Evidence for Autoregulation of camR, Which Encodes a Repressor for the Cytochrome P-450cam Hydroxylase Operon on the Pseudomonas putida CAM Plasmid

HIRONORI ARAMAKI,^{1*} YASUHIRO SAGARA,²† MASAHARU HOSOI,¹ AND TADAO HORIUCHI³

Department of Microbiology, Daiichi College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tamagawa-cho, Minami-ku, Fukuoka 815,' Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University 62, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812,² and Department of Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering, Soka University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192,³ Japan

Received 3 August 1993/Accepted 12 October 1993

The regulatory gene camR on the CAM plasmid of Pseudomonas putida (ATCC 17453) negatively controls expression of the cytochrome P-450cam hydroxylase operon (camDCAB) for the camphor degradation pathway and is oriented in a direction opposite to that of the *camDCAB* operon. In this study, we examined expression of the camR gene by monitoring the β -galactosidase activity of camR-lacZ translational fusions in P. putida camR and camR⁺ strains. We found that the camR gene was autogenously regulated by its own product, CamR. To search for an operator site of the camR gene, a cam repressor (CamR)-overproducing plasmid, pHAOV1, was constructed by placing the camR gene under the control of a p_L promoter. The translational initiation codon of CamR was changed by site-directed mutagenesis from GTG to ATG to improve translation efficiency. Judging from sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis, the CamR protein was expressed up to about 10% of the soluble protein of CamR-overproducing Escherichia coli JM83/pHAOV1 cells. Results of DNase ^I footprinting assays using the cell lysate indicated that the CamR repressor covered ^a single region between the camR gene and the camDCAB operon. Our findings also suggest that the camR gene autogenously regulates its own expression by binding of the gene product, CamR, to the operator, which also serves as an operator of the *camDCAB* operon.

Pseudomonas spp. utilize many aromatic compounds as carbon and energy sources, and some functions depend on enzymes encoded by plasmids (4). Pseudomonas putida PpG1 (ATCC 17453) was originally isolated by enrichment culture on D-camphor as the sole carbon source. As shown in Fig. 1, the CAM plasmid of P. putida PpG1 encodes oxidative enzymes for a pathway for catabolism of camphor to isobutylate (25, 27). The initial step of camphor degradation is oxidation of camphor to 5-exo-hydroxycamphor by a monooxygenase system, which consists of the enzymes NADH-putidaredoxin reductase (45 kDa; encoded by the camA gene), putidaredoxin (12 kDa; encoded by the camB gene), and cytochrome P-450cam (47 kDa; encoded by the $camC$ gene) (8, 14). The second step of degradation is conversion of the alcohol to 2,5-diketocamphane by 5-exo-hydroxycamphor dehydrogenase (80 kDa; encoded by the *camD* gene) (9). Genes of these four enzymes form an operon called the cytochrome P-450cam hydroxylase operon (camDCAB) (15, 16). The camDCAB operon is under negative control by camR, which is located immediately upstream of the camD gene, and shows maximal expression in the presence of D-camphor (15, 16). The camR gene is transcribed in the direction opposite to that of the camDCAB operon (2). The regulatory mechanism of expression of the camR gene remains to be clarified.

We report here that expression of camR is negatively controlled by its own product. The operator site of camR was determined both in vivo by measurement of β -galactosidase activity resulting from camR-lacZ translational fusions in a P. putida $camR⁺$ strain and in vitro by a DNase I footprinting assay using the cell lysate of the *cam* repressor $(CamR)$

overproducer. CamR binds to only ^a single region between the $camR$ gene and the $camD CAB$ operon, indicating that the binding region serves as ^a common operator for the promoters of both the camR gene and the camDCAB operon. On the basis of these findings, a putative mechanism for *cam* gene expression is proposed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are given in Table 1.

Media. L broth was used for cell growth. L agar containing 5 -bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside was used to visualize β -galactosidase activity resulting from camR-lacZ translational fusions in cells on the agar plates. When needed, streptomycin (500 μ g/ml) and/or kanamycin (50 μ g/ml) were added for the culture of P . putida. Terrific broth (29) was used for the CamR protein-overproducing culture.

Plasmid constructions. To search the promoter-operator regions of the camR gene, DNA fragments containing sequences from various positions in the 5⁷-flanking region to the BgIII site (+132) located in the *camR* gene were ligated to $lacZ$ (Fig. 2A). To express the camR-lacZ translational fusions only from the camR promoter, the terminator gene (terS, reference 10) was inserted upstream of the ⁵'-flanking region of camR. The fusion gene was constructed by connecting the aminoterminal 45 codons of camR to codon 8 of $lacZ$ (Fig. 2B) so that the β -galactosidase activity of the camR-lacZ translational fusion would be conserved. Plasmid pJP122 (Fig. 2A) was constructed as follows. The PstI (-118)-BglII (+132) fragment of camR from pJP701 and the BamHI-SalI fragment containing lacZ from plasmid pMC1403 were coligated into the PstI-SalI sites of low-copy-number vector pTS1210, and the resulting plasmid was designated pJP115. Plasmid pSY115 was

^{*} Corresponding author.

t Present address: Department of Medical Biology, Kochi Medical School, Nankoku, Kochi 783, Japan.

FIG. 1. Organization of the camR gene, the camDCAB operon, and the D-camphor oxidation pathway in \overline{P} . putida. OP, operator promoter.

constructed by cloning the BamHI-SalI fragment containing terS from pTerS3 into the BamHI-SalI polylinker sites of pUC18. The EcoRI-PstI fragment containing terS from pSY115 was then inserted at the EcoRI-PstI sites of pJP115, yielding plasmid pJP122. To construct other plasmids containing various lengths of the ⁵'-flanking region of camR, DNA fragments from PstI (-118) to HincII (-201), BalI (-218), *NaeI* (-288), *SphI* (-326), and *PstI* (-266) were isolated from pJP701 and then each pair of DNA fragments with the terS fragment was inserted into the $EcoRI-PstI$ sites of pJP115, yielding pJP311, pJP1013, pJP411, pJP1001, and pJP913, respectively (Fig. 2A). These camR-lacZ translational fusions were under the same control as the *camR* gene, except that plasmid pJP902 was constructed by ligating the PstI (-118) -PstI (-266) fragment of pJP913 in the opposite direction (Fig. 2A). Restriction sites PstI (-266) and BalI (-218) were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis on pMA3 containing the PstI (-118)-SphI (-326) fragment of pJP705. The oligonucleotides used for the mutation were 5'-ACAACTGCAGGAG $GCGGG-3'$ for PstI (-266) and $5'$ -ACTCCTTCTGGCCA ATAT-3' for *BalI* (-218) (mismatches are underlined).

To determine the operator site of $camR$ in vitro, we constructed ^a plasmid for overproduction of CamR. The translational initiation codon of CamR was changed from GTG to ATG by site-directed mutagenesis to introduce an NcoI site. An EcoRI site was also introduced into the ³'-flanking region of the camR gene so that the termination codon (TAG) of CamR would be located immediately upstream of the terminator $(trpA)$. These two silent mutations were introduced as follows. The PstI-SmaI fragment containing the camR gene from pJP705 was ligated to the PstI-SmaI sites of the M13mp19 replicative form, and then NcoI and EcoRI restriction sites were introduced adjacent to the ⁵' and ³' ends of camR, respectively. The oligonucleotides used for the sitedirected mutagenesis were 5'-GCCGGGCACCATGGAC ATC-3' for NcoI and 5'-AGTAGGCGAATTCGTGCCGA-3' for EcoRI (mismatches are underlined). The plasmid, isolated from *Escherichia coli* MV1190, was digested with *NcoI* and EcoRI and then blunt ended by ^a large fragment of DNA polymerase I. The 560-bp fragment containing the entire sequence of the camR gene was isolated by electroelution from a 5% acrylamide gel. Expression vector $pUC-p_L$ -cI was di-

^a Yamaguchi University.

FIG. 2. Construction of camR-lacZ translational fusions. (A) At the top is a restriction map of the $camR$ gene and the surrounding region. The positions of several restriction sites are based on the translational initiation site $(+1)$ and are shown in parentheses. The operator (O) common to the *camR* gene and the *camDCAB* operon is indicated by the closed box. Pr and Pd indicate the putative promoters for the camR gene and the camDCAB operon, respectively. The camR and *camD* coding regions are also indicated. The lower part shows the compositions of camR-lacZ translational fusions. The shaded and open boxes represent camR and lacZ coding regions, respectively. The jagged lines indicate the terminator gene, terS. (B) Nucleotide and protein sequences of the junction of ^a camR-lacZ translational fusion. The amino-terminal region of CamR, residues ¹ to 45, was connected to residue 8 of β -galactosidase.

gested with EcoRI and SphI and then blunt ended by T4 DNA polymerase. The 4-kb fragment was isolated by electroelution from a 1% agarose gel. The mutated camR gene was then ligated downstream of the p_1 promoter in pUC- p_1 -cI by using T4 DNA ligase; the plasmid constructed was designated pHAOV1. By DNA sequencing analysis (30) of pHAOV1, we verified that the new initiation codon (ATG) of CamR was located 8 bp downstream of the Shine-Dalgarno sequence and the terminator $(trpA)$ was located immediately downstream of the stop codon (TAG).

To prepare the DNA probe for DNase ^I footprinting, an SmaI site was prepared at 10 bases upstream from the native initiation codon (GTG) of CamR by site-directed mutagenesis with an oligonucleotide with the sequence 5'-TTGCCCG GGTCGATCCGA-3' (the mismatch is underlined) (Fig. 2A). An SphI (-326)-SmaI (-10) fragment containing the regulatory region of camR and the camDCAB operon was cloned into pUC19, yielding pHA37-1.

Site-directed mutagenesis. Mutagenesis was performed by the method of Kunkel (17), with the Muta-Gene phargemid in vitro mutagenesis kit from Bio-Rad Laboratories. The sequences of the entire $camR$ gene and all of the mutations were verified by DNA sequencing with Sequenase sequencing kits.

Assay of β -galactosidase. Cells were grown to the mid-log phase in ^L broth in the presence or absence of ⁵ mM D -camphor. The β -galactosidase activity of the *camR-lacZ* translational fusion was determined by the Miller assay (22). The basic promoter activities of the $camR$ gene and the $camDLAB$ operon were taken from the respective β -galactosidase activities in the P. putida camR strain $(TN1126)$ which is CAM plasmid free). P. putida $camR⁺$ strains were cultured in the presence or absence of D-camphor, and the repression ratios were estimated from the ratio of the induced/noninduced β -galactosidase activities.

Overproduction of CamR protein in E. coli. E. coli JM83 was transformed with CamR-overproducing plasmid pHAOV1. As a control,E. coli JM83 was transformed with expression vector $pUC-p₁$ -cI. The transformants, JM83/pHAOV1 and JM83/ pUC- p_{L} -cI, were cultured at 32°C in Terrific broth containing ampicillin (50 μ g/ml) until the optical density at 600 nm reached 1.0. The cultures were then either supplemented with D-camphor to ⁵ mM or not supplemented and further cultured for 2 h at 42°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7,000 \times g for 10 min at 4°C, suspended in TDEG buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5]) containing ¹ mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol), and sonicated by six 30-s pulses with 30-s intervals under ice-cold conditions. After centrifugation at 100,000 \times g for 60 min at 4°C, the supernatant was obtained and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (19) with a 13% acrylamide gel. The insoluble materials were suspended in ^a small amount of ¹⁰ mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) containing 1% SDS. A portion of the suspension was boiled with the sample buffer (19), and then the sample was also subjected to SDS-PAGE.

Repressor-operator binding. The cell lysate containing CamR was prepared from 400-ml cell cultures grown for ² ^h at 42°C in the presence of D-camphor as described above. To prepare the probe for DNase ^I footprinting, plasmid pHA37-1 containing the SphI (-236)-SmaI (-10) fragment (Table 1) was digested with EcoRI and HindIll and the 337-bp fragment was isolated after 5% acrylamide gel electrophoresis. The DNA fragment was end labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and $[\gamma^{32}P]$ ATP. The probes labeled at one end were purified by acrylamide gel electrophoresis after secondary cleavage at the SphI or SmaI site. Reaction mixtures containing 0.1 pmol of the ³²P-labeled DNA fragment and 0, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, or 10 μ g of a lysate of CamR-overproducing E. coli cells cultured in the presence of D-camphor were incubated for 20 min at 25°C for repressor-operator complex formation. As a control, $10 \mu g$ of a cell lysate from JM83/pUC- p_L -cI was used. The probe DNA was digested with 1 μ g of DNase I per ml at 25°C for 1 min, and the digestion was stopped by adding EDTA to ⁴ mM. Samples were twice treated with phenol-chloroform (1:1), and then the DNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation with 0.3 M sodium acetate. Pellets were suspended in $10 \mu l$ of formamide dye (80% formamide, ¹ mM EDTA, ¹⁰ mM NaOH, bromophenol blue and xylene cyanol, each at 0.1%), $3-\mu l$ aliquots were loaded onto ^a 6% acrylamide-urea sequencing gel, and electrophoresis was carried out for 2 h at 40 W.

Amino acid sequence. When the CamR-overproducing strain was cultured in the absence of D-camphor, the CamR protein was localized in the insoluble fraction. The insoluble materials were resuspended in ¹⁰ mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) containing 1% SDS, and CamR was isolated by Superose 12 gel filtration. Conversely, when the cells were cultured in the presence of D-camphor, CamR was localized in the soluble fraction. The soluble fraction was further fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and the gel was stained with Coomassie brilliant

Plasmid	camR strain ^a β -Gal activity ^b		Ratio of $+/-$ values	$camR^+$ strain ^c β -Gal activity ^b		Ratio of $+/-$ values
	\div					
pJP122	1.4	0.9	1.6	2.1	2.1	1.0
pJP311	2.2	1.7	1.3	4.7	3.7	1.3
pJP1013	3.0	2.8	1.1	2.4	2.4	1.0
pJP411	22	22	1.0	23	3.9	5.9
pJP1001	23	25	0.9	24	3.8	6.3
pJP913	21	21	1.0	23	3.9	5.9
pJP902	58	64	0.9	70	1.8	39

TABLE 2. Expression of camR-lacZ translational fusions in P. putida camR and camR⁺ strains

^a P. putida TN1126 (camR mutant).

 β β -Galactosidase (β -Gal) activities are expressed in Miller units. Cells were cultured as described in Materials and Methods. $+$, with D-camphor; $-$, without D-camphor.

 \overline{P} . putida TN1126/pJP4 (cam R^+).

blue R-250. The band for CamR was cut out, and the protein was extracted from the acrylamide gel. The N-terminal amino acid sequences of the two forms of CamR protein were determined on an Applied Biosystems 470-A Sequenator.

Other details. Sources were as follows: restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase, Nippon Gene; the large fragment of DNA polymerase ^I (Klenow fragment), Takara Shuzo Co.; T4 polynucleotide kinase and Sequenase sequencing kit, Toyobo; bovine serum albumin (fraction V), Boehringer Mannheim; Superose 12 and protein standards for SDS-PAGE, Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology Inc.; $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dCTP and $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP, New England Nuclear. Isolation of plasmid DNA and plasmid construction and transformation were done as described by Sambrook et al. (29). Protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford (3) with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

RESULTS

Autoregulation of the $camR$ gene. We examined expression of the *camR* gene by monitoring the β -galactosidase activities of camR-lacZ translational fusions, since the repressor activity of CamR cannot directly be detected in vivo. P. putida camR (TN1126) and $camR⁺$ (TN1126/pJP4) strains were transformed with the $camR$ -lacZ translational fusion plasmids shown in Fig. 2A. pJP4 was constructed by inserting the camR gene into high-copy-number vector pTS1036 (20 to 30 copies per cell) to express $CamR$. β -Galactosidase activities were measured by using cell lysates of these transformants cultured in the presence or absence of D-camphor (Table 2). In the P. putida camR strain, the β -galactosidase activities from pJP122, pJP311, and pJP1013 were low (1 to 3 Miller units), both in the presence and in the absence of D-camphor. On the other hand, the β -galactosidase activities from pJP411, pJP1001, and pJP913 were 10-fold higher than those from the former plasmids, and this phenomenon was independent of the presence of D-camphor. Moreover, in the P. putida cam R^+ strain, 3-galactosidase activities from the latter plasmids were inducible by camphor and their induction ratios were approximately 6. These results indicate that the promoter-operator sites of the $camR$ gene are located in a region between the Ball (-218) and PstI (-266) sites (Fig. 2A) and that expression of $camR$ is negatively regulated by its own gene product, CamR.

Construction of ^a plasmid for CamR overproduction and expression of the CamR protein in E . coli. To determine the operator site of camR in vitro, ^a plasmid for CamR overpro-

FIG. 3. Overproduction of CamR in E. coli JM83/pHAOV1. Details are described in Materials and Methods. Lanes: ¹ to 4, proteins from JM83/pUC- p_L -cI; 5 to 8, proteins from JM83/pHAOV1; 1, 3, 5, and 7, proteins in the soluble fraction; 2, 4, 6, and 8, proteins in the insoluble fraction; 3, 4, 7, and 8, proteins from cells cultured in the presence of ⁵ mM D-camphor. The numbers to the left are molecular size standards (10^3) .

duction, pHAOV1, was constructed by placing the $camR$ gene under control of the p_1 promoter of expression vector pUC p_1 -cI as described in Materials and Methods. Since the GTG codon functions less efficiently as the initiator than does the ATG codon in E. coli (5, 23, 26), we changed the initiation codon of CamR from GTG to ATG by site-directed mutagenesis, accompanying the introduction of an NcoI site. Expression of the $camR$ gene was induced by conventional heat treatment at 42°C. When JM83/pHAOV1 was cultured in the presence of D-camphor, a protein band with an apparent molecular mass of approximately 20 kDa, a value identical to that deduced from the nucleotide sequence of the $camR$ gene (2), was abundant in the supernatant but was faint in the insoluble fraction (Fig. 3, lanes 7 and 8). In contrast, the band corresponding to CamR was abundant in the insoluble fraction but was faint in the soluble fraction from cells cultured in the absence of D-camphor (Fig. 3, lanes 5 and 6). In E. coli cells harboring pUC- p_1 -cI, the band was not observed (Fig. 3, lanes ^l to 4). From the two fractions (Fig. 3, lanes 6 and 7), we isolated the protein from the major band (molecular mass, 20 kDa) and determined the N-terminal amino acid sequence. The sequence of the N-terminal 10 residues of both protein bands was Met-Asp-Ile-Lys-Gln-Ser-Leu-Leu-His-Ala, which is identical to that deduced from the nucleotide sequence of the *camR* gene (2). This result indicates that the CamR protein was overproduced as a soluble form in E. coli cells cultured in the presence of D-camphor and suggests that CamR can readily form an aggregate in the absence of D-camphor.

Protection of operator DNA by CamR. By using the cell lysate containing the soluble form of CamR, the specific binding site of CamR was determined by DNase ^I footprinting assay. Figure 4A and B show the protection of the coding and noncoding strands of $camR$, respectively. When the amount of the cell lysate was $2.5 \mu g$ or more, protection was observed on both strands and the footprints disappeared on both strands when ⁵ mM D-camphor was added to the reaction mixture. The protected region covered 22 bp containing the 6-bp inverted repeat sequences shown in Fig. 4C. The binding site of CamR was consistent with the operator region of the *camR* gene

-CAACTGGTGTGAGGAAGAGCGGTTATAC**EAGRGAGAGAGGGGGGAGAGAGGGGGGGGGGCTTGTTGACGTG-5**'

FIG. 4. DNase ^I footprinting analysis. In all of the experiments, cell lysates from CamR-overproducing cells cultured in the presence of D-camphor were used to protect DNA from DNase I. Lanes: ¹ and 9, product of ^a G+A reaction prepared by the method of Maxam and Gilbert (20); 2, cell lysate from JM83/pUC- p_{L} -cI (10 μ g); 3 to 7, cell lysate from JM83/pHAOV1 (0, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10 μ g, respectively); 8, cell lysate from JM83/pHAOV1 (10 µg plus 5 mM D-camphor). Regions protected from DNase ^I are indicated on the right. (A) Protection pattern of the camR coding strand (camDCAB operon noncoding strand) of the SphI-SmaI fragment labeled on the SphI end. (B) Protection pattern of the camR noncoding strand (camDCAB operon coding strand) of the SphI-SmaI fragment labeled on the SmaI end. (C) Nucleotide sequences of protected regions. Bases protected from DNase ^I digestion on each strand are highlighted by reverse type.

determined by monitoring the β -galactosidase activity of $camR-lacZ$ translational fusions in vivo (Table 2; Fig. 2A). It is noteworthy that CamR bound only ^a single region between the camR gene and the camDCAB operon. Furthermore, the location of the promoter-operator regions of the camDCAB operon was downstream (the *camD* side) of the *XhoI* (-91) site (15). These results show that a single repressor-binding site serves as a regulatory locus, not only for the *camR* gene but also for the *camDCAB* operon. Camphor-induced expression of the camR gene and the camDCAB operon in P. putida is presumably caused by release of the CamR repressor from the operator region, as a result of direct interference by camphor with the binding. These results also suggest that the active CamR protein was present in the cell lysate prepared from JM83/pHAOV1 cultured in the presence of D-camphor. The number of active CamR proteins remains to be determined.

Promoter activities of the camR gene and the camDCAB operon. The promoter activity of the $camR$ gene was compared with that of the *camDCAB* operon by monitoring β -galactosidase activity. As shown in Fig. 2A, the PstI (-266) -PstI (-118) fragment contains the promoter-operator regions of

FIG. 5. Putative molecular mechanism of regulation of cam genes. The lower and upper lines show palindromic DNA sequences. FdeH, 5-exo-hydroxycamphor dehydrogenase; P450cam, cytochrome P-450 cam; PdR, putidaredoxin reductase; Pd, putidaredoxin.

not only the *camR* gene but also the *camDCAB* operon. Plasmid pJP902 was constructed by ligating the PstI (-266) -PstI (-118) fragment to lacZ in the direction opposite to that of pJP913. Therefore, the expression of β -galactosidase in cells harboring pJP902 is controlled by the promoter-operator of the $camD\angle AB$ operon. As shown in Table 2, in the P. putida $camR⁺ strain, the β -galactosidase activity from pJP902 was$ increased 40-fold in the presence of D-camphor. In the presence of D -camphor, the β -galactosidase activity from pJP913 was one-third of that from pJP902 in both the P. putida camR and $camR⁺$ strains. This result suggests that the promoter activity of the camR gene is one-third of that of the camDCAB operon.

DISCUSSION

We obtained evidence that $camR$, a repressor gene for the cytochrome P-450cam hydroxylase operon, is autogenously regulated by CamR, its own product. To determine the operator site of the camR gene, we constructed a plasmid for overproduction of CamR and overproduced it in \vec{E} . coli. When the overproducing cells were cultured in the absence of D-camphor, the CamR protein was obtained in an insoluble form. On the other hand, in the presence of D-camphor, CamR was recovered in the soluble fraction. Even when the overproducing cells were cultured in the presence of an inducer (D-camphor), the washed cell lysate contained an active CamR protein which could bind to the operator DNA in vitro. In the DNase ^I footprinting assay, CamR protected only ^a single region between the *camR* gene and the *camDCAB* operon. Binding of CamR to the region was prevented in vitro by addition of D-camphor. This region contained the 6-bp (GATATA) inverted repeat sequences, satisfying the most important feature of ^a repressor-binding site (7). On the basis of the diameter of lysozyme $(30 \text{ Å } [0.3 \text{ nm}])$ as a global protein (11), the diameter of CamR with ^a molecular mass of approximately 20 kDa was calculated to be 33.6 A. The length of operator DNA was calculated to be 74.8 A. Also, by gel filtration, the purified CamR protein eluted as ^a single peak of protein with a molecular mass of 40 kDa (1). Thus, the active form of the CamR repressor would be ^a dimer, as is the case with the other repressors (24).

We propose that the molecular mechanism for regulation of the $camR$ gene and the $camDCAB$ operon in P. putida is as follows (Fig. 5). In the absence of camphor, the CamR protein binds to only a single region, which serves as a common operator of the camR gene and the camDCAB operon, and can repress

transcription of both the *camR* gene and the *camDCAB* operon; when camphor is added, repressors no longer bind and transcription of both genes can be induced. Camphor functions as an inducer by eliciting ^a conformational change in the CamR repressor through direct interaction with this protein.

By monitoring β -galactosidase activities with *camR-lacZ* translational fusions, we found that the promoter activity of the camR gene was one-third of that of the *camDCAB* operon in P. putida, a value compatible with the ratio of syntheses of camR mRNA and camDCAB mRNA in P. putida PpG1 (camR/ camDCAB operon ratio, 1:4) determined by SI nuclease mapping (6). It is likely that RNA polymerase bound to one promoter prevents occupation of the other promoter by another RNA polymerase molecule. We recently determined the transcriptional start sites of the camR gene and the camDCAB operon in P. putida PpG1 (6). As shown in Fig. 5, camR mRNA was initiated from the T at position -221 and was transcribed to the left side and *camDCAB* mRNA was initiated from the TAT at positions -231 to -233 and was transcribed to the right side. The promoters of camR and the camDCAB operon are TTGTTC-22 bp-TATACT and TTGACC-17 bp-TAT GCT, respectively (Fig. 5). The promoter of the camDCAB operon exhibits good homology with both the -10 and the -35 regions of the promoter consensus sequence in E. coli (28), while the promoter of $camR$ is poorly homologous since the spacing between the -10 and the -35 regions is 22 bp. In an in vitro transcription experiment (6), however, σ^{70} -like RNA polymerase ($P\sigma^{70}$) obtained from *P. putida* transcribed the $camR$ promoter and the tac promoter, which has the typical consensus sequence for E. coli σ^{70} RNA polymerase, but not the *camDCAB* promoter. Studies on the mechanism for interaction among the *camR* promoter, the CamR protein, and RNA polymerase are ongoing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Nakazawa and M. Imai for providing the plasmid, 0. Tsuzuki and H. Koga for encouragement, Y. Nagakawa and M. Yoshida for technical assistance, and M. Ohara for helpful comments.

This work was supported in part by grants-in-aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas 03259213 (to T.H.) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aramaki, H., Y. Sagara, M. Hosoi, and T. Horiuchi. Unpublished data.
- 2. Aramaki, H., Y. Sagara, K. Takeuchi, H. Koga, and T. Horiuchi. Submitted for publication.
- 3. Bradford, M. M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72:248-254.
- 4. Chakrabarty, A. M. 1976. Plasmids in Pseudomonas. Annu. Rev. Genet. 10:7-30.
- 5. Clark, B. F. C., and K. A. Marcker. 1966. The role of N-formylmethionyl-sRNA in protein biosynthesis. J. Mol. Biol. 17:394-406.
- 6. Fujita, M., H. Aramaki, T. Horiuchi, and A. Amemura. 1993. Transcription of the cam operon and camR genes in Pseudomonas putida PpG1. J. Bacteriol. 175:6953-6958.
- 7. Goeddel, D. V., D. G. Yansura, C. Winston, and M. H. Caruther. 1978. Studies on gene control regions. VII. Effect of 5-bromouracil-substituted lac operators on the lac operator-lac repressor interaction. J. Mol. Biol. 123:661-687.
- 8. Hartline, R. A., and I. C. Gunsalus. 1971. Induction specificity and catabolite repression of the early enzymes in camphor degradation by Pseudomonas putida. J. Bacteriol. 106:468-478.
- 9. Hedegaad, J., and I. C. Gunsalus. 1964. An induced methylene hydroxylase in camphor oxidation. J. Biol. Chem. 240:4038-4043.
- 10. Hirano, M., K. Shigesada, and M. Imai. 1987. Construction and characterization of plasmid and lambda phage vector for study of

transcriptional control in Escherichia coli. Gene 57:89-99.

- 11. Imoto, T., L. N. Johnson, A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips, and J. A. Rupley. 1972. Vertebrate lysozymes. Enzymes 7:665-868.
- 12. Inouye, S., A. Nakazawa, and T. Nakazawa. 1985. Determination of the transcription initiation site and identification of the protein product of the regulatory gene xy/R for xyl operons on the TOL plasmid. J. Bacteriol. 163:863-869.
- 13. Joyce, C. M., and N. D. F. Grindley. 1984. Method for determining whether a gene of *Escherichia coli* is essential: application to the polA gene. J. Bacteriol. 158:636-643.
- 14. Katagiri, M., B. N. Ganguli, and I. C. Gunsalus. 1968. A soluble cytochrome P450 functional in methylene hydroxylation. J. Biol. Chem. 243:3543-3546.
- 15. Koga, H., H. Aramaki, E. Yamaguchi, K. Takeuchi, T. Horiuchi, and I. C. Gunsalus. 1986. camR, a negative regulator locus of the cytochrome P-450_{cam} hydroxylase operon. J. Bacteriol. 166:1089-1095.
- 16. Koga, H., E. Yamaguchi, K. Matsunaga, H. Aramaki, and T. Horiuchi. 1989. Cloning and nucleotide sequences of NADHputidaredoxin reductase gene (camA) and putidaredoxin gene (camB) involved in cytochrome P-450cam hydroxylase of Pseudomonas putida. J. Biochem. 106:831-836.
- 17. Künkel, T. A. 1985. Rapid and efficient site-specific mutagenesis without phenotypic selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:488-
- 492. 18. Kuroki, M., M. Murakami, M. Wakisaka, S. Ikeda, S. Oikawa, T. Oshima, H. Nakazato, G. Kosaki, and Y. Matsuoka. 1992. Immunoreactivity of recombinant carcinoembryonic antigen proteins expressed in Escherichia coli. Immunol. Invest. 21:241-257.
- 19. Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature (London) 227:680-685.
- 20. Maxam, A. M., and W. Gilbert. 1980. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base-specific chemical cleavages. Methods Enzymol. 65:499-560.
- 21. Messing, J. 1983. New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. 101:20-78.
- 22. Miller, L. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics, p. 352-355. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 23. Napoli, C., L. Gold, and B. S. Singer. 1981. Translational reinitiation in the rIlB cistron of bacteriophage T4. J. Mol. Biol. 149:433-449.
- 24. Pabo, C., and R. Sauer. 1984. Protein-DNA recognition. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 58:293-321.
- 25. Palchaudhuri, S. 1977. Molecular characterization of hydrocarbon degradative plasmids in Pseudomonas putida. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 77:518-525.
- 26. Reddy, P., A. Peterkofsky, and K. McKenney. 1985. Translational efficiency of the Escherichia coli adenylate cyclase gene: mutating the UUG initiation codon to GUG or AUG results in increased gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:5656-5660.
- 27. Rheinwald, J. G., A. M. Chakrabarty, and I. C. Gunsalus. 1973. A transmissible plasmid controlling camphor oxidation in Pseudomonas putida. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70:885-889.
- 28. Rosenberg, M., and D. Court. 1979. Regulatory sequences involved in the promotion and termination of RNA transcription. Annu. Rev. Genet. 13:319-353.
- 29. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: ^a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 30. Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 31. Shapira, S. K., J. Chou, F. V. Richaud, and M. J. Casadaban. 1983. New versatile plasmid vectors for expression of hybrid proteins coded by ^a cloned gene fused to lacZ gene sequences encoding an enzymatically active carboxy-terminal portion of β -galactosidase. Gene 25:71-82
- 32. Vieira, J., and J. Messing. 1987. Production of single-stranded plasmid DNA. Methods Enzymol. 153:3-11.
- 33. Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mpl8 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33:103-119.