

NIH Public Access Author Manuscript

Am J Ophthalmol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 June 1.

Published in final edited form as: *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007 June ; 143(6): 1060–1063.

Treatment of Strabismic Amblyopia with Refractive Correction

Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group*

Abstract

Purpose: To report data on the response of previously untreated strabismic amblyopia to spectacle correction.

Design: Prospective, interventional case series

Methods: 12 patients with previously untreated strabismic amblyopia were prescribed spectacles and examined at 5-week intervals until visual acuity was not improved from the prior visit.

Results: Amblyopic eye acuity improved by ≥ 2 lines from spectacle-corrected baseline acuity in 9 of the 12 patients (75%), resolving in 3 (IOD ≤ 1 line). Mean change from baseline to maximum improvement was 2.2 ± 1.8 lines. Improvement continued for up to 25 weeks.

Conclusion: These results support the suggestion from a prior study that strabismic amblyopia can improve and even resolve with spectacle correction alone. Larger studies with concurrent controls are needed to confirm or refute these findings.

Keywords

amblyopia; strabismus; visual acuity; refractive correction

Brief Report

Stewart et. al. reported that refractive correction alone can be effective in treating young children with previously untreated strabismic amblyopia, even in the absence of anisometropia. ¹ In 16 patients with strabismic amblyopia treated with spectacles alone, they found amblyopic eye acuity improved a mean of 3.0 lines over an 18-week period. This was somewhat surprising because, in such cases, the amblyopia is presumably due to the ocular misalignment, which most often would not be fully corrected with spectacles alone. We reviewed data from our recent prospective study² to determine if our results were consistent with those of Stewart and coworkers.

This study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier NCT00091923).³ The full study protocol is available online.⁴ The protocol and HIPAA-compliant informed consent forms were approved by the respective institutional review boards and the parent or guardian of each study patient gave written informed consent.

As a run-in phase to a randomized trial of patching treatment for amblyopia,⁵ spectacles were prescribed to correct refractive error, no other amblyopia treatment was prescribed, and patients

Corresponding author: Susan A. Cotter, O.D., c/o Jaeb Center for Health Research, 15310 Amberly Drive, Suite 350, Tampa, FL 33647; Phone: (813) 975 8690, Fax: (813) 975 8761, Email: pedig@jaeb.org

^{*}The writing committee and a list of the participating members of the Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group (PEDIG) appear in the acknowledgements.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

were followed until visual acuity appeared stable. Results in patients with previously untreated anisometropic amblyopia have been reported². Data were available for 12 patients (75% female, 83% white, mean age 5.0±0.9 years) with previously untreated strabismic amblyopia who had a constant strabismus at distance and near and anisometropia of ≤ 0.75 D in spherical equivalent or ≤ 1.25 D in astigmatism (Table 1). Spectacle-corrected monocular distance visual acuity was measured using the electronic Amblyopia Treatment Study HOTV protocol⁶, ⁷ at baseline and every 5 (±1) weeks provided amblyopic eye acuity had improved at least 1 line (0.1 logMAR) from the prior visit and remained at least 1 line worse than sound eye acuity. Patients whose acuity did not improve at least 1 line from the prior visit but still had ≥ 2 lines of interocular difference (IOD) entered a randomized trial.⁵ Those randomized to the control group continued spectacles if needed but did not start other therapy, and provide additional data on the course of the amblyopia treated with spectacles alone.

Among the 12 strabismic patients, during the spectacles-only phase of follow up, amblyopic eye acuity improved by ≥ 2 lines from spectacle-corrected baseline acuity in 9 (75%) resolving in 3 (IOD ≤ 1 line), Table 2. Mean change from baseline to maximum improvement was 2.2 ± 1.8 lines. Improvement continued for up to 25 weeks. Additional amblyopia improvement ≥ 1 line occurred, though amblyopia did not resolve, in 3 of the 5 patients with residual amblyopia who entered the subsequent randomized trial as controls.

Our results support the suggestion of Stewart et al.¹ that strabismic amblyopia can improve and even resolve with spectacle correction alone. Although the results are consistent, they are not conclusive, because neither study had a concurrent control group and both had small numbers of patients. It is not possible to rule out the possibility that change in strabismus angle, learning effect, age effect, regression to the mean, or chance could account for some of the observed improvement. Larger studies with concurrent controls are needed to confirm or refute these findings.

Acknowledgements

Writing Committee for the Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group^{*}: Lead authors: Susan A. Cotter, O.D.; Allison R. Edwards, M.S.; Additional writing committee members (alphabetical): Robert W. Arnold, M.D.; William F. Astle, M.D.; Carmen N. Barnhardt, O.D.; Roy W. Beck, M.D., Ph.D.; Eileen E. Birch, Ph.D.; Sean P. Donahue, M.D., Ph.D.; Donald F. Everett, M.A.; Joost Felius, Ph.D.; Jonathan M. Holmes, B.M., B.Ch; Raymond T. Kraker, M.S.P.H.; B. Michele Melia, Sc.M.; Michael X. Repka, M.D.; David K. Wallace, M.D.; Katherine K. Weise, O.D., M.B.A.

1)FUNDING/SUPPORT - This study was supported by a cooperative agreement from the National Eye Institute, EY11751.

2)FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES - None

3) CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS Design of the study (SC, RA, WA, CB, RB, EB, SD, DE, JF, JH, RK, MR, DW, KW); all authors were involved in the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data and the preparation, review, and final approval of the manuscript.

4) OTHER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS - None

REFERENCES

- Stewart CE, Moseley MJ, Fielder AR, Stephens DA, MOTAS Cooperative. Refractive adaptation in amblyopia: quantification of effect and implications for practice. Br J Ophthalmol 2004;88:1552–6. [PubMed: 15548811]
- 2. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Treatment of anisometropic amblyopia in children with refractive correction. Ophthalmology 2006;113:895–903. [PubMed: 16751032]

^{*}A list of Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group sites participating in this study appears in *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:895-903.

Am J Ophthalmol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 June 1.

- ClinicalTrials.gov.. Trial to Evaluate 2 Hours of Daily Patching for Amblyopia in Children (identifier NCT00091923): U.S. National Institutes of Health, 2006. Available at: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct/ show/NCT00091923?order=13. Accessed December 04, 2006
- Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A Randomized Trial to Evaluate 2 Hours of Daily Patching for Amblyopia in Children. Full protocol available at http://public.pedig.jaeb.org/ Completed_Studies.htm. Accessed December 4, 2006
- Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial to evaluate 2 hours of daily patching for strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia in children. Ophthalmology 2006;113:904–12. [PubMed: 16751033]
- Holmes JM, Beck RW, Repka MX, et al. The amblyopia treatment study visual acuity testing protocol. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119:1345–53. [PubMed: 11545641]
- 7. Moke PS, Turpin AH, Beck RW, et al. Computerized method of visual acuity testing: adaptation of the amblyopia treatment study visual acuity testing protocol. Am J Ophthamol 2001;132:903–9.

					Table 1		
Baseline (Jinical Chi	aracteristics and	Change in Aml	blyopic Eye Visu	al Acuity		
				Cycloplegic	Baseline	Cycloplegic	Baseline
		Strabismus	Strabismus	Refractive	Amblyopic	Refractive	Sound
		Type and	Type and	Error in	Eve	Error in	Eye
	Age	Magnitude at	Magnitude at	Amblyopic	Visual	Sound	Visual
Patient	(Years)	Distance $(\Delta)^{*}$	Near $(\Delta)^{*}$	Eve	Acuity	Eve	Acuity
1	4.5	$ET 6\Delta$	ET 10Δ	+5.75	20/50	+5.25	20/20

Change in Amblyopic Eye to Visit of Best Acuity (Lines)

e

0

0

20/20

20/20 20/40 20/32

C

4 4 4

+2 00+0 50×090	20/90	+2 00+0 75×090	ET 14A	ET 14A	4.0	• •
$+4.25+0.75\times090$	20/50	$+4.75+1.00\times090$	$ET 4\Delta$	$ET 4\Delta$	6.3	8
+1.50	20/40	+1.50	$ET 4\Delta$	$ET 2\Delta$	5.9	7
$+5.00+0.25 \times 100$	20/50	$+5.00+0.25\times080$	ET 25Δ	$ET 20\Delta$	5.3	6
$+4.75+0.50\times100$	20/100	$+5.00+1.00\times080$	$ET 40\Delta$	$ET 40\Delta$	4.2	5
$+3.00+1.50\times090$	20/80	$+3.00+1.50\times090$	ET 12A	$ET 4\Delta$	3.7	4
$+1.25+0.50\times105$	20/63	+2.00+0.25×075	ET 12A	ET 12Δ	6.2	3
+1.75	20/63	+2.50	ET 18Δ	ET 15Δ	5.7	2
C7.C+	00/07	c/.c+	EI 100	$EI 0\Delta$	4.5	T

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ \tilde{c}^+

20/16 20/20 20/25 20/25

4

 \tilde{c}^+

20/20 20/40

 $+3.00+0.50\times090$ $+5.00+1.00\times100$ $+0.00+1.00\times090$

20/100

20/250

 $+0.00+1.50\times165$ +3.50+0.50×090 4

20/32

 $\frac{1}{4}$

20/100 Patients are sorted by lines change in amblyopic eye to visit of best acuity in amblyopic eye $+5.00+1.00\times090$ ET 25Δ ET 25Δ 4.1 2

ET $20\Delta^{\ddagger}$

ET $20\Delta^{\ddagger}$

XT 10Δ

5.3 4.6

10

Ξ

 $XT 20\Delta$

 * Strabismus was measured at baseline and may not have been present after prolonged spectacle treatment

 ${}^{\uparrow}$ Patient also had a left hypertropia of 25Δ at distance and 30Δ at near

 $\overset{\sharp}{\mathcal{F}}$ Strabismus measured with Krimsky test

				Li	nes Improve n Amblyopic	ment eye				Interocular Acuity Difference	Resolution o Amblyopia [†]
	z	•	1	7	3	4	v	9	Mean	Mean	N (%)
Overall	12	ю	0	s	2	-	0	1	2.2	3.2	3 (25%)
By Degree of Refractive Error in Amblyopic Eye <+3.00 D suberical											
equivalent	5	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	1.6	4.4	0
astigmatism	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1.3	3.5	0
astigmatism	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.0	8.0	0
>+3.00 D spherical equivalent	7	-1	0	4	0	-	0	-	2.6	2.3	3 (43%)
 <1.0 U astigmatism >1.50 D 	6	1	0	ю	0	1	0	1	2.7	2.0	3 (50%)
astigmatism	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2.0	4.0	0

 \mathring{r} Interocular acuity difference within 1 line.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Page 5