

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

FEBS Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 October 23.

Published in final edited form as:

FEBS Lett. 2007 July 31; 581(19): 3641–3651.

ER chaperones in mammalian development and human diseases

Min Ni and **Amy S. Lee***

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, USC Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

Abstract

The field of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in mammalian cells has expanded rapidly during the past decade, contributing to understanding of the molecular pathways that allow cells to adapt to perturbations in ER homeostasis. One major mechanism is mediated by molecular ER chaperones which are critical not only for quality control of proteins processed in the ER, but also for regulation of ER signaling in response to ER stress. Here we summarized the properties and functions of GRP78/ BiP, GRP94/gp96, GRP170/ORP150, GRP58/ERp57, PDI, ERp72, calnexin, calreticulin, EDEM, Herp and co-chaperones SIL1 and P58^{IPK} and their role in development and diseases. Many of the new insights are derived from recently constructed mouse models where the genes encoding the chaperones are genetically altered, providing invaluable tools for examining the physiological involvement of the ER chaperones *in vivo*.

Keywords

endoplasmic reticulum; chaperones; mammalian development; diseases

1. Introduction

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an essential cellular compartment for protein synthesis and maturation. It also functions as a Ca^{2+} storage organelle and resource of calcium signals. The perturbation of ER functions, such as disruption of Ca^{2+} homeostasis, inhibition of protein glycosylation or disulfide bond formation, hypoxia and virus or bacteria infection, can result in accumulation of unfolded or misfolded proteins and the failure of the ER to cope with the excessive protein load. This leads to ER stress, which is defined as an imbalance between the cellular demand for ER function and ER capacity. To reduce the excessive protein loading, the cells trigger the unfolded protein response (UPR), which signals transient attenuation of protein translation, degradation of malfolded proteins and the induction of molecular chaperones and folding enzymes to augment the ER capacity of protein folding and degradation. However, if the ER stress cannot be relieved, apoptotic pathways are activated in the damaged cells. The ER contains a number of molecular chaperones physiologically involved in the posttranslational modification, disulfide bond formation, folding, assembly and quality control of newly synthesized proteins to preserve cellular homeostasis. Upon ER stress, upregulation of ER chaperones is pivotal for cell survival by facilitating the correct folding and assembly of ER proteins and preventing their aggregation. Furthermore, specific chaperones are also involved in stress signaling regulation and protein degradation process to attenuate apoptotic

^{*}Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and the USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, 1441 Eastlake Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90089-9176. Tel.: 323-865-0507; Fax: 323-865-0094; Email: amylee@usc.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

stimuli. While the link between molecular chaperones and human diseases awaits direct proof in most cases, recent construction and characterization of novel mouse models where the gene encoding for the ER chaperone protein is deleted or genetically altered provide new insights on the physiological contribution of these proteins *in vivo.* This review highlights recent progress in understanding the role of ER chaperones in response to ER stress and their functional roles in mammalian development and human diseases.

2. Chaperoning function of ER proteins

The ER chaperones can be categorized into three groups: (a) chaperones of heat shock protein family including GRP78, GRP94 and the co-chaperones; (b), chaperone lectins like calnexin, calreticulin and EDEM; and (c) substrate-specific chaperones such as Hsp47. Additionally, there are at least two groups of folding catalysts, namely thiol oxidoreductases of the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) family such as PDI and GRP58/ERp57 and peptidyl prolyl isomerases (PPIs). There are two known chaperone systems in the ER, calnexin/calreticulin and GRP78/GRP94 [1]. The properties and function of ER chaperones, co-chaperones and folding enzymes covered in this review are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Calnexin/Calreticulin chaperone system

Calnexin is a 90 kDa type I ER membrane protein and calreticulin is a 60 kDa soluble ER lumen protein with a C-terminal KDEL signal [2,3]. When the newly synthesized polypeptides enter the ER, they are often modified by N-linked glycans ($Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂$) and the glucoses are rapidly removed by glucosidases I and II [4]. The nascent protein with monoglucosylated N-linked glycans ($Glc₁Man₉Glc₁Ac₂$) is recognized by the calnexin/ calreticulin system for subsequent folding and assembly steps. This process can be cotranslational and release of the completely folded glycoprotein from this cycle is usually coupled with transportation from the ER to the Golgi complex. GRP58/ERp57, a member of the PDI family, is also an important component involved in the calnexin/calreticulin system. It contains two thioredoxin motifs and acts as a thiol oxidoreductase to catalyze the disulfide bond formations of the loaded glycoproteins [5]. If the glycoprotein cannot be correctly folded, another ER protein UGGT (UDP-glucose glycoprotein-glucosyltransferase) recognizes the unfolded or misfolded glycoprotein and catalyzes the transfer of a glucose unit from UDPglucose to a specific mannose residue within the N-glycan chain of the glycoprotein [6]. Reglucosylation generates the monoglucosylated N-linked glycan that provides a new binding site of calnexin/calreticulin for re-entry of the glycoprotein into the cycle, until the correct folding is achieved [7]. Studies with hydrophobic peptides and glycoproteins have shown that UGGT recognizes surface-exposed hydrophobic regions [8,9]. While it was reported that UGGT can sense a local subtle structural alteration generated by a single point mutation and monoglucosylate glycans distant from misfolded determinants [10], another study using RNaseA/B as the substrate found that UGGT only selectively modifies the N-glycans close to or within the unfolded sites [11].

GRP78/GRP94 chaperone system

GRP78, also known as BiP, is the ER homologue of HSP70 proteins with a conserved ATPase domain and a peptide-binding domain [12,13]. As a chaperone, GRP78 recognizes and binds to the proteins with hydrophobic residues in the unfolded regions [14]. Therefore, some calnexin/calreticulin substrates can bind to GRP78 if the N-glycosylation is blocked. GRP78 is in a large multi-protein complex with a set of ER molecular chaperones, GRP94, PDI, ERp72, GRP170/ORP150, UGGT, CaBP1 (calcium binding protein), cyclophilin B and SDF2-L1, which forms an ER chaperoning network processing the unfolded protein substrates [15]. In this complex, GRP94, an ER homologue of HSP90 protein, often functions as a dimer providing a platform for the assembly or oligomerization of loaded protein cargo [16].

3. Regulation of stress signaling by ER chaperones

Cells developed an evolutionarily conserved integrated intracellular signaling cascade, referred to as the UPR, to reduce the unfolded protein load and increase folding capacity. For survival, the UPR signals pathways attenuating protein synthesis, upregulating the transcription of chaperone genes that increase ER capacity of folding and degradation, and retro-translocating misfolded proteins to the cytosol for degradation. There are three major UPR pathways with the ER-resident transmembrane proteins PERK, ATF6 and IRE1 as proximal signal sensors. Molecular chaperones play regulatory roles in UPR signaling pathway. The best characterized is the ER chaperone GRP78 which directly interacts with all three ER stress sensors, PERK, ATF6 and IRE1, and maintains them in inactive forms in non-stressed cells [17]. When accumulation of misfolded proteins occurs, GRP78 is titrated away. Release from GRP78 allows the activation and transduction of the unfolded protein signals across the ER membrane to the cytosol and the nucleus. Further characterization of post-translational modification of the ATF6 reveals that the glycosylation and disulphide bond status of the luminal domain of ATF6 can be utilized as novel sensing mechanisms for the activation of the UPR [18,19]. These studies show that ER stress-induced underglycosylation and reduction favor the transportation of ATF6 from ER to Golgi and the cleavage by S1P and S2P to generate the active nuclear form of ATF6.

For IRE1 signaling, different mechanisms have been reported for its activation. A recent study shows that dimerization of the cLD region (the core region of luminal domain) of the yeast IRE1 retains the full function of the IRE1 luminal domain but is insufficient for the activation, rather the cLD dimer presents an MHC-like groove that is proposed to interact with unfolded proteins and initiate the IRE1 activation [20]. On the other hand, the monomer of the human IRE1α N-terminal luminal domain (NLD) is able to form a dimer that exhibits an MHC-like groove at the interface [21]. Dimerization of the human NLD is able to activate both the kinase and RNase activities of IRE1 α and the MHC-like groove is too narrow to bind any peptide, implicating that unfolded protein binding is not required for the activation of IRE1 α . Therefore, the study of human IREα supports the conventional view that GRP78 is the primary mechanism for regulating IRE1 α activation, whereas the yeast study implies that peptide interaction is the key triggering event. Further studies are required to resolve these apparent differences.

Another ER stress inducible chaperone, P58^{IPK}, is proposed to be a negative regulator of PERK activation to inhibit eIF2 α phosphorylation and attenuate the UPR [22]. P58^{IPK} belongs to the HSP40 family and contains the tetratricopeptide repeats (TPR) motifs that have been shown to mediate protein-protein interaction [23]. Therefore, it is considered as a co-chaperone. Originally, P58IPK was identified in the cytosol to repress the kinase activity of PKR, the interferon-induced eIF2 α kinase, through the direct association with PKR [24]. Instead of the cytosolic localization, P58IPK was recently reported to be a peripheral membrane protein of the rough ER, and in addition to modifying PERK signaling, P58IPK contributes to ER stress induced protein degradation through complex formation with the ER translocon and cytosolic HSP70 chaperone network [25]. However, more recently, it was determined that P58^{IPK} contains a functional ER targeting signal consisting of 26 hydrophobic amino acids at the Nterminus (David Ron, personal communication), implicating that P58IPK may enter the ER. Thus, the precise orientation of the protein complexes and how P58^{IPK} facilitates extraction of the stalled proteins from the ER requires further resolution.

4. ER chaperones facilitate ER-associated protein degradation

The ER employs a mechanism termed ER-associated degradation (ERAD) to clear the aggregated misfolded or unassembled proteins. During ERAD, the target proteins selected by ER quality control system are retrotranslocated to the cytosol and degraded by the ubiquitin-

proteasome system. ER chaperones play key roles in ERAD substrate selection and solubilization [26]. The selection process relies on factors that primarily recognize substructures within the misfolded proteins such as hydrophobic patches, unpaired cysteines and partially de-mannosylated N-glycans. For example, in the mammalian calnexin/ calreticulin system, the glycoproteins undergoing futile folding attempts are eventually subject to terminal mannose trimming (from Man_9Glc NAc₂ to Man_8Glc NAc₂, or extensive trimming to produce Man_6Glc NAc₂ or Man_5Glc NAc₂) [27] by ER α 1, 2-mannosidases, leading to their recognition by EDEM, a class of mannosidase-like proteins, and EDEM then targets misfolded mannose trimmed glycoproteins to degradation [28]. Three EDEM homologues, EDEM1, EDEM2 and EDEM3, have been identified and they are transcriptionally upregulated upon ER stress by the activated IRE1/Xbp-1 branch [29]. EDEM is required for ERAD of glycoproteins and overexpression of EDEM1 accelerates the release of unfolded glycoproteins from calnexin/ calreticulin cycle for the onset of degradation [30]. How EDEM delivers substrates to the ER translocon remains to be determined, however, the association of EDEM with putative components of the translocon pore (derlins) has recently been reported [31].

In contrast to EDEM, GRP78 with its co-chaperones selects the ERAD substrates by recognizing the hydrophobic regions of the polypeptides that are usually exposed on the surface of misfolded or unassembled proteins. Simultaneously, they also retain the terminally misfolded proteins in soluble conformations preventing their aggregation in the ER lumen. Apparently all known soluble ERAD substrates require GRP78 and co-chaperones for their degradation [26,32]. After release from GRP78 in an ATP-dependent manner, the targeted misfolded proteins are sorted to the ERAD pathway. PDI is also known as a chaperone that plays a role in ERAD, in addition to its enzymatic activities. PDI has been shown to specifically interact with disulfide-free, misfolded secretory proteins in yeast and target them to the Sec61 translocon for degradation [33].

5. ER chaperone function is obligatory for early mammalian development

Gene knockout technology allows definitive tests for the requirement of specific ER chaperone function *in vivo*. Traditional knockout approach creating homozygous deletion of ER chaperones such as GRP78 [34], GRP94 (Mao and Lee, in preparation), GRP58/ERp57 [35], calreticulin [36] and UGGT [37] results in embryonic lethality. The phenotypes of mouse knockout models of the ER chaperones, co-chaperones and folding enzymes covered in this review are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. These studies provide direct evidence that the function of each of these ER chaperones cannot be compensated during mouse development. Thus, heterozygous mutants and/or tissue-specific knockout mouse models are required to elucidate the critical contribution of these chaperones in mammalian development.

For GRP78, it has recently been shown that complete depletion of GRP78 leads to lethality in 3.5 day old embryos (E3.5) due to the failure of embryo peri-implantation [34]. The *Grp78*−*/*− embryos cannot hatch from the zona pellucida *in vitro*, fail to grow in culture, and exhibit proliferation defects and a massive increase of apoptosis in the inner cell mass, which are precursors of embryonic stem cells. These findings show that GRP78 is essential for embryonic cell growth and pluripotent cell survival. In another study, transgenic mouse lines bearing a *lacZ* reporter gene driven by 3 kilobases of the rat GRP78 promoter or a mutant promoter with ERSE (ER stress-response element) deletion were used to evaluate the transcriptional regulation of GRP78 during mouse embryonic development. GRP78 is transcriptionally upregulated in both the trophectoderm and inner cell mass of E3.5 embryos and this induction is largely dependent on the ERSE. Since ERSE is an essential cis-element of the Grp78 promoter for induction by ER stress, this suggests that physiological ER stress may exist at the peri-implantation stage of early development due to the increased activity of cell proliferation and protein secretion. On the other hand, *Grp78+/*− mice are viable and

comparable to wild-type although GRP78 level in the heterozgyotes is about 50% of the wildtype siblings. The GRP94 and PDI levels are mildly elevated in the *Grp78+/*− mice, whereas the levels of other two ER chaperones, calnexin and calreticulin, are not affected. Thus, during normal mouse development, 50% of wild-type GRP78 level is apparently sufficient to maintain ER homeostasis. This is consistent with the view that compared to normal tissues and organs, GRP78 is more critically needed in cells undergoing physiological or pathological stress.

Embryonic lethality was also observed in the GRP58/ERp57 knockout mice whereas the conditional knockout mice with GRP58/ERp57 deficiency in B cells are viable [35]. The development and proliferation of GRP58/ERp57 deficient B cells are normal, but the MHC class I antigen presentation is impaired. During biosynthesis, MHC class I heavy chain is processed in the calnexin/calreticulin system where GRP58/ERp57 participates in oxidative folding by catalyzing disulfide bond formation and isomerization [38]. GRP58/ERp57 is also detected in the peptide-loading complex consisting of TAP1/TAP2, the MHC class I-specific chaperone tapasin, calreticulin, GRP58/ERp57 and MHC I heterodimers [39], implicating that GRP58/ERp57 plays a role in loading of peptides onto MHC class I molecules. In the GRP58/ ERp57-deficient B cells, the amount of cell surface MHC class I-peptide complexes and the peptide loading complex-associated MHC class I are dramatically decreased compared with the wild-type B cells and the dissociation of MHC class I from the complex is much faster. These observations indicate that GRP58/ERp57 recruits MHC class I to the peptide-loading complex and maintain the peptide-MHC I complex in a steady state. In addition, GRP58/ERp57 deficiency in B cells also affects the recruitment of calreticulin into the loading complex [35]. Thus, MHC class I antigen presentation ability is diminished by the deficiency of GRP58/ ERp57 in B cells due to the impaired peptide-loading machinery.

Calreticulin deficiency is lethal in mouse embryos at E14.5, resulting from a lesion in cardiac development [36]. The ventricular wall made of cardiomyocytes became thinner in the heart of *calreticulin*−*/*− mouse embryos. Calreticulin is highly expressed in cardiomyocytes at the early stage of heart development and downregulated after birth in the healthy mature heart. Interestingly, GRP78 and GRP94 are also upregulated during embryonic cardiac development indicating that some ER chaperones may be essential for cardiogenesis [40–43]. In calreticulinnull fibroblast, the Ca^{2+} storage capacity of the ER is reduced [44], whereas overexpression of calreticulin increases the ER Ca²⁺ level [45]. Since Ca²⁺ enhances the client-binding and chaperoning ability of ER chaperones, changes in the ER Ca^{2+} storage capacity or impairment of Ca^{2+} binding to these chaperones affect the quality of ER protein folding and assembly.

Calnexin is another major component of the calnexin/calreticulin chaperone system. Interestingly, calnexin deficient mice are viable, but 50% of the *calnexin*−*/*− mice died within 2 days of birth and the surviving mice are smaller than the littermates and exhibit obvious motor disorders [46]. The *calnexin*−*/*− mice are further characterized by a dramatic loss of large to medium myelinated nerve fibers, thereby decreasing the size of the sciatic nerve, implying that calnexin plays a tissue-specific role in mammalian physiology. Furthermore, deletions of calnexin, calreticulin and GRP58/ERp57 have very specific consequences on glycoprotein maturation. For example, defective maturation of MHC class I in cells lacking calreticulin [47], of influenza virus hemagglutinin in cells lacking calnexin [48] or ERp57 [49] and of a series of heavily glycosylated proteins sharing common structural domains in cells lacking ERp57 [50] have been reported.

6. ER chaperones ameliorate protein misfolding in neurodegenerative

diseases

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease are pathologically characterized by the intracellular or extracellular accumulation of misfolded

proteins or mutated gene products [51]. Thus, occurrences of ER stress and UPR activation have been observed in the affected neuronal cells [52]. Mounting evidence suggests that ER chaperones are highly induced to ameliorate the accumulation of misfolded proteins and protect neuronal cells against neurotoxicity [53,54]. Impairment of the functions of the involved chaperones leads to the failure of attenuating ER stress and eventual apoptosis of neuronal cells. This is supported by the development of neurological disorders in knockout mouse models of ER chaperones and co-chaperones as summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

S-nitrosylated PDI was found in the brain samples of Parkinson's or Alzheimer's patients and exposure of the cultured neurons to NMDA that induced Ca^{2+} influx and nitric oxide production also resulted in s-nitrosylation of PDI [55]. PDI catalyzes thio-disulfide exchange facilitating the disulfide bond formation and rearrangement reaction. In response to ER stress, PDI is usually upregulated and protects neuronal cells against ischemic injury [56]. S-nitrosylation of PDI inhibits its enzymatic activity and leads to the accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins and activation of UPR. On the other hand, overexpression of wild-type PDI attenuates UPR and protects cells against apoptosis induced by ER stress inducers such as tunicamycin which inhibits N-linked protein glycosylation and thapsigargin which is an inhibitor of ER Ca^{2+} -ATPase [55].

Overexpression of GRP78, calnexin and GRP170/ORP150 suppressed the production of βamyloid peptides (Aβ), a major component of extracellular senile plaques in Alzheimer's disease [57]. Further, co-immunoprecipitation identified the association of amyloid precursor protein (APP) with GRP78, suggesting that GRP78 overexpression inhibits APP maturation through retention of APP in the ER and thus reduces the \widehat{AB} production by proteolysis of APP. The protective role of GRP78 in neurodegeneration is supported by the studies of woozy mutant mice and Marinesco-Sjögren syndrome (MS) patients. Human MS syndrome is a rare disease associated with cerebellar ataxia, progressive myopathy and cataracts. Recently, mutations of SIL1, an adenine nucleotide exchange factor of GRP78, have been identified to cause the MS syndrome [58,59]. Further, *in vivo* study with the SIL1 mutant woozy mouse model revealed that loss of functional SIL1 results in cerebellar Purkinje cell degeneration and ataxia [60]. SIL1 stimulates the release of ADP from GRP78, activating the ATPase cycle to promote the binding and folding of the substrate proteins. It was observed that ubiquitinated proteins aggregate in the ER and cytosol and UPR pathway is activated to upregulate GRP78, GRP170/ ORP150 and CHOP in response to the ER stress induced in cerebellar Purkinje cells. It is noted that the cerebellum is particularly sensitive to loss of SIL1 function, and within the cerebellum only some lobules have degenerated Purkinje cells. One possible explanation for this observation is that other co-chaperone(s) can compensate for SIL1 function in the unaffected cerebellar lobules. GRP170/ORP150 has recently been implicated to substitute for SIL1 as an alternative nucleotide exchange factor for GRP78 in an *in vitro* experimental system [61]. Thus, in different tissues or in different regions of specific tissues, the distribution and activity of various co-chaperones could vary, giving rise to distinct phenotypes.

GRP58/ERp57 has been implicated in prion diseases, because it is consistently upregulated in the prion replicating brain areas of the scrapie prion-infected mice and its overexpression protects neuronal cells against PrPsc toxicity and ER stress-induced apoptosis [62,63]. Prion diseases, also known as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) are fatal neurodegenerative disorders characterized by accumulation of the misfolded form of the cellular prion protein (PrP), denoted PrPsc (scrapie-associated PrP), and consequent neuronal dysfunction and death [64]. The conformational changes of Pr^{PSC} lead to the generation of the protease-resistant and insoluble form of the prion protein, which is believed to be neurotoxic. ER stress resulting from Pr^{psc} aggregation induces the apoptosis of neuron cells [65]. The upregulation of ER stress chaperones, such as GRP78, GRP94 and GRP58/ERp57, was also observed in PrPsc-infected neuroblastoma cells [65] and the cortex samples of TSEs patients

[64]. Furthermore, GRP58/ERp57 was found to interact with PrP^{SC} and this interaction was enhanced when cells were infected with scrapie prion or treated with proteasome inhibitor, suggesting that GRP58/ERp57 selectively binds to PrPsc [62]. Since GRP58/ERp57 is a thiol oxidoreductase to catalyze disulfide bond formation, it is possible that the interaction of GRP58/ERp57 enhances correct folding of PrP and thus reduces the PrP^{SC} neurotoxicity. Furthermore, a recent study reveals that ER stress facilitates the generation of a misfolded PrP isoform that is more prone to be efficiently converted into PrPsc and that ER damaged cells might be more susceptible to prion replication, contributing to rapid progression of prion disease [66].

7. ER chaperones promote cancer progression and tumor immunity

Due to hypoxic conditions and glucose deprivation caused by poor vascularization, the microenvironment of tumors represents physiological ER stress and the UPR is activated for the survival of tumor cells [67,68]. ER chaperones serve as a novel class of pro-survival components protecting the host against death induced by ER stress when expressed at high levels. In cell culture systems, it has been established that GRP78 [69,70], GRP94 [71], calreticulin [72] and the homocysteine-induced endoplasmic reticulum protein, Herp [73] protect cancer cells against ER stress-induced apoptosis. Among them, GRP78 is bestcharacterized with respect to its role in cancer progression, drug resistance and possibly metastasis [74, Table 1].

Fibrosarcoma cells where GRP78 expression was suppressed by antisense were either unable to form tumors or quickly regressed [69], implying that GRP78 is required for tumor growth. Recent investigations using mouse knockout models reaffirmed the important role of GRP78 in tumor development and progression (Dong and Lee, in preparation). GRP78 expression is highly upregulated in varieties of cancer cell lines and human cancer specimens, including breast cancer, lung cancer, liver cancer and prostate cancer, correlating with malignancy, metastasis and drug resistance [75]. In a variety of human cancers, GRP78 upregulation protects tumor cells from chemotherapeutic agents and knockdown of GRP78 with siRNA or antisense sensitizes these cells to those agents [75,76]. GRP78 protects cancer cells through multiple mechanisms. Under glucose starvation and severe hypoxic conditions in solid tumors, GRP78 is induced by activated UPR signaling to ameliorate misfolded protein aggregation in the ER. Through its ability to bind Ca^{2+} , GRP78 serves as a buffering agent in the ER, preventing Ca^{2+} efflux from the ER to the cytosol and alleviating the ER stress-induced apoptotic stimuli. Furthermore, the cytoprotective role of GRP78 also attributes to its inhibition of the activation of pro-apoptotic components, such as BIK and BAX, as well as suppressing the cleavage of procaspase-7 and procaspase-12 through complex formation [77–79]. Since a subfraction of GRP78 exists as a ER transmembrane protein with the amino portion in the cytosol, it can potentially directly interact with pro-apoptotic components such as BIK, BAX, caspase 12 and caspase 7 which are known to be localized to the ER [75,78,79]. It is also possible that GRP78 in the lumen of the ER complexes with ER-transmembrane proteins that interact with the cytosolic pro-apoptotic components. Since BIK, BAX and caspase-7 are activated by a variety of chemotherapeutic regimens, inhibition of their activation by GRP78 may confer general chemo-resistance to cancer cells [75]. Additionally, BIK is required for estrogen-starvation induced apoptosis in estrogen receptor positive human breast cancer cells and its apoptotic activity is blocked by GRP78 in human breast cancer cells [79,80]. BIK is a BH3-only protein of the BCL-2 family and plays a pro-apoptotic role through regulating the oligomerization of BAX and BAK in the mitochondrial outer membrane and release of mitochondrial cytochrome c. BIK is known to inhibit Bcl-2 activity by direct association. Therefore, inhibition of BIK by GRP78 in human breast cancer could lead to resistance to chemotherapy as well as anti-estrogen therapy. In retrospective studies, GRP78 level in patient tumor specimens has been shown to associate with poor survival, resistance to adriamcyin

therapy in breast cancer patients [81,82] and recurrence in prostate cancer patients [83,84]. Thus, GRP78 is a potential novel biomarker for tumor behavior and development of resistance to therapy in cancer [74].

GRP94, the ER homologue of HSP90 also referred to as gp96, shares common transcriptional regulatory elements with the GRP78 promoter and is coordinately regulated with GRP78 [85]. Induction of GRP94 is commonly associated with upregulation of GRP78 in the tumor samples and cell lines. Overexpression of GRP94 is correlated with cellular transformation, tumorigenicity and decreased sensitivity to X-rays in cancer cell lines, whereas decrease of GRP94 level by antisense results in the enhanced sensitivity of tumor cells to etoposide treatment [71]. Interestingly, etoposide-induced cell death triggers proteolytic cleavage of GRP94 by calpain, which also cleaves Bcl-xL during apoptosis, therefore converting a prosurvival protein into a pro-apoptotic molecule [86]. In addition, the role of GRP94 in cancer has been widely recognized due to its ability to induce a tumor-specific protective immunity in various experimental tumor models (Table 1). GRP94 is found to associate with diverse peptides including tumor-specific antigens in the ER and the complexes are captured by antigen-presenting cells, which in turn present the peptides to MHC class I complex leading to the cell-mediated immune response [87]. Based on this mechanism, GRP94 vaccines have been extensively developed in cancer therapy. The isolated GRP94-peptide complexes from specific cancer tissues or modified cancer cell lines are currently used as vaccines to immunize animal tumor models or patients in clinical trials. The studies observed that the immunization elicits the specific immune response and tumor regression and the efficiency is improved by low dose of cyclophosphamide, an inhibitor of the induction of suppressive regulatory T cells [88]. Cell surface GRP94 has also been implicated in systemic autoimmune diseases [89].

Cell surface localization of GRP78, PDI, calnexin and calreticulin have been reported [90– 93]. In prostate cancer cells, GRP78 serves as a receptor for activated macroglobulin and is postulated to promote proliferation, survival and cell motility [94,95]. Cell surface expression of GRP78 in tumors but not in normal organs has prompted new directions in targeting cytotoxic agents into cancer cells [71,74,90].

8. ER chaperones alleviate atherosclerosis stress

Atherosclerosis is a chronic and progressive disease physiologically characterized by the hardening and narrowing of the arteries due to the formation of atheromatous plaques on the inside walls of arteries. It is well-established that atherosclerosis is a principle cause of cardiovascular disease, such as atherothrombotic disease, myocardial infarction, stroke etc. [96,97]. Numerous clinical and epidemiology studies have demonstrated that hyperhomocysteinemia (HHcy) is an independent risk factor for atherosclerosis and thrombotic disease [98,99]. Deficiencies in homocysteine metabolism, such as nutritional deficiencies in B vitamin cofactors or mutations in cystathionine β-synthase (CBS) gene or 5,10 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR), can lead to hyperhomocysteinemia or even a severe form of HHcy, homocystinuria [100]. Studies on the potential cellular mechanism by which homocysteine promotes atherosclerosis revealed that HHcy induces activation of proinflammatory factors [101–103], oxidative stress [104] and ER stress associated with activation of the UPR [105,106]. As summarized in Tables 1 and 2, increased expression of ER stress response genes including GRP78, GRP94, Herp and RTP (reducing agents and tunicamycin-responsive protein) has been observed as a consequence of high levels of intracellular homocysteine [107–109]. Homocysteine-induced ER stress leads to overexpression of pro-apoptotic factors including GADD153/CHOP and TDAG51 (T cell death-associated gene 51) and activation of caspase-3 [108,110–112], which contributes to HHcy-associated vascular endothelial cell injury that may promote the development of atherothrombotic diseases. In addition, homocysteine-induced ER stress also induces the

expression of the sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBPs) in hepatocytes, vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells [113]. SREBPs are ER-resident transcription factors responsible for the activation of genes involved in the cholesterol and triglyceride biosynthesis pathways. Increased expression of SREBPs is also associated with intracellular accumulation of cholesterol. Stable overexpression of GRP78 is able to inhibit the activation of SREBPs and the genes under their regulation [113], which implies that GRP78 may be a potential factor to inhibit atherosclerosis. Further, GRP78 was discovered to suppress thrombin generation by inhibiting tissue factor (TF) procoagulant activity [114], whereas homocysteine induces TF procoagulant activity. TF is a transmembrane glycoprotein and plays a role in initiation of the extrinsic coagulation cascade and increased expression of TF has been detected in atherosclerotic plaques [115]. Thus, increased level of ER chaperone GRP78 can potentially suppress the development or progression of hyperhomocysteinemia and atherosclerosis through: i) alleviating the homocysteine-induced ER stress; ii) preventing apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells; iii) inhibiting the activation of genes responsible for cholesterol/triglyceride biosynthesis; and iv) suppressing the procoagulant potential of cells.

9. Link of diabetes to UPR pathways and ER chaperone function

Diabetes is a prevalent metabolic disease characterized by perturbed glucose metabolism. Usually, this disease is initiated by failure of pancreatic β -cells associated with autoimmunity (type 1) or insulin resistance in peripheral tissues (type 2). Defects in specific UPR pathways have been linked to diabetes in mouse models and humans. One example is the human Wolcott-Rallison syndrome, a rare autosomal-recessive disorder associated with pancreatic β-cell death and infancy-onset diabetes [116]. PERK mutations resulting in truncated or dysfunctional PERK protein are responsible for this disorder. Similar defect was also observed in *Perk*−*/*[−] mice and increased β-cell apoptosis has been detected in the mouse islets [117]. The activation of PERK upon ER stress involves dissociation from GRP78, oligomerization of PERK and autophosphorylation of serine/threonine kinase domain. The activated PERK further phosphorylates eIF2 α (α subunit of translational initiation factor), which results in transient inhibition of global translation, thereby preventing further protein accumulation in the ER and suppressing ER stress-induced cell death [17]. Therefore, PERK is a crucial pathway in pancreatic β-cells to attenuate the stress signaling for survival.

The link of molecular chaperones to diabetes is just emerging. A recent study suggests that deficiency of P58^{IPK}, an inhibitor of PERK activation, causes type 1 diabetes and late-stage type 2 diabetes in mice associated with hyperglycemia and hypoinsulinemia concomitant with increasing apoptosis of pancreatic islet β-cells [118]. Deficiency of P58IPK has no effect on the insulin secretion by viable pancreatic β-cells and insulin sensitivity of peripheral tissues. However, the adult *P58^{<i>IPK*−/−} mice with hyperglycemia showed increased β-cell death and upregulation of pro-apoptotic genes, suggesting that loss of functional pancreatic β-cells leads to hypoinsulinemia and subsequent high blood glucose level. Although P58IPK is a known inhibitor of both PERK and the cytosolic eIF2 α kinase PKR, its depletion only activates PERK, but not PKR [119]. The diabetic phenotypes of *P58IPK*−*/*− mice showed that sustained activation of PERK could lead to prolonged ER stress and induce apoptosis of pancreatic β-cells (Table 2).

As a downstream effector of PERK, the heterozygous loss-of-function mutation of eIF2 α in mice fed on high fat diet results in development of diabetes and obesity [120]. This mutation substitutes alanine at Ser⁵¹, the phosphorylation site of eIF2 α by PERK or PKR for translational inhibition. This diabetes mouse model is characterized by glucose intolerance, abnormal ER distension and inadequate insulin secretion in β-cells. Further, in eIF2α mutant mice fed with high-fat diet, increased amount of proinsulin was associated with GRP78 and production of mature insulin was decreased, suggesting that the stable association with GRP78 inhibits the

processing of proinsulin into mature insulin. Thus, the S/A mutation of eIF2 α abolishes the ability of β-cells to adapt to ER stress through translational attenuation in the high-fat diet fed mice. The increased expression of proinsulin exceeds the folding capacity of the ER and GRP78 retains the proinsulin molecules in the ER for further folding process or degradation through ERAD, which contributes to the reduced insulin level in islets and diabetic symptoms.

10. ER chaperones and bacterial pathogenesis

Bacterial toxins are major determinants of bacterial virulence and even lethality and the main causes of human diseases in bacteria-infected populations. Generally, there are two types of bacterial toxins, lipopolysaccharides, which are associated with the cell walls of gram-negative bacteria, and proteins, which are typically soluble proteins acting as enzymes intracellularly or extracellularly. Bacterial protein toxins usually contain two components: A subunit possesses enzymatic activity and B subunit provides binding to the specific cell surface receptor. In general, toxins bound to the cell surface receptor are endocytosed, and transported retrogradely to the Golgi and the ER as a holotoxin. When released from the AB native toxin, the enzymatic A subunit becomes active. Evidence indicated that PDI mediates the disassembly of cholera toxin (CT) holotoxin [121]. CT has a typical AB5 arrangement of protein toxins, which contains five B subunits and a single A subunit that is cleaved into A1 catalytic chain and A2 chain upon secretion. When CT holotoxin is taken up by intestinal cells and translocated into the ER, the reduced form of ER chaperone PDI binds to the A1 chain, reduces the disulfide bridge between the A1 and A2 chains [122], and releases the A1 chain from the holotoxin when the C-terminal disulfide bond of PDI is oxidized by endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin 1 (Ero1) [123]. Eventually, the A1 chain is transferred across the ER membrane to the cytosol where it refolds into an active enzyme that ADP-ribosylates a trimeric G protein and in turn activates adenylyl cyclase to elevate cAMP level for activation of chloride channels at the cellular plasma membrane, which leads to diarrhea. Thus, the unfolding process by PDI facilitates the retrotranslocation of the A1 chain of CT. However, other PDI family members modify toxin retrotranslocation differently. A recent study revealed that ERp72, a PDI-like protein retains the A1 chain of CT in the ER and may potentially reduce the cytosolic activated A1 chain [124].

Another interesting discovery is that a bacterial toxin, named AB5 subtilase cytotoxin (SubAB), causes cell lethality through specific cleavage of GRP78 at a single amino acid, thus inactivating GRP78 function [125]. SubAB has been detected in a virulent strain of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and several other STEC serotypes. The STEC strains are known to produce Shiga toxins that have been found to cause the recent US outbreak of *E. coli* from fresh spinach and cause haemolytic uraemic syndrome in humans [126]. The A subunit of Shiga toxin is exported from the ER lumen to the cytosol, where it enzymatically cleaves the 28S rRNA resulting in inhibition of protein synthesis and death of host cells [127]. In contrast, the A subunit of SubAB remains in the ER lumen instead of being retrotranslocated to the cytosol and cleaves GRP78 at the region linking the ATPase domain and the peptide-binding domain, thus destroying the cytoprotective GRP78 and leading to cell death. Therefore, STEC strains bearing SubAB are expected to pose serious threat to human health. On the other hand, SubAB may be a potential tool in medical application regarding to its function of specifically eliminating GRP78, since induction of GRP78 expression is correlated with tumor growth and drug resistance in a variety of human cancers [128].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the NIH grants CA27607 and CA111700 (A.S.L). We would like to thank Changhui Mao, Risheng Ye and members of the Lee laboratory for helpful discussions.

References

- 1. Ma Y, Hendershot LM. ER chaperone functions during normal and stress conditions. J Chem Neuroanat 2004;28:51–65. [PubMed: 15363491]
- 2. Sonnichsen B, Fullekrug J, Nguyen Van P, Diekmann W, Robinson DG, Mieskes G. Retention and retrieval: both mechanisms cooperate to maintain calreticulin in the endoplasmic reticulum. J Cell Sci 1994;107:2705–2717. [PubMed: 7876339]
- 3. Helenius A, Trombetta ES, Hebert DN, Simons JF. Calnexin, calreticulin and the folding of glycoproteins. Trends Cell Biol 1997;7:193–200. [PubMed: 17708944]
- 4. Parodi AJ. Protein glucosylation and its role in protein folding. Annu Rev Biochem 2000;69:69–93. [PubMed: 10966453]
- 5. Molinari M, Helenius A. Glycoproteins form mixed disulphides with oxidoreductases during folding in living cells. Nature 1999;402:90–93. [PubMed: 10573423]
- 6. Sousa MC, Ferrero-Garcia MA, Parodi AJ. Recognition of the oligosaccharide and protein moieties of glycoproteins by the UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. Biochemistry 1992;31:97–105. [PubMed: 1531024]
- 7. Taylor SC, Thibault P, Tessier DC, Bergeron JJ, Thomas DY. Glycopeptide specificity of the secretory protein folding sensor UDP-glucose glycoprotein:glucosyltransferase. EMBO Rep 2003;4:405–411. [PubMed: 12671684]
- 8. Sousa M, Parodi AJ. The molecular basis for the recognition of misfolded glycoproteins by the UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. EMBO J 1995;14:4196–4203. [PubMed: 7556060]
- 9. Caramelo JJ, Castro OA, Alonso LG, De Prat-Gay G, Parodi AJ. UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase recognizes structured and solvent accessible hydrophobic patches in molten globule-like folding intermediates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:86–91. [PubMed: 12518055]
- 10. Taylor SC, Ferguson AD, Bergeron JJ, Thomas DY. The ER protein folding sensor UDP-glucose glycoprotein-glucosyltransferase modifies substrates distant to local changes in glycoprotein conformation. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2004;11:128–134. [PubMed: 14730348]
- 11. Ritter C, Quirin K, Kowarik M, Helenius A. Minor folding defects trigger local modification of glycoproteins by the ER folding sensor GT. EMBO J 2005;24:1730–1738. [PubMed: 15861139]
- 12. Lee AS. The glucose-regulated proteins: stress induction and clinical applications. Trends Biochem Sci 2001;26:504–510. [PubMed: 11504627]
- 13. Hendershot LM. The ER function BiP is a master regulator of ER function. Mt Sinai J Med 2004;71:289–297. [PubMed: 15543429]
- 14. Flynn GC, Pohl J, Flocco MT, Rothman JE. Peptide-binding specificity of the molecular chaperone BiP. Nature 1991;353:726–730. [PubMed: 1834945]
- 15. Meunier L, Usherwood YK, Chung KT, Hendershot LM. A subset of chaperones and folding enzymes form multiprotein complexes in endoplasmic reticulum to bind nascent proteins. Mol Biol Cell 2002;13:4456–4469. [PubMed: 12475965]
- 16. Yang Y, Li Z. Roles of heat shock protein gp96 in the ER quality control: redundant or unique function? Mol Cells 2005;20:173–182. [PubMed: 16267390]
- 17. Schroder M, Kaufman RJ. The mammalian unfolded protein response. Annu Rev Biochem 2005;74:739–789. [PubMed: 15952902]
- 18. Hong M, Luo S, Baumeister P, Huang JM, Gogia RK, Li M, Lee AS. Underglycosylation of ATF6 as a novel sensing mechanism for activation of the unfolded protein response. J Biol Chem 2004;279:11354–11363. [PubMed: 14699159]
- 19. Nadanaka S, Okada T, Yoshida H, Mori K. Role of disulfide bridges formed in the luminal domain of ATF6 in sensing endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mol Cell Biol 2007;27:1027–1043. [PubMed: 17101776]
- 20. Credle JJ, Finer-Moore JS, Papa FR, Stroud RM, Walter P. On the mechanism of sensing unfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:18773–18784. [PubMed: 16365312]
- 21. Zhou J, Liu CY, Back SH, Clark RL, Peisach D, Xu Z, Kaufman RJ. The crystal structure of human IRE1 luminal domain reveals a conserved dimerization interface required for activation of the unfolded protein response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:14343–14348. [PubMed: 16973740]

- 22. Yan W, Frank CL, Korth MJ, Sopher BL, Novoa I, Ron D, Katze MG. Control of PERK eIF2alpha kinase activity by the endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced molecular chaperone P58IPK. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:15920–15925. [PubMed: 12446838]
- 23. Melville MW, Katze MG, Tan SL. P58IPK, a novel cochaperone containing tetratricopeptide repeats and a J-domain with oncogenic potential. Cell Mol Life Sci 2000;57:311–322. [PubMed: 10766025]
- 24. Tan SL, Gale MJ Jr, Katze MG. Double-stranded RNA-independent dimerization of interferoninduced protein kinase PKR and inhibition of dimerization by the cellular P58IPK inhibitor. Mol Cell Biol 1998;18:2431–2443. [PubMed: 9566864]
- 25. Oyadomari S, Yun C, Fisher EA, Kreglinger N, Kreibich G, Oyadomari M, Harding HP, Goodman AG, Harant H, Garrison JL, Taunton J, Katze MG, Ron D. Cotranslocational degradation protects the stressed endoplasmic reticulum from protein overload. Cell 2006;126:727–739. [PubMed: 16923392]
- 26. Nishikawa S, Brodsky JL, Nakatsukasa K. Roles of molecular chaperones in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) quality control and ER-associated degradation (ERAD). J Biochem (Tokyo) 2005;137:551– 555. [PubMed: 15944407]
- 27. Lederkremer GZ, Glickman MH. A window of opportunity: timing protein degradation by trimming of sugars and ubiquitins. Trends Biochem Sci 2005;30:297–303. [PubMed: 15950873]
- 28. Meusser B, Hirsch C, Jarosch E, Sommer T. ERAD: the long road to destruction. Nat Cell Biol 2005;7:766–772. [PubMed: 16056268]
- 29. Yoshida H, Matsui T, Hosokawa N, Kaufman RJ, Nagata K, Mori K. A time-dependent phase shift in the mammalian unfolded protein response. Dev Cell 2003;4:265–271. [PubMed: 12586069]
- 30. Molinari M, Calanca V, Galli C, Lucca P, Paganetti P. Role of EDEM in the release of misfolded glycoproteins from the calnexin cycle. Science 2003;299:1397–1400. [PubMed: 12610306]
- 31. Oda Y, Okada T, Yoshida H, Kaufman RJ, Nagata K, Mori K. Derlin-2 and Derlin-3 are regulated by the mammalian unfolded protein response and are required for ER-associated degradation. J Cell Biol 2006;172:383–393. [PubMed: 16449189]
- 32. Fewell SW, Travers KJ, Weissman JS, Brodsky JL. The action of molecular chaperones in the early secretory pathway. Annu Rev Genet 2001;35:149–191. [PubMed: 11700281]
- 33. Gillece P, Luz JM, Lennarz WJ, de La Cruz FJ, Romisch K. Export of a cysteine-free misfolded secretory protein from the endoplasmic reticulum for degradation requires interaction with protein disulfide isomerase. J Cell Biol 1999;147:1443–1456. [PubMed: 10613903]
- 34. Luo S, Mao C, Lee B, Lee AS. GRP78/BiP is required for cell proliferation and protecting the inner cell mass from apoptosis during early mouse embryonic development. Mol Cell Biol 2006;26:5688– 5697. [PubMed: 16847323]
- 35. Garbi N, Tanaka S, Momburg F, Hammerling GJ. Impaired assembly of the major histocompatibility complex class I peptide-loading complex in mice deficient in the oxidoreductase ERp57. Nat Immunol 2006;7:93–102. [PubMed: 16311600]
- 36. Mesaeli N, Nakamura K, Zvaritch E, Dickie P, Dziak E, Krause KH, Opas M, MacLennan DH, Michalak M. Calreticulin is essential for cardiac development. J Cell Biol 1999;144:857–868. [PubMed: 10085286]
- 37. Molinari M, Galli C, Vanoni O, Arnold SM, Kaufman RJ. Persistent glycoprotein misfolding activates the glucosidase II/UGT1-driven calnexin cycle to delay aggregation and loss of folding competence. Mol Cell 2005;20:503–512. [PubMed: 16307915]
- 38. Zhang Y, Baig E, Williams DB. Functions of ERp57 in the folding and assembly of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. J Biol Chem 2006;281:14622–14631. [PubMed: 16567808]
- 39. Zhang Y, Williams DB. Assembly of MHC class I molecules within the endoplasmic reticulum. Immunol Res 2006;35:151–162. [PubMed: 17003517]
- 40. Barnes JA, Smoak IW. Immunolocalization and heart levels of GRP94 in the mouse during postimplantation development. Anat Embryol (Berl) 1997;196:335–341. [PubMed: 9363855]
- 41. Mesaeli N, Nakamura K, Opas M, Michalak M. Endoplasmic reticulum in the heart, a forgotten organelle? Mol Cell Biochem 2001;225:1–6. [PubMed: 11716351]
- 42. Mao C, Dong D, Little E, Luo S, Lee AS. Transgenic mouse models for monitoring endoplasmic reticulum stress *in vivo*. Nat Med 2004;10:1013–1014. [PubMed: 15459690]

- 43. Mao C, Tai WC, Bai Y, Poizat C, Lee AS. In vivo regulation of Grp78/BiP transcription in the embryonic heart: role of the endoplasmic reticulum stress response element and GATA-4. J Biol Chem 2006;281:8877–8887. [PubMed: 16452489]
- 44. Nakamura K, Zuppini A, Arnaudeau S, Lynch J, Ahsan I, Krause R, Papp S, De Smedt H, Parys JB, Muller-Esterl W, Lew DP, Krause KH, Demaurex N, Opas M, Michalak M. Functional specialization of calreticulin domains. J Cell Biol 2001;154:961–972. [PubMed: 11524434]
- 45. Arnaudeau S, Frieden M, Nakamura K, Castelbou C, Michalak M, Demaurex N. Calreticulin differentially modulates calcium uptake and release in the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria. J Biol Chem 2002;277:46696–46705. [PubMed: 12324449]
- 46. Denzel A, Molinari M, Trigueros C, Martin JE, Velmurgan S, Brown S, Stamp G, Owen MJ. Early postnatal death and motor disorders in mice congenitally deficient in calnexin expression. Mol Cell Biol 2002;22:7398–7404. [PubMed: 12370287]
- 47. Gao B, Adhikari R, Howarth M, Nakamura K, Gold MC, Hill AB, Knee R, Michalak M, Elliott T. Assembly and antigen-presenting function of MHC class I molecules in cells lacking the ER chaperone calreticulin. Immunity 2002;16:99–109. [PubMed: 11825569]
- 48. Molinari M, Eriksson KK, Calanca V, Galli C, Cresswell P, Michalak M, Helenius A. Contrasting functions of calreticulin and calnexin in glycoprotein folding and ER quality control. Mol Cell 2004;13:125–135. [PubMed: 14731400]
- 49. Solda T, Garbi N, Hammerling GJ, Molinari M. Consequences of ERp57 deletion on oxidative folding of obligate and facultative clients of the calnexin cycle. J Biol Chem 2006;281:6219–6226. [PubMed: 16407314]
- 50. Jessop CE, Chakravarthi S, Garbi N, Hammerling GJ, Lovell S, Bulleid NJ. ERp57 is essential for efficient folding of glycoproteins sharing common structural domains. EMBO J 2007;26:28–40. [PubMed: 17170699]
- 51. Muchowski PJ, Wacker JL. Modulation of neurodegeneration by molecular chaperones. Nat Rev Neurosci 2005;6:11–22. [PubMed: 15611723]
- 52. Rao RV, Bredesen DE. Misfolded proteins, endoplasmic reticulum stress and neurodegeneration. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2004;16:653–662. [PubMed: 15530777]
- 53. Yu ZF, Mattson MP. Dietary restriction and 2-deoxyglucose administration reduce focal ischemic brain damage and improve behavioral outcome: evidence for a preconditioning mechanism. J Neurosci Res 1999;57:830–839. [PubMed: 10467254]
- 54. Hoozemans JJ, Veerhuis R, Van Haastert ES, Rozemuller JM, Baas F, Eikelenboom P, Scheper W. The unfolded protein response is activated in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 2005;110:165–172. [PubMed: 15973543]
- 55. Uehara T, Nakamura T, Yao D, Shi ZQ, Gu Z, Ma Y, Masliah E, Nomura Y, Lipton SA. S-nitrosylated protein-disulphide isomerase links protein misfolding to neurodegeneration. Nature 2006;441:513– 517. [PubMed: 16724068]
- 56. Tanaka S, Uehara T, Nomura Y. Up-regulation of protein-disulfide isomerase in response to hypoxia/ brain ischemia and its protective effect against apoptotic cell death. J Biol Chem 2000;275:10388– 10393. [PubMed: 10744727]
- 57. Hoshino T, Nakaya T, Araki W, Suzuki K, Suzuki T, Mizushima T. Endoplasmic reticulum chaperones inhibit the production of amyloid-beta peptides. Biochem J 2007;402:581–589. [PubMed: 17132139]
- 58. Anttonen AK, Mahjneh I, Hamalainen RH, Lagier-Tourenne C, Kopra O, Waris L, Anttonen M, Joensuu T, Kalimo H, Paetau A, Tranebjaerg L, Chaigne D, Koenig M, Eeg-Olofsson O, Udd B, Somer M, Somer H, Lehesjoki AE. The gene disrupted in Marinesco-Sjogren syndrome encodes SIL1, an HSPA5 cochaperone. Nat Genet 2005;37:1309–1311. [PubMed: 16282978]
- 59. Senderek J, Krieger M, Stendel C, Bergmann C, Moser M, Breitbach-Faller N, Rudnik-Schoneborn S, Blaschek A, Wolf NI, Harting I, North K, Smith J, Muntoni F, Brockington M, Quijano-Roy S, Renault F, Herrmann R, Hendershot LM, Schroder JM, Lochmuller H, Topaloglu H, Voit T, Weis J, Ebinger F, Zerres K. Mutations in SIL1 cause Marinesco-Sjogren syndrome, a cerebellar ataxia with cataract and myopathy. Nat Genet 2005;37:1312–1314. [PubMed: 16282977]

Ni and Lee Page 14

- 60. Zhao L, Longo-Guess C, Harris BS, Lee JW, Ackerman SL. Protein accumulation and neurodegeneration in the woozy mutant mouse is caused by disruption of SIL1, a cochaperone of BiP. Nat Genet 2005;37:974–979. [PubMed: 16116427]
- 61. Weitzmann A, Volkmer J, Zimmermann R. The nucleotide exchange factor activity of Grp170 may explain the non-lethal phenotype of loss of Sil1 function in man and mouse. FEBS Lett 2006;580:5237–5240. [PubMed: 16962589]
- 62. Hetz C, Russelakis-Carneiro M, Walchli S, Carboni S, Vial-Knecht E, Maundrell K, Castilla J, Soto C. The disulfide isomerase Grp58 is a protective factor against prion neurotoxicity. J Neurosci 2005;25:2793–2802. [PubMed: 15772339]
- 63. Hetz CA, Soto C. Stressing out the ER: a role of the unfolded protein response in prion-related disorders. Curr Mol Med 2006;6:37–43. [PubMed: 16472111]
- 64. Lindholm D, Wootz H, Korhonen L. ER stress and neurodegenerative diseases. Cell Death Differ 2006;13:385–392. [PubMed: 16397584]
- 65. Hetz C, Russelakis-Carneiro M, Maundrell K, Castilla J, Soto C. Caspase-12 and endoplasmic reticulum stress mediate neurotoxicity of pathological prion protein. EMBO J 2003;22:5435–5445. [PubMed: 14532116]
- 66. Hetz C, Castilla J, Soto C. Perturbation of endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis facilitates prion replication. J Biol Chem 2007;282:12725–12733. [PubMed: 17329244]
- 67. Koumenis C. ER stress, hypoxia tolerance and tumor progression. Curr Mol Med 2006;6:55–69. [PubMed: 16472113]
- 68. Feldman DE, Chauhan V, Koong AC. The unfolded protein response: a novel component of the hypoxic stress response in tumors. Mol Cancer Res 2005;3:597–605. [PubMed: 16317085]
- 69. Jamora C, Dennert G, Lee AS. Inhibition of tumor progression by suppression of stress protein GRP78/BiP induction in fibrosarcoma B/C10ME. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:7690–7694. [PubMed: 8755537]
- 70. Li J, Lee B, Lee AS. Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis: multiple pathways and activation of p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA) and NOXA by p53. J Biol Chem 2006;281:7260–7270. [PubMed: 16407291]
- 71. Fu Y, Lee AS. Glucose regulated proteins in cancer progression, drug resistance and immunotherapy. Cancer Biol Ther 2006;5:741–744. [PubMed: 16861902]
- 72. Zhu N, Wang Z. Calreticulin expression is associated with androgen regulation of the sensitivity to calcium ionophore-induced apoptosis in LNCaP prostate cancer cells. Cancer Res 1999;59:1896– 1902. [PubMed: 10213498]
- 73. Hori O, Ichinoda F, Yamaguchi A, Tamatani T, Taniguchi M, Koyama Y, Katayama T, Tohyama M, Stern DM, Ozawa K, Kitao Y, Ogawa S. Role of Herp in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. Genes Cells 2004;9:457–469. [PubMed: 15147274]
- 74. Lee AS. GRP78 induction in cancer: therapeutic and prognostic implications. Cancer Res 2007;67:3496–3499. [PubMed: 17440054]
- 75. Li J, Lee AS. Stress induction of GRP78/BiP and its role in cancer. Curr Mol Med 2006;6:45–54. [PubMed: 16472112]
- 76. Dong D, Ko B, Baumeister P, Swenson S, Costa F, Markland F, Stiles C, Patterson JB, Bates SE, Lee AS. Vascular targeting and antiangiogenesis agents induce drug resistance effector GRP78 within the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res 2005;65:5785–5791. [PubMed: 15994954]
- 77. Rao RV, Hermel E, Castro-Obregon S, del Rio G, Ellerby LM, Ellerby HM, Bredesen DE. Coupling endoplasmic reticulum stress to the cell death program: mechanism of caspase activation. J Biol Chem 2001;276:33869–33874. [PubMed: 11448953]
- 78. Reddy RK, Mao C, Baumeister P, Austin RC, Kaufman RJ, Lee AS. Endoplasmic reticulum chaperone protein GRP78 protects cells from apoptosis induced by topoisomerase inhibitors: role of ATP binding site in suppression of caspase-7 activation. J Biol Chem 2003;278:20915–20924. [PubMed: 12665508]
- 79. Fu Y, Li J, Lee AS. GRP78/BiP inhibits endoplasmic reticulum BIK and protects human breast cancer cells against estrogen-starvation induced apoptosis. Cancer Res 2007;67:3734–3740. [PubMed: 17440086]

Ni and Lee Page 15

- 80. Hur J, Chesnes J, Coser KR, Lee RS, Geck P, Isselbacher KJ, Shioda T. The Bik BH3-only protein is induced in estrogen-starved and antiestrogen-exposed breast cancer cells and provokes apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:2351–2356. [PubMed: 14983013]
- 81. Fernandez PM, Tabbara SO, Jacobs LK, Manning FC, Tsangaris TN, Schwartz AM, Kennedy KA, Patierno SR. Overexpression of the glucose-regulated stress gene GRP78 in malignant but not benign human breast lesions. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2000;59:15–26. [PubMed: 10752676]
- 82. Lee E, Nichols P, Spicer D, Groshen S, Yu MC, Lee AS. GRP78 as a novel predictor of responsiveness to chemotherapy in breast cancer. Cancer Res 2006;66:7849–7853. [PubMed: 16912156]
- 83. Pootrakul L, Datar RH, Shi SR, Cai J, Hawes D, Groshen SG, Lee AS, Cote RJ. Expression of stress response protein Grp78 is associated with the development of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:5987–5993. [PubMed: 17062670]
- 84. Daneshmand S, Quek ML, Lin E, Cote RJ, Hawes D, Cai J, Groshen S, Lieskovsky G, Skinner DG, Lee AS, Pinski J. Glucose regulated protein GRP78 is upregulated in prostate cancer and correlates with recurrence and survival. Hum Pathol 2007;38:1547–1552. [PubMed: 17640713]
- 85. Lee AS. Coordinated regulation of a set of genes by glucose and calcium ionophores in mammalian cells. Trends Biochem Sci 1987;12:20–23.
- 86. Reddy RK, Lu J, Lee AS. The endoplasmic reticulum chaperone glycoprotein GRP94 with Ca^{2+} binding and antiapoptotic properties is a novel proteolytic target of calpain during etoposide-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 1999;274:28476–28483. [PubMed: 10497210]
- 87. Srivastava PK. Therapeutic cancer vaccines. Curr Opin Immunol 2006;18:201–205. [PubMed: 16464565]
- 88. Di Paolo NC, Tuve S, Ni S, Hellstrom KE, Hellstrom I, Lieber A. Effect of adenovirus-mediated heat shock protein expression and oncolysis in combination with low-dose cyclophosphamide treatment on antitumor immune responses. Cancer Res 2006;66:960–969. [PubMed: 16424031]
- 89. Liu B, Dai J, Zheng H, Stoilova D, Sun S, Li Z. Cell surface expression of an endoplasmic reticulum resident heat shock protein gp96 triggers MyD88-dependent systemic autoimmune diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:15824–15829. [PubMed: 14668429]
- 90. Arap MA, Lahdenranta J, Mintz PJ, Hajitou A, Sarkis AS, Arap W, Pasqualini R. Cell surface expression of the stress response chaperone GRP78 enables tumor targeting by circulating ligands. Cancer Cell 2004;6:275–284. [PubMed: 15380518]
- 91. Johnson S, Michalak M, Opas M, Eggleton P. The ins and outs of calreticulin: from the ER lumen to the extracellular space. Trends Cell Biol 2001;11:122–129. [PubMed: 11306273]
- 92. Turano C, Coppari S, Altieri F, Ferraro A. Proteins of the PDI family: unpredicted non-ER locations and functions. J Cell Physiol 2002;193:154–163. [PubMed: 12384992]
- 93. Okazaki Y, Ohno H, Takase K, Ochiai T, Saito T. Cell surface expression of calnexin, a molecular chaperone in the endoplasmic reticulum. J Biol Chem 2000;275:35751–35758. [PubMed: 10956670]
- 94. Misra UK, Deedwania R, Pizzo SV. Binding of activated alpha2-macroglobulin to its cell surface receptor GRP78 in 1-LN prostate cancer cells regulates PAK-2-dependent activation of LIMK. J Biol Chem 2005;280:26278–26286. [PubMed: 15908432]
- 95. Misra UK, Deedwania R, Pizzo SV. Activation and cross-talk between Akt, NF-{kappa}B, and unfolded protein response signaling in 1-LN prostate cancer cells consequent to ligation of cell surface-associated GRP78. J Biol Chem 2006;281:13694–13707. [PubMed: 16543232]
- 96. Ross R. The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: a perspective for the 1990s. Nature 1993;362:801–809. [PubMed: 8479518]
- 97. Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med 1999;340:115–126. [PubMed: 9887164]
- 98. Clarke R, Daly L, Robinson K, Naughten E, Cahalane S, Fowler B, Graham I. Hyperhomocysteinemia: an independent risk factor for vascular disease. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1149–1155. [PubMed: 2011158]
- 99. den Heijer M, Koster T, Blom HJ, Bos GM, Briet E, Reitsma PH, Vandenbroucke JP, Rosendaal FR. Hyperhomocysteinemia as a risk factor for deep-vein thrombosis. N Engl J Med 1996;334:759–762. [PubMed: 8592549]
- 100. Lawrence de Koning AB, Werstuck GH, Zhou J, Austin RC. Hyperhomocysteinemia and its role in the development of atherosclerosis. Clin Biochem 2003;36:431–441. [PubMed: 12951169]

- 101. Ross R. Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease. Am Heart J 1999;138:S419–420. [PubMed: 10539839]
- 102. Poddar R, Sivasubramanian N, DiBello PM, Robinson K, Jacobsen DW. Homocysteine induces expression and secretion of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and interleukin-8 in human aortic endothelial cells: implications for vascular disease. Circulation 2001;103:2717–2723. [PubMed: 11390343]
- 103. Wang G, O K. Homocysteine stimulates the expression of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 receptor (CCR2) in human monocytes: possible involvement of oxygen free radicals. Biochem J 2001;357:233–240. [PubMed: 11415454]
- 104. Kanani PM, Sinkey CA, Browning RL, Allaman M, Knapp HR, Haynes WG. Role of oxidant stress in endothelial dysfunction produced by experimental hyperhomocyst(e)inemia in humans. Circulation 1999;100:1161–1168. [PubMed: 10484535]
- 105. Outinen PA, Sood SK, Pfeifer SI, Pamidi S, Podor TJ, Li J, Weitz JI, Austin RC. Homocysteineinduced endoplasmic reticulum stress and growth arrest leads to specific changes in gene expression in human vascular endothelial cells. Blood 1999;94:959–967. [PubMed: 10419887]
- 106. Zhou J, Lhotak S, Hilditch BA, Austin RC. Activation of the unfolded protein response occurs at all stages of atherosclerotic lesion development in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. Circulation 2005;111:1814–1821. [PubMed: 15809369]
- 107. Kokame K, Kato H, Miyata T. Homocysteine-respondent genes in vascular endothelial cells identified by differential display analysis. GRP78/BiP and novel genes. J Biol Chem 1996;271:29659–29665. [PubMed: 8939898]
- 108. Outinen PA, Sood SK, Liaw PC, Sarge KD, Maeda N, Hirsh J, Ribau J, Podor TJ, Weitz JI, Austin RC. Characterization of the stress-inducing effects of homocysteine. Biochem J 1998;332:213–221. [PubMed: 9576870]
- 109. Kokame K, Agarwala KL, Kato H, Miyata T. Herp, a new ubiquitin-like membrane protein induced by endoplasmic reticulum stress. J Biol Chem 2000;275:32846–32853. [PubMed: 10922362]
- 110. Hossain GS, van Thienen JV, Werstuck GH, Zhou J, Sood SK, Dickhout JG, de Koning AB, Tang D, Wu D, Falk E, Poddar R, Jacobsen DW, Zhang K, Kaufman RJ, Austin RC. TDAG51 is induced by homocysteine, promotes detachment-mediated programmed cell death, and contributes to the development of atherosclerosis in hyperhomocysteinemia. J Biol Chem 2003;278:30317–30327. [PubMed: 12738777]
- 111. Huang RF, Huang SM, Lin BS, Wei JS, Liu TZ. Homocysteine thiolactone induces apoptotic DNA damage mediated by increased intracellular hydrogen peroxide and caspase 3 activation in HL-60 cells. Life Sci 2001;68:2799–2811. [PubMed: 11432446]
- 112. Zhang C, Cai Y, Adachi MT, Oshiro S, Aso T, Kaufman RJ, Kitajima S. Homocysteine induces programmed cell death in human vascular endothelial cells through activation of the unfolded protein response. J Biol Chem 2001;276:35867–35874. [PubMed: 11447214]
- 113. Werstuck GH, Lentz SR, Dayal S, Hossain GS, Sood SK, Shi YY, Zhou J, Maeda N, Krisans SK, Malinow MR, Austin RC. Homocysteine-induced endoplasmic reticulum stress causes dysregulation of the cholesterol and triglyceride biosynthetic pathways. J Clin Invest 2001;107:1263–1273. [PubMed: 11375416]
- 114. Watson LM, Chan AK, Berry LR, Li J, Sood SK, Dickhout JG, Xu L, Werstuck GH, Bajzar L, Klamut HJ, Austin RC. Overexpression of the 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein/immunoglobulinbinding protein (GRP78/BiP) inhibits tissue factor procoagulant activity. J Biol Chem 2003;278:17438–17447. [PubMed: 12621026]
- 115. Badimon JJ, Lettino M, Toschi V, Fuster V, Berrozpe M, Chesebro JH, Badimon L. Local inhibition of tissue factor reduces the thrombogenicity of disrupted human atherosclerotic plaques: effects of tissue factor pathway inhibitor on plaque thrombogenicity under flow conditions. Circulation 1999;99:1780–1787. [PubMed: 10199872]
- 116. Senee V, Vattem KM, Delepine M, Rainbow LA, Haton C, Lecoq A, Shaw NJ, Robert JJ, Rooman R, Diatloff-Zito C, Michaud JL, Bin-Abbas B, Taha D, Zabel B, Franceschini P, Topaloglu AK, Lathrop GM, Barrett TG, Nicolino M, Wek RC, Julier C. Wolcott-Rallison Syndrome: clinical, genetic, and functional study of EIF2AK3 mutations and suggestion of genetic heterogeneity. Diabetes 2004;53:1876–1883. [PubMed: 15220213]

Ni and Lee Page 17

- 117. Harding HP, Zeng H, Zhang Y, Jungries R, Chung P, Plesken H, Sabatini DD, Ron D. Diabetes mellitus and exocrine pancreatic dysfunction in perk−/− mice reveals a role for translational control in secretory cell survival. Mol Cell 2001;7:1153–1163. [PubMed: 11430819]
- 118. Ladiges WC, Knoblaugh SE, Morton JF, Korth MJ, Sopher BL, Baskin CR, MacAuley A, Goodman AG, LeBoeuf RC, Katze MG. Pancreatic beta-cell failure and diabetes in mice with a deletion mutation of the endoplasmic reticulum molecular chaperone gene P58IPK. Diabetes 2005;54:1074– 1081. [PubMed: 15793246]
- 119. van Huizen R, Martindale JL, Gorospe M, Holbrook NJ. P58IPK, a novel endoplasmic reticulum stress-inducible protein and potential negative regulator of eIF2alpha signaling. J Biol Chem 2003;278:15558–15564. [PubMed: 12601012]
- 120. Scheuner D, Mierde DV, Song B, Flamez D, Creemers JW, Tsukamoto K, Ribick M, Schuit FC, Kaufman RJ. Control of mRNA translation preserves endoplasmic reticulum function in beta cells and maintains glucose homeostasis. Nat Med 2005;11:757–764. [PubMed: 15980866]
- 121. Tsai B, Rodighiero C, Lencer WI, Rapoport TA. Protein disulfide isomerase acts as a redoxdependent chaperone to unfold cholera toxin. Cell 2001;104:937–948. [PubMed: 11290330]
- 122. Majoul I, Ferrari D, Soling HD. Reduction of protein disulfide bonds in an oxidizing environment. The disulfide bridge of cholera toxin A-subunit is reduced in the endoplasmic reticulum. FEBS Lett 1997;401:104–108. [PubMed: 9013867]
- 123. Tsai B, Rapoport TA. Unfolded cholera toxin is transferred to the ER membrane and released from protein disulfide isomerase upon oxidation by Ero1. J Cell Biol 2002;159:207–216. [PubMed: 12403808]
- 124. Forster ML, Sivick K, Park YN, Arvan P, Lencer WI, Tsai B. Protein disulfide isomerase-like proteins play opposing roles during retrotranslocation. J Cell Biol 2006;173:853–859. [PubMed: 16785320]
- 125. Paton AW, Beddoe T, Thorpe CM, Whisstock JC, Wilce MC, Rossjohn J, Talbot UM, Paton JC. AB5 subtilase cytotoxin inactivates the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP. Nature 2006;443:548–552. [PubMed: 17024087]
- 126. Fairbrother JM, Nadeau E. Escherichia coli: on-farm contamination of animals. Rev Sci Tech 2006;25:555–569. [PubMed: 17094697]
- 127. Fujinaga Y. Transport of bacterial toxins into target cells: pathways followed by cholera toxin and botulinum progenitor toxin. J Biochem (Tokyo) 2006;140:155–160. [PubMed: 16954533]
- 128. Montecucco C, Molinari M. Microbiology: death of a chaperone. Nature 2006;443:511–512. [PubMed: 17024074]
- 129. Easton DP, Kaneko Y, Subjeck JR. The hsp110 and Grp170 stress proteins: newly recognized relatives of the Hsp70s. Cell Stress Chaperones 2000;5:276–290. [PubMed: 11048651]
- 130. Coppari S, Altieri F, Ferraro A, Chichiarelli S, Eufemi M, Turano C. Nuclear localization and DNA interaction of protein disulfide isomerase ERp57 in mammalian cells. J Cell Biochem 2002;85:325– 333. [PubMed: 11948688]
- 131. Erickson RR, Dunning LM, Olson DA, Cohen SJ, Davis AT, Wood WG, Kratzke RA, Holtzman JL. In cerebrospinal fluid ER chaperones ERp57 and calreticulin bind beta-amyloid. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005;332:50–57. [PubMed: 15896298]
- 132. Ellgaard L, Ruddock LW. The human protein disulphide isomerase family: substrate interactions and functional properties. EMBO Rep 2005;6:28–32. [PubMed: 15643448]
- 133. Afshar N, Black BE, Paschal BM. Retrotranslocation of the chaperone calreticulin from the endoplasmic reticulum lumen to the cytosol. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:8844–8853. [PubMed: 16199864]
- 134. Eggleton P, Llewellyn DH. Pathophysiological roles of calreticulin in autoimmune disease. Scand J Immunol 1999;49:466–473. [PubMed: 10320638]
- 135. Satoh M, Shimada A, Keino H, Kashiwai A, Nagai N, Saga S, Hosokawa M. Functional characterization of 3 thioredoxin homology domains of ERp72. Cell Stress Chaperones 2005;10:278–284. [PubMed: 16333982]
- 136. Schulze A, Standera S, Buerger E, Kikkert M, van Voorden S, Wiertz E, Koning F, Kloetzel PM, Seeger M. The ubiquitin-domain protein HERP forms a complex with components of the

endoplasmic reticulum associated degradation pathway. J Mol Biol 2005;354:1021–1027. [PubMed: 16289116]

137. Sai X, Kawamura Y, Kokame K, Yamaguchi H, Shiraishi H, Suzuki R, Suzuki T, Kawaichi M, Miyata T, Kitamura T, De Strooper B, Yanagisawa K, Komano H. Endoplasmic reticulum stressinducible protein, Herp, enhances presenilin-mediated generation of amyloid beta-protein. J Biol Chem 2002;277:12915–12920. [PubMed: 11799129]

Abbreviations

 NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

Summary of function and disease relevance of ER chaperones, co-chaperones and folding enzymes Summary of function and disease relevance of ER chaperones, co-chaperones and folding enzymes

j. 41.7 L. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ J. Ŕ \overline{f} Ó

