Effect of Escherichia coli nusG Function on X N-Mediated Transcription Antitermination

SUSAN L. SULLIVAN,¹ DOUGLAS F. WARD,²† AND MAX E. GOTTESMAN^{1*}

Institute of Cancer Research, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, 701 West 168th Street, New York, New York 10032,' and Laboratory of Molecular Biology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892²

Received 19 September 1991/Accepted 4 December 1991

The Escherichia coli Nus factors act in conjunction with the bacteriophage λ N protein to suppress transcription termination on the λ chromosome. NusA binds both N and RNA polymerase and may also interact with other Nus factors. To search for additional components of the N antitermination system, we isolated host revertants that restored N activity in nusAl mutants. One revertant, nusG4, was mapped to the rif region of the E. coli chromosome and shown to represent a point mutation near the $3'$ end of the nusG gene. The nusG4 mutation also suppressed nusE71 but not nus A_{Sal} , nusB5, nusC60 (rpoB60), or nusD026 (rho026). However, nusG⁺ expressed from a multicopy plasmid suppressed nusD026 and related rho mutants for both k and phage T4 growth. These results suggest that NusG may act as ^a component of the N antitermination complex. In addition, the data imply a role for NusG in Rho-dependent termination.

Modulation of transcription termination is a mechanism of gene regulation in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (26, 37). The efficiency of termination is determined by nucleic acid sequences and by protein factors that interact with these sequences, with RNA polymerase, or with each other.

The bacteriophage λ N antitermination system is the best-characterized example of such regulation (11). Transcription complexes initiating at the $\bar{\lambda}$ p_R and p_L early promoters are modified by N protein at cis-acting nut sequences (30, 31). The modified complexes pass through distal Rho-dependent and Rho-independent terminators.

A set of host factors required for N activity have been defined by mutations (nus [N utilization substance]) that inhibit λ growth (10). These include NusA, NusB, and NusE (the small ribosomal subunit S10) (11). Certain mutations in Rho (nusD) block N antitermination at Rho-dependent sites (8, 29). The NusA, NusB, and NusE proteins are thought to be components, along with N protein, of the N-modified transcription complex (4, 18).

The activities of the Rho (28) and NusA (6) factors have been extensively studied. NusA is ^a 69-kDa protein that either stimulates or suppresses termination, depending on the template sequence and the availability of protein cofactors (15, 16, 20, 25, 35, 42). NusA binds to the RNA polymerase core (13) and may generally be associated with elongating polymerase (13, 18). Binding of NusA to N (14), and possibly to Rho (34), has also been demonstrated in vitro.

Mutations in $nusB$ suggest a role for this factor in Rhodependent termination (42) and in the processive transcription of the rRNA operons (36). The role of S10 in termination or antitermination is unclear.

We have isolated host mutations that permitted λ growth on nusAl mutants (41). Several suppressors were mapped to nusB. With the hope of detecting additional factors that participate in transcription termination and antitermination,

we have characterized a nusA1 suppressor located in the 90-min region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. The suppressor is a missense mutation in $nusG$ and represents the first mutation identified in that gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. All bacteria are derivatives of E. coli K-12. The genotypes of the basic strains are given in Table 1. Derivatives of these strains were constructed as described below. Standard bacteriological techniques, e.g., P1 transductions, lysogenizations, and use of MacConkey galactose indicator plates, were performed as previously described (22) . nusG alleles were introduced into strains by cotransduction with one of three closely linked markers, namely, Tet^r, Rif^r, or Kan^r, with selection on Luria-Bertani (LB) plates containing the appropriate antibiotic at 15, 20, or 50 μ g/ml, respectively.

The lacZ fusion strains, listed in Table 2, were constructed by lysogenization of N7113 with B464, a λi^{21} phage carrying the trp-lac fusion W205 (24). The resulting N-dependent transcriptional fusion between $lacW205$ and the p_L promoter of the resident prophage is diagrammed in Fig. 1. To construct isogenic nus strains, nusA1, nusA_{Sal}, or nusE71 alleles linked to TnlO were P1 transduced into 7113(B464). Subsequently, $nusG4$ linked to Kan^r was transduced into the indicated strains.

Phage strains. Phages used are listed in Table 3. $\lambda c1857$ $nusG^+$ is a nin⁺ S⁺ derivative of the previously described YU109 (9). To construct a nusG4 transducing phage, λc I857 nusG⁺ was grown lytically on a strain carrying $\frac{n}{G4}$ on the chromosome (N7032). Phages which had acquired the $n\mu sG4$ allele by in vivo recombination were selected by plating the lysate on K556 (nusE71) at 42°C. Three independent isolates behaved identically and displayed the appropriate nusG4 suppression pattern (see text). Efficiency of plating on K556 following lytic growth on the $nusG4$ strain was 10^{-4} , 50-fold higher than the efficiency of plating following growth on N6871, an isogenic $nusG^+$ strain.

Plasmids. Plasmids pSS105 (9), pTUB2 (23), pGA43 (2), and pGA101 (3) were described previously.

^{*} Corresponding author.

t Present address: Scientific and Educational Software, State Line, PA 17263.

^a NIH, National Institutes of Health.

Plasmid pSS106 was constructed by a multistep procedure. First, the nusG4 allele was transferred to pTUB2 by in vivo recombination to yield plasmid pSS101. To accomplish this transfer, pTUB2 was transformed into N7032. Since $nusG⁺$ in multicopy complements the $nusG⁴$ phenotype, N7032(pTUB2) is Gal⁻, i.e., white on MacConkey galactose indicator plates at 42°C. When the $nusG4$ allele replaces the plasmid $nusG^+$ allele, the strain becomes Gal⁺. Gal⁺ papillae were purified, and the plasmid was isolated. When transformed into N6871, the plasmid suppressed the Nusphenotype conferred by $nusAI$ at 42°C, and the resident N-dependent gal fusion was Gal⁺.

To complete the construction of pSS106, the 2.1-kb SmaI-EcoRI fragment of pSS101 was ligated to an EcoRI linker at the SmaI end and inserted into the EcoRI site of pBR322. Transformants were selected on LB-ampicillin $(50 \mu g/ml)$ plates.

Galactokinase assays. The expression of galK was monitored by galactokinase assays performed as previously described (1).

fl-Galactosidase assays. Cultures were grown to early logarithmic phase (approximately $A_{650} = 0.2$) at 32°C in LB media. An aliquot was removed for assay to establish the background level, and the remaining culture was shifted to 42°C. After 60 min, both the 32 and the 42°C samples were

TABLE 2. Specificity of $nusG4$ suppression^{a}

Host	β -Galactosidase produced (U)			
	$n\mu sG^+$	nusG4		
$nus+$	7,000	5,459		
nusAI	12	216		
	$<$ 5	< 5		
nus $A_{\rm Sal}$ nus E 71	17	209		

^a All strains are derivatives of 7113(B464), which carries the N-dependent $lacZ$ fusion (Fig. 1). Numbers represent β -galactosidase units produced at 42°C (see Materials and Methods).

(B464).

assayed for β -galactosidase activity as previously described (22) . The B-galactosidase levels presented in Table 2 are the averaged results of three experiments. Backgrounds ranging between ⁴⁰ and ⁶⁰ U have been subtracted.

Sequencing and cloning. DNA sequencing was done by the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (32). Appropriate DNA fragments were cloned into M13mpl8 and M13mpl9. M13-specific primers were used to sequence clones by using Sequenase version 2.0 and following the protocol provided by the United States Biochemical Co.

RESULTS

Isolation and mapping of nusG4. We selected extragenic suppressors of *nusA1* using strain N6871, which carries a λ p_1 -nutL-N-IS2-gal chromosomal fusion (41). Expression of gal in N6871 requires functional λ N protein to override the Rho-dependent terminator(s) in IS2. The $nusAI$ mutation present in N6871 inhibits N antitermination at elevated temperatures and blocks gal expression. Suppressors of nusA1 were selected as Gal⁺ derivatives of N6871 at 42°C. Mutations that permitted the growth of superinfecting λ and therefore affected transacting functions were further investigated.

One such suppressor of *nusA1*, *nusG4*, was mapped by P1 transduction to the E . coli nus G gene (9). A rifampinresistant wild-type strain was used as a donor to transduce Rif' into the nusG4 mutant. Analysis of the transductants for the nusAl phenotype indicated that nusG4 and Rif^t (rpoB) were 90% linked; 313 of 347 Rif^t transductants were Gal⁻. We next demonstrated that $nusG4$ constituted a single locus by cotransducing $nusG4$ and Rif^t into the parental $nusAI$ strain (N6871). Galactokinase enzyme assays indicated that galK gene expression in the backcrossed strain was identical to that in the original nusA1 nusG4 mutant, N7032 (data not shown).

The nusG4 mutation suppresses $nusAI$ rather than bypassing the need for N activity. A nusG4 nusA1 strain carrying a p_L -nutL-N⁻-IS2-gal chromosomal fusion (N5283) was Gal⁻.

Fine-structure mapping was performed by transforming

TABLE 3. Bacteriophages

Phage Phage entry and the contract of the contract o	Source		

^a NIH, National Institutes of Health.

N7032 (*nusA1 nusG4*) with a series of plasmids carrying markers spanning the $rpoB$ region (Fig. 2). Complementation of nusG4 was scored on MacConkey galactose plates at 42°C. N7032 carrying pTUB2 was converted to Gal⁻, whereas strains bearing plasmids pGA43 and pGA101 remained Gal⁺. An operon composed of two genes, $secE$ and $nusG$, is present uniquely on pTUB2. The $secE$ gene encodes a 127-amino-acid transmembrane protein involved in protein secretion $(9, 33)$. The product of the *nusG* gene is a 181-amino-acid protein (9).

To verify that the secE-nusG operon was sufficient to complement strain N7032, the operon was subcloned into pBR322 on a 2.1-kb fragment. The resultant plasmid, pSS105, fully complemented N7032.

Finally, the $nusG4$ mutation was crossed into pTUB2 by in vivo recombination, yielding pSS101, and was subsequently cloned on a 2.1-kb fragment into pBR322, yielding pSS106 (see Materials and Methods). Plasmid pSS106 suppressed the nusA1 phenotype, i.e., restored gal expression in strain N6871. Thus, both *nusG4* and the wild-type allele are dominant when expressed from a multicopy plasmid.

Sequence of the nusG4 mutation. The sequence of the secE-nusG4 mutant operon genes carried by pSS106 was compared with that of the wild type (9). A single C-to-A transition at position 487 near the $3'$ end of nusG was detected. The resultant serine-to-phenylalanine change at residue 163 has the potential to alter significantly the structure of NusG (see Discussion).

Suppression of other nus mutations by nusG4. The specificity of suppression by $n\mu sG4$ was determined with strain N7113. N7113 carries a chromosomal λ p_L -lacZ fusion, which is negatively controlled by the $\lambda c1857$ repressor. The fusion carries N , a Rho-independent terminator (tI), as well as Rho-dependent terminators. Expression of lacZ in N7113 is entirely N dependent.

We constructed ^a series of isogenic fusion strains carrying nusG⁺ or nusG4 in conjunction with nus⁺, nusA1, nusE71, or $nusA_{Sal}$. All three nus mutations blocked the expression of lacZ (Table 2). As expected, $nusG4$ suppressed the $nusAI$ mutation, although the effect was incomplete (Table 2). In contrast to *nusA1*, the *nusA*_{Sal} allele was not suppressed by

nusG4, indicating that nusG4 does not bypass nusA for N function. The $nusE71$ (S10) mutation was suppressed by $nusG4$ to about the same extent as $nusA1$ (Table 2). Although nusG4 did not fully suppress either $nusAI$ or $nusE71$, λ plated with unit efficiency on both *nusA1 nusG4* and nusE71 nusG4 mutants (data not shown).

In ^a second assay, we determined the efficiency of plating of $\lambda nusG^+$ or $\lambda nusG4$ transducing phage on an isogenic set of wild-type and nus mutant hosts (Table 4). The nusG4 allele carried by the infecting phage suppressed η us η and permitted phage growth. Consistent with the results of the p_{L} -lacZ fusion assays, λ nusG4 formed plaques on nusE71 hosts but not on strains carrying the $nusA_{Sal}$ allele. The pattern of λ nusG4 growth (Table 4) also shows that nusG4 does not suppress nusC60, nusB5, or rho026 mutations. The failure of $\lambda nusG4$ to grow on the double-mutant $nusAI$ $nusE71$ strain probably reflects the additive effects of the two host mutations. Recall that *nusG4* only partially suppressed the nusA1 and nusE71 mutations individually.

NusG overexpression suppresses certain rho mutations. During the course of our complementation studies, we noted that pSS105, a multicopy plasmid that expresses $n\mu sG^+$, suppressed a $rho026$ mutant for λ growth. Other nus mutants, nusA1, nusB5, nusC60, and nusE71, were not suppressed (data not shown). To determine whether the suppression of *rho026* was allele specific, isogenic *rho* mutants were transformed with pSS105 and screened for the plating of λ and phage T4 (Table 5). The $\lambda r32$ variant used in this assay carries an IS2 insert promoter-proximal to gene 0. Unlike in wild-type λ , the expression of genes O and P and the replication of phage DNA are N dependent in λr^2 . The variant phage is thus exquisitely sensitive to reduced N activity and fails to propagate on nus mutants even at 32°C. Our results (Table 5) demonstrate that of the three rho mutations that block $\lambda r32$ plating, two (rho026 and rho4008) were suppressed when $nusG^+$ was expressed from a multicopy plasmid. A multicopy plasmid that expressed secE but not nusG did not restore phage plating on rho mutants (data not shown).

The *rho* mutations tested in Table 5 were originally isolated as conferring resistance to phage T4 (27, 39).

FIG. 2. Structures of the rif region of the E. coli chromosome and the plasmids used for complementation analysis for mapping of nusG4. Only the intact genes carried by each plasmid are shown. The left side of the figure indicates the results of the complementation test performed by transforming N7032 with each of the different plasmids and scoring the Gal phenotype at 42°C. The N-dependent fusion in N7032 is Gal' because of the suppression of *nusA1* by *nusG4*. Since *nusG*⁺ in multicopy overrides the *nusG4* phenotype, N7032 transformed with a complementing plasmid is Gal⁻.

Phage	Efficiency of plating on the indicated host:							
	nus^+	nusA1	nusE71	nusB5	nusC60	rho026	$nusA_{Sal}$	nusA1 nusE71
^		< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
λ nin 5						< 0.01	$< 0.4^b$	0.6 ^b
λ nus G^+		< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
λ nus $G4$		0.8	0.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01

TABLE 4. Plating characteristics of $nusG$ transducing phage^a

^a After overnight incubation at 32°C (nusC60, nusA_{sal}, and nusAl nusE71) or 42°C (nus⁺, nusAl, nusE71, nusB5, and rho026), efficiencies of plating were determined relative to that of wild-type control. All phages carry the cI857 allele. All strains are derivatives of N99 and listed in Table 1.

b Very small to minute plaque size.

Expression of $nusG^+$ from plasmid pSS105 fully restored the growth of T4 on all the rho mutants (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

To define the interacting host components in the λ N transcription antitermination system, we isolated suppressors that restored N function in E . coli nusA1 mutants. One suppressor was mapped to the nus G gene. The nus G gene is linked to ηf and lies in a region of the E. coli chromosome that includes a cluster of genes encoding the large ribosomal subunit proteins L7/12, L10, L1, and L11, as well as EFtu. Although we have not excluded the possibility that NusG, like NusE (S10), plays a role both in transcription and in translation, our recent experiments indicate that the primary effect of NusG depletion is on transcription termination (40). We also note that $nusG$ is cotranscribed with $secE(9)$, which encodes an integral membrane protein involved in protein secretion (33). The biological relevance of this association remains to be established.

nusG encodes a 181-amino-acid protein that is essential for cell viability (9) . The nusG4 mutation represents a serine-tophenylalanine amino acid substitution at position 163. Computer-based protein modelling (7) predicts that this mutation alters the secondary structure of amino acids 160 to 167 from a random coil to a β -sheet conformation. Since this region of NusG connects two well-predicted α -helices, this more

^a All strains are derivatives of FW329 P1 transduced with the indicated rho alleles by linkage to *ilv*⁺ and then transformed with the *nusG*⁺ plasmid, pSS105, or the parental control, pBR322.

Minute, poorly defined plaques.

ordered structure is likely to alter the alignment of these helices and to cause a shift in the position of the carboxyl end of the polypeptide. The magnitude of this shift would depend upon the constraints imposed by the three-dimensional structure of the whole protein.

The suppression pattern of $nusG4$ indicates that the mutation is not ^a bypass of the different Nus proteins or of N function. Unlike nusA1, the nusA_{Sal} allele still restricted λ growth in nusG4 mutants. The temperature sensitivity of $nusAts11$ was also not suppressed by $nusG4$ (data not shown). Among other *nus* mutations, we found that $n\mu sG4$ suppressed nusE71 but not nusC60 (rpoB60), nusB5, or $nusD026$ (rho 026). To explain the suppression pattern of nusG4, we favor a holistic model in which the components of the antitermination complex make multiple contacts with each other. These protein-protein and protein-RNA interactions contribute to the overall stability of the complex. We suggest that NusG4 forms more stable contacts with a complex component(s), compensating for the weakened interaction of NusAl or NusE71. A similar model has been proposed to explain the cosuppression of $nusA1$ and $nusE71$ by mutations in N and $nusB$ (11).

The overproduction of NusG from ^a multicopy plasmid suppressed members of the *nusD* class of *rho* mutations; other nus mutations remained restrictive for λ growth. This result suggests that high levels of NusG affect antitermination by a mechanism different from that of NusG4. Recall that $nusD026$ was not suppressed by $nusG4$. Furthermore, nusG4 restored N-mediated antitermination of Rho-independent (XtI) as well as Rho-dependent (IS2) terminators in nusAl mutants. We propose that NusG interacts directly with Rho, altering its activity. This proposal, based on genetic evidence, is directly supported by the in vitro observation that Rho is retained on NusG affinity columns (12).

Overproduction of NusG allows phage T4 to propagate on all $nusD$ mutants tested. T4 is reported to regulate the expression of its genome by a mechanism of terminationantitermination that utilizes sequences homologous to the λ nut sites (5, 17, 19, 38). Our results are consistent with the idea that the host components of the T4 antitermination system are similar or identical to those of A. However, NusG may directly affect Rho activity in ^a reaction that does not involve an antitermination complex.

The demonstration that $nusG4$ suppressed $nusAI$ and nusE71 for N antitermination does not prove that NusG is required for this reaction in wild-type cells. Greenblatt and his collaborators isolated NusG from E. coli extracts as a factor that enhanced N activity in ^a purified in vitro system (12). In addition, he has detected NusG in the N antitermination complex along with RNA polymerase, NusA, NusB, and NusE (21). Although his results suggest an essential role

Values refer to efficiencies of plating at 32°C for λc I857r32 or at 42°C for phage T4.

for NusG in the N reaction, we find that the efficiency of N antitermination is identical in wild-type and NusG-depleted cells (40). To explain these findings, we propose that NusG enhances the stability of the antitermination complex. Although not required in wild-type complexes formed in vivo, NusG may be essential for the formation of stable complexes under in vitro conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Claire Shean, George Gaitanaris, and Brent Atkinson for their numerous insightful suggestions; Barbara Stitt and David Friedman for kindly providing strains; and Jack Greenblatt for making results available prior to publication.

This work was supported by grant GM37219 from the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- 1. Adhya, S., and W. Miller. 1979. Modulation of the two promoters of the galactose operon of *Escherichia coli*. Nature (London) 279:492-494.
- 2. An, G., and J. D. Friesen. 1979. Plasmid vehicles for direct cloning of Escherichia coli promoters. J. Bacteriol. 140:400-407.
- 3. An, G., and J. D. Friesen. 1980. Characterization of promotercloning plasmids: analysis of operon structure in the *rif* region of Escherichia coli and isolation of an enhanced internal promoter mutant. J. Bacteriol. 144:904-916.
- 4. Barik, S., B. Ghosh, W. Whalen, D. Lazinski, and A. Das. 1987. An antitermination protein engages the elongating transcription apparatus at a promoter-proximal recognition site. Cell 50:885- 899.
- 5. Caruso, M., A. Coppo, A. Manzi, and J. F. Pulitzer. 1979. Host-virus interactions in the control of T4 prereplicative transcription. I. tabC (rho) mutants. J. Mol. Biol. 135:959-977.
- 6. Chamberlin, M. J., K. M. Arndt, J. F. Briat, R. L. Reynolds, and M. C. Schmidt. 1987. Prokaryotic factors involved in termination at Rho-independent termination sites, p. 347-356. In W. S. Reznikoff, R. R. Burgess, J. E. Dahlberg, C. A. Gross, M. T. Record, Jr., and M. P. Wickens (ed.), RNA polymerase and the regulation of transcription. Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc., New York.
- 7. Chou, P. Y., and G. D. Fasman. 1978. Empirical predictions of protein conformation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 27:251-276.
- 8. Das, A., M. E. Gottesman, J. Wardwell, P. Trisler, and S. Gottesman. 1983. A mutation in the Escherichia coli rho gene that inhibits the N protein of phage λ . Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:5530-5534.
- 9. Downing, W. L., S. L. Sullivan, M. E. Gottesman, and P. P. Dennis. 1990. Sequence and transcriptional pattern of the essential Escherichia coli secE-nusG operon. J. Bacteriol. 172:1621- 1627.
- 10. Friedman, D. 1971. A bacterial mutant affecting λ development, p. 733-738. In A. D. Hershey (ed.), The bacteriophage lambda. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 11. Friedman, D. I. 1988. Regulation of phage gene expression by termination and antitermination of transcription, p. 263-319. In R. Calendar (ed.), The bacteriophages, vol. 2. Plenum Press, New York.
- 12. Greenblatt, J. (University of Toronto). 1991. Personal communication.
- 13. Greenblatt, J., and J. Li. 1981. Interaction of the sigma factor and the nusA gene protein of E. coli with RNA polymerase in the initiation-termination cycle of transcription. Cell 24:421- 428.
- 14. Greenblatt, J., and J. Li. 1981. The nusA gene protein of Escherichia coli: its identification and a demonstration that it interacts with the gene N transcription anti-termination protein of bacteriophage lambda. J. Mol. Biol. 147:11-23.
- 15. Greenblatt, J., J. Li, S. Adhya, D. I. Friedman, L. S. Baron, B. Redfield, H. Kung, and H. Weissbach. 1980. L factor that is

required for β -galactosidase synthesis is the nusA gene product involved in transcription termination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:1991-1994.

- 16. Greenblatt, J., M. McLimont, and S. Hanly. 1981. Termination of transcription by nusA gene protein of Escherichia coli. Nature (London) 292:215-220.
- 17. Hinton, D. M. 1989. Altered expression of the bacteriophage T4 gene 41 (primase-helicase) in an Escherichia coli rho mutant. J. Biol. Chem. 264:14440-14446.
- 18. Horwitz, R. J., J. Li, and J. Greenblatt. 1987. An elongation control particle containing the N gene transcriptional antitermination protein of bacteriophage lambda. Cell 51:631-641.
- 19. Hsu, T., and J. D. Karam. 1990. Transcriptional mapping of DNA replication gene cluster in bacteriophage T4. J. Biol. Chem. 265:5303-5316.
- 20. Lau, L. F., J. W. Roberts, and R. Wu. 1983. RNA polymerase pausing and transcript release at the λt_{R1} terminator in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 258:9391-9397.
- 21. Mason, S. W., and J. Greenblatt. 1991. Assembly of transcription elongation complexes containing the N protein of phage λ and the Escherichia coli elongation factors NusA, NusB, NusG, and S10. Genes Dev. 5:1504-1512.
- 22. Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 23. Miyajima, A., M. Shibuya, and Y. Kaziro. 1979. Construction and characterization of the two hybrid colEl plasmids carrying E. coli tufB gene. FEBS Lett. 102:207-210.
- 24. Oppenheim, A. B., S. Gottesman, and M. Gottesman. 1982. Regulation of bacteriophage λ int gene expression. J. Mol. Biol. 158:327-346.
- 25. Platt, T. 1981. Termination of transcription and its regulation in the tryptophan operon of E. coli. Cell 24:10-23.
- 26. Platt, T. 1986. Transcription termination and the regulation of gene expression. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 55:339-372.
- 27. Revel, H. R., B. L. Stitt, I. Lielausis, and W. B. Wood. 1980. Role of the host cell in bacteriophage T4 development. I. Characterization of host mutants that block T4 head assembly. J. Virol. 33:366-376.
- 28. Richardson, J. P. 1990. Rho-dependent transcription termination. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1048:127-138.
- 29. Roberts, J. W. 1969. Termination factor for RNA synthesis. Nature (London) 224:1168-1174.
- 30. Rosenberg, M., D. Court, H. Shimatake, C. Brady, and D. L. Wulff. 1978. The relationship between function and DNA sequence in an intercistronic regulatory region of phage λ . Nature (London) 272:414-423.
- 31. Salstrom, J. S., and W. Szybalski. 1978. Coliphage $\lambda n u t L^{-1}$: a unique class of mutants defective in the site of gene N product utilization for antitermination of leftward transcription. J. Mol. Biol. 124:195-221.
- 32. Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 33. Schatz, P. J., P. D. Riggs, A. Jacq, M. J. Fath, and J. Beckwith. 1989. The secE gene encodes an integral membrane protein required for protein export in E. coli. Genes Dev. 3:1035- 1044.
- 34. Schmidt, M. C., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1984. Binding of rho factor to Escherichia coli RNA polymerase mediated by nusA protein. J. Biol. Chem. 259:15000-15002.
- 35. Schmidt, M. C., and M. J. Chamberlin. 1987. nusA protein of Escherichia coli is an efficient transcription termination factor for certain terminator sites. J. Mol. Biol. 195:809-818.
- 36. Sharrock, R. A., R. L. Gourse, and M. Nomura. 1985. Defective antitermination of rRNA transcription and derepression of rRNA and tRNA synthesis in nusB5 mutant of Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:5275-5279.
- 37. Spencer, C. A., and M. Groudine. 1990. Transcription elongation and eukaryotic gene regulation. Oncogene 5:777-785.
- 38. Stitt, B. L., and G. Mosig. 1989. Impaired expression of certain prereplicative bacteriophage T4 genes explains impaired T4 DNA synthesis in Eschenchia coli rho (nusD) mutants. J. Bacteriol. 171:3872-3880.
- 39. Stitt, B. L., H. R. Revel, I. Lielausis, and W. B. Wood. 1980. Role of the host cell in bacteriophage T4 development. II. Characterization of host mutants that have pleiotropic effects on T4 growth. J. Virol. 35:775-789.
- 40. Sullivan, S. L., and M. E. Gottesman. Unpublished data.
- 41. Ward, D. F., A. Delong, and M. E. Gottesman. 1983. Escherichia coli nusB mutations that suppress nusAl exhibit λ N specificity. J. Mol. Biol. 168:73-85.
- 42. Ward, D. F., and M. E. Gottesman. 1981. The nus mutations affect transcription termination in Escherichia coli. Nature (London) 292:212-215.