Methane Metabolism in a Temperate Swamp

J. A. AMARAL AND R. KNOWLES*

Department of Natural Resource Sciences (Microbiology Unit), Macdonald Campus of McGill University, Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, Québec, Canada H9X 3V9

Received 11 April 1994/Accepted 23 August 1994

Comparisons between in situ $CH₄$ concentration and potential factors controlling its net production were made in a temperate swamp. Seasonal measurements of water table level and depth profiles of pH, dissolved CH_4 , CO_2 , O_2 , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , formate, acetate, propionate, and butyrate were made at two adjacent sites 1.5 \sim 2 m apart. Dissolved CH₄ was inversely correlated to O₂ and, in general, to NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻, potential hhibitors of methanogenesis. At low water table levels (August 1992), maximal CH₄ (2 to 4 μ M) occurred below 30 cm, whereas at high water table levels (October 1992) or under flooded conditions (May 1993), $CH₄$ maxima (4 to 55 μ M) occurred in the top 10 to 20 cm. Higher CH₄ concentrations were likely supported by inputs of fresh organic matter from decaying leaf litter, as suggested by high acetate and propionate concentrations (25 to 100 μ M) in one of the sites in fall and spring. Measurements of potential CH₄ production (and consumption) showed that the highest rates generally occurred in the top 10 cm of soil. Soil slurry incubations confirmed the importance of organic matter to $CH₄$ production but also showed that competition for substrates by nonmethanogenic microorganisms could greatly attenuate its effect.

Methane (CH4) production has received much attention due not only to its importance as a terminal step in anaerobic organic matter degradation but also to its potentially significant role in climatic change and atmospheric chemistry (5). The recent and continuing increase in atmospheric $CH₄$ concentration (about 1% per year [5]) has prompted more detailed studies of the sources (anthropogenic and natural) and factors controlling its release to the atmosphere.

Wetlands are an important source and potential reservoir of $CH₄$ (4, 6), but the factors controlling its production and emission from these environments are not fully understood. Studies have shown that water table depth, temperature, and pH can have a major impact on $CH₄$ production and release in wetlands (9, 10, 22, 23, 36, 37). These parameters alone, however, do not explain the variability in methane production in different wetlands. A better understanding of the microbial processes leading to production (and consumption) of $CH₄$ in these environments is necessary.

The physiology of methanogens and the chemical factors involved in CH_4 production are well known (42) and have been studied in pure culture and a variety of different environments. However, observations made in one environment are not always applicable to others due to the complexity of the different microbial and chemical processes which may occur. For example, competitive inhibition of methanogenesis by sulfate reduction occurs in many different natural habitats (34) but not always in peat lands (45). The presence of microbially utilizable carbon and of various electron acceptors $(O_2, NO_3^-$, and SO_4^2) is likely to be an important control of methanogenesis in natural environments.

We examined in situ availability of substrates and the distribution of electron acceptors in a temperate peat swamp in an attempt to determine how the chemistry and microbiology of the site affected methane production. Measurements were obtained in the summer, fall, and spring in two sites to determine both temporal and spatial variability. Laboratory incubations with slurried peat soil were carried out to determine the effects of various chemical supplements on methanogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description. The study was done in a wooded, temperate basin swamp in Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec, Canada (45°33'N, 73°08'W). Vegetation consisted largely of birch and hemlock (Betula alleghaniensis and Tsuga canadensis) (22), with some beech and maple representation. Soil at the study site consisted of highly degraded peat (H7 on the von Post scale), with an organic content of >80% by weight (22). Measurements were made at two sites (A and B) 1.5 to 2 m apart. There were no obvious visual differences between the sites except for 5-cmhigher elevation at site B. Inorganic nutrients such as NH_4^+ , $\overline{NO_3}$, and SO_4 ²⁻ are supplied by both groundwater and t_{H} (rainwater passing through the canopy) at respecthe concentrations of 34 to 37, 65 to 175, and 32 to 190 μ m in throughfall and 20 to 60, 0 to 25, and 100 to 200 μ M in groundwater (1, 21).

Depth profiles. A group of three acrylic equilibration samplers (60 by 10 by 1.5 cm) (11) was inserted in the ground, within a 30-cm radius, in each site for 13 to 15 days. Before placement, the equilibrator wells (1 cm apart; 4 ml) were filled with deoxygenated, deionized water and covered with a 0.45 µm-pore-size membrane (Tuffryn HT-450; Gelman Sciences, Inc., Ann Arbor, Mich.). The samplers were transported to the sites in a sealed, deoxygenated, water-filled container to prevent atmospheric contamination. After equilibration, the samplers were removed from the ground and immediately (<15 min) sampled to minimize loss of dissolved gases to the atmosphere (11). Measurements were made in the late summer (12 to 26 August 1992), early fall (15 to 29 October 1992), and early spring (28 April to 10 May 1993). The samplers were placed in the same locations during the different samplings.

One of the three samplers at each site was used to determine dissolved CH₄ and inorganic C (mostly $CO₂$). Well contents were removed with a repeating Cornwall syringe and injected

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Macdonald Campus of McGill University, 21,111 Lakeshore Rd., Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada H9X 3V9. Phone: (514) 398-7751. Fax: (514) 398-7624. Electronic mail address: ehl3@musica.mcgill.ca.

into evacuated 14-ml serum vials (14) sealed with grey butyl stoppers and aluminum crimps. Phosphoric acid $(10 \mu l)$ in the vials halted microbial activity ($pH < 3$) and converted $HCO₃$. to $CO₂$. Dissolved gases were allowed to equilibrate overnight, and the headspace was sampled (0.2 to 0.3 ml) by pressure lock syringe for gas chromatographic analysis (26). Gas remaining in the aqueous phase was calculated by using solubility coefficients (39, 43).

A second sampler was used for pH and ion analyses. Samples were drawn into glass syringes with 22-gauge needles, in which the dead volume was filled with degassed, deionized water (14). The pH was determined with ^a glass electrode in ^a closed system to avoid changes from equilibration with the atmosphere (14). Subsequently, sulfate, nitrate, nitrite, acetate, propionate, and butyrate concentrations were determined simultaneously on the same water samples by capillary electrophoresis (Quanta 4000; Waters, Millipore). The components were separated in a 60 -cm (75- μ m inside diameter) fused silica column, using ⁵ mM chromate buffer with an osmotic flow modifier (CIA-Pak, OFM Anion-BT; Waters), at ^a voltage of ²⁰ kV and detected by UV (254 nm). Components were identified by migration time comparison with standards. The detection limit was about 2 μ M for organic acids and 0.5 to 1 μ M for inorganic anions.

Samples from a third sampler were collected in the same way, and dissolved O_2 was analyzed directly in the syringe by a modification of the Winkler method (33). After sampling, the needles were replaced with small rubber stoppers, and 0.2 ml of Winkler reagents was injected into the syringe, thus avoiding contact of the sample with the atmosphere. Samples were titrated within 2 h in the laboratory. Contamination with atmospheric O_2 during handling was determined on blank syringes (4 ml of deoxygenated water) treated in parallel with the sample syringes. The results were corrected for background contamination (about 30 to 50 μ M O₂).

The possibility of biofilm formation affecting the results obtained with equilibrators has been considered (16) . Whereas $\frac{1}{2}$ incasurements may be $\frac{1}{2}$ we assure the set of $\frac{1}{2}$ measurements may be inferiable, tests concluded that C_{H_4} concentrations are not $\frac{1}{2}$

Laboratory incubations. Potential rates of methane production and consumption with depth in the soil were determined at each sampling time. Cores were obtained in 3.5-cm-inside at each sampling time. Cores were obtained in 3.5-cm-inside d diameter, clear plastic tubes and sliced (0 to 2, 2 to 4, 4 to 6, 4 to 7, 4 to 6, and 12 to 14 cm) within 2 days of retrieval. Individual slices were slurried under N_2 with an equal volume of groundwater. were signified under N_2 with an equal volume of groundwater. $\frac{1}{2}$ aliquots (5 to 10 ml) of the slurry were dispensed into 50-ml serum bottles which were capped with grey butyl stoppers and aluminum crimps. The bottles were evacuated (15 min) and backfilled three times to remove dissolved gases from the pore water. Bottles used to determine potential methanogenesis were backfilled with prepurified N_2 or He, while those used to determine potential methanotrophy were backfilled with room determine potential methanotrophy were backfilled with room and a 1% final concentration of CH_4 . Anaerobic samples were incubated statically, while aerobic samples were shaken at 250 rpm. All samples were incubated in the dark at in situ 250 rpm. All samples were incubated in the dark at in situ temperature. Total CT_4 in the bottles was monitored for θ days, and production or consumption within this period was used as a relative measure of these two processes for different

depths in the soil column.
The effect of different supplements on methanogenesis was determined with soil obtained in bulk from the top 10 cm. determined with soil obtained in bulk from the top 10 cm. $\frac{1}{2}$ (\pm C) until stored in search mason jars under $\frac{1}{2}$ (\pm C) until use (within 30 to 60 days of collection). Soil was slurried and 30 to 50 ml was dispensed into 58- or 180-ml serum vials, as to 50 ml was dispensed into 50- or 100-ml serum vials, as $\frac{1}{2}$ described above, and incubated at 15°C. Slurries were supplemented with the potential methanogenic substrates methanol, methylamine, dimethylamine, trimethylamine, acetate, and formate (1 mM final concentration each) and $H₂$ (0.81 to 81.1) kPa). Molybdate (1 mM), an inhibitor of sulfate reduction, was added to examine the effect of this process on methanogenesis. Chloroform (100 μ M) was added as an inhibitor of methanogenesis (30). Headspace $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ contents were determined as described above, and H_2 was measured with a reduction analyzer by the conversion of HgO to Hg (32). Slurry aliquots (0.5 ml) were removed periodically by syringe and needle (16 gauge), and pore water was obtained by microcentrifugation. Filtered pore water $(0.45 \text{-} \mu \text{m}$ pore size; Millipore) trifugation. Filtered pore water (0.45-p.m pore size; Millipore) was analyzed for inorganic anions and short-chain organic acids by capillary electrophoresis, as described above.

In one experiment, slurries were supplemented with different concentrations of leaf leachate (1, 10, and 100 mM C) in order to provide substrates similar to those occurring in situ. order to provide substrates similar to those occurring in situ. allen leaves (500 g), collected at the study site, were submerged in 750 ml of distilled water for ¹ week (20°C). The resulting leachate was sequentially filtered with GF/D, GF/C, and 0.45 - μ m-pore-size Millipore filters. The pH was adjusted to 5.5 and the solution was again filtered (0.45- μ m pore size). Leachate and groundwater were added to soil to give the desired C concentration while a soil/liquid ratio of 1:1 was maintained. Carbon content in the leachate was estimated as maintained. Carbon content in the leachate was estimated as 50% of dry weight. Methanol and ethanol contents in the μ achate were determined with a gas chromatograph equipped with a 0-ft (1 ft $=$ 30.46 cm) Chromosorb 101 packed column and flame folization detector. The oven temperature was controlled to rise from 100 to 115°C at 4° C/min. The detection limit was 50 μ M.

RESULTS

Depth profiles. Depth distributions of the measured chem-
ical components of peat pore water varied between sites and ear components of pear pore water varied between sites and
sampling time (Fig. 1). Both sites A and B showed progressively anaerobic conditions, decreasing concentrations of NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} , and increasing CH₄ concentrations from summer (1992) to spring (1993) . Differences between sites summer (1992) to spring (1993). Differences between sites
signs most ennough in the loyals and distribution of CU and were most apparent in the levels and distribution of CH₄ and organic acids. Levels of $CO₂$ (not shown) were similar between sites and seasons, being near atmospheric levels at the top of sites and seasons, being near atmospheric levels at the top of
the soil column and increasing to 1 to 3 mM below 10 cm.
 $\frac{5.2 \text{ to } 5.4 \text{ in the}}{2.2 \text{ to } 2.4 \text{ in the}}$ Values of pH (not shown) ranged from 5.2 to 5.4 in the with the higher values in the top 10 cm of the soil column. Soil with the higher values in the top 10 cm of the son column. Son temperatures (10 cm depth) were 15, $\frac{1}{2}$, and 10 C in the

summer, fail, and spring, respectively. In the summer (Fig. 1A), the water table dropped from 20 to >50 cm below ground level during the equilibration period and strongly influenced the profiles obtained in both sites A and B. Levels of ions equilibrated in the sampler cells above groundwater level are considered to represent concentrations in soil pore fluid. Aerobic conditions, created by drawdown of atmospheric O_2 , occurred to 30-cm depth. The highest CH₄ concentrations occurred below 30 cm, corresponding to the disappearance of O_2 . High nitrate concentrations (up to 150 μ M) were observed in the oxygenated zone, suggesting conditions supporting nitrification. Nitrate and sulfate also accumulated in aerobic slurry incubations (1), indicating nitrifying and sulfide oxidizing potential in the soil. Interestingly, however, both NO_3^- and SO_4^2 showed minima at between 2- and 7-cm both N_{3}^{3} and S_{4}^{2} showed minima at between 2- and 7-cm
depth in sites A and B, indicating a zone of high assimilation activity of the existence of microsites with sufficiently low σ_2 tension for demitmediton and $50₄$ - reduction to occur.

FIG. 1. Depth profiles of chemical components in peat interstitial water measured in August 1992 (A), October 1992 (B), and May 1993 vater measured in August 1992 (A), October 1992 (B), and May 1993
C) Cround lovel (0 cm donth) is the interfece of the litter lover and (C). Ground level (0-cm depth) is the interface of the litter layer and underlying soil.

In the fall (Fig. 1B), the water table remained stable (3-cm
depth) over the equilibration period. A mat of dense, wet leaf litter 3 to 5 cm thick covered the ground at both sites A and B.
These conditions resulted in complete or near complete depletion of O_2 immediately below ground. In site A, the highest $CH₄$ concentrations occurred at 1- and 7-cm depths, in contrast to the summer profile (Fig. 1A). High concentrations of acetate and propionate (up to 100 μ M) were observed in the top 10 cm of the peat column, with maxima corresponding to those of $CH₄$. Curiously, despite its proximity to site A, we did not detect a similar accumulation of organic acids immediately not detect a similar accumulation of organic acids immediately below the litter mat in site B. Furthermore, C_{14} maxima in site
becaused deeper in the peet column (12, and 20 cm denths) B occurred deeper in the peat column (13- and 30-cm depths)

and were of greater magnitude than in site A. In the spring (Fig. 1C), both sites were covered by 20 cm

TABLE 1. Potential methane production rates of anaerobic soil slurries

Depth (cm)	Methane production rate (nmol cm ⁻³ day ⁻¹) ^a						
	Summer (26 Aug.)		Fall (29 Oct.)		Spring (10 May)		
	Site A	Site B^b	Site A	Site B	Site A	Site B	
$0 - 2$	0.41		0.81	0.22	0.70	0.10	
$2 - 4$	1.43		0.39	0.24	0.73	0.08	
$4 - 6$	0.93		0.48	0.70	0.12	0.09	
$12 - 14$	0.04		0.33	0.22	0.08	0.06	

Rates were calculated at 6 days of incubation.

 b Not done.</sup>

of water and a 5- to 10-cm-thick mat of decaying leaf material. Anoxic conditions existed throughout the entire peat column as well as in the overlying litter mat (Fig. 1C, sites A and B). Pore water CH_4 concentrations were the highest yet observed, being 5 to 20 times higher than summer and fall values (Fig. 1A and B). Again, unexpected and striking differences occurred between sites despite similar environmental conditions. In site A, the $CH₄$ maximum again corresponded to organic acid maxima and occurred at the top of the peat column and into the litter mat. In contrast, in site B, a much broader (and greater) methane maximum was observed lower in the peat column (10 cm) and no organic acids were detected. In both sites, minima in SO_4^2 concentration corresponded to CH₄ maxima, suggesting that SO_4^2 ⁻ was a controlling factor of in situ methanogenesis. Spring SO_4^2 levels (100 μ M) were only one-third of the summer and fall values (Fig. 1A and B), possibly reflecting a decrease in SO_4^2 input by groundwater and an increase in its assimilation or reduction. Formate and butyrate were not detected at any

mic.
Potential CH₄ production and consumption. Potential rates of $CH₄$ production and consumption were determined for different depths in sites A and B in an attempt to explain the chemical depth profiles (Fig. 1A to C) in terms of these microbial activities. In site A, the highest potential production rates occurred in the top portions of the soil column (0 to 6 cm) (Table 1). Production rates in site B, however, were more homogeneous with depth and generally lower than in site A, homogeneous with depth and generally lower than in site A, which is inconsistent with the observed in situ CH_4 profiles (Fig. 1A to C). However, the maximal summer rates in site A $\frac{2-10}{10}$ to 6-cm depth corresponded to the zone low in the methanogenic inhibitors, NO_3 and SO_4 , observed in situ (Fig. IA). Seasonal rate differences were in part due to the different incubation temperatures (9).

TABLE 2. Potential methane consumption rates of aerobic soil slurries

	Methane consumption rate (μ mol cm ⁻³ day ⁻¹) ^a						
Depth (cm)	Summer (26 Aug.)		Fall (29 Oct.)		Spring (10 May)		
	Site A	Site B^b	Site A	Site B	Site A	Site B	
$0 - 2$ $2 - 4$ $4 - 6$ $12 - 14$	2.43 ^c 1.59^{d} 0.84 0.01		0.31 0.43 0.85 0.11	0.01 0.37 0.25 0.05	0.23 0.32 0.27 0.002	0.21 0.17 0.29 0.93	

Rates were calculated at 6 days of incubation.

 b Not done.
 c Calculated at 3 days due to complete CH₄ consumption.

' Calculated at 3 days due to complete CH4 consumption. ^d Calculated at ⁵ days due to complete CH4 consumption.

Date	Treatment	Production rate (μ mol liter of slurry ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) (\pm 1 SD) ^a					
		CH ₄	\rm{CO}_2	H ₂	SO_4^2	Acetate	
09-92	Control	0.32 ± 0.02	201 ± 30	0.076 ± 0.026	-17.1 ± 5.1	ND^b	
	$+MoO42$	0.69 ± 0.1	157 ± 37	0.036 ± 0.005	-0.4 ± 5.1	ND	
10-92	Control	0.79 ± 0.05	191 ± 20		-14.1 ± 0.8	ND	
	$+CHCl3$	0.03 ± 0.01	235 ± 11		-13.8 ± 0.6	ND	
	$+MoO42$	1.51 ± 0.14	197 ± 13		-0.8 ± 1.4	ND	
05-93	Control	2.38 ± 0.09	127 ± 37	0.069 ± 0.002	-1.4 ± 0.2^c	0.20 ± 0.17	
	$+CHCl3$	0.00 ± 0.01	126 ± 30	0.072 ± 0.01	-1.9 ± 0.1 ^c	0.18 ± 0.07	

TABLE 3. Effect of inhibitors (1 mM) of methanogenesis and sulfate reduction on production (and consumption) rates of various chemical components in anaerobic soil slurries

^a Calculated at 13, 8, and 4 days of incubation for 09-92, 10-92, and 05-92 dates, respectively. SO₄²⁻ consumption was calculated at 4 to 6 days due to depletion.

^b ND, not detected.

^c Initial SO₄²⁻ concentration was very low (<12 μ M).

No consistent pattern of potential $CH₄$ consumption rates was observed (Table 2). The highest rates were observed in peat which had been oxygenated (site A, 0 to 2 and 2 to 4 cm, summer; Fig. 1A) and which, interestingly, also showed high methanogenic potential (Table 1). However, soil usually under anoxic conditions also showed high oxidation potential (e.g., site B, 12 to 14 cm, spring; Table 2). Unlike methanogenesis, potential methanotrophic activities were similar in sites A and B (Table 2).

Effect of inhibitors on slurries. $CH₄$ production in soil slurries (containing 80 to 130 μ M SO₄²⁻) was enhanced more than two-fold when sulfate reduction was completely inhibited by 1 mM molybdate (Table 3; Fig. 2), indicating that sulfate reduction was attenuating methanogenesis. Interestingly, the reduction was attenuating methanogenesis. Interestingly, the CT_4 production rate in the control (no molybdate) hask did not increase after depletion of $30₄$ to a low, steady-state $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, sulfate reduction should cease. This observation suggests the possibility that rapid S cycling between reduced S and sulfate competes with methanogenesis for reducing power. Despite obvious effects on sulfate reduction and $CH₄$ production, molybdate addition had no significant effect on CO_2 , H_2 , or acetate dynamics (Table 3). acetate dynamics (Table 3).

Chronoform (100 μ m) imholicu CH₄ but not CO₂ production rates (Table 3), confirming selective inhibition of metha-
nogenesis. However, no measurable accumulation of potential methanogenic substrates (H_2, CO_2) , and acetate) resulted from m enanogenic substrates $(112, 002)$ and acetate) resulted from the inhibition of C_{H_4} production within the incubation period

FIG. 2. Concentrations of CH₄ (\odot , \bullet) and SO₄²⁻ (\triangledown , ∇) in anaerobic peat slurries in the presence (closed symbols) and absence anaero bic pear symbols) of 1 mM solid molybdate. Data are means of α dividend symbols) of 1 mM sodium molybdate. Data are means of duplicates \pm 1 standard deviation. d, days.

(Table 3), in contrast to what has been observed in other studies (17, 30).

Effect of C supplements on methanogenesis. A natural source of organic C, added in the form of leaf leachate, stimulated $\overline{\text{CH}}_4$ production by soil slurry (Fig. 3). The effect of ¹⁰ and ¹⁰⁰ mM leachate C additions, although vigorous, was short-lived, lasting only ¹ week. The degree of stimulation of $CH₄$ production was similar for both of these supplements despite ^a 10-fold difference in added C. A lower concentration of leachate C (1 mM) showed little initial effect but was clearly enhancing methanogenesis as late as 25 days of incubation

Capillary electrophoretic and gas chromatographic analyses (data not shown) showed that the leachate contained significant concentrations of ethanol (1.5 mM), methanol (0.49 mM), formate (0.15 mM), acetate (4.2 mM), and propionate (2.8 mM), compounds which can, directly or indirectly, be substrates for methanogens (42). Other compounds which can contribute to CH_4 production (e.g., organic polymers, methylamines, and sugars) were not measured.

Formate and acetate, substrates which can be used directly by many methanogens, showed a time-dependent stimulation of methanogenesis (Fig. 4). Both compounds markedly and rapidly enhanced CH_4 production, but only in the short term.
This short-lived effect was due to their consumption by other processes (Fig. 4). Consequently, only a small fraction of their carbon $(2.6\% \text{ of formate C and } 0.7\% \text{ of acetate C})$ was converted to $CH₄$.

FIG. 3. Effect of leaf leachate supplements on methane production by anaerobic peat slurries. Additions of 1 (\bullet), 10 (∇), and 100 (∇) by analyzed pear slurries. Additions of 1 (\bullet), b (v), and 100 (v).
mM leachate C were compared with a control (O). Data are means of duplicates \pm 1 standard deviation. d, days.

FIG. 4. Production of CH₄ in anaerobic peat slurries supplemented with 1 mM formate (\bullet) or acetate (∇) relative to a nonsupplemented slurry (O) . Consumption of the organic acids is indicated by the dashed lines. Levels of the acids in the control flask are too low to be seen on the graph. Data are means of duplicates \pm 1 standard deviation. d, days.

Several "noncompetitive" substrates (methylated amines and methanol), believed to be primarily methanogenic substrates (5, 28), were also tested, and of these, only methanol significantly enhanced methanogenesis (Fig. 5). The increase was linear over the 13-day incubation, in contrast to the formate and acetate effects, but accounted for a conversion of only 6% of added methanol C to CH₄. We found that methanol enhanced methanogenesis in soil from a 0- to 2-cm depth, but not from a 12- to 14-cm depth (1), which is consistent with a methanogenic population adapted to a natural methanol source from decaying leaf matter at the top of $\frac{1}{n}$ soil column.

 E_{2} supplements. Hydrogen supplements enhanced both methanogenesis and sulfate consumption (assimilation and/or reduction) in soil slurries, but concentrations much higher than steady state $(<1$ Pa) were required to give a significant effect (Fig. 6; Table 4). At high concentrations of H_2 $(\geq 8 \text{ kPa})$, chemolithotrophic acetogenesis (18) became an important process, with 2 to 20 times more acetate than methane produced. The onset of acetogenesis caused a de-

FIG. 5. Production of CH₄ in anaerobic peat slurries in the pres-
net of 1 mM (final concentration) methylamine (MA; 0), dimethylamine (DMA; v), trimethylamine (TMA; v), or methanol (MeOH;
 α). A control flask received no addition (C; O). Data are means of duplicates \pm 1 standard deviation.

FIG. 6. Effect of supplements of 0.81 (\bullet), 8.1 (\triangledown), and 81.1 (\blacktriangledown) kPa of H_2 on CH₄ (solid lines) and acetate (dotted lines) production in anaerobic peat slurries relative to a control (no additions) (O) . Carbon dioxide was added with H_2 at a ratio of 4:1 H_2/CO_2 . Data are means of duplicates \pm 1 standard deviation.

crease in CH4 production rate, indicating competition between the two processes (Fig. 6). However, prior to acetogenesis, rates of $H₂$ consumption were higher than could be accounted for by methanogenesis and sulfate consumption (Table 4), indicating other anaerobic H_2 -consuming reactions. No significant acetate accumulation was observed with addition of 0.8 kPa H_2 or under endogenous H_2 concentrations.

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate the significant combined effects of hydrology, chemistry, and microbiology on net $CH₄$ production and content in a wetland soil. Water table position determines $O₂$ tension in the soil column, thus controlling the occurrence of CH4 production and oxidation. Not surprisingly, several studies found it an important regulator of $CH₄$ flux (7, 10, 23, 24). However, other studies (25) found a variety of factors which can also affect CH_4 flux and obscure the role of water table level (24). We found ^a clear relationship between water table level and profiles of CH_4 and O_2 content in the soil column. These profiles, as well as the high potential $CH₄$ consumption rates in the summer core, further support the previous conclusion (22, 23) that $CH₄$ oxidation contributes to low CH₄ fluxes at low water table levels in this swamp. The observed CH4 profiles generally corresponded to the pattern of emission previously measured at this site (23). The absence of aquatic plants and low dissolved $CH₄$ concentration suggest that plant-mediated flux (31) and bubble ebullition are less important than diffusion in controlling $CH₄$ flux at this site.

TABLE 4. Effect of H_2 supplements on rates of CH_4 production and H_2 and SO_4^2 ⁻ consumption in anaerobic soil slurry (October 1992)

H ₂ added (kPa)	Production rate (umol liter of slurry ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) (\pm 1 SD) ^a					
	CH ₄	SO ₄ ^{2–}	н,			
0.0	0.8 ± 0.2	-67 ± 14				
0.81	2.1 ± 0.2	-80 ± 3	-66 ± 37			
8.1	11.8 ± 1.1	-88 ± 4	-322 ± 71			
81.1	69.6 ± 5.2	-110 ± 2	$-3,125 \pm 660$			

Calculated at 3 days (CH₄ and H₂), while activity was linear, and before onset
of acetogenesis (see Fig. 6). SO_4^2 consumption was calculated at 2 days due to rapid disappearance.

Oxygenation of the soil column can lead to changes in peat pore water chemistry which may influence net production and distribution of CH_4 even after O_2 depletion. For example, nitrification and sulfide oxidation can, as we observed, generate high concentrations of $N\text{O}_3$ and $S\text{O}_4$ ⁻ in the soil. Higher evels of $N\mathcal{O}_3$ and $S\mathcal{O}_4$ ², inhibitors of methanogenesis (2, 34, 36), may help to maintain low in situ $CH₄$ by retarding its production when anaerobic conditions resume. Evidence for this effect was obtained with incubated samples from the summer core, in which the highest $CH₄$ production rates occurred from depths where in situ concentrations of SO_4^2 and $NO₃⁻$ showed distinct minima. This effect may partly explain the strong hysteresis in $CH₄$ flux observed for soil columns in which the water table level was manipulated (24).

The mechanism of inhibition of methanogenesis by $NO₃$ (and other N oxides) is not clear (2, 36). However, inhibition by sulfate is attributed to competition for H_2 and acetate between methanogens and the more efficient sulfate reducers (19, 34) and depends on the relative amounts of substrates and SO_4^2 . and depends on the relative amounts of substrates and SO_4 . The inverse in situ SO_4 ⁻ and CH_4 profiles seen in the spring indicated an inhibitory effect of SO_4 ² on methanogenesis, as was confirmed by slurry incubations with molybdate. Previously regarded as important mainly in high-sulfate environments (34), this phenomenon has been reported in freshwater sediment (19) and wetland soil (36, 45) with sulfate concentrations similar to those here (100 to 200 μ M). Some wetland studies have reported variable effects of sulfate reduction on studies have reported variable effects of sulfate reduction on methane production $(44, 45)$. 304 - may be a more important inhibitor of methanogenesis than $NO₃⁻$ in this swamp due to its larger pool size and constant supply by groundwater.

One of the most important chemical factors regulating methanogenesis in natural systems, other than the presence of alternate electron acceptors, is the availability of metabolizable alternate electron acceptors, is the availability of included incorporation $C(13)$. Higher rates of methanogenesis and CH_4 content in wetland soils are correlated to higher levels of extractable, labile organic C (44) as well as to easily decomposed types of vegetation (27). In our study site, yearly leaf fall is the major source of fresh, labile, organic C (23). The effect of this C on microbial activity was evident in the corresponding of this C on microbial activity was evident in the corresponding
narios of in situ CII, and litter derived accepts aside ontant maxima of in situ CH₄ and litter-derived organic acid content
n site A. The low $SO²$ and high CH₁ concentrations, as well in site A . The low 304 and high CH₄ concentrations, as well as potential CH4 production and consumption rates, indicated
sigher microbial activity in the unner 10 to 20 cm of neet higher microbial activity in the upper 10 to 20 cm of peat, consistent with ^a source of labile organic C at the surface.

initial stimulation of methanogenesis by leaf litter but also showed a potential for its attenuation with time. Accumulation of metabolic end products of organic matter degradation, such as organic acids and $NH₃$ (12, 40, 45), may have been responsible for this late occurring inhibition. Inhibition of gas production by volatile and nonvolatile metabolites was observed in anaerobic incubations of forest soils (20). Exchange of metabolites with the atmosphere and groundwater may offset the effect of inhibitory compounds in nature $(20, 40)$. However, low rates of methanogenesis in our site ($\leq 2.5 \mu$ mol liter⁻¹ μ ¹) and the due to commutated inhibitory compounds in ay-1) could be due to accumulated inhibitory compounds in the soil. Indeed, soil from this swamp inhibited methanogenesis in a peat from a different region (8). $\frac{1}{2}$. When compared with enother needs the

when compared with another nearby basin swamp, our site
with lower CH, flux (1.2 years) $4.2 \times m^{-2}$ year⁻¹) and showed lower CH₄ flux (1.2 versus 4.2 g m⁻² year⁻¹) and significantly lower potential CH₄ production (4 versus 89 nmol g^{-1} day⁻¹) (23). Our results show that this is due in part to the g $\frac{1}{2}$ day-1) (23). Our results show that this is due in part to the apparent domination of anaerobic C mineralization by processes other than methanogenesis. We calculate that methanogenesis accounted for only 0.3 to 3.7% of C mineralized in nogenesis accounted for only 0.3 to 3.7% of C mineralized in slurry incubations. These values are lower than previous reports for wetlands in which the bulk of anaerobic C flow was shown to be through sulfate reduction (35, 36, 38). Such a minor role for methanogenesis is contrary to current concepts of anaerobic C flow in freshwater systems, where it is expected to dominate.

The domination of anaerobic metabolism by other processes was illustrated by the high nonmethanogenic $H₂$ consumption, a lack of accumulation of CH_4 precursors upon specific inhibition of methanogenesis, and very low conversion of formate and acetate C supplements to $CH₄$ (only 10 and 1.5%) of the expected, assuming one $CH₄$ molecule produced per one acetate or four formate molecules consumed [42]). In contrast, for another peat type, we observed that $>85\%$ of the expected acetate C was converted to $CH₄$ (1). Furthermore, of the traditional non-competitive substrates, only methanol was utilized by swamp methanogens. The linearity of the observed increase in $CH₄$ production may indicate that methanol is primarily a methanogenic substrate in this swamp, despite the fact that it has been shown to support sulfate reduction in some environments (15, 36). The slow conversion of methanol-C to $CH₄$ was perhaps indicative of inhibition of the methanogenic population by components in the soil.

It is not clear which process(es) controls anaerobic C mineralization in this swamp. The observed net rates of sulfate disappearance (14 to 67 μ mol liter of slurry⁻¹ day⁻¹) indicate that sulfate reduction alone cannot account for the high rate of that sulfate reduction alone cannot account for the high rate of $\frac{1}{2}$ production. However, rapid cycling of S has been implicated in greater than expected anaerobic C mineralization by sulfate reduction in low-sulfate wetlands (38). We found millimolar quantities of reduced inorganic S in the soil (1) which could support such a cycle and possibly explain the lack of response of CH_4 production rate to the depletion of soluble SO_4^{2-} in anaerobic soil slurries. Nevertheless, the lack of effect of sulfate reduction inhibition on acetate, H_2 , and $CO₂$ levels suggests that it was a minor process in anaerobic metabolism in the soil. The high $CO₂$ production observed metabolism in the son. The high CO_2 production observed could have been due to a variety of processes including concribin of fibil, manganese, and S $(404 \text{ to } 1,000 \text{mu})$ $liter^{-1}$ in situ), fermentation reactions, and even chemical equilibration of bicarbonate. Nitrate levels were too low for equilibration of bicarbonate. Nitrate levels were too low for any significant contribution to anaerobic C mineralization in

A significant observation of this study was the striking
A significant observation of this study was the striking difference in chemical profiles between the two sites, A and B.
Natural variability in wetland systems is not uncommon. Large Natural variability in wetland systems is not uncommon. Large variations in $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_4$ emissions between wetland types and within wetlands have been reported (7, 41) due in large part, but not completely (7), to hydrology, temperature, and nutrient considerations. However, the scale of the distance between measurements is usually large (several meters to kilometers), and surements is usually large (several meters to knowledge), and we did not expect such a large difference between sites so close $(< 2 m)$ and under identical conditions of hydrology and source of fresh organic C (see Results). It does not seem likely that uneven distribution of organic matter could explain the high spatial variability of organic acid concentration in our site, as it can in rice paddy soil (29). Rothfuss and Conrad (29) concluded that a homogeneous microbial population operating at highly variable rates contributed to the large spatial chemical variability in a paddy soil. Such a mechanism might also explain our observations. For example, the high concentrations of organic acids in site A , but not in site B , in the fall and spring may indicate a dominance of fermentative over respiratory may indicate a dominance of fermentative over respiratory processes in site A, but not in site B. We speculate that, along
with differences in hydrology and chamical eherceteristics with differences in hydrology and chemical characteristics, heterogeneity in microbial activity may also contribute to the heterogeneity in microbial activity may also contribute to the s patial variability of CH₄ production and emission in wetlands.

We are grateful to S. Richards for field and laboratory support during the course of this work. D. Frigon assisted in field sampling.

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Fonds pour la Formation de Chercheurs et l'Aide a la Recherche (FCAR).

REFERENCES

- 1. Amaral, J. A., and R. Knowles. Unpublished data.
- 2. Balderston, W. L., and W. J. Payne. 1976. Inhibition of methanogenesis in salt marsh sediments and whole-cell suspensions of methanogenic bacteria by nitrogen oxides. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 32:264-269.
- 3. Bartlett, K. B., D. S. Bartlett, R. C. Harriss, and D. I. Sebacher. 1987. Methane emissions along a salt marsh salinity gradient. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 4:183-202.
- 4. Brown, A., S. P. Mathur, and D. J. Kushner. 1989. An ombrotrophic bog as a methane reservoir. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 3:205-213.
- 5. Cicerone, R. J., and R. S. Oremland. 1988. Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 2:299-
327.
- 327. 6. Crill, P. M., K. B. Bartlett, R. C. Harriss, E. Gorham, E. S. Verry, D. I. Sebacher, L. Madzar, and W. Sanner. 1988. Methane flux from Minnesota peatlands. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 2:371-384.
- 7. Dise, N. B. 1993. Methane emission from Minnesota peatlands: spatial and seasonal variability. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 7:123-
- Dunfield, P., and R. Knowles. Unpublished data.
- 9. Dunfield, P., R. Knowles, R. Dumont, and T. R. Moore. 1993. Methane production and consumption in temperate and subarctic peat soils: response to temperature and pH. Soil Biol. Biochem.
25:321-326.
- 25:321-326. 10. Harriss, R. C., and D. I. Sebacher. 1981. Methane flux in forested freshwater swamps of the southeastern United States. Geophys. Res. Lett. 8:1002-1004.
- 11. Hesslein, R. H. 1976. An in situ sampler for close interval pore water studies. Limnol. Oceanogr. 21:912-914.
- 12. Jarrell, K. F., M. Saulnier, and A. Ley. 1987. Inhibition of methanogenesis in pure cultures by ammonia, fatty acids, and heavy metals, and protection against heavy metal toxicity by sewage sludge. Can. J. Microbiol. 33:551-554.
- 13. Kelly, C. A., and D. P. Chynoweth. 1981. The contributions of temperature and of the input of organic matter in controlling rates of sediment methanogenesis. Limnol. Oceanogr. 26:891-897.
- 14. Kelly, C. A., and J. W. M. Rudd. 1984. Epilimnetic sulfate reduction and its relationship to lake acidification. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 1:63-77.
- 5. King, G. M., M. J. Klug, and D. R. Lovley. 1983. Metabolism of acetate, methanol, and methylated amines in intertidal sediments of Lowes Cove, Maine. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45:1848-1853.
16. Kramer, H., and R. Conrad. 1993. Measurement of dissolved H.
- $16.$ Kramer, H., and K. Conrad. 1993. Measurement of dissolved H_2 concentrations in methanogenic environments with ^a gas diffusion probe. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 12:149-158.
- 17. Lovley, D. R., and M. J. Klug. 1982. Intermediary metabolism of organic matter in the sediments of a eutrophic lake. Appl.
Environ. Microbiol. 43:552-560.
- Environ. Microbiol. 43:552-560. 18. Lovley, D. R., and M. J. Klug. 1983. Methanogenesis from methanol and methylated amines and acetogenesis from hydrogen and carbon dioxide in the sediments of a eutrophic lake. Appl.
- Environ. Microbiol. 45:1310-1315. 19. Lovley, D. R., and M. J. Klug. 1983. Sulfate reducers can outcompete methanogens at freshwater sulfate concentrations. Appl.
Environ. Microbiol. 45:187-192.
- 20. Magnusson, T. 1993. Carbon dioxide and methane formation in 20. Magnusson, T. 1993. Carbon dioxide and methane formation in $f(x) = 6.4 \text{ p} \cdot 1 \text{ p} \cdot 1 \text{ m} \cdot 2 \text{ m}$
- bations. Son Biol. Biochem. 25:877-883.
21. Moore, T. R., and M. A. Dubreuil. 1987. The neutralization of acid precipitation by beech and maple stands in southern Québec.
Naturaliste Can. 114:449-457.
- Naturaliste Can. 114.449-457.
2. Moore, T. R., and R. Knowles. 1989. The influence of water table levels on methane and carbon dioxide emissions from peatland soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 69:33-38.
- 23. Moore, T. R., and R. Knowles. 1990. Methane emissions from fen,
- bog and swamp peatlands in Québec. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 11:45-61. 24. Moore, T. R., and N. T. Roulet. 1993. Methane flux: water table
- relations in northern wetlands. Geophys. Res. Lett. 20:587-590.
- 25. Moore, T. R., N. T. Roulet, and R. Knowles. 1990. Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux from subarctic/northern boreal fens. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 4:29-46.
- 26. Nelson, L. M., and R. Knowles. 1978. Effect of oxygen and nitrate on nitrogen fixation and denitrification by Azospirillum brasilense grown in continuous culture. Can. J. Microbiol. 24:1395-1403.
- 27. Nilsson, M., and E. Bohlin. 1993. Methane and carbon dioxide concentrations in bogs and fens with special reference to the effects of the botanical composition of the peat. J. Ecol. 81:615- 625.
- 28. Oremland, R. S., L. M. Marsh, and S. Polcin. 1982. Methane production and simultaneous sulphate reduction in anoxic, salt marsh sediments. Nature (London) 296:143-145.
- 29. Rothfuss, F., and R. Conrad. 1993. Vertical profiles of $CH₄$ concentrations, dissolved substrates and processes involved in $CH₄$ production in a flooded Italian rice field. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 18:137-152.
- 30. Rothfuss, F., and R. Conrad. 1993. Thermodynamics of methanogenic intermediary metabolism in littoral sediment of Lake Constance. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 12:265-276.
- 31. Sebacher, D. I., R. C. Harriss, and K. B. Bartlett. 1985. Methane emissions to the atmosphere through aquatic plants. J. Environ. Qual. 4:40-46.
- 32. Seiler, W., H. Giehl, and P. Roggendorf. 1980. Detection of carbon monoxide and hydrogen by conversion of mercury oxide to mercury vapor. Atmos. Technol. 12:40-45.
- 33. Stainton, M. P., M. J. Capel, and F. A. J. Armstrong. 1977. The chemical analysis of freshwater, 2nd ed. Fish. Mar. Serv. Can. Misc. Spec. Publ. 25:1-166.
- 34. Ward, D. M., and M. R. Winfrey. 1985. Interactions between methanogenic and sulfate-reducing bacteria in sediments. Adv. Aquat. Microbiol. 3:141-179.
- 35. Westermann, P. 1993. Wetland and swamp microbiology, p. 215- 238. In T. E. Ford (ed.), Aquatic microbiology: an ecological approach. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston.
- 36. Westermann, P., and B. K. Ahring. 1987. Dynamics of methane production, sulfate reduction, and denitrification in a permanently waterlogged alder swamp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53:2554-
2559
- 2559. 37. Whalen, S. C., and W. S. Reeburgh. 1988. A methane flux time series for tundra environments. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 2:399- 409.
- 38. Wieder, R. K., J. B. Yavitt, and G. E. Lang. 1990. Methane production and sulfate reduction in two Appalachian peatlands. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 10:81-104.
- 39. Wilhelm, E., R. Battino, and R. J. Wilcock. 1977. Low-pressure solubility of gases in liquid water. Chem. Rev. 77:219-262.
- 40. Williams, R. T., and R. L. Crawford. 1984. Methane production in Minnesota peatlands. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 47:1266-1271.
- 41. Wilson, J. O., P. M. Crill, K. B. Bartlett, D. I. Sebacher, R. C. Harriss, and R. L. Sass. 1989. Seasonal variation of methane emissions from a temperate swamp. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht)
8:55–71. $35 - 71.$
- $2.$ Wolin, M. J., and T. L. Miller. 1987. Bioconversion of organic carbon to CH_4 and CO_2 . Geomicrobiol. J. 5:239-259.
- 43. Yamamoto, S., J. B. Alcauskus, and T. E. Crozier. 1976. Solubility of methane in distilled water and seawater. J. Chem. Eng. Data 21:78-80. $1.70 - 80.$
- 4. **Tavitt, J. D., and G. E. Lang.** 1990 . Methane production in contrasting wetland sites: response to organic-chemical components of peat and to sulfate reduction. Geomicrobiol. J. 8:27-46.
- 45. Yavitt, J. B., G. E. Lang, and R. K. Wieder. 1987. Control of carbon mineralization to CH_4 and CO_2 in anaerobic, Sphagnumderived peat from Big Run Bog, West Virginia. Biogeochemistry (Dordrecht) 4:141-157.