

The measurement of liver resection margins

EE Rutherford and ND Karanjia

Department of General Surgery, Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford, Surrey, UK

Background

All tissue shrinks to some degree when placed in formalin fixative solution. The degree of shrinkage of liver tissue has particular relevance to the measurement of resection margins, as the current recommendation is that the surgeon should aim to achieve a resection margin of at least 1 cm. We were unable to find any published data concerning shrinkage of liver tissue in formalin. The aim of this study was therefore to quantify the shrinkage of liver specimens in the fixation process.

Methods

Distances of 10, 30 and 50 mm were measured and marked on 18 fresh liver specimens. The specimens were then fixed in

10% formalin solution for 24 h, and the distances were remeasured to assess shrinkage.

Results

The observed shrinkage at all three distances was <10% after 24 h in formalin. The degree of shrinkage was statistically significant.

Conclusion

Although the degree of shrinkage is small, it may be important when considering resection margins of the order of I cm and should therefore be taken into account.

Keywords

liver resection, resection margins, formalin

Introduction

Currently over 700 liver resections are performed in the UK each year [1]. The commonest indication for resection is metastatic colorectal cancer, for which hepatectomy can result in a 5-year survival rate of 38% [2]. Previous work has shown that the resection margin is a determinant of prognosis after hepatic resection, and it is currently recommended that the surgeon should aim to achieve a resection margin of at least 1 cm [3–6]. In much of the literature it is not specified whether this 1-cm margin is measured by the surgeon at operation or by the pathologist after fixation of the specimen in formalin.

It is well known that most tissues shrink to varying degrees when placed in a formalin fixative solution. A Medline search of the literature did not find any work quantifying liver shrinkage during the fixation process. The question therefore arose as to whether allowance for shrinkage should be made when assessing the fresh resection margin to achieve the optimal 1-cm clearance, as determined by pathological measurement of margins after chemical fixation in 10% formalin solution.

Methods

Fine sutures (4-0 polypropylene) were placed at 10-mm,

Correspondence to: ND Karanjia, Department of General Surgery, Royal Surrey County Hospital, Egerton Road, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XX, UK (e-mail: nkaranjia@aol.com)

30-mm and 50-mm intervals in normal liver parenchyma of freshly resected liver specimens from 18 patients undergoing liver resection at this hospital between 2000 and 2001. The sutures were initially positioned by measurement against a ruler, accurate to the nearest millimetre. Once the sutures had been placed, the distances were re-checked using calipers against the ruler. If the suture placement was inaccurate, it was repositioned. The same observer (EER) carried out all measurements. Intra-observer error was assessed by repeating all the measurements for the first five specimens three times.

The specimens were placed in 10% formalin fixative solution for 24 h, ensuring that the fixative covered the whole specimen. After 24 h, the specimens were removed from the formalin, and the distances between sutures were re-measured by the same observer using the same technique to the nearest millimetre.

Results

There was no significant intra-observer variation in the placing or re-measurement of distances between sutures.

In one specimen a suture 'cut out' and became detached from the specimen, precluding assessment of

© 2004 Taylor & Francis

Table 1. Median shrinkage of liver parenchyma after fixation for 24 h in formalin		
Distance between sutures (mm)	Median shrinkage (and range) (mm)	Significance*
10 (n = 17) 30 (n = 18) 50 (n = 18) * Student's t test	0 (0-3) 2 (0-5) 4 (0-7)	t = 2.89 p < 0.05 t = 5.79 p < 0.05 t = 6.28 p < 0.05

shrinkage of the tissue between 0 and 10 mm sutures in that particular case.

For each distance measured (10, 30 and 50 mm), the percentage shrinkage was <10%. The degree of shrinkage was statistically significant when considering each of the three distances (Table 1).

Discussion

It is generally accepted that resection margins are an important prognostic indicator, yet paradoxically there is little to clarify their method of measurement in the literature. Various studies have quantified shrinkage of tissues in fixative solution, such as lingual mucosa [7], prostate [8], lung [9], cornea [10] and colon [11]. However, we were unable to find any such research concerning liver tissue.

Our study shows that liver parenchyma does shrink, although the magnitude of this effect is small. In every set of measurements, the degree of shrinkage reached statistical significance. This study raises awareness of the fact that 'shrinkage factors' should be considered when describing resection margins. Authors should be consistent when describing margins with respect to whether they were measured fresh or following fixation by any method.

We did not measure liver parenchyma in situ or microscopically following final fixation and preparation, and it is likely that a small degree of shrinkage may occur immediately on resection of the specimen. As with other tissues, it may therefore be pertinent to conduct a study to assess liver shrinkage precisely from the in vivo situation to its measurement on a microscope slide.

As the degree of shrinkage is small, and certainly <10%, it is unlikely to make a great difference to the measurement of small resection margins. Although most hepatic surgeons would aim for a resection margin of ≥ 1 cm, in practice this may not be possible when a tumour is either centrally placed or adjacent to important

anatomical structures. Here a surgeon may accept a smaller margin in the knowledge that provided the tumour has not been breached the prospects for survival will not have been greatly reduced [12]. For measured margins of ≤ 5 mm, small variations due to shrinkage could probably be ignored. In the histopathological examination of rectal specimens a lateral resection margin of <1 mm is counted as a positive margin [13]. It would appear reasonable to apply this principle to the liver when assessing clearance of colorectal liver metastases. Although shrinkage of <10% on a margin of 1 mm would have a miniscule effect, it would be worth defining that such a small measurement of clearance should only be made in the fixed state, particularly when it is to used to signify a non-involved margin.

References

- 1 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. Hospital Episodes Statistics 1999/2000 www.doh.gov.uk.
- 2 Hughes KS. Resection of the liver for colorectal carcinoma metastases: a multi-institutional study of patterns of recurrence. Surgery 1988;103:278–88.
- 3 Cady B, Stone MD, McDermott WV *et al.* Technical and biological factors in disease-free survival after hepatic resection for colorectal cancer metastases. *Arch Surg* 1992; 127:561–8.
- 4 Holm A, Bradley E, Aldrete JS. Hepatic resection of metastasis from colorectal carcinoma. Morbidity, mortality and patterns of recurrence. *Ann Surg* 1989;**209**:428–34.
- 5 Ekberg H, Tranberg KG, Andersson T *et al.* Determinants of survival in liver resection for colorectal secondaries. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:727–31.
- 6 Registry of Hepatic Metastases, Resection of the liver for colorectal carcinoma metastases: a multi-institutional study of indications for resection. Surgery 1988;103:278–88.
- 7 Johnson RE, Sigman JD, Funk GF, Robinson RA, Hoffman HT. Quantification of surgical margin shrinkage in the oral cavity. Head Neck 1997;19:281–6.
- 8 Schned AR, Wheeler KJ, Hodorowski CA *et al.* Tissue shrinkage correction factor in the calculation of prostate cancer volume. Am J Surg Pathol 1996;20:1501–6.

- 9 Lum H, Mitzner W. Effects of 10% formalin fixation on fixed lung volume and lung tissue shrinkage. A comparison of 11 laboratory species. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985;132:1078–83.
- 10 Virtanen J, Uusitalo H, Palkama A, Kaufman H. The effect of fixation on corneal endothelial cell dimensions and morphology in scanning electron microscopy. *Acta Ophthal*mol 1984;62:577–85.
- 11 Guidelines for the management of colorectal cancer.
- Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland 2001;1–87.
- 12 Elias D, Cavalcanti A, Sabourin JC *et al.* Resection of liver metastases from colorectal cancer: the real impact of the surgical margin. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1998;**24**:174–9.
- 13 Ng IOL, Luk ISC, Yuen ST *et al.* Surgical lateral clearance in resected rectal carcinomas a multivariate analysis of clinicopathological features. *Cancer* 1993;71:1972–6.

CHANGE OF EDITOR

Please observe that from 1 January 2004 all authors are requested to submit new material to the editorial office at:

Professor Jim Toouli
Editor HPB

Department of General and Digestive Surgery
Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park
Adelaide, SA 5042
Australia
Tel: +61 8 8204 5213

Fax: +61 8 8204 5966

Email: Elizabeth.Andrews@flinders.edu.au