Autoregulation of the Stability Operon of IncFII Plasmid NR1

AKRA TABUCHI,t YOU-NONG MIN, DAVID D. WOMBLE,* AND ROBERT H. ROWND

Center for Molecular Biology, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202

Received 9 July 1992/Accepted 30 September 1992

The stb locus of IncFII plasmid NR1, which mediates stable inheritance of the plasmid, is composed of an essential cis-acting DNA site located upstream from two tandem genes that encode essential stability proteins. The two tandem genes, stbA and stbB, are transcribed as an operon from promoter P_{AB} . Using P_{AB} -lacZ gene fusions, it was found that the stb operon is autoregulated. A low-copy-number stb ⁺ plasmid introduced into the same cell with the P_{AB}-*lacZ* fusion plasmid repressed β-galactosidase activity about 5-fold, whereas a high-copy-number stb^+ plasmid repressed β -galactosidase about 15-fold. The details of autoregulation were analyzed by varying the concentrations of StbA and StbB to examine their effects on expression from the P_{AP} -lacZ fusion plasmid. StbB protein by itself had autorepressor activity. Although StbA protein by itself had no detectable repressor activity, plasmids that encoded both stbA and stbB repressed more effectively than did those that encoded stbB alone. Plasmids with a mutation in stbA had reduced repressor activity. One mutation in stbB that inactivated the stability function also reduced, but did not eliminate, repressor activity. Repressor activity of the mutant StbB protein was effectively enhanced by stbA. These results indicate that StbB serves two functions, one for stable inheritance and one for autoregulation of the stb operon, both of which may be influenced by StbA protein.

IncFII plasmid NR1, like most low-copy-number plasmids that have been examined (1, 4, 23), encodes functions that ensure its stable inheritance in the population of cells (17, 27). The stb (stability) locus of NR1 (17, 27), which may be equivalent to the parA locus of closely related IncFII plasmid R1 (11), is thought to participate in the partitioning of plasmid molecules to daughter cells during cell division, which is essential for stable plasmid inheritance. Mutations that inactivate the stb function result in plasmid instability, so that plasmid-free cells are segregated at a rate consistent with random distribution of plasmid copies at cell division (17, 25).

Within the 95-kb genome of the self-transmissible antibiotic resistance plasmid NR1 (30), stb is located approximately at coordinates 24.5 to 26.1 kb and is contained within a 1.7-kb region bounded by NaeI and TaqI restriction sites (Fig. 1) (27). The three essential elements of the stb locus are ^a cis-acting DNA site and two tandem genes, stbA and stbB. These genes encode trans-acting stability proteins of 36,000 and 13,000 Da, respectively (27), and are transcribed to-
gether from promoter P_{AB} (19). The *cis*-acting DNA site is located at or near P_{AB} and can stabilize a plasmid if StbA and StbB are provided in trans $(20, 27)$. Therefore, the stb locus of NR1 is basically similar to the stabilizing loci of plasmids F (sop) and P1 prophage (par), which also are composed of two genes that encode essential trans-acting proteins and a cis-acting site (1-3, 6, 12, 15, 21, 22, 24). A primary difference among these plasmid-stabilizing loci is that for F and P1, the cis-acting partition sites lie downstream from the two genes, whereas for the stb locus of NR1, the site is upstream from the genes and may overlap promoter P_{AB} . This fundamental difference may signal differences in the ways the loci function and in how they are regulated.

Several lines of indirect evidence suggest that the *stb* operon is autoregulated. Mutants that are deleted of the

t Present address: Department of Bacteriology, Shinshu University School of Medicine, Asahi 3-1-1, Matsumoto 390, Japan.

BglII fragment that contains $stbB$ and the 3' half of $stbA$ (Fig. 1) produce an overabundance of truncated StbA protein (11, 27) and have a high rate of transcription of the remaining stb sequences (19). Other mutants that have a transposon insertion in $stbA$, which prevents transcription of the region downstream from the insertion including stbB, produce an overabundance of mRNA that hybridizes to probes from the upstream region of the operon (19). Also, an excess of StbB provided in trans (without additional StbA) can destabilize s tb⁺ plasmids (20), which suggests that StbB might play some role in negative regulation. Results reported here demonstrate directly that StbB is an autorepressor of transcription from the *stb* promoter, P_{AB} .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Escherichia coli K-12 strains JM83 and JM105 (28) were used for plasmid construction. Strains JM109 (31) and SE4006 (10), which are $recA$, were used for β -galactosidase assays. In most experiments, cells were cultured in 2YT medium (18) containing, per liter, 16 g of tryptone, 10 g of yeast extract (both Difco Laboratories), and 5 g of NaCl. 2YT plates contained 15 g of Bacto Agar (Difco) per liter. Screening of clones for β -galactosidase activity was done on B agar (18) containing, per liter, 10 g of tryptone, ⁸ g of NaCl, ¹⁵ g of agar, 20 mg of thiamine, and $50 \mu g$ of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -galactopyranoside (Research Organics, Inc.). B broth (18) was used for β -galactosidase and β -lactamase assays. Steadystate induction of lac transcription by different concentrations of isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was performed as described by Miller (18) . The following antibiotics (Sigma Chemical Co.) were included in the medium when appropriate to select for cells harboring various plasmids: tetracycline hydrochloride (30 mg/liter), sodium ampicillin (50 mg/liter), and chloramphenicol (30 mg/liter). Cells were cultured at 37°C, and growth was monitored by turbidity at 600 nm with ^a Gilford model 260 spectrophotometer.

Plasmids. The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Various $TaqI$ and NaeI-TaqI fragments that contain

^{*} Corresponding author.

FIG. 1. Map of the stb operon of NR1. The scale above the map is in base pairs in reference to the nucleotide sequence of stb (27). The stbA and stbB genes are transcribed from left to right from promoter P_{AB} and encode essential trans-acting stability proteins of 36 and 13 kDa, respectively. The translation stop codon of stbA overlaps the translation start codon of stbB. The cis-acting stability site is at or near P_{AB} . The TaqI-TaqI or NaeI-TaqI stb fragments were cloned into vector plasmid pUC19 to construct pTR1909 and pTR1919, respectively, such that polylinker sites for EcoRI and BamHI are located to the left and that for HindIII is located to the right of the inserted stb DNA. Restriction sites: TaqI (T), NaeI (N), $XmnI$ (X), BgIII (B), PstI (P), HincII (H), and $EcoRV$ (EV).

the wild-type (wt) or mutant stb loci (Fig. 1) were inserted into the polylinker sites of pUC19 as described previously (20, 27) to construct pTR1909, pTR1911, pTR1913, and pTR1919, in which the EcoRI and BamHI sites of the polylinker are located to the left of the stb fragment shown in Fig. 1 and the HindIII site is to the right. The P_{AB} promoter was deleted from pTR1909, which contains the wt stb locus,

by digestion with EcoRI, which cleaves to the left of P_{AB} , followed by digestion with Bal 31 exonuclease and ligation with ^a BamHI linker (all linkers were obtained from New England Biolabs). The deletion in pTRd6O3 proceeds from the left through bp 124, thereby removing P_{AB} but not the translation start signals of stbA (Fig. 1). The mutations in stbA and stbB in pMR21 and pFR12 are located at positions 775 and 1439, respectively (27), and therefore are downstream from the BgIII site in stbA (Fig. 1). Plasmids having the same P_{AB} deletion as does pTRd603 but with either the stbB or stbA mutation were constructed by swapping the mutant BglII-HindIII fragments from pTR1911 or pTR1913, respectively, with the equivalent fragment from pTRd6O3. To construct plasmid pTRd501, the HincII-HindIII fragment from the right side of stb (Fig. 1; the HindIII site is in the polylinker to the right of stb) was deleted from pTRd6O3 and replaced with a synthetic double-stranded oligomer composed of a HincII linker, the remaining 24 bp from the ³' end of stbA, and a HindIII linker. Therefore, pTRd5O1 contains the same P_{AB} deletion as does pTRd603 and the wt stbA gene but not stbB.

Plasmid pATRR101 was constructed by inserting the BgIII fragment that contains the wt $stbB$ gene from pTR1909 (Fig. 1) into the BamHI site in the tet gene of pACYC184. The orientation of the inserted fragment in pATRR101 was such that $stbB$ was transcribed by the $tetP$ promoter. pATRR110

TABLE 1. Plasmids used

Plasmid	Description ^a	Reference or source
pRR720	wt NR1-derived $repA^+$ stb ⁺ miniplasmid (24.6 kb); cat	27
pFR12	Unstable <i>stbB</i> nonsense mutant of pRR720; cat	27
pMR21	Unstable <i>stbA</i> missense mutant of pRR720; cat	27
pRR933	wt NR1-derived miniplasmid without stb; cat	9
pUC18, pUC19	pBR322-derived cloning vectors; bla	31
pTR1909	$pUC19 + TaqI-TaqI stb+ fragment from pRR720; bla$	27
pTR1911	$pUC19$ + TaqI-TaqI stbB mutant fragment from pFR12; bla	27
pTR1913	$pUC19 + TaqI-TaqI stbA$ mutant fragment from $pMR21$; bla	27
pTR1919	$pUC19 + Nael-TaqI stb^{+}$ fragment from pTR1909; bla	This study
pTRd603	Deletion of P_{AB} from pTR1909; bla	This study
pTRd6032	pTRd603 with replaced BgIII-HindIII stbB mutant fragment from pTR1911; bla	This study
pTRd6033	pTRd603 with replaced BgIII-HindIII stbA mutant fragment from pTR1913; bla	This study
pTRd501	pTRd603 with replaced synthetic HincII-HindIII fragment; bla	This study
pTH01	pUC18 with replaced PvuII fragment containing additional cloning sites; bla	H. Kuramitsu
pACYC184	p15A-derived cloning vector; cat tet	5
pATRR101	p ACYC184 + <i>BgI</i> II- <i>BgIII stbB</i> ⁺ fragment from pTR1909; cat	This study
pATRR110	$pACYC184 + BamHI-HindIII stb+ fragment from pTR1919; cat$	This study
pVLRR10	$pACYC184 + EcoRI-EcoRI$ lacl ^q fragment; tet	
pTHI01	$pTH01 + EcoRI-EcoRI$ lacl ^q fragment from $pVLRR10$; bla	This study
pSU2718	$pACYC184 + lacP$ and polylinker cloning sites	16
pTRI185	$pSU2718 + lacIq$ (sense orientation); cat	This study
pTRI186	$pSU2718 + lacIq$ (antisense orientation); cat	This study
pTB1001-5	$pTRI185 + HincII-HindIII$ stbB ⁺ fragment from pTR1909; cat	This study
pTB1001-6	$pTRI186 + HincII-HindIII$ stbB ⁺ fragment from pTR1909; cat	This study
pTB1012-5	$pTRI185 + HincII-HindIII$ stbB mutant fragment from $pTR1911$; cat	This study
pTR6105	$pTRI185 + BamHI-HindIII stb+ fragment from pTRd603; cat$	This study
pTR6106	pTRI186 + BamHI-HindIII stb ⁺ fragment from pTRd603; cat	This study
pTR6205	pTR6105 with replaced <i>PstI-HindIII stbA</i> ⁺ fragment from pTRd501; cat	This study
pTR6206	pTR6106 with replaced <i>PstI-HindIII stbA</i> ⁺ fragment from pTRd501; cat	This study
pTR612B-5	$pTRI185 + BamHI-HindIII$ stbB mutant fragment from pTRd6032; cat	This study
pTR621B-5	$pTRI185 + BamHI-HindIII$ stbA mutant fragment from pTRd6033; cat	This study
pFZY1	Mini-F-derived transcriptional lacZ fusion cloning vector; bla	13
pTRZ1	$pFZY1 + BamHI-XmnI P_{AB}$ fragment from pTR1919; bla	This study
pTRZ2	$pFZY1 + EcoRI-Bg/II$ P _{AB} fragment from pTR1919; bla	This study
pTRZ3	$pFZY1 + BamHI-HinclI P_{AB}$ fragment from pTR1919; bla	This study

^a Abbreviations: bla, ampicillin resistance; tet, tetracycline resistance; cat, chloramphenicol resistance.

was constructed by inserting the BamHI-HindIII fragment that contained the entire wt stb locus from pTR1909 between the BamHI and HindIII sites of pACYC184.

To construct plasmids in which the expression of stbA and stbB was under control of $lacP$ and $lacP^q$, vector plasmids pTRI185 and pTRI186 were first constructed as follows. Plasmid pTH01, ^a pUC18 derivative whose PvuII fragment was replaced by one containing additional cloning sites, was constructed and provided by H. K. Kuramitsu. The EcoRI fragment from pVLRR10 that contains the $lacI^q$ gene was inserted into the EcoRI site of pTH01, such that it was between BamHl and BglII sites, to make pTHIO1. Vector plasmid pSU2718 was derived from pACYC184 by replacing the tet gene with a polylinker cloning site downstream from $lacP$. There is a single $XmnI$ site in pSU2718 upstream from $lacP$ that was replaced by insertion of a BgIII linker, which was followed by insertion of the BamHI-BgIII lacIq fragment from pTHI01 at the new BglII site in pSU2718. In pTRI185, the orientation of the $lacI^q$ fragment is such that transcription is in the same direction as that from lacP (sense orientation), whereas in pTRI186 it is in the antisense orientation. The various fragments that contain stbA, stbB, or both were then inserted into pTRI185 and pTRI186 as described in Table 1. In these plasmids, the inserted stb genes are transcribed from $lacP$, which is repressed by LacI^q. Expression of the *stb* gene products can be induced by including IPTG in the medium.

pFZY1 is ^a low-copy-number vector plasmid with a polylinker sequence upstream from a promoterless lac operon that contains translational stop codons in all three reading frames between the polylinker and lacZ, for the purpose of constructing transcriptional lacZ fusions (13). Plasmids in which $lacZ$ is transcribed from P_{AB} were constructed by inserting stb fragments from pTR1919 into pFZY1 as described in Table ¹ to make pTRZ1, pTRZ2, and pTRZ3.

DNA isolation and manipulation. DNA isolation, restriction endonuclease digestion, gel electrophoresis, ligation of restriction fragments, and transformation of E. coli cells with plasmid DNA were performed as described previously (9, 17, 26). All enzymes were used as recommended by the suppliers. Restriction endonuclease fragments were purified from agarose gels with DEAE-membranes (8). The alkaline minilysate method (26) was used for screening of plasmid sizes and restriction endonuclease analysis of plasmid DNA.

Nucleotide sequence analysis. The extent of the Bal 31 induced deletions in plasmids like pTRd6O3 was determined by DNA sequencing. DNA sequencing kits were purchased from United States Biochemical, and dideoxy sequencing was performed as described by Williams et al. (29), using $[\alpha^{-35}S]$ dATP (New England Nuclear). DNA sequencing was also performed to confirm the presence of mutations in stbA or stbB in various plasmid derivatives, using synthetic oligonucleotide primers as described previously (27).

Enzyme assays. The β -galactosidase activities of cultures of cells harboring the various P_{AB} -lacZ fusion plasmids were assayed by a modification of the method of Miller (18) as described previously (9). The relative copy numbers of the P_{AB} -lacZ fusion plasmids that contained the bla gene were estimated from gene dosage by assaying their β -lactamase activities as described by Lupski et al. (14), using cephaloridine (Sigma) as the colorigenic reagent. Protein concentrations were determined with Bio-Rad protein assay kits (Bio-Rad Laboratories) by following the instructions supplied with the kits.

TABLE 2. Regulation of promoter P_{AB} in transcriptional stb-lacZ fusion plasmid pTRZ2^a

Coresident plasmid	Replicon	stb protein(s) encoded	B-Galactosidase \arctivitv^b (Miller units)
pRR933	NR1	None	410 ± 46
pRR720	NR1	$StbA^+ + SbtB^+$	81 ± 15
pFR12	NR1	$StbA^+ + StbB^-$	183 ± 27
pMR21	NR1	$StbA^{-}$ + StbB ⁺	190 ± 5
pACYC184	p15A	None	398 ± 106
pATRR110	p15A	$SbtA^+ + StbB^+$	27 ± 3
pATRR101	p15A	$StbB+$	82 ± 15

^a Host strain JM109 contained P_{AB} -lacZ fusion plasmid pTRZ2 plus the indicated coresident plasmid to supply *stb* proteins in *trans*. StbA⁺, wt StbA protein; StbA⁻, mutant StbA protein from pMR21; StbB⁺, wt St StbB-, mutant StbB protein from pFR12.

Averages from at least four experiments.

RESULTS

Autoregulation of stb transcription. To test the activity of the stb promoter, P_{AB} , transcriptional fusions were constructed in which PAB was inserted upstream of a promoterless lacZ gene in low-copy-number vector plasmid pFZY1. These fusion plasmids contained the region of stb DNA between the NaeI site located to the left of P_{AB} and the XmnI (pTRZ1), BglII (pTRZ2), or HincII (pTRZ3) site located within stbA (Fig. 1). The level of β -galactosidase activity expressed from each of the fusion plasmids was about 440, 400, or 330 Miller units, respectively, in host strain JM109, which indicated that P_{AB} was active in the transcriptional fusions. When ^a second compatible plasmid containing the wt stb locus was introduced into the same cell with the \bar{P}_{AB} -lacZ fusion plasmids, the level of β -galactosidase activity was repressed. For pTRZ2, the repression was about 5-fold if the *trans stb*⁺ plasmid was of low copy number (pRR720) and about 15-fold if the trans s tb⁺ plasmid was of high copy number (pATRR110) (Table 2). The repression was less if the coresident plasmids contained an ochre point mutation in $stbB$ (pFR12) or a missense point mutation in stbA (pMR21) (Table 2). Each mutation results in unstable inheritance (20, 27). Coresident plasmid pATRR101 contains only the stbB gene, which is transcribed from the tet promoter of the vector. The repression of β -galactosidase activity from pTRZ2 by pATRR101 indicated that StbB protein by itself could repress transcription from P_{AB} (Table 2). Similar results for the high-copy-number coresident plasmids were obtained with pTRZ1 and pTRZ3 (data not shown). Together, these results indicate that the stb operon is autoregulated and that StbB protein by itself has autorepressor activity.

Autoregulation of stb transcription as a function of repressor protein concentration. To examine the details of autoregulation of the *stb* operon, plasmids were constructed in which the expression of various combinations of the stbA and stbB genes was under control of the lacP promoter and $lacI^q$ repressor, such that the levels of StbA and StbB proteins could be varied by varying the concentration of IPTG inducer. These plasmids were constructed with a pACYC184-based vector plasmid that contains a polycloning site downstream from *lacP*, with the *lacI*^q gene inserted upstream from *lacP* in either orientation to form plasmids $pTRI185$ and $pTRI186$. The stbA and stbB genes lacking their own promoters were inserted into pTRI185 and pTRI186 downstream from lacP. Those plasmids were then introduced into the same cell with the P_{AB} -lacZ fusion

FIG. 2. Repression of $P_{AB}-lacZ$ fusion in plasmid pTRZ2 by different concentrations of StbA, StbB, or both. The host strain was SE4006 containing pTRZ2 plus a coresident plasmid that can supply stb proteins in trans under control of lacP, lacI^q, and IPTG. The cells were cultured in medium containing various concentrations of IPTG inducer. The β -galactosidase activity of each culture was measured and normalized to the 100% level in the absence of inducer. The coresident plasmids (and their 100% activity levels and plotting symbols) were pTB1001-6 $(2,601; \circ)$, StbB⁺), pTB1001-5 $(2,466; \bullet, \text{StbB}^*)$, pTR6106 $(1,822; \triangle, \text{StbA}^+ \text{StbB}^*)$, pTR6105 $(2,059; \triangle, \text{StbA}^+ \text{StbB}^+), \text{pTR6206} (1,408; \square, \text{StbA}^+), \text{and } \text{pTR6205}$ $(1,652; \blacksquare, StbA⁺).$ The data points are averages from multiple experiments.

plasmids to examine their effects on regulation of stb expression. Cells that contained both types of plasmid were cultured in medium containing different concentrations of IPTG to induce different amounts of StbA and StbB and examine their effects on transcription from P_{AB} . The results with $lacZ$ fusion plasmid pTRZ2 indicated that StbB protein had autorepressor activity, whereas StbA protein did not (Fig. 2). In addition, at any given concentration of IPTG, there was more repression by plasmids that contained both stbA and stbB than by those that carried only stbB. This finding suggested that StbA protein, although having no repressor activity by itself, might augment the repressor activity of StbB protein. The orientation of the $lacI^q$ gene, which might have affected the copy number or levels of expression from the pACYC184 derivatives, was not important, since plasmids derived from either pTRI185 or pTRI186 gave similar results (Fig. 2). The copy numbers of the pFZY1-derived fusion plasmids also were monitored at different levels of IPTG and found to be unaffected, so that changes in plasmid copy number are not responsible for the differences in 0-galactosidase activity at different concentrations of IPTG (data not shown).

Effects of mutation on autoregulation of stb transcription. In experiments similar to those presented in Fig. 2, plasmid derivatives that produce wt or mutant StbA and StbB proteins under control of $lacP$ and $lacP^q$ were introduced into the same cell with lacZ fusion plasmid pTRZ1. By itself, StbB protein from a plasmid with a mutation in stbB, in which the last 19 amino acids have been truncated by a nonsense mutation, retained repressor activity, although much higher levels of IPTG were required to obtain repres-

FIG. 3. Repression of the P_{AB} -lacZ fusion in plasmid pTRZ1 by wt and mutant stb proteins. The host strain was SE4006 containing pTRZ1 plus a coresident plasmid that can supply stb proteins in *trans* under control of $lacP$, $lacP^q$, and IPTG. The cells were cultured in medium containing various concentrations of IPTG inducer. The β -galactosidase activity of each culture was measured and normalized to the 100% level in the absence of inducer. The coresident plasmids (and their 100% activity levels and plotting symbols) were pTB1001-5 (1,900; \circ , StbB⁺), pTB1012-5 (2,116; \bullet , StbB⁻), pTR6105 (1,262; \triangle , StbA⁺ StbB⁺), pTR621B-5 (1,523; \vee , $StbA^-$ StbB⁺), pTR612B-5 (1,570; \blacktriangle , StbA⁺ StbB⁻), pTR6205 $(1,764; \square, \text{StbA}^+)$, and pTRI185 $(1,203; \diamondsuit, \text{vector})$. The data points are averages from multiple experiments.

sion than for the wt StbB protein by itself (Fig. 3). The augmentation of repressor activity by StbA protein was particularly evident for the plasmid that made both wt StbA and mutant StbB proteins, since much lower IPTG concentrations were required to achieve repression than for the plasmid that produced mutant StbB alone (Fig. 3). In general, repression of β -galactosidase activity from P_{AB} -lacZ fusion plasmid pTRZ1 (Fig. 3), in which the fusion is at the XmnI site, was achieved at levels of IPTG lower than those required for repression of pTRZ2 (Fig. 2), in which the fusion is at the $\frac{\partial g}{\partial I}$ site (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the augmentation of repressor activity by StbA protein was still evident in comparison of the data curve for wt StbB alone with that for the plasmid that made both wt StbA and wt StbB (Fig. 3). For the plasmid with a missense mutation in stbA that caused unstable inheritance, there appeared to be a small but reproducible decrease in repressor activity compared with the plasmid that made both wt StbA and wt StbB (Fig. 3). Wild-type StbA protein by itself had no repressor activity, giving results similar to those with the vector plasmid alone (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

When excess StbB protein is provided in trans, NR1derived stb ⁺ plasmids become unstable (20). Therefore, excess StbB protein behaves like a plasmid incompatibility factor (4). When StbA and StbB are provided in trans together, coresident stb^+ plasmids remain stable (20, 27), which suggests that it is not the high concentration of StbB protein alone that causes the destabilization. Additional StbA protein in the absence of additional wt StbB protein also does not cause destabilization (20). The results presented here explain these earlier observations. The stb operon is autoregulated by StbB protein (Table 2; Fig. 2 and 3). Because both StbA and StbB are required for stability (20, 27), an excess of StbB protein represses the stb operon and prevents synthesis of the essential StbA protein, which causes the plasmid to be unstable. Because both StbA and StbB are trans acting (20, 27), stb ⁺ plasmids remain stable when StbA and StbB are provided together, even if the operon is repressed. Having no repressor activity by itself (Fig. 2 and 3), additional StbA protein does not cause destabilization (20, 27).

These results may also explain the lack of a destabilizing incompatibility effect when the cis-acting site of stb is introduced into the same cell with a $stb⁺$ plasmid (20, 27). The cis-acting stability site of NR1 overlaps, or is coincident with, promoter P_{AB} (20, 27). Since StbB is the autorepressor of the *stb* operon, any StbB protein that was titrated in trans by the additional cis-acting sites would be replenished by the autoregulatory system. This is a fundamental difference from the stabilizing loci from plasmids F and P1, for which the cis-acting sites are downstream from the tandem stability genes $(6, 12, 15, 24)$. Although the sop and par loci of F an P1 are also autoregulated (10, 22), the protein that binds to the downstream cis-acting partition site, at least for P1, is not the autorepressor protein (6). That could explain why the cis-acting sites of \overline{F} and \overline{P} 1 destabilize sop⁺ and par⁺ plasmids, respectively, present in the same cell, since the protein that binds to the additional partition sites would be titrated and would not be replenished by the autoregulatory system. The parA locus of plasmid R1, which is homologous to stb of NR1 and differs at only ^a few nucleotide positions (27), was reported to exhibit incompatibility with other $parA^{+}$ plasmids (11). The only incompatibility element found associated with the stb locus of NR1 is StbB protein, which is explained by its autorepressor activity. The lack of competition between multiple copies of the NR1 partition site within the same cell may suggest that partition is connected to plasmid replication, although other explanations are still possible.

Although StbB protein by itself had autorepressor activity, plasmids that encoded both stbA and stbB repressed P_{AB} more effectively than did those that encoded $stb\bar{B}$ alone (Fig. 2 and 3). By itself, StbA did not repress (Fig. 2 and 3), which indicates that the presence of stbA somehow enhances the repressor activity of StbB or that StbB imparts repressor activity to StbA. The latter seems less likely, since additional StbA provided in trans does not destabilize stb^+ plasmids (20). It is not clear whether the augmentation of StbB repressor activity by stbA is direct or indirect. One possibility is that StbA protein interacts with StbB protein to increase its activity, perhaps by increasing its affinity for DNA. However, in vitro binding studies have not revealed any effect of StbA protein on the binding of StbB to DNA (unpublished data). A second possibility is that the presence of stbA upstream from stbB enhances production of StbB protein, such that more repressor is synthesized at any given concentration of IPTG. The translation stop codon of stbA overlaps the translation start codon of $stbB(27)$, and frameshift mutations in $stbA$ are polar on $stbB$ (19, 20, 27). This finding suggests that normally, expression of stbA and stbB may be translationally coupled, which could influence the level of synthesis of StbB protein. However, a missense mutation in stbA that causes loss of stability (27) also reduced repressor activity (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The mutation in stbA had little influence on the overall rate of transcription of stb mRNA from an intact stb operon (19). Although it cannot be ruled out that the amino acid substitution in StbA somehow altered the expression of the *stb* operon, it seems likely that the reduction in autorepressor activity from the stbA mutant indicates some direct influence of StbA on StbB. It is possible that both the direct and indirect effects apply.

The *stbB* mutation in pFR12 causes loss of stability (27). By itself, the mutant StbB protein from pFR12 had much reduced autorepressor activity (Fig. 3). However, in the presence of stbA, the mutant StbB protein was quite effective at repression (Fig. 3). This finding suggests that the two functions of StbB protein, stability and autorepression, can be separated by mutation. It seems likely that both StbB protein and the cis-acting site serve dual roles for the stability locus of NR1. Since StbB represses P_{AB} , it is most likely that it binds to an operator sequence near the promoter. The DNA sequence surrounding P_{AB} has a strong A-plus-C strand bias (27), and this unusual sequence might serve both as the operator for control of stb mRNA transcription and as the cis-acting site. The binding of StbB to this DNA might both repress transcription of stb and provide the first step in the plasmid partitioning mechanism. Later steps might involve StbA protein somehow interacting with StbB and some host-provided machinery. Those later steps might augment the autorepressor activity of StbB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. K. Kuramitsu for the gift of plasmid pTH01, B. Baltoleme for the gift of plasmid pSU2718, and S. Austin for the gift of strain SE4006.

This work was supported by Public Health Service research grant GM-30731 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

REFERENCES

- 1. Austin, S. J. 1988. Plasmid partition. Plasmid 20:1-9.
- Austin, S., and A. Abeles. 1983. Partition of unit-copy miniplasmids to daughter cells. II. The partition region of miniplasmid P1 encodes an essential protein and a centromere-like site at which it acts. J. Mol. Biol. 169:373-387.
- 3. Austin, S., and A. Abeles. 1985. The partition functions of P1, P7, and F miniplasmids, p. 215-226. In D. R. Helinski, S. N. Cohen, D. B. Clewell, D. A. Jackson, and A. Hollaender (ed.), Plasmids in bacteria. Plenum Publishing Co., New York.
- 4. Austin, S., and K. Nordstrom. 1990. Partition-mediated incompatibility of bacterial plasmids. Cell 60:351-354.
- 5. Chang, A. C. Y., and S. N. Cohen. 1978. Construction and characterization of amplifiable multicopy DNA cloning vehicles derived from the P1SA cryptic miniplasmid. J. Bacteriol. 134: 1141-1156.
- 6. Davis, M. A., and S. J. Austin. 1988. Recognition of P1 plasmid centromere analog involves binding of the ParB protein and is modified by ^a specific host factor. EMBO J. 7:1881-1888.
- 7. Dong, X., D. D. Womble, V. A. Luckow, and R. H. Rownd. 1985. Regulation of transcription of the repA1 gene in the replication control region of IncFII plasmid NR1 by gene dosage of the repA2 transcription repressor protein. J. Bacteriol. 161:544-551.
- 8. Dretzen, G., M. Beliard, P. Sassone-Corsi, and P. Chambon. 1981. A reliable method for the recovery of DNA fragments from agarose and acrylamide gels. Biochemistry 112:295-298.
- 9. Easton, A. M., and R. H. Rownd. 1982. The incompatibility product of IncFII plasmid NR1 controls gene expression in the plasmid replication region. J. Bacteriol. 152:829-839.
- 10. Friedman, S. A., and S. J. Austin. 1988. The P1 plasmidpartition system synthesizes two essential proteins from an autoregulated operon. Plasmid 19:103-112.
- 11. Gerdes, K., and S. Molin. 1986. Partitioning of plasmid Rl, structural and functional analysis of the parA locus. J. Mol. Biol. 190:269-279.
- 12. Helsberg, M., and R. Eichenlaub. 1986. Twelve 43-base-pair repeats map in a cis-acting region essential for partition of plasmid mini-F. J. Bacteriol. 165:1043-1045.
- 13. Koop, A. H., M. E. Hartley, and S. Bourgeois. 1987. A low-copy-

number vector utilizing β -galactosidase for the analysis of gene control elements. Gene 52:245-256.

- 14. Lupski, J. R., A. A. Ruiz, and G. N. Godson. 1984. Promotion, termination, and antitermination in the rpsU-dnaG-rpoD macromolecular synthesis operon of E. coli K-12. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195:391-401.
- 15. Martin, K. A., S. A. Friedman, and S. J. Austin. 1987. Partition site of P1 plasmid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:8544-8547.
- 16. Martinez, E., B. Baltolome, and F. de la Cruz. 1988. pACYC184 derived cloning vectors containing the multiple cloning site and $lacZ\alpha$ receptor gene of pUC8/9 and pUC18/19 plasmids. Gene 68:159-162.
- 17. Miki, T., A. M. Easton, and R. H. Rownd. 1980. Cloning of replication, incompatibility and stability functions of R plasmid NR1. J. Bacteriol. 141:87-99.
- 18. Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 19. Min, Y., A. Tabuchi, D. D. Womble, and R. H. Rownd. 1991. Transcription of the stability operon of IncFII plasmid NR1. J. Bacteriol. 173:2378-2384.
- 20. Min, Y. N., A. Tabuchi, Y. L. Fan, D. D. Womble, and R. H. Rownd. 1988. Complementation of mutants of the stability locus of IncFII plasmid NR1. Essential functions of the trans-acting stbA and stbB gene products. J. Mol. Biol. 204:345-356.
- 21. Mori, H., A. Kondo, A. Ohshima, T. Ogura, and S. Hiraga. 1986. Structure and function of the F plasmid genes essential for partitioning. J. Mol. Biol. 192:1-15.
- 22. Mori, H., Y. Mori, H. Ichinose, H. Niki, T. Ogura, A. Kato, and S. Hiraga. 1989. Purification and characterization of SopA and SopB proteins essential for F plasmid partitioning. J. Biol. Chem. 264:15535-15541.
- 23. Nordstrom, K., and S. J. Austin. 1989. Mechanisms that contribute to the stable segregation of plasmids. Annu. Rev. Genet. 23:37-69.
- 24. Ogura, T., and S. Hiraga. 1983. Partition mechanism of F plasmid: two plasmid gene-encoded products and a cis-acting region are involved in partition. Cell 32:351-360.
- 25. Rownd, R. H., A. M. Easton, C. R. Barton, D. D. Womble, J. McKell, P. Sampathkumar, and V. Luckow. 1980. Replication, incompatibility, and stability functions of R plasmid NR1, p. 311-334. In B. Alberts (ed.), Mechanistic studies of DNA replication and recombination. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 26. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 27. Tabuchi, A., Y. N. Min, C. K. Kim, Y. L. Fan, D. D. Womble, and R. H. Rownd. 1988. Genetic organization and nucleotide sequence of the stability locus of IncFII plasmid NR1. J. Mol. Biol. 202:511-525.
- 28. Vieira, J., and J. Messing. 1982. The pUC plasmids, an M13mp7-derived system for insertion mutagenesis and sequencing with synthetic universal primers. Gene 19:259-268.
- 29. Williams, S. A., B. E. Slatko, L. S. Moran, and S. M. DeSimone. 1986. Sequencing in the fast lane: a rapid protocol for $[\alpha^{-35}S]$ dATP dideoxy DNA sequencing. BioTechniques 4:138-147.
- 30. Womble, D. D., and R. H. Rownd. 1988. Genetic and physical map of plasmid NR1: comparison with other IncFII antibiotic resistance plasmids. Microbiol. Rev. 52:433-451.
- 31. Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mpl8 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33:103-119.