TerF, the Sixth Identified Replication Arrest Site in Escherichia coli, Is Located within the rcsC Gene

BELA SHARMA AND THOMAS M. HILL*

Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Received 15 July 1992/Accepted 23 September 1992

We report the existence of a sixth replication arrest site, *TerF*, that is located within the coding sequences of the *rcsC* gene, a negative regulator of capsule biosynthesis. The *TerF* site is oriented to allow transcription of the *rcsC* gene but prevent DNA replication in the terminus-to-origin direction. Our results demonstrate that the *TerF* site is functional in both chromosomal and plasmid environments and that the stability of the Tus-*TerF* protein-DNA complex more closely resembles the plasmid R6K *Ter* sites than the chromosomal *TerB* site.

The DNA replication cycle of Escherichia coli concludes when the two replication forks converge in the terminus region, located 180° around the chromosome from the replication origin, oriC. If one replication fork arrives at the terminus region well in advance of the other, it will be prevented from exiting the terminus region by a replication arrest, or Ter, site. The Ter sites of the E. coli chromosome are DNA sequences of approximately 20 bp in length (10, 18) that bind the Tus protein (19, 23, 33) with a very high affinity (8). The Tus-Ter protein-DNA complex halts replication forks in an orientation-dependent manner both in vivo (4, 10, 15) and in vitro (17, 24, 27), apparently through interactions between Tus and the replicative helicase, DnaB (22, 25). In addition to its activity against DnaB, the Tus-Ter complex also shows activity against a variety of helicases and polymerases (1, 9, 12, 24). Ter sites have also been identified in several plasmid replicons (18, 20, 33), and analogs to Tus and the Ter sites have been found in the Bacillus subtilis chromosome (26, 36). Although significant advances have been made in our understanding of the biochemical aspects of the Tus-Ter complex (reviewed recently in reference 14), the role of the replication arrest sites in the biology of E. coli is less well understood.

Initially, only two replication arrest sites, called TerA (min 28 on the E. coli map) and TerB (min 35), were identified in the E. coli chromosome. These two sites were located at either boundary of the terminus region and were oriented to allow unimpeded replication in the origin-to-terminus direction but would arrest replication forks that had passed through the terminus and begun to replicate in the terminusto-origin direction (4, 15). Consequently, TerA and TerB acted as one-way gates into the terminus region, allowing replication forks to enter but not exit this region. Subsequently, additional replication arrest sites were identified in and around the terminus region at min 23 (TerE), min 27 (TerD), and min 33 (TerC) (6, 10, 11). In each instance, the Ter site was oriented to allow replication in the origin-toterminus direction. Thus, TerA, TerD, and TerE halt replication forks moving in the counterclockwise direction on the chromosome, and TerB and TerC halt replication forks proceeding in the clockwise direction. None of the identified Ter sites are located within structural genes; however, the TerB site is located within the promoter region of the tus

We report the identification of a sixth functional replication arrest site, *TerF*, located at min 48 on the *E. coli* chromosome. This site is located within the coding sequences of the *rcsC* gene, which is a regulator of colanic acid capsule synthesis in *E. coli* (3, 35). The *TerF* site, spanning codons 716 to 721 of 933 total, is oriented to allow unimpeded transcription of the *rcsC* gene but arrest DNA replication in the terminus-to-origin direction. Analysis of the equilibrium and kinetic constants of binding show that *TerF* binds Tus protein as well as the R6K *Ter* sites, but less tightly than the chromosomal *TerB* site. We also demonstrate that the minimal *TerF* sequence arrests DNA replication in a plasmid vector and functions as a replication arrest site within the context of the *rcsC* structural gene in the *E. coli* chromosome.

Equilibrium and kinetic constants for the Tus-TerF interaction. The TerF site was identified by searching the GenBank data base for bacterial sequences similar to the consensus Ter sequence (Fig. 1). TerF differs from the derived consensus sequence for the chromosomal Ter sites in that it contains a G substitution at position 18 in place of the consensus T residue. Because it has been shown that a $T \rightarrow A$ mutation in the R6K Ter sites did not destroy Tus binding activity or replication arrest activity (32), it seemed likely that the *TerF* sequence could function as a replication arrest site. To determine whether the TerF site bound the Tus protein, oligodeoxyribonucleotides containing the TerF sequence were synthesized, bound to purified Tus protein, and analyzed by using a filter binding assay. The sequences of the two 33-base oligomers used in these studies were 5'-GATCCATCGTAGTTACAACATACGAAGGGCAGG and 5'-AATTCCTGCCCTTCGTATGTTGTAACTACGATG.

gene (13, 18) and autoregulates *tus* gene expression (28, 30, 31). The positioning of *Ter* sites outside of transcriptional units presumably serves two purposes. (i) A functional *Ter* site located in a structural gene could disrupt gene expression when replication forks are arrested at the *Ter* site or if the *Ter* site is oriented to impede RNA polymerase and thereby interfere with transcription of the gene. (ii) If the *Ter* site is oriented to allow unimpeded transcription of the gene, an actively transcribing RNA polymerase may displace the Tus protein and thereby inactivate the *Ter* site with respect to arrest of DNA replication. The observation that *rho*-independent terminators are located within 100 bp of the intergenic *TerA*, *TerC*, and *TerD* sites (10) is consistent with this latter supposition.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Position		5							10					15					20				
Consensus	N	N	A T	A T	A T	G	A T	A G	т	G	т	т	G	т	A	A	С	т	A	A C	N	N	
P307	A	т	т	A	т	•	A	A	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	-	-	•	С	A	т	
R1 <i>terR2</i>	т	т	т	т	т	-	т	G	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	Α	Α	G	
R1 <i>terR1</i>	A	т	т	A	т	-	A	A	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	-	-	-	С	A	т	
R100terR2	т	G	т	С	т	-	A	G	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	Α	G	
R100 <i>terR1</i>	A	т	т	A	т	•	A	A	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	С	т	т	
R6KterR2	С	т	A	т	т	-	A	G	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	•	С	т	A	
R6KterR1	С	т	С	т	т	•	т	G	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	-	•	•	-	A	A	т	
Plasmid																							
TerF	С	С	т	т	С	-	т	A	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	G	•	С	G	A	
TerE	т	Т	A	A	A	-	т	A	•	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	•	A	G	Ν	
TerD	С	A	т	т	A	•	Т	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	-	•	A	A	т	
TerC	Α	т	A	т	A	-	G	A	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	т	A	
TerB	Α	Α	Т	Α	Α	-	т	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	Α	Α	G	
TerA	Α	Α	т	т	Α	G	т	A	т	G	т	т	G	т	A	A	С	т	Α	A	Α	G	
Chromosomal																							
Chromosomal	۵	•	т	т	•	G	т	۵	т	G	т	т	G	т	•	•	c	т	•	•			

т

T T

G

Ν

т

C G

С

С

С

т

т

N

FIG. 1. Comparison of known *Ter* sequences from the *E. coli* chromosome and other replicons. Nucleotides identical to the derived consensus sequence are indicated by dashes, and nonidentical nucleotides are replaced by the substituted nucleotide. As presented, the *Ter* sites will arrest replication forks approaching from the 5' side but allow replication forks approaching from the 3' side to pass.

When annealed, these oligomers produced a 29-bp *TerF* fragment with 4-base extensions at each end.

The equilibrium and kinetic constants for *TerF* binding to purified Tus protein were determined by using the filter binding assay and binding buffer described by Gottlieb et al. (8). Four independent measurements of the dissociation constant of the equilibrium (K_D) were obtained and averaged to produce a binding constant of $1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12}$ M for the Tus-*TerF* interaction. The results from one of these experiments are presented in Fig. 2. The affinity of Tus for the *TerF* site is therefore less than its affinity for the *TerB* site $(3.4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ M})$ but greater than its affinity for the R6K *TerR2* site $(1.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ M})$. The dissociation constant (k_d) of the Tus-*TerF* complex was determined from three independent



FIG. 2. Filter binding studies on the Tus-*TerF* interaction. (A) Scatchard plot of the equilibrium binding data. The concentration of *TerF* DNA in this experiment was 10^{-12} M, and data points represent the average of duplicate samples taken for each concentration of Tus. The values for the y axis are multiplied by 10^{-11} . The data from this particular experiment gave a K_D of 1.05×10^{-12} M. (B) Dissociation rate of the Tus-*TerF* complex. Tus protein (P) and *TerF* DNA (D) at 10^{-11} M each were incubated for 1 h, at which time unlabeled *TerR2* DNA was added to a final concentration of 2×10^{-10} M. Data points are the averages of duplicate samples taken at the indicated times. The fitted line for this experiment gave a half-life of 46 min and a calculated k_d of 2.5×10^{-4} s⁻¹.

experiments. The averaged values from these experiments indicated a half-life of 47 min and a dissociation rate constant of $2.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The results from one of these experiments are also presented in Fig. 2. Thus, the Tus-*TerF* complex is roughly 10 times less stable than the Tus-*TerB* complex (half-life of 550 min) but shows stability similar to that of Tus-R6K *TerR2* complex (half-life of 43 min [8]). Two association rate experiments were performed, and the data were evaluated as described previously (8). Association of Tus and the *TerF* site occurred at the same rate $(3.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$; data not shown) in the two experiments and was comparable to that of *TerB* ($1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) but seven times faster than Tus-R6K *TerR2* complex formation ($4.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Replication arrest by the *TerF* site in plasmids. To demonstrate that the *TerF* sequence functioned as a replication arrest site in vivo, the *TerF* oligomer was cloned into a plasmid vector and tested for replication arrest activity. To insert the *TerF* site in an orientation that would halt plasmid replication, the annealed *TerF* oligomers, which contained *Bam*HI- and *Eco*RI-compatible ends, were cloned into the corresponding restriction sites in pUC18 and transformed into the *tus* mutant strain PK2607 (30). The resulting recombinant plasmid (pBS*TerF*) was sequenced to confirm the presence of the *TerF* site. A kanamycin resistance gene was then inserted into the *Eco*RI site of the pBS*TerF* polylinker to increase the spacing between the *TerF* site and the plasmid origin. The resulting plasmid, pBS*TerF-kan*, was transformed into isogenic *tus*⁺ (PK457) and *tus* (PK2607) strains, and plasmid DNA was isolated by a neutral plasmid preparation procedure (21).

To determine whether the pBSTerF-kan plasmid contained an arrested replication fork, the plasmid DNA was digested with SmaI, electrophoresed on 0.6% agarose gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, and hybridized to a pUC-kan probe. SmaI cuts the pBSTerF-kan plasmid once in the kanamycin resistance gene and produces a double-Y structure if replication is arrested at the TerF site. The double-Y structure can be separated from the linear plasmid form by its slower migration during electrophoresis (36). As shown in Fig. 3, in addition to the 4.2-kb linear plasmid band produced by SmaI digestion, a slowly migrating band of apparent molecular size 6.5 kb was observed when pBSTerF-kan DNA was isolated from the wild-type PK457 strain but not when plasmid DNA was isolated from the tus strain PK2607. The SmaI digestion products from PK457/pBSTerF-kan were at the same position in the gel as the SmaI products from the well-characterized plasmid pTH101 (18), which is a pGEM vector containing a functional TerB site with a Kan^r gene inserted between the plasmid origin and the TerB site. Because the pTH101 and pBSTerF-kan plasmids are virtually identical in size and produced similar-size slowly migrating bands in tus^+ cells, we conclude from this experiment that plasmid replication was indeed halted at the TerF site in pBSTerF-kan. It should be noted that we did not test the TerF sequence in the opposite orientation and can therefore only assume that it demonstrates the functional polarity observed with all other identified *Ter* sites.

We also tested for replication arrest activity in a plasmid that contained the *TerF* site within the context of a functional *rcsC* gene. This plasmid, pJB201, contains a 4.0-kb fragment encoding the *rcsC* gene and complements strains containing the *rcsC137* allele (3), indicating that the gene is transcriptionally active. The *TerF* site in pJB201 is oriented to halt replication from the plasmid vector (pUC19) origin, allowing us to test for replication arrest by using the South-



FIG. 3. Arrest of plasmid replication by the *TerF* sequence. DNA from plasmid pBS*TerF-kan* or plasmid pTH101, which contains the *TerB* site (17), was prepared from either tus^+ or tus strains under nondenaturating conditions. The plasmid DNAs were digested with *SmaI*, electrophoresed on a 0.6% agarose gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and hybridized to a probe containing sequences from the plasmid vector. Digestion of both plasmids should yield a linear fragment of 4.2 kb if replication is not arrested at the *Ter* site but produce the linear fragment and a slowermigrating double-Y fragment if replication is arrested. A fragment of 6.5 kb in apparent molecular size (arrowhead) was observed only when the plasmids were isolated from cells containing a functional *tus* gene.

ern blot assay described above. A slowly migrating band was observed in addition to the linear plasmid fragment only when pJB201 DNA was prepared from a tus^+ strain and not when plasmid DNA was prepared from a tus strain (data not shown), suggesting that *TerF* is functional even in the context of a transcriptionally active gene.

Replication arrest by the *TerF* site in the *E. coli* chromosome. We used PK998, which is a dnaA(Ts) strain containing a P2sig5 phage origin at min 16 (15), to determine whether replication was halted at the chromosomal *TerF* site by using the modification by Pelletier et al. (29) of Weiss and Wake's Southern blot assay (36). Incubation of PK998 at 42°C suppresses replication initiation from *oriC* (min 84) by inactivating DnaA and induces replication from the P2sig5 origin at min 16. The clockwise-traveling replication forks arising from the P2sig5 origin arrive at the terminus region well ahead of counterclockwise-traveling replication forks, and replication arrest at the *Ter* sites can be easily detected by using a Southern blot assay.

In this assay, instead of probing for intact Y-shaped restriction fragments, the DNA is sheared by vortexing prior to restriction enzyme digestion to release one of the arms of the Y-shaped fragment. After restriction enzyme digestion, the sheared arm migrates in the gel faster than the full-length restriction fragment, to a position corresponding to the length between the *Ter* site and the restriction site. In the case of an arrested replication fork at the *TerF* site, an *Eco*RI digestion of chromosomal DNA will produce a Y-shaped *rcsC* restriction fragment of 8.5 kb in length with a 3-kb stem and 5.5-kb arms. If one of the arms is sheared off, a Southern blot of the *Eco*RI-digested DNA probed with an *rcsB* fragment should produce two bands on an autoradiograph: a band at 8.5-kb, representing the linear fragment, and a band at 5.5-kb, representing the released arm.

Attempts to demonstrate activity of the *TerF* site in strain PK998 were unsuccessful. We observed only the full-length 8.5-kb fragment and never detected the released arm when we probed *Eco*RI-digested chromosomal DNA with a 3.6-kb



FIG. 4. Arrest of chromosome replication by the *TerF* sequence. Chromosomal DNA from strains PK2216 (A), which contains a P2sig5 prophage inserted at min 16 and has a deletion removing *TerB*, *TerC*, and the *tus* gene, and PK2216/pPK1013 (B), which also contains a plasmid carrying a functional *tus* gene, was prepared at the indicated times following induction of the P2sig5 prophage origin of replication. After digestion with *Eco*RI, the DNA was electrophoresed, blotted onto nitrocellulose, and probed with a fragment containing the *rcsB* gene. If replication is arrested at the *TerF* site, a fragment of 5.5 kb (arrowhead) will be detected in addition to the linear 8.5-kb *Eco*RI fragment.

BamHI-HindIII rcsB fragment (data not shown). We surmised that our inability to demonstrate TerF function was due to the presence of TerC and TerB, which are located between the P2sig5 origin and TerF and probably prevent replication forks from reaching TerF during the time course of our experiments (140 min). To circumvent this problem, we used a derivative of PK998 called PK2216 (16), which contains a 160-kb deletion (Δ 2038) that removes TerC, TerB, and tus. This strain was transformed with pPK1013, a plasmid containing an intact tus gene (19), and chromosomal DNA was prepared and tested for TerF activity. As shown in Fig. 4, in addition to the 8.5-kb linear fragment, a band of 5.5 kb was visible 60 min after induction of the P2sig5 prophage, indicating that the TerF site was active in the chromosome.

The TerF site. The TerF replication arrest site displays characteristics that distinguish it from the five other replication arrest sites previously identified in the E. coli chromosome. First, it forms a complex with the Tus protein that is 10 times less stable than the Tus-TerB complex $(t_{1/2} \text{ of } 47 \text{ min})$ versus 550 min), indicating that it has binding characteristics that more closely resemble those of the R6K plasmid Ter sites than those of the chromosomal Ter sites. This difference in the stability of the Tus-TerF complex can most likely be attributed to the position 18 G substitution within the 11-bp core sequence (Fig. 1). The biochemical significance of this observation is that, as judged from recent comparisons between chromosomal and plasmid replication arrest sites (24), TerF should only be one-third to one-half as effective as TerA or TerB at halting replication. The biological significance of this observation is not currently known.

Second, of the six identified *Ter* sites, *TerF* is located furthest from min 31 (Fig. 5), the point in the terminus region where replication forks meet most often (2). The distance from *TerF* to the traditional terminus region and the placement of *TerC* and *TerB* between *oriC* and *TerF* suggests that *TerF* will only rarely, if ever, arrest replication forks arising from *oriC*. Our results support this contention, since *TerF*



FIG. 5. Position of *TerF* in the *E. coli* chromosome and in the *rcsC* gene. The positions and orientations of all known *Ter* sites in the *E. coli* chromosome are shown in the upper diagram. The lower diagram shows the organization of the *rcsC-rcsB* region and the location of the *TerF* site, based on the sequence determined by Stout and Gottesman (35). The small arrows marked "REP" indicate sequence homology to the repetitive extragenic palindromic sequences found throughout the *E. coli* chromosome.

function could be observed only when TerC and TerB were removed. A similar postulation can also be made for TerE, since both TerA and TerD lie between it and oriC. The report that as many as 10 different Ter sites in the *E. coli* chromosome can be identified by hybridization to a consensus Tersequence oligomer (5) indicates that additional Ter sites will ultimately be identified outside the terminus region. Taken together, these observations suggest that Ter sites perform a biological function in addition to their role as sites of arrest for replication forks initiated from oriC. Other possible functions might include arrest of DNA replication arising from other origins, such as integrated phage or plasmid origins or the *sdr* origins (5), a role in the higher-ordered structure of the *E. coli* chromosome, or, when positioned within a gene, a role as a regulator of gene expression.

Third, TerF is the first replication arrest site found within a structural gene. The only other Ter site located in a gene is the TerB site, which is located in the promoter region of the tus gene (13, 19) and autoregulates tus expression (28, 30, 31). In contrast, TerF is located in the last third of the coding sequences for rcsC (Fig. 5), which is one of the regulators for colanic acid capsular polysaccharide synthesis (3, 35). Although TerF is oriented to allow unimpeded transcription of rcsC, it is expected that an arrested replication fork at TerF would disrupt rcsC transcription and that TerF can, to a limited extent, affect rcsC expression. However, because TerF is not expected to be utilized in most replication cycles, the contribution of TerF to rcsC regulation is expected to be minimal.

Is there any significance to the placement of the *TerF* site in the *rcsC* gene? RcsC shares sequence similarities with the sensor proteins of other two component sensor-effector regulators, such as *ompR-envZ* and *phoB-phoR* (34), and is postulated to be a transmembrane protein (35). Mutations in *rcsC* increase the level of colanic acid synthesis, suggesting that the role of the RcsC sensor is to down-regulate the capsular synthesis genes (3). The effector protein that presumably receives the signal from RcsC is the product of the rcsB gene, which is located adjacent to and transcribed convergently with the rcsC gene (35) (Fig. 5). Interestingly, RcsB, in addition to stimulating capsular synthesis, upregulates the expression of ftsZ (7), a key intermediary in the pathway regulating cell division. We can only speculate at this time on the effect of an arrested replication fork at TerFon rcsC expression and any subsequent effect on bacterial physiology. However, in the future, it may be possible to assign significance to the positioning of TerF as the role of the *Ter* sites in the bacterial chromosome becomes clearer.

We gratefully acknowledge Susan Gottesman for sending plasmids pJB100 and pJB201, containing the *rcsB* and *rcsC* genes, respectively.

This work was supported by NIH grant GM43193 to T.M.H.

REFERENCES

- Bedrosian, C. L., and D. Bastia. 1991. Escherichia coli replication terminator protein impedes simian virus 40 (SV40) DNA replication fork movement and SV40 large tumor antigen helicase activity in vitro at a prokaryotic terminus sequence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:2618-2622.
- Bouché, J. P., J. P. Gélugne, J. Louarn, J. M. Louarn, and K. Kaiser. 1982. Relationships between the physical and genetic maps of a 470 × 10³ base-pair region around the terminus of *Escherichia coli* K-12 DNA replication. J. Mol. Biol. 154:21–32.
- Brill, J. A., C. Quinlan-Walshe, and S. Gottesman. 1988. Finestructure mapping and identification of two regulators of capsule synthesis in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 170:2599-2611.
- deMassy, B., S. Bejar, J. Louarn, J. M. Louarn, and J. P. Bouché. 1987. Inhibition of replication forks exiting the terminus region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome occurs at two loci separated by 5 min. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:1759–1763.
- deMassy, B., O. Fayet, and T. Kogoma. 1984. Multiple origin usage for DNA replication in sdrA(rnh) mutants of Escherichia coli K-12. J. Mol. Biol. 178:227-236.
- François, V., J. Louarn, and J.-M. Louarn. 1989. The terminus region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome is flanked by several polar replication pause sites. Mol. Microbiol. 3:995–1002.
- 7. Gervais, F. G., P. Phoenix, and G. R. Drapeau. 1992. The *rcsB* gene, a positive regulator of colanic biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*, is also an activator of *ftsZ* expression. J. Bacteriol. 174:3964–3971.
- Gottlieb, P. A., S. Wu, X. Zhang, M. Tecklenburg, P. Kuempel, and T. M. Hill. 1992. Equilibrium, kinetic, and footprinting studies of the Tus-*Ter* protein-DNA interaction. J. Biol. Chem. 267:7434–7443.
- Hiasa, H., and K. J. Marians. 1992. Differential inhibition of the DNA translocating and DNA unwinding properties of DNA helicases by the *Escherichia coli* Tus protein. J. Biol. Chem. 267:11379-11385.
- 10. Hidaka, M., M. Akiyama, and T. Horiuchi. 1988. A consensus sequence of three DNA replication terminus sites on the *E. coli* chromosome is highly homologous to the *terR* sites of the R6K plasmid. Cell **55:**467–475.
- 11. Hidaka, M., T. Kobayashi, and T. Horiuchi. 1991. A newly identified DNA replication terminus site, *TerE*, on the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. J. Bacteriol. 173:391-393.
- Hidaka, M., T. Kobayashi, Y. Ishimi, M. Seki, T. Enomoto, M. Abdel-Monem, and T. Horiuchi. 1992. Termination complex in *E. coli* inhibits SV40 DNA replication in vitro by impeding the action of T antigen helicase. J. Biol. Chem. 267:5361-5365.
- Hidaka, M., T. Kobayashi, S. Takenaka, H. Takeya, and T. Horiuchi. 1989. Purification of a DNA replication terminus (ter) site-binding protein in *Escherichia coli* and identification of the structural gene. J. Biol. Chem. 264:21031-21037.
- Hill, T. M. 1992. Arrest of bacterial DNA replication. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 46:603–633.
- 15. Hill, T. M., J. M. Henson, and P. L. Kuempel. 1987. The

terminus region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome contains two separate loci that exhibit polar inhibition of replication. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **84**:1754–1758.

- Hill, T. M., B. J. Kopp, and P. L. Kuempel. 1988. Termination of DNA replication in *Escherichia coli* requires a *trans*-acting factor. J. Bacteriol. 170:662–668.
- 17. Hill, T. M., and K. J. Marians. 1990. *Escherichia coli* Tus protein acts to arrest the progression of DNA replication forks *in vitro*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:2481–2485.
- Hill, T. M., A. J. Pelletier, M. Tecklenburg, and P. L. Kuempel. 1988. Identification of the DNA sequence from the *E. coli* terminus region that halts replication forks. Cell 55:459-466.
- Hill, T. M., M. Tecklenburg, A. J. Pelletier, and P. L. Kuempel. 1989. tus, the trans-acting gene required for termination of DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*, encodes a DNA-binding protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:1593–1597.
- Horiuchi, T., and M. Hidaka. 1988. Core sequence of two separable terminus sites of the R6K plasmid that exhibit polar inhibition of replication is a 20 bp inverted repeat. Cell 54:515– 523.
- Horiuchi, T., M. Hidaka, M. Akiyama, H. Nishitani, and M. Sekiguchi. 1987. Replication intermediate of a hybrid plasmid carrying the replication terminus (*ter*) of R6K as revealed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 210:394–398.
- Khatri, G. S., T. MacAllister, P. R. Sista, and D. Bastia. 1989. The replication terminator protein of *E. coli* is a DNA sequencespecific contra-helicase. Cell 59:667-674.
- Kobayashi, T., M. Hidaka, and T. Horiuchi. 1989. Evidence of a ter specific binding protein essential for the termination reaction of DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J. 8:2435-2441.
- Lee, E. H., and A. Kornberg. 1992. Features of replication fork blockage by the *Escherichia coli* termination-binding protein. J. Biol. Chem. 267:8778–8784.
- Lee, E. H., A. Kornberg, M. Hidaka, T. Kobayashi, and T. Horiuchi. 1989. *Escherichia coli* replication termination protein impedes the action of helicases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:9104–9108.
- 26. Lewis, P. J., G. B. Ralston, R. I. Christopherson, and R. G. Wake. 1990. Identification of the replication terminator protein

binding sites in the terminus region of the *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome and stoichiometry of the binding. J. Mol. Biol. **241:**73-84.

- MacAllister, T., G. S. Khatri, and D. Bastia. 1990. Sequencespecific and polarized termination in vitro: complementation of extracts of *tus⁻* cells by purified ter protein and analysis of termination intermediates. Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA 87:2828– 2832.
- Natarajan, S., W. L. Kelly, and D. Bastia. 1991. Replication terminator protein of *Escherichia coli* is a transcriptional repressor of its own synthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:3867– 3871.
- Pelletier, A. J., T. M. Hill, and P. L. Kuempel. 1988. Location of sites that inhibit progression of replication forks in the terminus region of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 170:4293–4298.
- Roecklein, B., and P. L. Kuempel. 1992. In vivo characterization of tus gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1655-1661.
- Roecklein, B., A. J. Pelletier, and P. L. Kuempel. 1991. The tus gene of Escherichia coli: autoregulation, analysis of flanking sequences, and identification of a complementary system in Salmonella typhimurium. Res. Microbiol. 142:169–176.
- Sista, P. R., C. A. Hutchison, and D. Bastia. 1991. DNA-protein interaction at the replication termini of plasmid R6K. Genes Dev. 5:74-82.
- 33. Sista, P. R., S. Mukherjee, P. Patel, G. S. Khatri, and D. Bastia. 1989. A host-encoded DNA-binding protein promotes termination of plasmid replication at a sequence-specific replication terminus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3026–3030.
- 34. Stock, J. B., A. J. Ninfa, and A. M. Stock. 1989. Protein phosphorylation and regulation of adaptive responses in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 53:450–490.
- Stout, V., and S. Gottesman. 1990. RcsB and RcsC: a twocomponent regulator of capsule synthesis in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 172:659–669.
- Weiss, A. S., and R. G. Wake. 1984. A unique DNA intermediate associated with termination of chromosome replication in *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 39:683–89.