Escherichia coli prlC Encodes an Endopeptidase and Is Homologous to the Salmonella typhimurium opdA Gene

CHRISTOPHER A. CONLIN,¹ NANCY J. TRUN,²† THOMAS J. SILHAVY,² AND CHARLES G. MILLER¹*

Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801,¹ and Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544²

Received 13 April 1992/Accepted 14 July 1992

Mutations at the Escherichia coli prlC locus suppress the export defect of certain lamB signal sequence mutations. The Salmonella typhimurium opdA gene encodes an endoprotease that can participate in the catabolism of certain peptides and is required for normal development of phage P22. Plasmids carrying either the wild-type (pTC100 prlC⁺) or suppressor alleles of prlC complemented all phenotypes associated with an S. typhimurium opdA mutation. A plasmid carrying an amber mutation in prlC [prlC31(Am)] was unable to complement except in an amber suppressor background. Tn1000 insertions which eliminated the ability of pTC100 (prlC⁺) to complement opdA mapped to the region of the plasmid shown by deletion analysis and subcloning to contain prlC. The nucleotide sequence of a 2.7-kb fragment including this region was determined, revealing an open reading frame encoding a 77-kDa protein. The sequences of this open reading frame and its putative promoter region were very similar (84% nucleotide sequence identity and 95% amino acid identity) to those of S. typhimurium opdA, showing that these genes are homologs. The nucleotide sequence of the prlC1 suppressor allele was determined and predicts an in-frame duplication of seven amino acids, providing further confirmation that the prlC suppressor phenotype results from changes in the endopeptidase OpdA.

One strategy used to identify components of the protein secretion apparatus of cells has been to isolate mutations which allow export of proteins with defective signal sequences. One class of suppressor mutations isolated in this way affects the prlC locus (7). The first prlC suppressor was selected as a mutation which allowed a strain carrying a 36-bp deletion (lamBs60) in the lamB signal sequence to grow on maltodextrins. LamB is an outer membrane protein that serves as the phage lambda receptor and is required for uptake of maltodextrins. Mutations at prlC are rare among lamBs60 revertants; only one allele (prlC1) was obtained in the original selection, which produced mainly prlA mutations (7). More recently, Trun and Silhavy (19) have used localized mutagenesis to isolate additional prlC alleles. One of the strongest of the prlC suppressor mutations, prlC8, obtained in this way has been characterized in detail. Although strains carrying prlC8 efficiently remove the mutant lamBs78 signal sequence, very little of the LamB protein is exported to the outer membrane (20). Trun and Silhavy (19) have cloned wild-type, suppressor, and amber alleles of prlC and shown that prlC is dispensable for growth. The prlClocus has been mapped to approximately 71 map units on the Escherichia coli chromosome (19).

The opdA gene was first identified by Vimr et al. (22) as the locus of mutations which prevented a peptidase-deficient Salmonella typhimurium strain from using N-acetyl-L-Ala₄ (AcAla₄) as a sole nitrogen source. The opdA gene has been mapped to 76 min on the S. typhimurium chromosome by cotransduction with transposon insertions near asd. It has been cloned and sequenced and shown to encode a 680amino-acid protein (4). Oligopeptidase A, the product of the opdA gene, is an endoprotease which has been purified from both *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* (12). Oligopeptidase A is the major soluble enzyme in *E. coli* which is able to hydrolyze free lipoprotein signal peptide in vitro (12).

Several facts suggested that prlC and opdA might be related. prlC and opdA map in the same region of the chromosome. The molecular masses of their gene products are identical (68 kDa), as determined by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. In addition, the results of Novak and Dev (11) indicate that oligopeptidase A can recognize a signal sequence, although it can cleave this sequence only after the release of signal sequence from the precursor protein. These observations led us to investigate the relationship between the two loci. The results presented in this article show that *E. coli prlC* and *S. typhimurium* opdA are indeed homologous genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. All Salmonella strains used were derivatives of S. typhimurium LT2. E. coli DH5 (9) was used to cultivate plasmids for sequencing, and E. coli NT113 [F⁻ araD139 Δ (argFlac)U139 rpsL150 relA1 flbB5301 ptsF25 deoC1 lamBs60 recA56 srlC300::Tn10) (19) was used to test for lamBs60 suppression. Bacteria were routinely grown in LB medium (14) at 37°C. Plasmids and other markers were transferred between S. typhimurium strains by using the generalized transducing phage P22 (HT12/4 int103) (13). Plasmids pTA108, pTC100 (*prlC*⁺), pTC101, pTC102, pTC105, pTC107, pTC108, pTC111, pTC112, and pTC118 were described by Trun and Silhavy (19). Plasmids pTC103, pTC106, pTC109, pTC113, pTC114, and pTC115 were obtained by exchange of chromosomal prlC suppressor alleles with $prlC^+$ in pTC100 (18, 19). Plasmid pTC231 is a pTC101 derivative carrying the prlC gene with both the prlC1 allele and the prlC31 amber mutation. This amber mutation elim-

^{*} Corresponding author.

[†] Present address: Laboratory of Molecular Biology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892.

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain or plasmid	Genotype or description
S typhimurium	
TNI1201	dan 1 raf 815. Tn 10 rha 818. Tn 5 and 11
TN1201	
TIN1292	acp-1 zcj-845::1n10 zng-848::1n5
TN1727	leuBCD485 pepN90 pepA16 pepB11
	supQ302(\DeltaproAB pepD) pepP1 pepQ1 pepT1
	<i>dcp-1 zcf-845</i> ::Tn10 zhg-848::Tn5 opdA1
TN3031	TN1727/pJG13
TN3032	TN1727/pJG70
TN3033	TN1727/pTC100
TN3034	TN1727/pTC108
TN3035	TN1727/pTC231
TN3067	leu A414(Am) his C527(Am) sun I60 zhg-848Tn5
	ondA1
TN3072	TN3067/pTC231
TN3334	lauBCD and A10. MudI
1113334	don 102 Mudl(lao7 Tr 10dCom)
TN12202	acp-102::MuaJ($ac2$::TilloaCaiii)
TIN3392	purc / recA1 srl-202::1n10/F 42 jnP30/p1C100
TN3393	purc / recA1 sri-202::1n10/F 42 jnP30
TN3948	TN3334/pTC100
TN3949	TN3334/pTC102
TN3950	TN3334/pTC108
TN3951	TN3334/pTC112
TN3952	TN3334/pTC118
TN3953	TN3334/pTC101
TN3954	TN3334/pTC103
TN3955	TN3334/pTC105
TN3956	TN3334/pTC106
TN3957	TN3334/pTC107
TN3958	TN3334/pTC109
TN3959	TN3334/pTC111
TN3960	TN3334/pTC113
TN3961	TN3334/pTC114
TN3962	TN3334/pTC115
1110702	
Plasmide	
T Iasinius	ndC^{+} in pSC101 based vector pTA109
-TC101	As a TC100 but with and C1
	As $TC100$ but with $priC1$
pTC102	As $pTC100$ but with $priC2$
p1C105	$\frac{1}{100} \text{ As p1C100 but with } prics$
p1C105	As p1C100 but with priC5
p1C106	As p1C100 but with priCo
p1C10/	As pTC100 but with priC7
pTC108	As pTC100 but with prlC8
рТС109	As pTC100 but with <i>prlC9</i>
pTC111	As pTC100 but with <i>prlC11</i>
pTC112	As pTC100 but with <i>prlC12</i>
pTC113	As pTC100 but with prlC13
pTC114	As pTC100 but with prlC14
pTC115	As pTC100 but with prlC15
pTC118	As pTC100 but with prlC18
pTC231	As pTC100 but with both prlC1 and prlC31(Am)
pTC169	2.7-kb prlC1-containing fragment from pTC101
•	in pTA108
pCM191	
r	in nBR322
pJG13	$\dots opdA^+$ in pBR328
pIG70	ond A ⁺ in nBR 328
Pro/0	

inated the suppressor activity of the *prlC1* allele (18). Deletion analysis of pTC101 identified a 2.7-kb *HaeIII-PstI* fragment which allowed utilization of maltodextrins as a sole carbon source by NT113 (18). This fragment was subcloned into vector pTA108 to generate pTC169. A 2.9-kb *Bam*HI fragment of pTC169 containing *prlC1*, generated by the cleavage of *Bam*HI sites which flank the insert in the vector, was cloned into the *Bam*HI site of pBR322 to create plasmid pCM191. **Characterization of phenotypes of** *prlC* **plasmids.** The ability of plasmids to suppress the *lamB* signal sequence mutations by allowing NT113 to use maltodextrins as a sole carbon source was determined as previously described (19). Phage P22 forms pinpoint plaques on *opdA* strains (4, 21). Complementation of this small-plaque phenotype was tested by plating P22H5, a clear-plaque mutant, on plasmid-containing strains. An *opdA dcp* strain is unable to use AcAla₄ as a sole nitrogen source or to hydrolyze AcAla₄ (22) or benzyloxycarbonyl Ala-Ala-Leu *p*-nitroanilide (Z-AAL*p*NA) (4). Utilization of AcAla₄ as a sole nitrogen source, AcAla₄ hydrolysis by cell extracts, and Z-AAL*p*NA hydrolysis by cell extracts were determined as previously described (4, 22).

Tn1000 (γδ) insertions in pTC100. Tn1000 insertions in pTC100 were isolated by the method of Guyer (8). Briefly, plasmid pTC100 was introduced into strain TN3393 to make strain TN3392. Strain TN3393 contains F'42, which carries at least one uncharacterized Tn1000 insertion. Four-milliliter samples of exponentially growing cultures of TN3392 and TN1201 were combined and incubated at 37°C without shaking for 1 h. These cells were vortexed vigorously, pelleted by centrifugation, and resuspended in 0.5 ml of LB broth. Samples were plated on LB agar containing both ampicillin (50 µg/ml) and kanamycin (50 µg/ml) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Individual transconjugants were patched to LB agar containing ampicillin and kanamycin and replica plated to NN-plus-glucose medium (22) containing 1.5 mM AcAla₄ as the sole nitrogen source. Single colonies of strains which failed to use AcAla₄ were isolated. Plasmid DNA was isolated from these strains, and the locations of the Tn1000 insertions were determined by restriction mapping.

DNA sequencing and manipulations. DNA sequence was determined by using the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (15), double-stranded plasmid templates (1), and Sequenase (United States Biochemical Corp.) according to the manufacturer's directions. Template DNA was isolated by using Qiagen columns according to the manufacturer's directions. Primers for the plasmid pBR322 sequence adjacent to the BamHI site were obtained from New England Biolabs. Primers for sequencing from the Tn1000 insertions were those described by Liu et al. (10). In addition, because of the high degree of homology between prlC and opdA, oligonucleotide primers which had been synthesized to sequence opdA were also used to sequence prlC. Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories (Life Technologies Inc.) and used according to the manufacturer's directions. Other manipulations were performed by standard techniques (14).

Maxicell analysis. Maxicell analysis was performed as previously described (16), except that cells were irradiated with 150 ergs of UV light per mm^2 .

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The *prlC* sequence has been assigned GenBank accession number M93984.

RESULTS

Complementation of *opdA* **by** *prlC* **plasmids.** Salmonella strains carrying *opdA* mutations fail to support the normal growth of phage P22. P22 makes tiny plaques on an *opdA* strain (5, 21). This small-plaque phenotype is complemented by *opdA*⁺ plasmids (4). Plasmids carrying wild-type (pTC100) and 14 independent signal sequence mutant suppressor alleles of the *E. coli prlC* locus were introduced into TN1727 (*opdA1*) or TN3334 (*opdA10*::MudJ), which are *S.*

 TABLE 2. AcAla₄ hydrolysis by soluble extracts of strains containing prlC or opdA plasmids

Strain (relevant genotype)	Parent	Plasmid	Sp act (µmol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹)
TN3031	TN1727	pJG13 (opdA ⁺)	1.3
TN3033	TN1727	pTC100 (prlC ⁺)	0.94
TN3034	TN1727	pTC108 (prlC8)	0.44
TN3035	TN1727	pTC231 [prlC(Am)]	0.002
TN1292 ($opdA^+$)		None	0.02
TN1727 (opdA)		None	0.001

typhimurium strains containing opdA mutations in the chromosome, to form the strains listed in Table 1. The ability of P22 to plate on these strains was tested. Normal large plaques formed on lawns of TN1727 and TN3334 containing plasmids carrying wild-type or suppressor alleles of E. coli prlC. However, tiny plaques identical to those formed on TN1727 (no plasmid) were formed on TN3035 [pTC231, prlC(Am)]. Plasmid pTC231 was introduced into TN3067, which contains both the amber suppressor supJ60 and opdA1, to form TN3072. P22 formed normal large plaques on TN3072. To confirm that the plasmid present in TN3072 still carried the amber mutation, it was transduced from TN3072 back into TN1727 (which contains no suppressor), where it once again failed to complement. These results show that the defect for phage P22 development conferred by an opdA mutation in S. typhimurium can be overcome by the presence of a plasmid carrying wild-type and suppressor alleles of E. coli prlC but not by the same plasmid carrying a prlC amber mutation. Thus, prlC, or possibly a gene downstream from *prlC* in an operon, can supply the function lost as a result of a mutation in S. typhimurium opdA.

S. typhimurium strains containing mutations in opdA and dcp are unable to use $AcAla_4$ as a sole nitrogen source (dipeptidylcarboxypeptidase, the product of dcp, also hydrolyzes AcAla₄) (22). In order to determine whether E. coli prlC plasmids could restore AcAla₄ utilization to an opdA strain, plasmids containing wild-type prlC (pTC100) and the 14 suppressor alleles were introduced into TN3334. All of these plasmids conferred the ability to use AcAla₄ as a sole nitrogen source. To establish that AcAla4 utilization was the result of restoring peptidase activity, extracts of strains carrying pTC100 or pTC108 were assayed for activity toward two OpdA substrates, AcAla4 and Z-AALpNA. These plasmids were introduced into TN1727, a strain carrying chromosomal mutations in opdA and dcp. The specific AcAla₄hydrolyzing activity in extracts of these strains was determined (Table 2). Extracts of the strains carrying pTC100 (prlC⁺) or pTC108 (prlC8) had much more AcAla₄hydrolyzing activity than the parent strain without any plasmid. Strain TN3035 containing pTC231 [prlC1 prlC31(Am)] had a specific activity equivalent to that of the parent, indicating that the amber mutation which prevents the suppression of the lamBs60 signal sequence mutation also eliminated AcAla₄-hydrolyzing activity. Extracts of peptidase-deficient strains carrying $prlC^+$ (pTC100) or opdA⁺ (pJG70) plasmids (strains TN3033 and TN3032, respectively) were also assayed for the ability to hydrolyze Z-AALpNA, a chromogenic peptide substrate of OpdA (4). Whereas the specific activity of TN1727, the peptidasedeficient host strain without any plasmid, was <0.002 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, the activities of TN3032 (pJG70) and TN3033 (pTC100) were 0.05 and 0.01 μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively. Thus, the prlC plasmids complemented not only the



FIG. 1. Location of Tn1000 insertions in pTC100. Tn1000 insertions were generated in pTC100 as described in the text and were localized on the plasmid by restriction mapping and sequencing out from the Tn1000 ends. The insertions, indicated by open circles, eliminated the ability of pTC100 to complement the AcAla₄ utilization defect of the *opdA* strain TN1201. The thin line represents *E. coli* chromosomal DNA on pTC100; the thick lines at the ends indicate vector DNA. The hatched bar above the line indicates the 2.7-kb region to which *prlC* was localized by deletion analysis of pTC101 (18). Restriction enzyme sites: A, *AvaI*; B, *BgIII*; H, *HpaI*; P, *PstI*; S, *SaII*.

P22 growth defect characteristic of *opdA* strains but also the *opdA* AcAla₄ and Z-AAL*p*NA hydrolysis defects. Similarly, the *prlC*(Am) mutation, which eliminated LamB signal sequence mutant suppressor activity, also eliminated the ability of the *prlC* plasmid to complement both the *opdA* peptide-hydrolyzing and P22 growth defects.

Localization of opdA-complementing activity and prlC suppressor activity on pTC100. To localize the region of pTC100 required for complementation of opdA, Tn1000 insertions in pTC100 were isolated, and the resulting plasmids were screened for their ability to complement the AcAla₄ utilization defect conferred by opdA. Of 191 insertions characterized, 30 failed to complement the opdA AcAla₄ utilization defect. Sixteen of these 30 insertions were localized by restriction enzyme analysis and found to lie in a 1.4-kb region of pTC100 (Fig. 1). This 1.4-kb region was within the 2.7-kb region which was shown by deletion analysis of pTC101 to contain prlC (18). A 2.9-kb BamHI fragment of pTC169 containing the 2.7-kb region shown to encode prlC1 was subcloned into pBR322. This plasmid, pCM191, complemented the opdA small-plaque defect in TN1201 and suppressed the lamBs60 signal sequence mutation by allowing growth of NT113 on maltodextrins. These results indicated that the 2.7-kb fragment contains all of the information necessary for both opdA complementation and suppressor activity.

Nucleotide sequence of prIC. The deletion analysis and subcloning described above identified a 2.7-kb region which must contain the prlC gene (Fig. 1). Of this 2.7-kb region, 2.6 kb was sequenced. The wild-type prlC gene from pTC100 was sequenced with primers to the Tn1000 insertions which eliminated opdA complementation and primers originally synthesized for sequencing S. typhimurium opdA. Additional flanking sequence was obtained from pCM191 by using primers to the vector sequence. The complete sequence is shown in Fig. 2. This sequence contains an open reading frame (ORF) (positions 337 to 2377) encoding a 680-amino-acid protein. This ORF is preceded by a possible ribosome binding site. The region from nucleotide 243 to 2578 showed 84% identity to the opdA sequence. The predicted amino acid sequence of the 680-amino-acid protein showed 95% identity to the predicted amino acid sequence of OpdA (Fig. 2). Nucleotide sequence similarity extended 3' of the ORF to the end of the opdA sequence. Sequence similarity also extended 5' to the coding region until immediately after a putative σ^{32} promoter which is present in both the opdA and the prlC sequences (Fig. 2) (4). (Experiments are in progress to determine whether prlC and opdA tran-

prlC opdA	CCCCTGCGTGGGTGTCGAGATAGAGAAACGGTTT .G.TGC.GAACCCC.GC.CAGCGTCAGGC	34
prlC opdA	ATCTTTCTCTTTCAGCGACTCGATGATCAGGCTCTGAACGGTATGTTTAAGGACGTCGGCGTGGTTGCCAGCGTGAAAGCTGTGGCGATAACTGAGCATG G.TAA.GGAAGAG.CG.CG.TACC.GCAG.ATATCCGTT.TCGG.CGAG.CCGA.GATCAACACTGGCAGCGTCT.GATCT.TCCGCTGCTTA.GA.	134
prlC opdA	GGGTAAAGGTGTTCCGGTAAGTAAAAATCGGCCCGTATTCGGGGCGCACAAAAGCGTATCAGGACAGTATACCGAAAAGAGGCCGCCGCCGCGCGAAAGCGT AT.GCGA.AAAAA.GC.CTGACGTTGTCACC.GGC.TA.AAAACGTA.GCCGG.ATGGTTA.AG.GGCGGCGTT.TT.CAGATACATCT.TAC	234
prlC opdA	AACGTTTCTCATTGAAATTCACTACACTTAACCCCATGCTACA-CACATTATGTAAACGCCTGTTGAGCGCTTCCTTAACCTCTTTAACCAGGACTGCGC GCT.TCCAC.AC.CC.ATCTG.T.TCGC.AG.GAAAA.CC.AC.CTCCTA	333
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	AlaLysAlaLys	433
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	AlaAlaGlyHisSerSerAla ArgGluAsnValGluArgValValAlaGluGlyAlaProTyrThrTrpGluAsnLeuCysGlnProLeuAlaGluValAspAspValLeuGlyArgIle CCGCGAAAACGTGGAGCGCGTAGTAGCCGAAGGGGCACCGTACACCTGGGAAAATCTCTGCCAGCCGCTGGCGGAAGTGGACGATGTGTTGGGGGGGATTC G.CGGCGAAGTGGC.TCGT.GT.GCTTT	533
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	Ile. PheSerProValSerHisLeuAsnSerValLysAsnSerProGluLeuArgGluAlaTyrGluGlnThrLeuProLeuLeuSerGluTyrSerThrTrpVa TTCTCCCCGGTCAGCCACCTGAACTCGGTGAAAAATAGCCCGGGAACTGCGTGAAGCCTACGAACCAACC	i 633
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	AsnGuglyLeuTyrLysAlaTyrArgAspLeuArgAspGlyAspHisTyrAlaThrLeuAsnThrAlaGlnLysLysAlaValAspAsnAla AggGCAACATGAAGGGCTGTATAAAGCGTATCGCGACCTGCGGGATGGCGATCATTACGCCACGCTGAACACGGGGGCAGAAGAAAGCGGTTGATAACGC .TCGTCCCC	733
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	LeuArgAspPheGluLeuSerGlyIleGlyLeuProLysGluLysGlnGlnArgTyrGlyGluIleAlaThrArgLeuSerGluLeuGlyAsnGlnTyr ACTGCGCGACTTTGAACTCTCTGGCATAGGTCTGCCGAAAGAAA	833
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	Ile. SerAsnAsnValLeuAspAlaThrMetGlyTrpThrLysLeuValThrAspGluAlaGluLeuAlaGlyMetProGluSerAlaLeuAlaAlaAlaLysAla AGCAACAACGTCCTCGATGCGACAATGGGCTGGACCAAACTCGTTACCGACGAAGCGGAGCTGGCGGGGATGCCAGAAAGCGCGCTGGCGGGGAAAAG TTGCTGA.CTGA.CTCAGTGA.T	933
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA		1033
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	GluMetTyrArgAlaTyrSerThrArgAlaSerAspGlnGlyProAsnAlaGlyLysTrpAspAsnSerLysValMetGluGluIleLeuAlaAlaAla AGAGATGTATCGCGCTTACAGCACCCGCGCCTCCGATCAAGGCCCAAACGCCGGTAAATGGGATAACAGCAAGGTGATGGAAGAGATCCTCGCCGCTGC- 	1132
OpdA PriC <u>priC</u> opdA	HisGluHisGlu	1232
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA		1332
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	IleAlaTyrTyrSerGluLysGlnLysGlnHisLeuTyrSerIleSerAspGluGlnLeuArgProTyrPheProGluAsnLysAlaValAsnGlyLeu ATATCGCTTACTACAGCGAAAAACAAAACAGCACCTCTACAGCATCAGTGACGAACAGCTGCGTCCGTACTTCCCGGAAAACAAA <u>GCGGTTAACGGCCT</u> G	1432
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	ThrGlu. PheGluValValLysArgIleTyrGlyIleThrAlaLysGluArgLysAspValAspValTrpHisProHisValArgPhePheGluLeuTyrAspGlu <u>GTTTGAAG</u> TGGTTAAGCGTATTTACGGCATCACCGCTAAAGAGCGTAAAGATGTTGATGTCTGGCATCCGCATGTACGTTTCTTCGAACTGATGACGAA G.A	1532
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA		1632

FIG. 2. Comparison of *prlC* and *opdA* nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences. The *prlC* and *opdA* nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences are shown aligned with each other. Only bases or amino acids which differ between the two are indicated. The overlined *prlC* nucleotide sequence indicates the putative σ^{32} promoter. The underlined *prlC* nucleotide sequence indicates the region which is duplicated in *prlC1*.

OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	AspGlySerLeuGinLysProValAlaTyrLeuThrCysAsnPheAsnArgProValAsnGlyLysProAlaLeuPheThrHisAspGluValIleThr CTGATGGTTCTTTGCAAAAACCGGTCGCGTATTTGACTTGTAACTTCAACCGCCCGGTAAATGGTAAACCGGCGCTGTTCACTCAC	
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	LeuPheHisGluPheGlyHisGlyLeuHisHisMetLeuThrArgIleGluThrAlaGlyValSerGlyIleSerGlyValProTrpAspAlaValGlu CCTCTTCCACGAGTTCGGTCACGGCCTGCACCATATGCTGACCCGCATCGAAACCGCTGGTGTTTCCGGTATCAGCGGTGTGCCGTGGGATGCGGTCGAA GTTTTTT	
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	Ala. LeuProSerGlnPheMetGluAsnTrpCysTrpGluProGluAlaLeuGlyPheIleSerGlyHisTyrGluThrGlyGluProLeuProLysGluLeuLeu CTGCCGAGTCAGTTTATGGAAAACTGGTGCTGGGAGCCGGAGGCGCTGGGGGTTTATCTCTGGTCACTATGAAACCGGCGAACCGCTGCCGAAAGAGTTGC 1932 ACCGGGAC	
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	AspLysMetLeuAlaAlaLysAsnTyrGlnAlaAlaLeuPheIleLeuArgGlnLeuGluPheGlyLeuPheAspPheArgLeuHisAlaGluPheArg TGGATAAAATGCTGGCGGCGAAGAACTACCAGGCGGCGCTGTTTATTCTGCGTCAGCTGGAGTTCGGCCTGTTTGATTTCCGCCTTCATGCCGAGTTCCG AT	
OpdA PrlC <u>prlC</u> opdA	GlnThrThr ProAspGlnGlyAlaLysIleLeuGluThrLeuAlaGluIleLysLysLeuValAlaValValProSerProSerTrpGlyArgPheProHisAlaPhe CCCGGATCAGGGGGGCAAAATCCTCGAAACTCTGGCAGAAATCAAGAAACTGGTTGCCGTGGCGATCTCCGTCCTGGGGGCCGTTTCCCGCACGCTTTC 2132 TAC.GAAGTTGGCTTTT.AACGAA.ATCTATG	
OpdA PrlC prlC opdA	SerHisIlePheAlaGlyGlyTyrAlaAlaGlyTyrTyrSerTyrLeuTrpAlaAspValLeuAlaAlaAspAlaPheSerArgPheGluGluGluGlyIle AgccAtAttttcccccggtggttAtgccccAggttActAcAgctAcctgtgggctGAccgtActgcgcGacAgatgctttctcgcGgctttgAgGAAGAgGGCA 2232	
OpdA PriC priC opdA	ThrGluGlu	
OpdA PriC priC opdA	ProGinLeuAspAlaMetLeuGluHisTyrGlyIleLysGly ACCGCAGCTGGATGCGATGCTGGAGCATTACGGCATTAAGGGCTGATCATTCAGTGAAAATCTGCTTAATTGATGAAACAGGCACCGGAGACGCTGCCTT 2432 AACG	
prlC opdA	ATCTGTTCTGGCGGCCCGGCTGGGGGCTGGAGCACGATGAAGACAACCTGATGGCGCTGGTGTTAACGCCGGAACATCTGGAATTGCGCAAGCGTGATGAG 2532	
<u>prlC</u> opdA	CCAAAACTTGGCGGCATCTTTGTTGATTTTGTTGGCGGAGCGATGGCGCACCGACGCAAATTCGGCGGTGGTCGCGGTGAGGCGGTGGCGAAAGCGGTGG 2632	
<u>prlC</u>	GCATTAAAGGCGATTATTTGCCGGATGTGGTGGATGCCAC 2672	

FIG. 2-Continued.

scription is dependent on the heat shock sigma factor σ^{32} .) A search of the GenBank data base revealed no similarity between the sequence upstream of either *prlC* or *opdA* and any *E. coli* or *S. typhimurium* sequences. However, the upstream region of the *prlC* sequence from nucleotide 1 to 132 was 67% identical to an uncharacterized ORF, ORFJ, from *Haemophilus influenzae* which may be involved in DNA transformation competence (17).

Nucleotide sequence of the *prlC1* allele. Nucleotide sequencing of 300 bp of the *prlC1* allele from pCM191 identified a 21-bp duplication at position 1422. This 21-bp duplication results in the duplication of seven amino acids in the protein. The region of the protein affected by *prlC1* is conserved among the related metallopeptidases encoded by *prlC, opdA*, and *dcp* and rat metallopeptidase EP 24.15 (4). Maxicell analysis demonstrated that the PrlC protein made by strains carrying pTC100 (*prlC1*) is slightly larger than that made by strains carrying pTC100 (*prlC1*) (18), thus supporting this identification of the *prlC1* allele.

DISCUSSION

The major conclusion of this article is that E. coli prlC and S. typhimurium opdA are homologous genes. Every line of investigation described above supports this conclusion. It is also clear that the E. coli prlC gene codes for a protease. The sequence similarity and the observation that a subclone

carrying only one major ORF restores protease activity in S. typhimurium and suppressor activity in E. coli make this conclusion unambiguous.

Two lines of evidence suggest that E. coli prlC and S. typhimurium opdA are not located at precisely corresponding positions on the genetic maps of the two organisms. The map data for *prlC* indicate a location at 71 map units (19). S. typhimurium opdA has been mapped at 76 map units (4). The nucleotide sequences of the genes diverge strongly in the region 5' to the putative promoters. Southern hybridization data (not shown) indicate that a probe internal to the opdA coding region identifies only one fragment in each organism. A probe containing the *prlC* coding sequence and about 500 bases 5' to the coding region identified the same fragment as the opdA probe in E. coli. In S. typhimurium, this E. coli probe identified an additional fragment. These results suggest that the sequence in the dissimilar 5' region is present in S. typhimurium but at a different location on the chromosome. They also indicate that only one prlC or opdA gene is present in each organism.

It is possible that the previously observed toxicity of constructs carrying prlC (19) was caused by sequences present in the dissimilar 5' region. High-copy-number plasmids carrying *S. typhimurium opdA* and several kilobases on either side of the gene have been easily isolated, and these plasmids lead to high-level overproduction of OpdA. These plasmids could be introduced into *E. coli* with no apparent

consequences for growth (4). In contrast, the *prlC* locus could not be cloned in high-copy-number plasmids and appeared to cause reduced λ phage yields when present on a phage λ vector (19). The *prlC* region could be cloned in lower-copy-number vectors (19), and it is these plasmids that were used for most of the experiments described in this article. All of these earlier cloning experiments would have included a significant segment of DNA 5' to the coding region. However, a plasmid (pCM191) carrying very little of this 5' region in a high-copy-number vector conferred no growth defect on either S. typhimurium or E. coli.

The mechanism by which mutation in a protease leads to suppression of a localization defect is not obvious. A reasonable hypothesis is that the *prlC* suppressor mutations alter the specificity of OpdA, allowing it to cleave the mutant signal sequence from the precursor. This might in some way permit some of the cleaved protein to be correctly localized. Several characteristics of the *prlC* suppressor mutations are consistent with this hypothesis. (i) All of the prlC suppressor mutations are dominant to the wild-type allele, suggesting that they alter the activity of the gene product they affect (18, 19). (ii) prlC suppressors are quite allele specific. Only a subset of signal sequence mutations are suppressed, and all of these affect the hydrophobic region of the signal peptide (7, 20). (iii) Some prlC alleles allow very efficient processing of the mutant precursor, LamBs78, but the amount of protein correctly localized is apparently very small. None of the *prlC* suppressor mutations appears to allow efficient localization of the mutant lamB protein (18, 20). Indeed, the existence of correctly localized protein is inferred from the restoration of the wild-type phenotype, growth on maltodextrins, and sensitivity to phage lambda, not from any physical detection of correctly localized protein. The proposed role for OpdA in the processing of the bacteriophage P22 gene 7 protein is also consistent, although the sequence removed from this viral protein has no obvious amino acid sequence similarity to the LamB signal peptide region (5).

The major flaw in this hypothesis is that although it provides an explanation of how the mutant precursor might be processed, it does not explain how this processing leads to correct localization. One possibility is that the protein containing the mutant signal peptide is less efficiently localized than a protein with no signal peptide at all. This idea implies that the secretion apparatus is able to recognize the mature protein. At least one component of the apparatus, SecB, appears to be able to do this (2, 3). The observation that *prlC*-dependent localization absolutely requires *secB* but processing does not is consistent with this idea (20).

An alternative hypothesis for the mechanism of prlC suppressor action is based on the observation that OpdA appears to be a signal peptide peptidase (11, 12). Although the in vitro evidence indicates that the E. coli lipoprotein signal peptide is attacked by OpdA only after it is cleaved from the precursor (11), it seems possible that the peptidase or a mutant variant might be able to recognize and bind to the signal peptide while it is still attached to the precursor protein. If so, it might act to maintain the precursor in a conformation competent for secretion in a manner similar to that proposed for the chaperone, SecB (2). This is consistent with the observation that both *prlA* and *prlC* suppressor mutations allow localization without cleavage of LamBs60 (6). It should be noted that these two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. It is possible that the pathway leading to precursor cleavage is different from that leading to localization. The suppressor protease might be responsible for most of the cleavage, but proper localization might be achieved by

a separate pathway in which binding of the mutant protease to the precursor is the important step.

Obviously, there are other possibilities for mechanisms by which the prlC suppressor mutations might act. Further work will be necessary to illuminate the problem and to learn what role the PrlC or OpdA protein plays in protein secretion and cellular metabolism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grant AI10333 from the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, awarded to C.G.M., and by grant GM34821 from the National Institute for General Medical Sciences, awarded to T.J.S. C.A.C. was supported while at Case Western Reserve University by Public Health Service training grant GM08056.

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions to this work of Ann Kukral, Judith Miller, and Ann Flower.

REFERENCES

- Chen, E. J., and D. H. Seeburg. 1985. Supercoil sequencing: a fast simple method for sequencing plasmid DNA. DNA 4:165– 170.
- Collier, D. N., V. A. Bankaitis, J. B. Weiss, and P. J. Bassford, Jr. 1988. The antifolding activity of SecB promotes the export of the E. coli maltose-binding protein. Cell 53:273–283.
- Collier, D. N., S. M. Strobel, and P. J. Bassford, Jr. 1990. SecB-independent export of *Escherichia coli* ribose-binding protein (RBP): some comparisons with export of maltosebinding protein (MBP) and studies with RBP-MBP hybrid proteins. J. Bacteriol. 172:6875-6884.
- Conlin, C. A., and C. G. Miller. 1992. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of opdA, the gene for oligopeptidase A from Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 174:1631-1640.
- Conlin, C. A., E. R. Vimr, and C. G. Miller. 1992. Oligopeptidase A is required for normal phage P22 development. J. Bacteriol. 174:5869-5880.
- Emr, S. D., and P. Bassford, Jr. 1982. Localization and processing of outer membrane and periplasmic proteins in *Escherichia coli* strains harboring export-specific suppressor mutations. J. Biol. Chem. 257:5852-5860.
- Emr, S. D., S. Hanley-Way, and T. J. Silhavy. 1981. Suppressor mutations that restore export of a protein with a defective signal sequence. Cell 23:79–88.
- Guyer, M. S. 1983. Uses of the transposon γδ in the analysis of cloned genes. Methods Enzymol. 101:362-369.
- 9. Hanahan, D. 1983. Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. 166:557–580.
- 10. Liu, L., W. Whalen, A. Das, and C. M. Berg. 1987. Rapid sequencing of cloned DNA using a transposon for bidirectional priming: sequence of the *Escherichia coli* K-12 avtA gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 15:9461–9469.
- Novak, P., and I. K. Dev. 1988. Degradation of a signal peptide by protease IV and oligopeptidase A. J. Bacteriol. 170:5067– 5075.
- Novak, P., P. H. Ray, and I. K. Dev. 1986. Localization and purification of two enzymes from *Escherichia coli* capable of hydrolyzing a signal peptide. J. Biol. Chem. 261:420–427.
- 13. Roth, J. R. 1970. Genetic techniques in studies of bacterial metabolism. Methods Enzymol. 17A:3-35.
- 14. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 16. Silhavy, T. J., M. L. Berman, and L. W. Enquist. 1984. Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 17. Tomb, J. F., H. el-Hajj, and H. O. Smith. 1991. Nucleotide sequence of a cluster of genes involved in the transformation of *Haemophilus influenzae* Rd. Gene 104:1-10.

- 18. Trun, N. J. 1988. Ph.D. thesis. Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.
- Trun, N. J., and T. J. Silhavy. 1987. Characterization and *in vivo* cloning of *prlC*, a suppressor of signal sequence mutations in *Escherichia coli* K12. Genetics 116:513-521.
- 20. Trun, N. J., and T. J. Silhavy. 1989. PrlC, a suppressor of signal sequence mutations in *Escherichia coli*, can direct the insertion

of the signal sequence into the membrane. J. Mol. Biol. 203: 665-676.

- 21. Vimr, E. R. 1981. Ph.D. thesis. Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Vimr, E. R., L. Green, and C. G. Miller. 1983. Oligopeptidasedeficient mutants of *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 153:1259–1265.