password) on every visit.

In compliance with EBAC/EACCME guidelines, all authors participating in Education in Heart have disclosed potential conflicts of interest that might cause a bias in the article

### REFERENCES

- 1 Freemantle N, Cleland J, Young P, et al. β Blockade after myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta regression analysis. BMJ 1999;**318**:1730–7.
- Salpeter SR, Ormiston TM, Salpeter EE. Cardioselective beta-blockers in patients with reactive airway disease: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2002;**137**:715-25
- Wang TJ, Stafford RS. National patterns and predictors of beta-blocker use in patients with coronary artery disease. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:1901-6.
- Heidenreich PA, McDonald KM, Hastie T, et al. Meta-analysis of trials comparing beta-blockers, calcium antagonists, and nitrates for stable angina. JAMA 1999:**281**:1927-36.
- Meta-analysis comparing the three main classes of pharmacologic agents for the treatment of chronic stable angina.
- Klein L, O'Connor CM, Gattis WA, et al. Pharmacologic therapy for patients with chronic heart failure and reduced systolic function: review of trials and practical considerations. Am J Cardiol 2003;91(9A):18F-40F.
- Lenfant C. The calcium channel blocker scare. Lessons for the future. Circulation 1995;**91**:2855-6.

- 7 Poole-Wilson PA, Lubsen J, Kirwan BA, et al. Effect of long-acting nifedipine on mortality and cardiovascular morbidity in patients with stable angina requiring treatment (ACTION trial): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;364:849–57.
- 8 Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Libby P, et al. Effect of antihypertensive agents on cardiovascular events in patients with coronary disease and normal blood pressure: the CAMELOT study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004;292:2217-25.
- 9 Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction; a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (committee to revise the 1999 guidelines for the management of patients with acute myocardial infarction). J Am Coll Cardiol 2004:44:E1-211.
- Jackson G, Montorsi P, Cheitlin MD. Cardiovascular safety of sildenafil citrate 10 (Viagra): an updated perspective. *Urology* 2006;**68**(3 Suppl):47–60. Concise review of the cardiovascular issues related to sildenafil (Viagra).
- Excellent discussion of the problems with sildenafil in patients requiring nitrate treatment for CAD.
- Stone PH, Gratsiansky NA, Blokhin A, *et al.* Antianginal efficacy of ranolazine when added to treatment with amlodipine: the ERICA (Efficacy of Ranolazine in 11 Chronic Angina) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:566-75. The most recent clinical trial evaluating the new antianginal agent
- **Lip GY**, Chin BS, Prasad N. ABC of antithrombotic therapy: antithrombotic
- 12
- therapy in myocardial infarction and stable angina. *BNJ* 2002;**325**:1287–9. 13 Juul-Moller S, Edvardsson N, Jahnmatz B, *et al.* Double-blind trial of aspirin in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in patients with stable chronic angina pectoris. The Swedish Angina Pectoris Aspirin Trial (SAPAT) Group. Lancet 1992;340:1421-5.
- 14 Tran H, Anand SS. Oral antiplatelet therapy in cerebrovascular disease, coronary artery disease, and peripheral arterial disease. JAMA 2004:**292**:1867–74.
- Excellent review on oral antiplatelet treatment (aspirin and clopidogrel) in patients with atherosclerosis-sections of the review specifically discuss atients with chronic stable angina.
- CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomised, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events (CAPRIE). Lancet 1996;**348**:1329-39
- 16 Bhatt DL, Fox KA, Hacke W, et al. Clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med 2006:**354**:1706-17
- Al-Mallah MH, Tleyjeh IM, Abdel-Latif AA, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 17 inhibitors in coronary artery disease and preserved left ventricular systoli function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1576-83.
- 18 Braunwald E, Domanski MJ, Fowler SE, et al. Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition in stable coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2004;**351**:2058–68.
- Gotto AM Jr. Review of primary and secondary prevention trials with lovastatin, pravastatin, and simvastatin. Am J Cardiol 2005;96(5A):34F-8F. 19
- Summary of the trials evaluating statins for patient with established coronarý artery disease.
- 20 O'Keefe JH Jr, Cordain L, Harris WH, et al. Optimal low-density lipoprotein is 50 to 70 mg/dl: lower is better and physiologically normal. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:2142-6
- Tsuya T, Okada M, Horie H, et al. Effect of dipyridamole at the usual oral dose 21 on exercise-induced myocardial ischemia in stable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 990;66:275-8.
- 22 Chahoud G, Aude YW, Mehta JL. Dietary recommendations in the prevention and treatment of coronary heart disease: do we have the ideal diet yet? Am J Cardiol 2004;**94**:1260–7
- Krauss RM, Eckel RH, Howard B, et al. AHA Dietary Guidelines: revision 2000. 23 A statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the American Heart Association. Circulation 2000;102:2284–99
- Michaels AD, Accad M, Ports TA, et al. Left ventricular systolic unloading and augmentation of intracoronary pressure and Doppler flow during enhanced external counterpulsation. Circulation 2002;106:1237-42.
- 25 Michaels AD, Linnemeier G, Soran O, et al. Two-year outcomes after enhanced external counterpulsation for stable angina pectoris (from the International EECP Patient Registry [IEPR]). Am J Cardiol 2004;**93**:461–4.
- Information from the international EECP registry with outcome data to 2 years showing a sustained benefit.
- 26 Saririan M, Eisenberg MJ. Myocardial laser revascularization for the treatment of end-stage coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:173-
- Review on myocardial laser revascularisation-both surgical (TMR) and percutaneous (PTMR) — with summary of the major clinical trials.
- King SB III. Angioplasty is better than medical therapy for alleviating chronic angina pectoris. Arch Intern Med 2005;165:2589–92. 27
- Discussion from the interventional standpoint regarding the benefits of angioplasty for the treatment of chronic stable angina.
- Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Teo KK, *et al.* Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2007;**356**:1503–16. 28