

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int J Biochem Cell Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 November 16.

Published in final edited form as: *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2007 ; 39(12): 2195–2214.

Control of Cell Fate and Differentiation by Sry-related Highmobility-group Box (Sox) Transcription Factors

Véronique Lefebvre^{*}, Bogdan Dumitriu, Alfredo Penzo-Méndez, Yu Han, and Bhattaram Pallavi

Department of Cell Biology, Lerner Research Institute and Orthopaedic Research Center, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue (NC10), Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

Abstract

Maintain stemness, commit to a specific lineage, differentiate, proliferate, or die. These are essential decisions that every cell is constantly challenged to make in multi-cellular organisms to ensure proper development, adult maintenance, and adaptability. SRY-related high-mobility-group box (Sox) transcription factors have emerged in the animal kingdom to help cells effect such decisions. They are encoded by twenty genes in humans and mice. They share a highly conserved high-mobilitygroup box domain that was originally identified in SRY, the sex-determining gene on the Y chromosome, and that has derived from a canonical high-mobility-group domain characteristic of chromatin-associated proteins. The high-mobility-group box domain binds DNA in the minor groove and increases its DNA binding affinity and specificity by interacting with many types of transcription factors. It also bends DNA and may thereby confer on Sox proteins a unique and critical role in the assembly of transcriptional enhanceosomes. Sox proteins fall into eight groups. Most feature a transactivation or transrepression domain and thereby also act as typical transcription factors. Each gene has distinct expression pattern and molecular properties, often redundant with those in the same group and overlapping with those in other groups. As a whole the Sox family controls cell fate and differentiation in a multitude of processes, such as male differentiation, stemness, neurogenesis, and skeletogenesis. We review their specific molecular properties and in vivo roles, stress recent advances in the field, and suggest directions for future investigations.

Keywords

Sox; transcription factor; stem cell; differentiation; HMG

1. Introduction

Proper development and maintenance of multi-cellular organisms requires that cells be constantly able to make decisions regarding lineage commitment, differentiation, proliferation and death status. Intense research is geared nowadays towards uncovering how developing and adult organisms maintain stem cells, i.e., cells with both infinite self-renewal capacity and unrestricted fate potential. Equally important is research on how stem cells commit to specific cell lineages, and how lineage-committed cells progress along their differentiation program. The decision of a cell to change status is effected in a large part by cell-specific transcription factors that modulate or switch expression of selected gene subsets. While a decade or two ago

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 216 445 0762; fax: +1 216 444 9404, E-mail address: lefebvv@ccf.org (V. Lefebvre).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

the notion was prevalent that a single master transcription factor would be necessary and sufficient to control cell fate decisions and differentiation into each lineage, it has now been abundantly demonstrated that sets of transcription factors from various families act in concert to fulfill such roles. These factors include basic-helix-loop-helix, POU domain, Runt domain, homeodomain, forkhead, and Sox proteins. This review will specifically focus on the Sox family. Its members exhibit properties of classical transcription factors, synergize with many types of transcription factors, and are believed to possess a unique role in the assembly of transcriptional enhanceosomes. They play critical roles in cell fate and differentiation decisions in a large variety of lineages. We first review how this family has evolved from high-mobility-group (HMG) domain ancestors to twenty unique proteins, and then review their molecular properties and in vivo roles. We end with an evaluation of current knowledge and with suggestions for future research directions.

2. The Sox family within the high-mobility-group domain superfamily

A brief recapitulation of the discovery of HMG domain and Sox proteins is useful at the start of this review to understand the evolution, classification, and distinguishing features of Sox, HMG, HMG domain, and HMG box proteins (reviews in Bustin, 1999; Wegner, 1999; Bianchi and Beltrame, 2000). Non-histone chromosomal proteins were originally sorted by SDS-PAGE and classified according to their mobility (Goodwin et al., 1973). The proteins forming a highmobility group (HMG) were found to belong to at least three totally unrelated families of DNAbinding proteins: the HMG1/2 or HMG domain family, the HMG-I(Y) or AT-hook family, and the HMG- 14/17 or nucleosome-binding protein family. The HMG domain proteins, the only ones related to Sox proteins (see below), are abundantly expressed in all eukaryotic cells. They feature two or more copies of a so-called canonical HMG domain. This domain of about 80 residues has a twisted L-shape containing three alpha helices and an N-terminal beta strand (review in Weiss, 2001). It binds linear DNA with little, if any, sequence specificity and also binds unusual DNA structures, such as four-way junctions and kinks (Grosschedl et al., 1994). While most other types of DNA-binding proteins contact the DNA major groove and only induce minor changes of the DNA conformation, the two arms of the L-shaped HMG domain bind DNA in the minor groove, intercalate amino acid side chains between DNA base pairs, and induce a significant bend of the DNA helix. The minor groove is widened and the major groove compressed. HMG domain proteins are thus unique in their ability to alter the conformation of DNA and to increase its protein accessibility and plasticity. They thereby facilitate the formation of enhanceosomes, i.e., functionally active complexes of transcription factors on gene enhancer sequences.

Proteins were later identified that harbor a non-canonical HMG domain, also called the HMG box domain. These proteins fall into two families: the Sox family and the T-cell factor (TCF)/ lymphoid enhancer binding factor (LEF-1) family. They are present only in the animal kingdom and must therefore have evolved from canonical HMG domain proteins. Unlike HMG domain genes, HMG box genes are expressed at a low level and in a restricted number of cell types, and their proteins contain only one HMG box domain. This domain is only ~20% identical to the canonical HMG domain, but the amino acids that confer the ability to alter the DNA conformation are conserved. The domain has acquired the ability to bind DNA with some sequence specificity and to bend DNA at a flexible angle rather than a fixed angle through changes in just a few residues (Murphy et al., 1999).

Sry was the first *Sox* gene identified (Sinclair et al., 1990; Gubbay et al., 1990). Its discovery ended a long search for the gene responsible for male differentiation on the Y chromosome (*Sry* stands for sex-determining region on the Y chromosome). This important discovery then prompted several groups to specifically embark on searches for genes with a high degree of identity to *Sry* in the HMG box (Gubbay et al., 1990; Denny et al., 1992; Wright et al., 1993).

They gave vertebrate genes the acronym *Sox*, for "Sry-related HMG box", followed by a number corresponding to the order of discovery. The efforts of these groups and those of others led, at the turn of the 20th century, to the cloning of many Sox genes in multiple species from the fruit fly to humans, with *Sox30* receiving the highest number (Ozaki et al., 1999). With the goal of obtaining a definitive enumeration and classification of *Sox* genes, in 2002 Schepers and collaborators searched the first whole-genome sequences that became available and reached a final count of only twenty *Sox* genes in mice and humans (Schepers et al., 2002). They found that several Sox acronyms had been assigned to orthologues in different species (for instance, *Sox12* and *Sox22*).

Protein sequence comparisons showed that *Sox* genes fall into eight groups, A to H, with two B subgroups, B1 and B2 (Figure 1). Sox proteins within the same group share a high degree of identity (generally 70-95%) both within and outside the HMG box, whereas Sox proteins from different groups share partial identity (\geq 46%) in the HMG box domain and none outside this domain. While most *Sox* genes feature one to three exons and give rise to a single protein product, the *SoxD* and *SoxH* genes are split into multiple exons and give rise to several splice variants with different properties (Wunderle et al., 1996;Lefebvre et al., 1998;Hiraoka et al., 1998;Osaki et al., 1999). Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans have only five and eight *Sox* genes, respectively, but interestingly, each *Sox* gene in these species corresponds to a different vertebrate *Sox* group or subgroup (for further details on the *Sox* gene phylogeny, see the review by Bowles et al., 2000).

3. Molecular properties

Most Sox proteins harbor several functional domains (Figure 1). The HMG box domain alone fulfills the functions of DNA binding, DNA bending, protein interactions, and nuclear import or export (Figures 2 and 3). These properties are reviewed in the next four subsections, but before we describe them, we would like to mention that these properties are mediated by sequences highly conserved in all Sox proteins. Therefore, considering that the HMG box domains of Sox proteins are virtually identical within each group but only ~50% identical between groups, one can postulate that the HMG box domain of each Sox group has evolved either to fulfill other unique functions, or to undergo unique modes of regulation. This domain may thus fulfill even more functions than we know today. Most Sox proteins also feature one or several other functional domains outside the HMG box. These domains have generally been highly conserved among orthologues as well as among members of the same group, and they are totally different between proteins from distinct groups. They include transactivation, transrepression and dimerization domains. Sry, however, is an intriguing exception. Sry orthologues show hardly any conservation outside the HMG box domain, which has led to the proposal that either Sry has no other functional domain than the HMG box or that it has been under pressure of rapidly undergoing species-specific adaptative changes. In support of the former notion, at least for human SRY, is the observation that virtually all SRY mutations that cause sex reversal cluster within the HMG box (Whitfield et al., 1993;Cameron and Sinclair, 1997). Supporting the latter notion, at least in some species, is the demonstration that the Sry protein of the mouse subspecies Mus musculus molossinus features a unique C-terminal extension that includes a potent transactivation domain (Dubin et al., 1995). However, the importance of this domain and other putative domains described outside the HMG box of Sry proteins from other species has not been demonstrated in vivo. It thus remains likely that, at least in humans, the HMG box is the most critical region of SRY.

3.1. DNA binding

As mentioned earlier, the Sox and Tcf/Lef-1 proteins have acquired the ability to bind DNA with some sequence specificity upon evolution from HMG domain proteins. This property must allow them to concentrate on restricted panels of target genes despite their low abundance

in any given cell type. Sequence specificity was convincingly demonstrated in vitro through DNA site selection studies. In these studies all Sox proteins that were tested exhibited a preference for the hexameric core sequence 5'-WWCAAW-3', where W indicates A or T (Denny et al., 1992; van de Wetering et al., 1993; Harley et al., 1994; Mertin et al., 1999). This preferred Sox site is highly related to the TCF/LEF-1 consensus site 5'- TWWCAAAG-3' (Love et al., 1995; Werner et al., 1995). Preferences for the nucleotides directly adjacent to this consensus vary among Sox proteins (Mertin et al., 1999). For instance, Sox9 and Sox17 prefer 5'AG nucleotides, whereas Sry prefers 5'WW and Sox5 5'TW. Further, Sox9 prefers 3' GG nucleotides, Sox17 prefers 3'G, Sry 3'AG, and Sox5 3'A. Dictated by the HMG box domain, these preferences likely allow Sox proteins in the same group to target the same DNA sites in vivo, whereas Sox proteins in other groups either target other sites or compete with the former for the same sites. Supporting the notion that both mechanisms exist in vivo is the demonstration that L-Sox5 (a long protein isoform of the Sox5 gene) and Sox6 (SoxD) cooperate with Sox9 (SoxE) to activate a chondrocyte-specific Col2al enhancer harboring several recognition sites (Lefebvre et al. 1998), but have opposite functions and compete with Sox9 and Sox10 (SoxE) for recognition sites in the promoter of oligodendrocyte-specific myelin protein zero (Mpz) and myelin basic protein (Mbp) genes (Stolt et al., 2006).

It is important to stress here that several Sox proteins have been shown to bind DNA sequences in major target genes that only partially match the in vitro Sox consensus. Since imperfect Sox binding sites are abundantly found on DNA, it is clear that DNA sequence is not the only factor that directs Sox proteins to their target genes in vivo. L-Sox5, Sox6, and Sox9, for instance, control the abundant and specific expression of the Col2a1 gene in cartilage by binding to a cluster of four sites that each feature only 4 or 5 nucleotides of the 6bp Sox consensus (Lefebvre et al., 1997 and 1998). Like their HMG domain relatives, Sox proteins may use the DNA configuration as one of several criteria to select their target genes. Supporting this argument is the observation that the four Sox binding sites present on the Col2a1 enhancer overlap two 11bp inverted repeats that may arrange into a non-linear DNA arrangement (Lefebvre et al., 1996). The notion that Sox proteins bind in vivo to DNA sequences that only partially match their in vitro consensus implies that, in practice, searching for the binding sites of Sox proteins on putative target genes can be a challenging task. Indeed, these sites cannot be predicted based solely on DNA sequence, as for most other transcription factors. This task will remain challenging until we understand all the criteria which Sox proteins obey when selecting target sites.

3.2. DNA bending

The Sox cousin Lef1 was demonstrated more than ten years ago to have an essential architectural role in the assembly of a functional enhanceosome on the T cell receptor-alpha (TCR□) gene (Travis et al., 1991; Giese et al., 1995). This architectural role relies largely on the ability of Lef1 to induce a sharp bend of DNA upon binding in the middle of this enhancer. This bend allows transcription factors bound to non-adjacent sites on either sides of Lef1 to interact with each other and thereby to form an active transcriptional complex. Sox proteins also have the ability to bend DNA, but surprisingly, even though their primary role could also be to assemble enhanceosomes, this role has not been demonstrated for any Sox protein yet. However, in support of the notion that DNA bending is an essential Sox function, SRY and SOX2 mutations have been described in humans that selectively interfere with DNA bending, not with DNA binding, and that cause a disease phenotype (Pontiggia et al., 1994; Scaffidi and Bianchi, 2001). The DNA bending angle induced by Sox proteins varies from as little as 30° up to as much as 110°, which has led to their qualification as "floppy" proteins (Weiss, 2001). This is due to the fact that the angular surface of the L-shaped HMG box domain is flexible. It becomes fixed upon binding of the Sox protein to DNA, with the Sox domain instructing DNA how to bend and DNA instructing the protein how to complete its tertiary

fold. The design of this flexible domain may represent a strikingly economical strategy by Mother Nature to use less than two dozen proteins to organize various types of enhanceosomes in many cell lineages.

3.3. Protein interactions

Sox proteins not only bind DNA with low sequence specificity, they also bind DNA with a lower affinity (Kd $\sim 10^{-7}$ to 10^{-9} M) than most other transcription factors (Kd $\sim 10^{-9}$ to 10⁻¹¹M) when tested in isolation. As mentioned above, they may increase their DNA binding efficiency by selecting non-linear DNA configurations, but this latter property remains to be demonstrated in vivo. Another important property, which has been convincingly demonstrated for several Sox proteins, is the ability to pair off with various types of transcription factors. (reviews in Kamachi et al., 2000; Wilson and Koopman, 2002). The first described, and today's best-characterized example, is that of an Fgf4 enhancer specifically expressed in embryonic stem cells and embryonal carcinoma cells (Yuan et al., 1995). This enhancer features adjacent recognition sites for Sox and POU domain proteins. Sox2 and the POU domain factor Oct3/4 are co-expressed in these cells and synergize in activating the enhancer. They do so by heterodimerizing with each other on DNA through their DNA-binding domains (Remenyi et al., 2003). The two proteins similarly synergize for the activation of other stem cell-specific genes, including the Sox2 gene itself (Tomioka et al., 2002). Interestingly, Sox2 pairs off with other partners in other cell lineages, such as Pax6 in lens cells (Kamachi et al., 1998 and 2001) and Brn2 in neural primordium (Tanaka et al., 2004). Several other examples of Sox proteins synergizing with other transcription factors were also described, such as Sox9 and SF1 in Sertoli cells (De Santa Barbara et al., 1998). In all cases, the Sox protein and its partner interact through their DNA-binding domains. That such interactions occur efficiently on DNA is likely related to the fact that the Sox HMG box contacts the minor groove of DNA, whereas the DNA-binding domain of most other transcription factors contacts the major groove of DNA. Further, Sox proteins were shown in the yeast and in vitro to pair off with DNA-binding proteins from many families, including homeodomain proteins, zinc finger proteins, basic helix-loophelix and leucine zipper proteins (Wissmüller et al., 2006). In every case, heterodimerization occurred via the C-terminal part of the Sox HMG box domain and the DNA-binding domain of the other partner (Remenyi et al., 2003; Wissmüller et al., 2006). The Sox HMG box domain may thus organize biologically active transcriptional complexes through DNA bending as well as through direct interactions with various types of transcription factors.

3.4. Nuclear transport

The Sox HMG box domain contains two nuclear localization domains that are independent of each other and that have been highly conserved in all Sox proteins (Südbeck and Scherer, 1997). The SoxE HMG box domain also features a nuclear export signal (Gasca et al., 2002; Rehberg et al., 2002). Whether nuclear import and export constitute a general mechanism to regulate Sox protein activity in vivo is a question that has only been addressed for a few Sox proteins so far. Sox9 is cytoplasmic in the sexually indifferent gonad of young embryos and in the early differentiating female gonad (Morais da Silva et al., 1996) and Sox2 is cytoplasmic in blastocyst cells outside the inner cell mass (Avilion et al., 2003). Otherwise these two proteins and other Sox proteins have been described to be nuclear.

3.5. Transactivation and transrepression

The Sox B1, C, E and F proteins, which account for 12 of the 20 Sox proteins, feature a potent transactivation domain in their C-terminal region, and this domain in Sox2 and Sox9 physically interacts with the transcriptional co-activator CBP/p300 (Nowling et al., 2003; Tsuda et al., 2003). These proteins therefore must contribute to the activity of enhanceosomes not only through architectural roles, but also through direct interaction with partners of the

transcriptional machinery. The Sox B group is of particular interest in that it has evolved into a B1 subgroup of three transcriptional activators (Sox1, Sox2, and Sox3) and a B2 subgroup of two transcriptional repressors (Sox14 and Sox21; Uchikawa et al., 1999). The five proteins are highly related to each other in the HMG box domain and have overlapping expression patterns, such that the B2 transrepressors act in vivo to specifically repress the activity of their SoxB1 relatives (see later). Sequences C-terminal to the HMG box domain are required for transcriptional repression by the B2 proteins, indicating that these proteins must act not only by competing for DNA binding with the B1 proteins, but also through protein interactions in the C-terminal region. Sox15, the only member of the SoxG subgroup, has also been described to induce transcriptional repression through a domain located in its C-terminal half (Beranger et al., 1999). Interestingly, the SoxD proteins may modulate transcription both as co-activators and as repressors. They cooperate with Sox9 to activate specific genes in cartilage (Lefebvre et al., 1998). Since they have no intrinsic transactivation domain and do not interact directly with Sox9, their roles may be architectural or involve interactions with other proteins. Distinct mechanisms have been proposed for their transrepression action. In otic vesicle cells, Sox6 interacts with the transcriptional co-repressor CtBP2 through a short PLNLSS motif outside the HMG box. In pancreatic beta cells, it interacts with the transcription factor Pdx1 through its HMG box domain. In oligodendrocytes, L-Sox5 and Sox6 directly compete for DNA binding with SoxE proteins (Stolt et al., 2006). Finally, Sox13 represses T lymphocyte-specific genes by directly binding and possibly sequestering Tcf1, but the Sox13 region involved in this activity has not been mapped (Melichar et al., 2007). These data thus strongly suggest that the SoxD proteins may be highly versatile, fulfilling variable roles and using several mechanisms to fulfill these roles. Their mode of action may depend both on the cellular context and on the target gene context.

3.6. Homodimerization

Sox D proteins are further unique in that they harbor two highly conserved leucine-zipper coiled-coil domains, with the major one being associated to a glutamine-rich segment (Lefebvre et al., 1998). These coiled-coil domains mediate protein homodimerization in the absence or presence of DNA, resulting in high-efficiency binding to pairs of adjacent recognition sites on DNA. SoxD proteins were thus suggested to be their own partners, but since they do not interact with each other via the HMG box domains, they may additionally pair off with other transcription factors through their HMG box domain. Sox E proteins are also able to homodimerize and thereby to increase their DNA-binding affinity to pairs of adjacent binding sites (Peirano and Wegner, 2000; Schlierf et al., 2002). This is achieved through a conserved region located N-terminal to the HMG box domain. They dimerize only upon binding to DNA and provided that the DNA sequence features a pair of binding sites. Mutations in this domain in human SOX9 were shown to cause Campomelic Dysplasia but not XY sex reversal, supporting the notion that this mode of protein activity regulation is cell type-dependent (Bernard et al., 2003; Sock et al., 2003; see also later).

3.7. Posttranslational modifications

As for other regulatory factors, the activity of Sox proteins is likely modulated by various types of posttranslational modifications. Many Sox proteins feature putative phosphorylation sites for various types of kinases, but only Sox9 has yet been shown to undergo phosphorylation. Phosphorylation by protein kinase A on two sites that flank the HMG box domain results in increasing Sox9's efficiency of binding to DNA in vitro (Huang et al., 2000). Sox9 is a target of this kinase in cartilage (Huang et al., 2001) and in the developing male gonad, where this modification allows its nuclear translocation (Malki et al., 2005). Sox3, Sox4, Sox6, and SoxE proteins can be sumoylated or ubiquitinated in vitro, with variable consequences for protein stability, nuclear localization, DNA-binding efficiency and transactivation potential (Savare et al., 2005; Fernandez-Lloris et al., 2006; Girard and Goossens, 2006; Hattori et al., 2006;

Pan et al., 2006). For instance, SoxE proteins share two sumoylation sites, one N-terminal to the dimerization domain and one in the C-terminal transactivation domain (Taylor and LaBonne, 2005). These sites interact with the small ubiquitin-like modifier SUMO-1 and the E2 SUMO-conjugating enzyme Ubc9. Evidence that SUMOylation occurs in vivo and has important functional consequences was provided by showing in Xenopus embryos that SoxE mutants that cannot be SUMOylated, or mutants that mimic constitutive SUMOylation, are each able to mediate a subset of the diverse activities of the wild-type proteins in neural crest development (Taylor and LaBonne, 2005). These data open the way for a potentially important and widespread mode of regulation of Sox proteins.

4. In vivo roles and molecular functions

Since the discovery of *SRY* and its major role in male differentiation, essential functions have been identified for many *Sox* genes in multiple developmental and physiological processes in vivo, including milestone discoveries in just the last few years. Reviewing all the data currently available is no longer feasible in a single review. We have therefore assembled two tables highlighting major pieces of information. Table I provides an overview of *Sox*'s known functions in vivo and Table II lists all human diseases due to *SOX* mutations and one disease due to Sox auto-antibodies. The next paragraphs summarize some of the best-known and most critical roles of *Sox* genes in major processes.

4.1. Sex differentiation

Sex and Sox have become closely associated key words for both sexologists and soxologists since SRY and SOX9 were found to specify male differentiation (reviewed in Koopman, 2005). SRY mutations lead to XY sex reversal in humans, and XX mice harboring an SRY transgene develop as fertile males (Sinclair et al., 1990; Koopman et al., 1991). SOX9 heterozygous mutations often cause XY sex reversal in humans (Foster et al., 1994; Wagner et al., 1994) and mouse Sox9-null XY undifferentiated gonads develop into ovaries in vitro (Chaboissier et al., 2004). Sry exists only in mammals and is located on the Y chromosome. Besides expression in some areas of the brain (Lahr et al., 1995), it is expressed exclusively in the initially bipotential XY gonad, where it induces the differentiation of Sertoli cells and thereby testis development. Sox9 is expressed shortly after Sry in pre-Sertoli cells and is both required and sufficient for male determination. It is believed, but not yet proven, that Sry's main role is to activate Sox9. Other Sox genes have roles in sex differentiation and function. Sox3, the closest relative of Sry, is located on the X chromosome in the pseudoautosomal X-Y homologous region (Graves, 1998; Katoh and Miyata, 1999). It is thus the likely ancestor of Sry. In humans, SOX3 mutations cause significant gonadal defect, including small testes, in addition to X-linked hypopituitarism, recessive hypoparathyroidism, and mental retardation (Rousseau et al., 1991; Laumonnier et al., 2002). Similarly, Sox3 is not required for gonad determination in the mouse, but is needed for normal oocyte development and for male testis differentiation and gametogenesis (Weiss et al.; 2003). Sox8, a close relative of Sox9, starts to be expressed soon after Sox9 in the differentiating mouse gonad, where it can partly substitute for Sox9 (Chaboissier et al., 2004).

4.2. Stemness

In light of today's high enthusiasm for stem cell research a high-profile *Sox* gene is undoubtedly *Sox2*. This protein functions cell-autonomously in both the epiblast and the extraembryonic ectoderm of the early embryo to maintain the pluripotency of the stem cells that later give rise to all embryonic and trophoblast cell types (Avilion et al., 2003). It is also required to maintain the pluripotency of embryonic stem cells in vitro. As mentioned earlier, Sox2 acts in synergy with the POU domain protein Oct3/4 to directly activate essential genes in embryonic stem cells, such as *Fgf4* (Yuan et al., 1995). Moreover, it was recently shown to form with Oct3/4,

c-Myc, and Klf4 a quartet of transcription factors that are sufficient to induce pluripotent stem cell properties in embryonic and adult fibroblasts (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Sox2 is thus a stemness master gene. It is worth mentioning that heterozygous mutations of SOX2 in humans result in a complex syndrome that reflects essential roles for Sox2 in multiple processes at later stages of development (Fantes et al., 2003; Kelberman et al., 2006; Williamson et al., 2006). These roles are still incompletely known, but appear to consist of maintaining cell stemness, for instance in neural cells (see later), as well as in promoting cell differentiation, for instance in lens cells (Kamachi et al., 2001). Sox15 is also expressed in embryonic stem cells, but its inactivation in the mouse has not revealed obvious functions in the cells or in mouse development and normal physiology (Maruyama et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2004). Redundancy with other Sox genes may explain this apparent lack of function. Indeed, even though Sox15 is the only member of the SoxG group, it has a high degree of identity with SoxB proteins in the HMG box domain and behaves similarly to Sox2 in DNA-binding in vitro (Koopman et al., 2004; Maruyama et al., 2005). Like Sry, Sox15 is found only in mammals. In contrast to Sry, it is possible that this newly evolved gene may not have acquired essential functions yet.

4.3. Neurogenesis and gliogenesis

The development of the nervous system best exemplifies Sox genes' critical functions and interactions (reviews in Episkopou, 2005; Pevny and Placzek, 2005; Wegner and Stolt, 2005). Many Sox genes are expressed in the developing and adult nervous system. Their expression patterns are overlapping and together cover virtually all cells from the neural stem cell stage until terminal maturation of neurons and macroglia. The SoxB1 genes, Sox1, Sox2, and Sox3, are panneurally expressed and have redundant roles in maintaining the broad developmental potential and identity of neural stem cells. Their inhibition in the vertebrate embryo results in premature differentiation of neural precursors and their overexpression results in inhibition of neurogenesis (Kishi et al., 2000; Cremazy et al., 2000; Overton et al., 2002; Avilion et al., 2003; Graham et al., 2003; Bylund et al., 2003). The three proteins counteract the functions of proneural basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors (Bylund et al., 2003; Pevny and Placzek, 2005). The SoxB1 genes continue to be expressed and to maintain neural stem cells in the adult brain, as supported by the observation that reduced expression of Sox2 in adult mice results in a loss of neuronal precursors and in neurodegeneration as observed in the Huntington and Alzheimer diseases (Ferri et al., 2004; Ekonomou et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Sottile et al., 2006). Neurogenesis (development of neurons), is initiated in the embryo when proneural proteins upregulate expression of the SoxB2 genes Sox14 and Sox21 (Malas et al., 1999). As mentioned earlier, these two genes encode transcriptional repressors that interfere with the activity of the SoxB1 transcriptional activators (Sandberg et al., 2005). Proneural proteins also activate expression of the SoxC genes Sox4 and Sox11 (Bergsland et al., 2006). These two genes establish neuronal properties mainly redundantly by activating panneuronal gene expression. Gliogenesis (development of oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and Schwann cells) in the central nervous system and peripheral nervous system relies on SoxE, and SoxD genes (Wegner, 2005; Stolt et al., 2003, 2004, and 2005). Sox9 and, to a lesser extent, Sox8 specify the gliogenic fate of neuronal precursors. The three SoxE genes are co-expressed and have redundant functions during oligodendrocyte proliferation. Sox10 and, to a lesser extent, Sox8 are expressed during terminal differentiation and required for myelination. The SoxD genes Sox5 and Sox6 are expressed downstream from the SoxE genes and, as mentioned earlier, their protein products directly interfere with transactivation of cell maturation markers by the SoxE proteins (Stolt et al., 2006). Finally, the SoxF gene, Sox17, may also be involved in gliogenesis. It is expressed in the oligodendrocyte lineage in vivo and has been shown in vitro to control oligodendrocyte progenitor cell cycle exit and differentiation (Sohn et al., 2006).

4.4. Neural crest development

The neural crest is a unique cell population that delaminates from the lateral borders of the neural plate shortly after neural tube closure and migrates into specific regions of the embryo to contribute to the development of a number of important structures, including the heart, great vessels, peripheral nervous system, craniofacial skeleton, eyes, and melanocytes. Interestingly, the same SoxB, C, D, and E genes that are expressed in neurogenic cells are also expressed in these cells and their derivatives, emphasizing the notion that they act in concert in a number of distinct processes (review in Hong and Saint-Jeannet, 2005). The SoxE gene Sox10 was first found to play a key role in the neural crest. Heterozygous mutations in SOX10 cause the human Waardenburg-Hirschsprung syndrome (Pingault et al., 1998), characterized by enteric agangliogenesis, pigmentation defects, and deafness. Similarly, the Sox10 Dom mutation in the mouse results in megacolon due to absence of neural crest-derived enteric nervous ganglia (Herbarth et al., 1998). Sox10 synergizes with Pax3 in neural crest derivatives to activate the gene for the melanocyte master transcription factor Mitf (Bondurand et al., 2000) and the gene for the enteric ganglia essential *c-ret* transcription factor (Lang et al., 2003). The other SoxE genes Sox9 and Sox8 are also expressed in the developing neural crest and act at least in part in redundancy with Sox10 (Taylor and LaBonne, 2005; Maka et al., 2005). The SoxC genes Sox4 and Sox11 are needed for heart outflow tract proper formation and Sox11 is also needed for proper development of other structures that, like the heart outflow tract, derive in part from the neural crest (Schilham et al., 1996; Sock et al., 2004). Like neurogenesis, neural crest development is thus also controlled by numerous Sox genes, but it is likely that we have uncovered so far only a subset of their actual contributions.

4.5. Skeletogenesis

Skeletogenesis is initiated in the embryo upon establishment of pluripotent mesenchymal cells at the presumptive sites of skeletal elements. SoxC and SoxE genes are expressed in these cells, but their roles remain largely unknown (Ng et al., 1997; Jay et al., 1997; Sock et al., 2004). Overt skeletogenesis is initiated when mesenchymal cells commit to the chondrocyte fate and undergo early steps of differentiation (review in Lefebvre and Smits, 2005). Sox9 has a master role in this process. The first clue that it critically controls chondrogenesis came from the finding that heterozygous mutations in SOX9 cause the severe human skeleton malformation syndrome known as Campomelic Dysplasia (Foster et al., 1994; Wagner et al., 1994). This syndrome is mainly characterized by underdevelopment and malformation of the cartilage primordia of most definitive bones. Sox9 is highly expressed in the chondrocyte lineage from the mesenchymal cell precursor stage (Ng et. al., 1997; Zhao et al., 1997) and is required for chondrocyte lineage commitment and differentiation (Bi et al., 1999; Akiyama et al., 2002). As in the oligodendrocyte lineage, Sox9 activates Sox5 and Sox6, but while L-Sox5/Sox6 counteract the action of SoxE proteins, including Sox9, in oligodendrocytes, they cooperate with Sox9 to activate major cartilage-specific genes (Lefebvre et al., 1998). Inactivation of Sox5 and Sox6 in the mouse has revealed essential, redundant roles for the two genes in promoting chondrocyte early differentiation, proliferation, and terminal maturation (Smits et al., 2001 and 2004). The three Sox genes are not only required for chondrogenesis, but were also shown to be sufficient to induce chondrocyte differentiation of mesenchymal cells (Ikeda et al., 2004). Osteogenesis (bone formation) occurs when pluripotent mesenchymal cells commit to the osteoblast lineage, differentiate, and start replacing cartilage by bone or establish bone models de novo. Sox9 has been proposed to prevent osteoblast differentiation of osteochondrogenic mesenchymal cells (Mori-Akiyama et al., 2003), and its close relative Sox8 was shown to prevent osteoblast differentiation (Schmidt et al., 2005). Sox8 and Sox9 may thus work together in this function. Mouse fetuses lacking Sox11 show a delay in ossification, suggesting a role for this SoxC gene in osteogenesis (Sock et al., 2004). Skeletogenesis is thus another important process critically controlled by a battery of Sox genes.

Hematopoiesis is a complex process whereby hematopoietic stem cells are maintained throughout life while constantly giving rise to progenitors that develop into multiple blood cell types. In contrast to other processes, few Sox proteins have been reported to be expressed and to have roles in this process. *Sox4* is highly expressed in the thymus and promotes pro-B lymphocyte expansion and T lymphocyte differentiation (Schilham et al., 1996 and 1997). The *SoxD* gene *Sox13* acts further downstream in the same pathway to promote delta-gamma T-cell development while opposing alpha-beta T-cell differentiation (Melichar et al., 2007). As mentioned above, Sox13 works by inhibiting transactivation of lineage-specific genes by TCF1 downstream of the Wnt signaling pathway. Another *SoxD* gene, *Sox6*, is expressed in definitive erythroid cells. It enhances the ability of the erythropoietin signaling to promote cell survival and proliferation and also promotes erythroid cell maturation (Dumitriu et al., 2006). It directly inhibits expression of embryonic globin genes (Yi et al., 2006). Based on the essential roles

that Sox genes have in maintaining stemness and in determining lineage fate and cell differentiation in other processes, it will be worth exploring in future projects the possibility that multiple Sox genes are involved in various aspects of hematopoiesis, as they are in many other processes.

4.7. Endoderm and hair development, cardiogenesis, and angiogenesis

Until now, we have reviewed functions for all Sox groups, but have hardly mentioned the SoxF group. This group of three genes, Sox7, Sox17, and Sox18, has essential roles in processes that are mostly distinct from those controlled by other Sox genes. This notion, however, may only reflect the limit of our current knowledge. Namely, they are involved in definitive endoderm development, cardiogenesis angiogenesis, and also hair development. The definitive endoderm of the early embryo gives rise to the entire gastrointestinal tract, glandular structures of the pharynx, the respiratory tract, liver and pancreas and other associated visceral organs (reviews in Tam et al., 2003). Studies in Xenopus and mouse embryos have demonstrated that Sox17 function is essential for the survival and differentiation of the definitive endoderm and thereby for proper development of the fore-, mid- and hindgut (Hudson et al., 1997; Kanai-Azuma et al., 2002). Sox 17 acts in this process by interacting with beta-catenin in its C-terminus and by directly transactivating such targets as the genes for the transcription factors Foxa1, and Foxa2 and the gene for the major basement membrane component laminin-alpha1 (Sinner et al., 2004; Niimi et al., 2004). Further, the three SoxF genes are highly expressed in vascular endothelial cells and the developing heart and have important, likely redundant roles in vasculogenesis and cardiogenesis. This conclusion is supported by the effect of dominantnegative Sox18 mutations on the cardiovascular systems of ragged and ragged-like mice (Pennisi et al., 2000; Downes and Koopman, 2001; James et al., 2003), by defective cardiogenesis in Xenopus mutants (Zhang et al., 2005), and by vascular abnormalities in postnatal Sox17/Sox18 double null mice (Matsui et al., 2006). Sox18, and likely its close relatives, has been shown to mediate its functions in endothelial cells by interacting with the MADS box transcription factor Mef2C and by directly activating the gene for the vascular cell adhesion molecule VCAM1 (Hosking et al., 2001 and 2004). Finally, Sox18 is also required for normal hair follicle development, as supported by the observation of a sparse coat in ragged mice and by the fact that mutations in SOX18 cause the hypotrichosis-lymphedemateleangiectasia syndrome, characterized by sparse hair, absence of eyebrows and eyelashes, edema, and vascular anomalies (Irrthum et al., 2003).

5. Conclusions, questions, and future directions

Sox research has kept progressing at a fast pace since it was born less than two decades ago, leading to the now well-established concept that *Sox* genes critically control cell fate and differentiation in a multitude of key developmental and physiological processes. These

Lefebvre et al.

processes include embryonic and neural cell stemness, sex determination, neurogenesis, cardiogenesis, skeletogenesis, as well as many more. In many processes, SoxB1 genes control stem cells, whereas Sry (SoxA), SoxC, SoxE and SoxF genes work downstream to specify lineage fate and early differentiation, and SoxD genes act even further downstream to promote or inhibit terminal maturation. The importance of Sox genes is such that mutations in several of them cause complex syndromes in humans. Notable examples are XY sex reversal due to SRY mutations, campomelic dysplasia due to SOX9 mutations, and Hirschsprung disease due to SOX10 mutations. Even though the number of roles that have already been identified for Sox genes is overwhelming, it is expected from expression pattern and in vitro studies that numerous important roles remain to be uncovered for most Sox genes and that additional human diseases may be caused by SOX mutations. Sox proteins have unique key functions in lineagespecific gene transcription, several of which are fulfilled by their highly conserved HMG box domain. This domain binds DNA, bends DNA, and directly interacts with various types of transcription factors. It thereby confers to Sox proteins a central role in the organization and activity of transcriptional complexes. Most Sox proteins also participate in transcription by contributing a potent transcriptional activation or repression domain. The first and foremost best characterized example is that of Sox2, which synergizes with the Oct3/4 POU domain transcription factor through binding to enhancers on several genes expressed in embryonic stem cells. Other examples are those of L-Sox5, Sox6, and Sox9 that cooperate with each other to activate chondrocyte-specific enhancers, but compete with each other to control glial cellspecific enhancers. The identification of the binding sites of Sox proteins on target genes remains a challenging task as these proteins bind DNA with low sequence specificity. It should become easier as new advances are made to identify their DNA configuration preferences and protein partners. A few Sox proteins have recently been shown to undergo posttranslational modifications, such as SUMOylation and phosphorylation of Sox9. These modifications significantly affect the protein stability and activity. These new findings should stimulate further investigations to learn how such modifications may affect other Sox proteins. Finally, how the expression of the Sox genes themselves is controlled is a question that we have hardly discussed in this review and that remains largely unanswered. Progress in this area will contribute in the future to a more complete understanding of the major impact that Sox genes have in the control of cell fate and differentiation.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Sox research community for their contribution to work cited in this review and we also thank and apologize to all those whose contributions could not be cited due to space constraints. We thank David Schumick from the Cleveland Clinic Medical Illustration Department for help in drawing figure schematics, and Josephine Adams and Peter Dy for advice on the manuscript. Work in the authors' laboratory was supported by grants from the NIH (AR46249 and AR54153), the Arthritis Foundation, and the Roche Foundation for Anemia Research to VL, and by postdoctoral fellowships from the Arthritis Foundation to AP, and the Cooley's Anemia Foundation and American Heart Association to BD.

References

- Akiyama H, Chaboissier MC, Martin JF, Schedl A, de Crombrugghe B. The transcription factor Sox9 has essential roles in successive steps of the chondrocyte differentiation pathway and is required for expression of Sox5 and Sox6. Genes & Development 2002;16:2813–2828. [PubMed: 12414734]
- Akiyama H, Chaboissier MC, Behringer RR, Rowitch DH, Schedl A, Epstein JA, de Crombrugghe B. Essential role of Sox9 in the pathway that controls formation of cardiac valves and septa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004;101:6502–6507. [PubMed: 15096597]
- Aoki Y, Saint-Germain N, Gyda M, Magner-Fink E, Lee YH, Credidio C, Saint-Jeannet JP. Sox10 regulates the development of neural crest-derived melanocytes in Xenopus. Developmental Biology 2003;259:19–33. [PubMed: 12812785]

- Avilion AA, Nicolis SK, Pevny LH, Perez L, Vivian N, Lovell-Badge R. Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. Genes & Development 2003;17:126–140. [PubMed: 12514105]
- Barrionuevo F, Bagheri-Fam S, Klattig J, Kist R, Taketo MM, Englert C, Scherer G. Homozygous inactivation of Sox9 causes complete XY sex reversal in mice. Biology of Reproduction 2006a; 74:195–201. [PubMed: 16207837]
- Barrionuevo F, Taketo MM, Scherer G, Kispert A. Sox9 is required for notochord maintenance in mice. Developmental Biology 2006b;295:128–140. [PubMed: 16678811]
- Beranger F, Mejean C, Moniot B, Berta P, Vandromme M. Muscle differentiation is antagonized by SOX15, a new member of the SOX protein family. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2000;275:16103– 16109. [PubMed: 10821863]
- Bergsland M, Werme M, Malewicz M, Perlmann T, Muhr J. The establishment of neuronal properties is controlled by Sox4 and Sox11. Genes & Development 2006;20:3475–3486. [PubMed: 17182872]
- Bernard P, Tang P, Liu S, Dewing P, Harley VR, Vilain E. Dimerization of SOX9 is required for chondrogenesis, but not for sex determination. Human Molecular Genetics 2003;12:1755–1765. [PubMed: 12837698]
- Bi W, Deng JM, Zhang Z, Behringer RR, de Crombrugghe B. Sox9 is required for cartilage formation. Nature Genetics 1999;22:85–89. [PubMed: 10319868]
- Bianchi ME, Beltrame M. Upwardly mobile proteins. Workshop: the role of HMG proteins in chromatin structure, gene expression and neoplasia. EMBO Reports 2000;1:109–114. [PubMed: 11265747]
- Bishop CE, Whitworth DJ, Qin Y, Agoulnik AI, Agoulnik IU, Harrison WR, et al. A transgenic insertion upstream of Sox9 is associated with dominant XX sex reversal in the mouse. Nature Genetics 2000;26:490–494. [PubMed: 11101852]
- Bondurand N, Pingault V, Goerich DE, Lemort N, Sock E, Caignec CL, et al. Interaction among SOX10, PAX3 and MITF, three genes altered in Waardenburg syndrome. Human Molecular Genetics 2000;9:1907–1917. [PubMed: 10942418]
- Bowles J, Goslik S, Koopman P. Phylogeny of the SOX family of developmental transcription factors based on sequence and structural indicators. Developmental Biology 2000;227:239–255. [PubMed: 11071752]
- Britsch S, Goerich DE, Riethmacher D, Peirano RI, Rossner M, Nave KA, et al. The transcription factor Sox10 is a key regulator of peripheral glial development. Genes & Development 2001;15:66–78. [PubMed: 11156606]
- Bustin M. Regulation of DNA-dependent activities by the functional motifs of the high-mobility-group chromosomal proteins. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1999;19:5237–5246. [PubMed: 10409715]
- Bylund M, Andersson E, Novitch BG, Muhr J. Vertebrate neurogenesis is counteracted by Sox1-3 activity. Nature Neuroscience 2003;6:1162–1168.
- Cameron FJ, Sinclair AH. Mutations in SRY and SOX9: testis-determining genes. Human Mutation 1997;9:388–395. [PubMed: 9143916]
- Chaboissier MC, Kobayashi A, Vidal VI, Lutzkendorf S, van de Kant HJ, Wegner M, et al. Functional analysis of Sox8 and Sox9 during sex determination in the mouse. Development 2004;131:1891–1901. [PubMed: 15056615]
- Cheung M, Chaboissier MC, Mynett A, Hirst E, Schedl A, Briscoe J. The transcriptional control of trunk neural crest induction, survival, and delamination. Developmental Cell 2005;8:179–192. [PubMed: 15691760]
- Collignon J, Sockanathan S, Hacker A, Cohen-Tannoudji M, Norris D, Rastan S, et al. A comparison of the properties of Sox-3 with Sry and two related genes, Sox-1 and Sox-2. Development 1996;122:509–520. [PubMed: 8625802]
- Connor F, Wright E, Denny P, Koopman P, Ashworth A. The Sry-related HMG box-containing gene Sox6 is expressed in the adult testis and developing nervous system of the mouse. Nucleic Acids Research 1995;11:3365–3372. [PubMed: 7567444]
- Cremazy F, Berta P, Girard F. Sox neuro, a new Drosophila Sox gene expressed in the developing central nervous system. Mechanisms of Development 2000;93:215–219. [PubMed: 10781960]

- De Santa Barbara P, Bonneaud N, Boizet B, Desclozeaux M, Moniot B, Südbeck P, et al. Direct interaction of SRY-related protein SOX9 and steroidogenic factor 1 regulates transcription of the human anti-Mullerian hormone gene. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1998;18:6653–6665. [PubMed: 9774680]
- Denny P, Swift S, Brand N, Dabhade N, Barton P, Ashworth A. A conserved family of genes related to the testis determining gene, SRY. Nucleic Acids Research 1992;20:2887. [PubMed: 1614875]
- Dewing P, Chiang CW, Sinchak K, Sim H, Fernagut PO, Kelly S, et al. Direct regulation of adult brain function by the male-specific factor SRY. Current Biology 2006;16:415–420. [PubMed: 16488877]
- Downes M, Koopman P. SOX18 and the transcriptional regulation of blood vessel development. Trends Cardiovascascular Medicine 2001;11:318–324.
- Dubin RA, Coward P, Lau YF, Ostrer H. Functional comparison of the Mus musculus molossinus and Mus musculus domesticus Sry genes. Molecular Endocrinology 1995;9:1645–1654. [PubMed: 8614401]
- Dumitriu B, Patrick MR, Petschek JP, Cherukuri S, Klingmuller U, Fox PL, et al. Sox6 cell-autonomously stimulates erythroid cell survival, proliferation, and terminal maturation and is thereby an important enhancer of definitive erythropoiesis during mouse development. Blood 2006;108:1198–1207. [PubMed: 16627753]
- Dunn TL, Mynett-Johnson L, Wright EM, Hosking BM, Koopman PA, Muscat GE. Sequence and expression of Sox-18 encoding a new HMG-box transcription factor. Gene 1995;19:223–225. [PubMed: 7665083]
- Ekonomou A, Kazanis I, Malas S, Wood H, Alifragis P, Denaxa M, et al. Neuronal migration and ventral subtype identity in the telencephalon depend on SOX1. PLoS Biology 2005;3:e186. [PubMed: 15882093]
- Episkopou V. SOX2 functions in adult neural stem cells. Trends in Neuroscience 2005;28:219-221.
- Fantes J, Ragge NK, Lynch SA, McGill NI, Collin JR, Howard-Peebles PN, et al. Mutations in SOX2 cause anophthalmia. Nature Genetics 2003;33:461–463. [PubMed: 12612584]
- Fernandez-Lloris R, Osses N, Jaffray E, Shen LN, Vaughan OA, Girwood D, et al. Repression of SOX6 transcriptional activity by SUMO modification. FEBS Letters 2006;580:1215–1221. [PubMed: 16442531]
- Ferri AL, Cavallaro M, Braida D, Di Cristofano A, Canta A, Vezzani A, et al. Sox2 deficiency causes neurodegeneration and impaired neurogenesis in the adult mouse brain. Development 2004;131:3805–3819. [PubMed: 15240551]
- Foster JW, Dominguez Steglich MA, Guioli S, Kowk G, Weller PA, Stevanovic M, et al. Campomelic dysplasia and autosomal sex reversal caused by mutations in an SRY-related gene. Nature 1994;372:525–530. [PubMed: 7990924]
- Gasca S, Canizares J, De Santa Barbara P, Mejean C, Poulat F, Berta P, et al. A nuclear export signal within the high mobility group domain regulates the nucleocytoplasmic translocation of SOX9 during sexual determination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2002;99:11199–11204. [PubMed: 12169669]
- Giese K, Kingsley C, Kirshner JR, Grosschedl R. Assembly and function of a TCR alpha enhancer complex is dependent on LEF-1-induced DNA bending and multiple protein-protein interactions. Genes & Development 1995;9:995–1008. [PubMed: 7774816]
- Girard M, Gossens M. Sumoylation of the Sox10 transcription factor regulates its transcriptional activity. FEBS letters 2006;580:1635–1641. [PubMed: 16494873]
- Goodfellow PN, Lovell-Badge R. SRY and sex determination in mammals. Annual Review Genetics 1993;27:71–92.
- Goodwin GH, Sanders C, Johns EW. A new group of chromatin-associated proteins with a high content of acidic and basic amino acids. European Journal of Biochemistry 1973;38:14–19. [PubMed: 4774120]
- Graham V, Khudyakov J, Ellis P, Pevny L. SOX2 functions to maintain neural progenitor identity. Neuron 2003;39:749–765. [PubMed: 12948443]
- Graves JA. Interactions between SRY and SOX genes in mammalian sex determination. Bioessays 1998;20:264–269. [PubMed: 9631654]
- Grosschedl R, Giese K, Pagel J. HMG domain proteins: architectural elements in the assembly of nucleoprotein structures. Trends in Genetics 1994;10:94–100. [PubMed: 8178371]

- Gubbay J, Koopman P, Collignon J, Burgoyne P, Lovell-Badge R. Normal structure and expression of Zfy genes in XY female mice mutant in Tdy. Development 1990;109:647–653. [PubMed: 1698142]
- Gubbay J, Collignon J, Koopman P, Capel B, Economou A, Munsterberg A, et al. A gene mapping to the sex-determining region of the mouse Y chromosome is a member of a novel family of embryonically expressed genes. Nature 1990;346:245–250. [PubMed: 2374589]
- Gubbay J, Vivian N, Economou A, Jackson D, Goodfellow P, Lovell-Badge R. Inverted repeat structure of the Sry locus in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1992;89:7953–7957. [PubMed: 1518820]
- Hagiwara N, Klewer SE, Samson RA, Erickson DT, Lyon MF, Brilliant MH. Sox6 is a candidate gene for p100H myopathy, heart block, and sudden neonatal death. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2000;97:4180–4185. [PubMed: 10760285]
- Hargrave M, Karunaratne A, Cox L, Wood S, Koopman P, Yamada T. The HMG box transcription factor gene Sox14 marks a novel subset of ventral interneurons and is regulated by sonic hedgehog. Developmental Biology 2000;219:142–153. [PubMed: 10677261]
- Harley VR, Lovell-Badge R, Goodfellow PN. Definition of a consensus DNA binding site for SRY. Nucleic Acids Research 1994;22:1500–1501. [PubMed: 8190643]
- Hattori T, Eberspaecher H, Lu J, Zhang R, Nishida T, Kahyo T, Yasuda H, de Crombrugghe B. Interactions between PIAS proteins and SOX9 result in an increase in the cellular concentrations of SOX9. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2006;281:14417–14428. [PubMed: 16554309]
- Herbarth B, Pingault V, Bondurand N, Kuhlbrodt K, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Puliti A, et al. Mutation of the Sry-related Sox10 gene in Dominant megacolon, a mouse model for human Hirschsprung disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1998;95:5161– 5165. [PubMed: 9560246]
- Hiraoka Y, Ogawa M, Sakai Y, Kido S, Aiso S. The mouse Sox5 gene encodes a protein containing the leucine zipper and the Q box. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1998;1399:40–46. [PubMed: 9714725]
- Hong CS, Saint-Jeannet JP. Sox proteins and neural crest development. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 2005;16:694–703. [PubMed: 16039883]
- Hosking BM, Wang SC, Chen SL, Penning S, Koopman P, Muscat GE. SOX18 directly interacts with MEF2C in endothelial cells. Biochemical Biophysical Research Communications 2001;287:493– 500.
- Hosking BM, Wang SC, Downes M, Koopman P, Muscat GE. The VCAM-1 gene that encodes the vascular cell adhesion molecule is a target of the Sry-related high mobility group box gene, Sox18. Journal Biological Chemistry 2004;279:5314–5322.
- Huang W, Zhou X, Lefebvre V, de Crombrugghe B. Phosphorylation of SOX9 by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase enhances SOX9's ability to transactivate a Col2a1 chondrocyte-specific enhancer. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2000;20:4149–4158. [PubMed: 10805756]
- Huang W, Chung UI, Kronenberg HM, de Crombrugghe B. The chondrogenic transcription factor Sox9 is a target of signaling by the parathyroid hormone-related peptide in the growth plate of endochondral bones. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2001;98:160–165. [PubMed: 11120880]
- Hudson C, Clements D, Friday RV, Stott D, Woodland HR. Xsox17alpha and -beta mediate endoderm formation in Xenopus. Cell 1997;91:397–405. [PubMed: 9363948]
- Ikeda T, Kamekura S, Mabuchi A, Kou I, Seki S, Takato T, et al. The Combination of SOX5, SOX6, and SOX9 (the SOX Trio) Provides Signals Sufficient for Induction of Permanent Cartilage. Arthritis & Rheumatism 2004;50:3561–3573. [PubMed: 15529345]
- Inoue K, Shilo K, Boerkoel CF, Crowe C, Sawady J, Lupski JR, Agamanolis DP. Congenital hypomyelinating neuropathy, central dysmyelination, and Waardenburg-Hirschsprung disease: phenotypes linked by SOX10 mutation. Annals Neurology 2002;52:836–842.
- Irrthum A, Devriendt K, Chitayat D, Matthijs G, Glade C, Steijlen PM, et al. Mutations in the Transcription Factor Gene SOX18 Underlie Recessive and Dominant Forms of Hypotrichosis-Lymphedema-Telangiectasia. American Journal of Human Genetics 2003;72:1470–1478. [PubMed: 12740761]
- James K, Hosking B, Gardner J, Muscat GE, Koopman P. Sox18 mutations in the ragged mouse alleles ragged-like and opossum. Genesis 2003;36:1–6. [PubMed: 12748961]

- Jay P, Sahly I, Goze C, Taviaux S, Poulat F, Couly G, Abitbol M, Berta P. SOX22 is a new member of the SOX gene family, mainly expressed in human nervous tissue. Human Molecular Genetics 1997;6:1069–1077. [PubMed: 9215677]
- Kamachi Y, Uchikawa M, Collignon J, Lovell-Badge R, Kondoh H. Involvement of Sox1, 2 and 3 in the early and subsequent molecular events of lens induction. Development 1998;125:2521–2532. [PubMed: 9609835]
- Kamachi Y, Cheah KS, Kondoh H. Mechanism of regulatory target selection by the SOX high-mobilitygroup domain proteins as revealed by comparison of SOX1/2/3 and SOX9. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1999;19:107–120. [PubMed: 9858536]
- Kamachi Y, Uchikawa M, Kondoh H. Pairing SOX off: with partners in the regulation of embryonic development. Trends in Genetics 2000;16:182–187. [PubMed: 10729834]
- Kamachi Y, Uchikawa M, Tanouchi A, Sekido R, Kondoh H. Pax6 and SOX2 form a co-DNA-binding partner complex that regulates initiation of lens development. Genes & Development 2001;15:1272– 1286. [PubMed: 11358870]
- Kanai Y, Kanai-Azuma M, Noce T, Saido TC, Shiroishi T, Hayashi Y, et al. Identification of two Sox17 messenger RNA isoforms, with and without the high mobility group box region, and their differential expression in mouse spermatogenesis. Journal of Cell Biology 1996;133:667–681. [PubMed: 8636240]
- Kanai-Azuma M, Kanai Y, Gad JM, Tajima Y, Taya C, Kurohmaru M, et al. Depletion of definitive gut endoderm in Sox17-null mutant mice. Development 2002;129:2367–2379. [PubMed: 11973269]
- Kasimiotis H, Fida S, Rowley MJ, Mackay IR, Zimmet PZ, Gleason S, et al. Antibodies to SOX13 (ICA12) are associated with type 1 diabetes. Autoimmunity 2001;33:95–101. [PubMed: 11264788]
- Katoh K, Miyata T. A heuristic approach of maximum likelihood method for inferring phylogenetic tree and an application to the mammalian SOX-3 origin of the testis-determining gene SRY. FEBS Let 1999;463:129–132. [PubMed: 10601652]
- Kelberman D, Rizzoti K, Avilion A, Bitner-Glindzicz M, Cianfarani S, Collins J, et al. Mutations within Sox2/SOX2 are associated with abnormalities in the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis in mice and humans. Journal of Clinical Investigation 2006;116:2442–2455. [PubMed: 16932809]
- Kelsh RN. Sorting out Sox10 functions in neural crest development. Bioessays 2006;28:788–798. [PubMed: 16927299]
- Kido S, Hiraoka Y, Ogawa M, Sakai Y, Yoshimura Y, Aiso S. Cloning and characterization of mouse mSox13 cDNA. Gene 1998;208:201–206. [PubMed: 9524265]
- Kishi M, Mizuseki K, Sasai N, Yamazaki H, Shiota K, Nakanishi S, et al. Requirement of Sox2-mediated signaling for differentiation of early Xenopus neuroectoderm. Development 2000;127:791–800. [PubMed: 10648237]
- Kobayashi A, Chang H, Chaboissier MC, Schedl A, Behringer RR. Sox9 in testis determination. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 2005;1061:9–17. [PubMed: 16467253]
- Kondoh H, Uchikawa M, Kamachi Y. Interplay of Pax6 and SOX2 in lens development as a paradigm of genetic switch mechanisms for cell differentiation. International Journal of Developmental Biology 2004;48:819–827. [PubMed: 15558474]
- Koopman P, Gubbay J, Vivian N, Goodfellow P, Lovell-Badge R. Male development of chromosomally female mice transgenic for Sry. Nature 1991;351:117–121. [PubMed: 2030730]
- Koopman P, Schepers G, Brenner S, Venkatesh B. Origin and diversity of the SOX transcription factor gene family: genome-wide analysis in Fugu rubripes. Gene 2004;328:177–186. [PubMed: 15019997]
- Koopman P. Sex determination: a tale of two Sox genes. Trends in Genetics 2005;21:367–370. [PubMed: 15949865]
- Kuhlbrodt K, Herbarth B, Sock E, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Wegner M. Sox10, a novel transcriptional modulator in glial cells. Journal of Neuroscience 1998;18:237–250. [PubMed: 9412504]
- Lang D, Epstein JA. Sox10 and Pax3 physically interact to mediate activation of a conserved c-RET enhancer. Human Molecular Genetics 2003;12:937–945. [PubMed: 12668617]
- Lahr G, Maxson SC, Mayer A, Just W, Pilgrim C, Reisert I. Transcription of the Y chromosomal gene, Sry, in adult mouse brain. *Brain Research*. Molecular Brain Research 1995;33:179–182. [PubMed: 8774960]

- Laumonnier F, Ronce N, Hamel BC, Thomas P, Lespinasse J, Raynaud M, et al. Transcription factor SOX3 is involved in X-linked mental retardation with growth hormone deficiency. American Journal of Human Genetics 2002;71:1450–1455. [PubMed: 12428212]
- Lee YH, Aoki Y, Hong CS, Saint-Germain N, Credidio C, Saint-Jeannet JP. Early requirement of the transcriptional activator Sox9 for neural crest specification in Xenopus. Developmental Biology 2004;275:93–103. [PubMed: 15464575]
- Lee HJ, Goring W, Ochs M, Muhlfeld C, Steding G, Paprotta I, et al. Sox15 is required for skeletal muscle regeneration. Molecular Cellular Biology 2004;24:8428–8436.
- Lefebvre V, Zhou G, Mukhopadhyay K, Smith CN, Zhang Z, et al. An 18-base-pair sequence in the mouse proalpha1(II) collagen gene is sufficient for expression in cartilage and binds nuclear proteins that are selectively expressed in chondrocytes. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1996;16:4512–4523. [PubMed: 8754852]
- Lefebvre V, Huang W, Harley VR, Goodfellow PN, de Crombrugghe B. SOX9 is a potent activator of the chondrocyte-specific enhancer of the pro alpha1 (II) collagen gene. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1997;174:2336–2346. [PubMed: 9121483]
- Lefebvre V, Li P, de Crombrugghe B. A new long form of Sox5 (L-Sox5), Sox6 and Sox9 are coexpressed in chondrogenesis and cooperatively activate the type II collagen gene. EMBO Journal 1998;17:5718–5733. [PubMed: 9755172]
- Lefebvre V, Smits P. Transcriptional control of chondrocyte fate and differentiation. Birth defects research. Part C, Embryo today : reviews 2005;75:200–212.
- Love JJ, Li X, Case DA, Giese K, Grosschedl R, Wright PE. Structural basis for DNA bending by the architectural transcription factor LEF-1. Nature 1995;376:791–795. [PubMed: 7651541]
- Maka M, Stolt CC, Wegner M. Identification of Sox8 as a modifier gene in a mouse model of Hirschsprung disease reveals underlying molecular defect. Developmental Biology 2005;277:155–169. [PubMed: 15572147]
- Malas S, Duthie S, Deloukas P, Episkopou V. The isolation and high-resolution chromosomal mapping of human SOX14 and SOX21; two members of the SOX gene family related to SOX1, SOX2, and SOX3. Mammalian Genome 1999;10:934–937. [PubMed: 10441749]
- Malki S, Nef S, Notarnicola C, Thevenet L, Gasca S, Mejean C, et al. Prostaglandin D2 induces nuclear import of the sex-determining factor SOX9 via its cAMP-PKA phosphorylation. EMBO Journal 2005;24:1798–1809. [PubMed: 15889150]
- Maruyama M, Ichisaka T, Nakagawa M, Yamanaka S. Differential roles for Sox15 and Sox2 in transcriptional control in mouse embryonic stem cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005;280:24371–24379. [PubMed: 15863505]
- Matsui T, Kanai-Azuma M, Hara K, Matoba S, Hiramatsu R, Kawakami H, et al. Redundant roles of Sox17 and Sox18 in postnatal angiogenesis in mice. Journal of Cell Science 2006;119:3513–3526. [PubMed: 16895970]
- Meeson AP, Shi X, Alexander MS, Williams RS, Allen RE, Jiang N, et al. Sox15 and Fhl3 transcriptionally coactivate Foxk1 and regulate myogenic progenitor cells. EMBO Journal 2007;26:1902–1912. [PubMed: 17363903]
- Melichar HJ, Narayan K, Der SD, Hiraoka Y, Gardiol N, Jeannet G, et al. Regulation of gammadelta versus alphabeta T lymphocyte differentiation by the transcription factor SOX13. Science 2007;315:230–233. [PubMed: 17218525]
- Mertin S, McDowall SG, Harley VR. The DNA-binding specificity of SOX9 and other SOX proteins. Nucleic Acids Research 1999;27:1359–1364. [PubMed: 9973626]
- Moniot B, Biau S, Faure S, Nielsen CM, Berta P, Roberts DJ, et al. SOX9 specifies the pyloric sphincter epithelium through mesenchymal-epithelial signals. Development 2004;15:3795–3804. [PubMed: 15240557]
- Morais da Silva S, Hacker A, Harley V, Goodfellow P, Swain A, Lovell-Badge R. Sox9 expression during gonadal development implies a conserved role for the gene in testis differentiation in mammals and birds. Nature Genetics 1996;14:62–68. [PubMed: 8782821]
- Mori-Akiyama Y, Akiyama H, Rowitch DH, de Crombrugghe B. Sox9 is required for determination of the chondrogenic cell lineage in the cranial neural crest. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2003;100:9360–9365. [PubMed: 12878728]

- Murphy FV 4th, Sweet RM, Churchill ME. The structure of a chromosomal high mobility group protein-DNA complex reveals sequence-neutral mechanisms important for non-sequence-specific DNA recognition. EMBO Journal 1999;18:6610–6618. [PubMed: 10581235]
- Ng LJ, Wheatley S, Muscat GE, Conway-Campbell J, Bowles J, Wright E, et al. SOX9 binds DNA, activates transcription, and coexpresses with type II collagen during chondrogenesis in the mouse. Developmental Biology 1997;183:108–121. [PubMed: 9119111]
- Niimi T, Hayashi Y, Futaki S, Sekiguchi K. SOX7 and SOX17 regulate the parietal endoderm-specific enhancer activity of mouse laminin alpha1 gene. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2004;279:38055–38061. [PubMed: 15220343]
- Nishiguchi S, Wood H, Kondoh H, Lovell-Badge R, Episkopou V. Sox1 directly regulates the gammacrystallin genes and is essential for lens development in mice. Genes & Development 1998;12:776– 781. [PubMed: 9512512]
- Nowling T, Bernadt C, Johnson L, Desler M, Rizzino A. The co-activator p300 associates physically with and can mediate the action of the distal enhancer of the FGF-4 gene. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2003;278:13696–13705. [PubMed: 12488456]
- O'Donnell M, Hong CS, Huang X, Delnicki RJ, Saint-Jeannet JP. Functional analysis of Sox8 during neural crest development in Xenopus. Development 2006;133:3817–3826. [PubMed: 16943273]
- Okubo T, Pevny LH, Hogan BL. Sox2 is required for development of taste bud sensory cells. Genes & Development 2006;20:2654–2659. [PubMed: 17015430]
- Osaki E, Nishina Y, Inazawa J, Copeland NG, Gilbert DJ, Jenkins NA, et al. Identification of a novel Sry-related gene and its germ cell-specific expression. Nucleic Acids Research 1999;27:2503–2510. [PubMed: 10359848]
- Overton PM, Meadows LA, Urban J, Russell S. Evidence for differential and redundant function of the Sox genes Dichaete and SoxN during CNS development in Drosophila. Development 2002;129:4219–4228. [PubMed: 12183374]
- Pan X, Li H, Zhang P, Jin B, Man J, Tian L, et al. Ubc9 interacts with SOX4 and represses its transcriptional activity. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 2006;344:727–734. [PubMed: 16631117]
- Peirano RI, Wegner M. The glial transcription factor Sox10 binds to DNA both as monomer and dimer with different functional consequences. Nucleic Acids Research 2000;28:3047–3055. [PubMed: 10931919]
- Pennisi D, Gardner J, Chambers D, Hosking B, Peters J, Muscat G, et al. Mutations in Sox18 underlie cardiovascular and hair follicle defects in ragged mice. Nature Genetics 2000;24:434–437. [PubMed: 10742113]
- Perez-Alcala S, Nieto MA, Barbas JA. LSox5 regulates RhoB expression in the neural tube and promotes generation of the neural crest. Development 2004;131:4455–4465. [PubMed: 15306568]
- Pevny L, Placzek M. SOX genes and neural progenitor identity. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2005;15:7–13. [PubMed: 15721738]
- Pfeifer D, Poulat F, Holinski-Feder E, Kooy F, Scherer G. The SOX8 gene is located within 700 kb of the tip of chromosome 16p and is deleted in a patient with ATR-16 syndrome. Genomics 2002;63:108–116. [PubMed: 10662550]
- Pingault V, Bondurand N, Kuhlbrodt K, Goerich DE, Prehu MO, Puliti A, et al. SOX10 mutations in patients with Waardenburg-Hirschsprung disease. Nature Genetics 1998;18:171–173. [PubMed: 9462749]
- Polanco JC, Koopman P. Sry and the hesitant beginnings of male development. Developmental Biology 2007;302:13–24. [PubMed: 16996051]
- Pontiggia A, Rimini R, Harley VR, Goodfellow PN, Lovell-Badge R, Bianchi ME. Sex-reversing mutations affect the architecture of SRY-DNA complexes. EMBO Journal 1994;13:6115–6124. [PubMed: 7813448]
- Pusch C, Hustert E, Pfeifer D, Súdbeck P, Kist R, Roe B, et al. The SOX10/Sox10 gene from human and mouse: sequence, expression, and transactivation by the encoded HMG domain transcription factor. Human Genetics 1998;103:115–123. [PubMed: 9760192]

- Rehberg S, Lischka P, Glaser G, Stamminger T, Wegner M, Rosorius O. Sox10 is an active nucleocytoplasmic shuttle protein, and shuttling is crucial for Sox10-mediated transactivation. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2002;22:5826–5834. [PubMed: 12138193]
- Remenyi A, Lins K, Nissen LJ, Reinbold R, Scholer HR, Wilmanns M. Crystal structure of a POU/HMG/ DNA ternary complex suggests differential assembly of Oct4 and Sox2 on two enhancers. Genes & Development 2003;17:2048–2059. [PubMed: 12923055]
- Rizzoti K, Brunelli S, Carmignac D, Thomas PQ, Robinson IC, Lovell-Badge R. SOX3 is required during the formation of the hypothalamo-pituitary axis. Nature Genetics 2004;36:247–255. [PubMed: 14981518]
- Rousseau F, Vincent A, Rivella S, Heitz D, Triboli C, Maestrini E, et al. Four chromosomal breakpoints and four new probes mark out a 10-cM region encompassing the fragile-X locus (FRAXA). American Journal of Human Genetics 1991;48:108–116. [PubMed: 1670748]
- Sandberg M, Kallstrom M, Muhr J. Sox21 promotes the progression of vertebrate neurogenesis. Nature Neuroscience 2005;8:995–1001.
- Savare J, Bonneaud N, Girard F. SUMO represses transcriptional activity of the Drosophila SoxNeuro and human Sox3 central nervous system-specific transcription factors. Molecular Biology of the Cell 2005;16:2660–2669. [PubMed: 15788563]
- Scaffidi P, Bianchi ME. Spatially precise DNA bending is an essential activity of the sox2 transcription factor. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2001;276:47296–47302. [PubMed: 11584012]
- Schepers GE, Bullejos M, Hosking BM, Koopman P. Cloning and characterization of the Sry-related transcription factor gene Sox8. Nucleic Acids Research 2000;28:1473–1480. [PubMed: 10684944]
- Schepers GE, Teasdale RD, Koopman P. Twenty pairs of sox: extent, homology, and nomenclature of the mouse and human sox transcription factor gene families. Developmental Cell 2002;3:167–170. [PubMed: 12194848]
- Schilham MW, Oosterwegel MA, Moerer P, Ya J, Deboer PAJ, van de Wetering M, et al. Defects in cardiac outflow tract formation and pro-B-lymphocyte expansion in mice lacking Sox-4. Nature 1996;380:711–714. [PubMed: 8614465]
- Schilham MW, Moerer P, Cumano A, Clevers HC. Sox-4 facilitates thymocyte differentiation. European Journal of Immunology 1997;27:1292–1295. [PubMed: 9174623]
- Schlierf B, Ludwig A, Klenovsek K, Wegner M. Cooperative binding of Sox10 to DNA: requirements and consequences. Nucleic Acids Research 2002;30:5509–5516. [PubMed: 12490719]
- Schmidt K, Schinke T, Haberland M, Priemel M, Schilling AF, Mueldner C, et al. The high mobility group transcription factor Sox8 is a negative regulator of osteoblast differentiation. Journal of Cell Biology 2005;168:899–910. [PubMed: 15753123]
- Seymour PA, Freude KK, Tran MN, Mayes EE, Jensen J, Kist R, et al. SOX9 is required for maintenance of the pancreatic progenitor cell pool. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2007;104:1865–1870. [PubMed: 17267606]
- Sinclair AH, Berta P, Palmer MS, Hawkins JR, Griffiths BL, Smith MJ, et al. A gene from the human sex-determining region encodes a protein with homology to a conserved DNA-binding motif. Nature 1990;346:240–244. [PubMed: 1695712]
- Sinner D, Rankin S, Lee M, Zorn AM. Sox17 and beta-catenin cooperate to regulate the transcription of endodermal genes. Development 2004;131:3069–3080. [PubMed: 15163629]
- Smits P, Li P, Mandel J, Zhang Z, Deng JM, Behringer RR, et al. The transcription factors L-Sox5 and Sox6 are essential for cartilage formation. Developmental Cell 2001;1:277–290. [PubMed: 11702786]
- Smits P, Dy P, Mitra S, Lefebvre V. Sox5 and Sox6 are needed to develop and maintain source, columnar, and hypertrophic chondrocytes in the cartilage growth plate. Journal of Cell Biology 2004;164:747– 58. [PubMed: 14993235]
- Sock E, Pagon RA, Keymolen K, Lissens W, Wegner M, Scherer G. Loss of DNA-dependent dimerization of the transcription factor SOX9 as a cause for campomelic dysplasia. Human Molecular Genetics 2003;12:1439–1447. [PubMed: 12783851]
- Sock E, Rettig SD, Enderich J, Bosl MR, Tamm ER, Wegner M. Gene targeting reveals a widespread role for the high-mobility-group transcription factor Sox11 in tissue remodeling. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2004;24:6635–6644. [PubMed: 15254231]

- Sohn J, Natale J, Chew LJ, Belachew S, Cheng Y, Aguirre A, et al. Identification of Sox17 as a transcription factor that regulates oligodendrocyte development. The Journal of Neuroscience 2006;26:9722–9735. [PubMed: 16988043]
- Sottile V, Li M, Scotting PJ. Stem cell marker expression in the Bergmann glia population of the adult mouse brain. Brain Research 2006;1099:8–17. [PubMed: 16797497]
- Southard-Smith EM, Kos L, Pavan WJ. Sox10 mutation disrupts neural crest development in Dom Hirschsprung mouse model. Nature Genetics 1998;18:60–64. [PubMed: 9425902]
- Stolt CC, Rehberg S, Ader M, Lommes P, Riethmacher D, Schachner M, et al. Terminal differentiation of myelin-forming oligodendrocytes depends on the transcription factor Sox10. Genes & Development 2002;16:165–170. [PubMed: 11799060]
- Stolt CC, Lommes P, Sock E, Chaboissier MC, Schedl A, Wegner M. The Sox9 transcription factor determines glial fate choice in the developing spinal cord. Genes & Development, 2003 2003;17:1677–89.
- Stolt CC, Lommes P, Friedrich RP, Wegner M. Transcription factors Sox8 and Sox10 perform nonequivalent roles during oligodendrocyte development despite functional redundancy. Development 2004;131:2349–2358. [PubMed: 15102707]
- Stolt CC, Schmitt S, Lommes P, Sock E, Wegner M. Impact of transcription factor Sox8 on oligodendrocyte specification in the mouse embryonic spinal cord. Developmental Biology 2005;281:309–317. [PubMed: 15893981]
- Stolt CC, Schlierf A, Lommes P, Hillgartner S, Werner T, Kosian T, et al. SoxD proteins influence multiple stages of oligodendrocyte development and modulate SoxE protein function. Developmental Cell 2006;11:697–709. [PubMed: 17084361]
- Südbeck P, Schmitz ML, Baeuerle PA, Scherer G. Sex reversal by loss of the C-terminal transactivation domain of human SOX9. Nature Genetics 1996;13:230–232. [PubMed: 8640233]
- Südbeck P, Scherer G. Two independent nuclear localization signals are present in the DNA-binding high-mobility group domains of SRY and SOX9. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1997;272:27848–27852. [PubMed: 9346931]
- Takash W, Canizares J, Bonneaud N, Poulat F, Mattei MG, Jay P, et al. SOX7 transcription factor: sequence, chromosomal localization, expression, transactivation and interference with Wnt signaling. Nucleic Acids Research 2001;29:4274–4283. [PubMed: 11691915]
- Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 2006;126:663–676. [PubMed: 16904174]
- Takamatsu N, Kanda H, Tsuchiya I, Yamada S, Ito M, Kabeno S, et al. A gene that is related to SRY and is expressed in the testes encodes a leucine zipper-containing protein. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1995;15:3759–3766. [PubMed: 7791783]
- Tam PP, Kanai-Azuma M, Kanai Y. Early endoderm development in vertebrates: lineage differentiation and morphogenetic function. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2003;13:393–400. [PubMed: 12888013]
- Tanaka S, Kamachi Y, Tanouchi A, Hamada H, Jing N, Kondoh H. Interplay of SOX and POU factors in regulation of the Nestin gene in neural primordial cells. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2004;24:8834–8846. [PubMed: 15456859]
- Taniguchi K, Hiraoka Y, Ogawa M, Sakai Y, Kido S, Aiso S. Isolation and characterization of a mouse SRY-related cDNA, mSox7. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1999;1445:225–231. [PubMed: 10320775]
- Taylor K, LaBonne C. SoxE factors function equivalently during neural crest and inner ear development and their activity is regulated by SUMOylation. Developmental Cell 2005;9:593–603. [PubMed: 16256735]
- Travis A, Amsterdam A, Belanger C, Grosschedl R. LEF-1, a gene encoding a lymphoid-specific protein with an HMG domain, regulates T-cell receptor alpha enhancer function. Genes & Development 1991;5:880–94. [PubMed: 1827423]
- Tomioka M, Nishimoto M, Miyagi S, Katayanagi T, Fukui N, Niwa H, et al. Identification of Sox-2 regulatory region which is under the control of Oct-3/4-Sox-2 complex. Nucleic Acids Research 2002;30:3202–3213. [PubMed: 12136102]

- Tsuda M, Takahashi S, Takahashi Y, Asahara H. Transcriptional co-activators CREB-binding protein and p300 regulate chondrocyte-specific gene expression via association with Sox9. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2003;278:27224–27229. [PubMed: 12732631]
- Uchikawa M, Kamachi Y, Kondoh H. Two distinct subgroups of Group B Sox genes for transcriptional activators and repressors: their expression during embryonic organogenesis of the chicken. Mechanisms of Development 1999;84:103–120. [PubMed: 10473124]
- van de Wetering M, Oosterwegel M, van Norren K, Clevers H. Sox-4, an Sry-like HMG box protein, is a transcriptional activator in lymphocytes. EMBO Journal 1993;12:3847–3854. [PubMed: 8404853]
- Vidal VP, Chaboissier MC, Lutzkendorf S, Cotsarelis G, Mill P, Hui CC, et al. Sox9 is essential for outer root sheath differentiation and the formation of the hair stem cell compartment. Current Biology 2005;15:1340–1351. [PubMed: 16085486]
- Wang TW, Stromberg GP, Whitney JT, Brower NW, Klymkowsky MW, Parent JM. Sox3 expression identifies neural progenitors in persistent neonatal and adult mouse forebrain germinative zones. Journal of Comparative Neurology 2006;497:88–100. [PubMed: 16680766]
- Wagner TT, Wirth J, Meyer J, Zabel B, Held M, Zimmer J, et al. Autosomal sex reversal and campomelic dysplasia are caused by mutations in and around the SRY-related gene SOX9. Cell 1994;79:1111– 1120. [PubMed: 8001137]
- Wegner M. From head to toes: the multiple facets of Sox proteins. Nucleic Acids Research 1999;27:1409– 1420. [PubMed: 10037800]
- Wegner M. Secrets to a healthy Sox life: lessons for melanocytes. Pigment Cell Research 2005;18:74– 85. [PubMed: 15760336]
- Wegner M, Stolt CC. From stem cells to neurons and glia: a Soxist's view of neural development. Trends in Neuroscience 2005;28:583–588.
- Weiss MA. Floppy SOX: mutual induced fit in hmg (high-mobility group) box-DNA recognition. Molecular Endocrinology 2001;15:353–362. [PubMed: 11222737]
- Weiss J, Meeks JJ, Hurley L, Raverot G, Frassetto A, Jameson JL. Sox3 is required for gonadal function, but not sex determination, in males and females. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2003;23:8084– 8091. [PubMed: 14585968]
- Werner MH, Huth JR, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM. Molecular basis of human 46X,Y sex reversal revealed from the three-dimensional solution structure of the human SRY-DNA complex. Cell 1995;81:705–714. [PubMed: 7774012]
- Whitfield LS, Lovell-Badge R, Goodfellow PN. Rapid sequence evolution of the mammalian sexdetermining gene SRY. Nature 1993;364:713–715. [PubMed: 8355783]
- Wissmüller S, Kosian T, Wolf M, Finzsch M, Wegner M. The high-mobility-group domain of Sox proteins interacts with DNA-binding domains of many transcription factors. Nucleic Acids Research 2006;34:1735–1744. [PubMed: 16582099]
- Williamson KA, Hever AM, Rainger J, Rogers RC, Magee A, Fiedler Z, et al. Mutations in SOX2 cause anophthalmia-esophageal-genital (AEG) syndrome. Human Molecular Genetics 2006;15:1413– 1422. [PubMed: 16543359]
- Wilmore HP, Smith MJ, Wilcox SA, Bell KM, Sinclair AH. SOX14 is a candidate gene for limb defects associated with BPES and Mobius syndrome. Human Genetics 2000;106:269–267. [PubMed: 10798354]
- Wilson M, Koopman P. Matching SOX: partner proteins and co-factors of the SOX family of transcriptional regulators. Current Opinion in Genetic Development 2002;12:441–446.
- Wilson ME, Yang KY, Kalousova A, Lau J, Kosaka Y, Lynn FC, et al. The HMG box transcription factor Sox4 contributes to the development of the endocrine pancreas. Diabetes 2005;54:3402–3409. [PubMed: 16306355]
- Wright EM, Snopek B, Koopman P. Seven new members of the Sox gene family expressed during mouse development. Nucleic Acids Research 1993;21:744. [PubMed: 8441686]
- Wright E, Hargrave MR, Christiansen J, Cooper L, Kun J, Evans T, et al. The Sry-related gene Sox9 is expressed during chondrogenesis in mouse embryos. Nature Genetics 1995;9:15–20. [PubMed: 7704017]

- Wunderle VM, Critcher R, Ashworth A, Goodfellow PN. Cloning and characterization of SOX5, a new member of the human SOX gene family. Genomics 1996;36:354–358. [PubMed: 8812465]
- Yi Z, Cohen-Barak O, Hagiwara N, Kingsley PD, Fuchs DA, Erickson DT, et al. Sox6 directly silences epsilon globin expression in definitive erythropoiesis. PLoS Genetics 2006;2:129–139.
- Yuan H, Corbi N, Basilic C, Dailey L. Developmental-specific activity of the FGF-4 enhancer requires the synergistic action of Sox2 and Oct-3. Genes & Development 1995;9:2635–2645. [PubMed: 7590241]
- Zhang C, Basta T, Klymkowsky MW. SOX7 and SOX18 are essential for cardiogenesis in Xenopus. Developmental Dynamics 2005;234:878–891. [PubMed: 16193513]
- Zhao Q, Eberspaecher H, Lefebvre V, de Crombrugghe B. Parallel expression of Sox9 and Col2a1 in cells undergoing chondrogenesis. Developmental Dynamics 1997;209:377–386. [PubMed: 9264261]

Lefebvre et al.

Group	Gene	Locus	Schematic	References
A	Sry	YC3	13 81 263 345 395	Gubbayetal., 1992 Dubinetal., 1995
B1	Sox1	8 A1-A2	1 48 127 391	Collignon et al., 1996 Kamachi et al., 1999
	Sox2	3 A2-B	1 41 119 319	Collignon et al., 1996 Kamachi et al., 1999
	Sox3	Х А7.3-В	1 67 145 375	Collignon et al., 1996
B2	Sox14	9 E3.3	16 84 240	Hargrave et al., 2000
	Sox21	14 E4	16 84 125 276	Uchikawa et al., 1999
С	Sox4	13 A3-A5	1 58 136 298 440	van de Wetering et al., 1993
	Sox11	12 A3	1 47 125 273 395	Kuhlbrodt et al., 1998
	Sox12	2 G3	1 39 109 314	NCBI - CAM23207
D	Sox5	6 G3	1 77 116 182 261 391	Denny et al., 1992 Lefebvre et al., 1998
	L-Sox5	6 G3	1 158 240 364 403 469 547 679	Lefeb <i>v</i> re et al., 1998 Hiroaka et al., 1998
	Sox6	7 F1	1 181 262 487 515 617 696 827	Lefebvre et al., 1998 Takamatsu et al. 1995 Connor et al., 1995
	Sox13	1 E4	1 120 196 396 475 595	Kido et al., 1998
E	Sox8	17 A3	Image: 1 to 1 t	Schepers et al., 2000
	Sox9	11 E2	1 63 103 182 406 507	Sudbeck et al., 1996 Wright et al., 1995
	Sox10	15 E1	1 61 101 180 234 466	Pusch et al., 1998 Kuhlbrodt et al., 1998
F	Sox7	14 C3	1 43 122 380	Taniguchi et al., 1999 Takash et al., 2001
	Sox17	1 A1	1 68 147 343 419	Kanai et al., 1996
	Sox18	2 H4	1 166 245 278 345 468	Dunn et al., 1995 Hosking et al., 2001
G	Sox15	11 B3	1 44 123 125 213	Beranger et al., 2000
н	Sox30	11 B1.1	1 365 435 782	Osaki et al., 1999

Figure 1.

Lefebvre et al.



Figure 2.

Lefebvre et al.

Page 24



Figure 3.

Т	al	bl	е	I
	a		С.	

	In	vivo roles of	Sox genes	
	Group	Gene	Roles	
	А	Sry	•	Sex determination: Sertoli cell differentiation in the male embryo (Polanco and Koopman, 2007)
Ľ			•	Brain activity: regulation of dopaminergic neurons of the nigrostriatal system in the adult male (Dewing et al., 2006)
Η-P,	B1	Sox1	٠	Eye development: induction of lens fiber cell differentiation (Nishiguchi et al., 1998; review in Kondoh et al., 2004)
A			•	Neurogenesis: specification and maintenance of neural stem cell identity (Bylund et al., 2003; Pevny and Placzek, 2005; Wegner and Stolt, 2005)
Ę		Sox2	•	Embryonic stem cells: maintenance of identity and pluripotency (Avilion et al., 2003)
IOR N			•	Neurogenesis: specification and maintenance of neural stem cell identity (Graham et al., 2003; Pevny and Placzek, 2005; Wegner and Stolt, 2005)
lan			•	Eye development: induction of lens fiber cell differentiation (Kamachi et al., 1998; Kondoh et al., 2004)
USU			•	Anterior pituitary development: expression of various hormones (Kelberman et al. 2006)
cript			•	Taste bud: differentiation of endodermal progenitor cells into sensory cells versus keratinocytes (Okubo et al., 2006)
		Sox3	•	Neurogenesis: specification and maintenance of neural stem cell identity (Bylund et al., 2003; Pevny and Placzek, 2005; Wegner and Stolt, 2005)
			•	Eye development: induction of lens fiber cell differentiation (Kamachi et al., 1998; Kondoh et al., 2004)
			•	Pituitary development: Rathke's pouch development and hypothalamo-pituitary axis function (Rizzoti et al., 2004)
			•	Gonadogenesis: oocyte proper development, male differentiation and spermatogenesis (Weiss et al., 2003)
Z	B2	Sox14	•	Neurogenesis: counteraction of Sox1-3 to promote neuronal differentiation (Sandberg et al., 2005)
Ŧ		Sox21	•	Neurogenesis: counteraction of Sox1-3 to promote neuronal differentiation (Sandberg et al., 2005)
P	С	Sox4	•	Cardiogenesis: outflow tract formation (Schilham et al., 1996)
A			•	Lymphopoiesis: pro-B-cell expansion and T-cell differentiation (Schilham et al., 1997)
utho			•	Pancreas formation: normal development of pancreatic islets (Wilson et al., 2005)
¥			•	Neurogenesis: panneuronal gene activation during neuronal maturation (Bergsland et al., 2006)
Ma		Sox11	•	Cardiogenesis: outflow tract formation (Sock et al., 2004)
nuscrip			•	Development of multiple organs, including lung, stomach, pancreas, spleen, eye and skeleton (Sock et al., 2004)
			•	Neurogenesis: panneuronal gene activation during neuronal maturation (Bergsland et al., 2006)
¥		Sox12	•	Unknown
	D	Sox5	•	Skeletogenesis: chondrocyte differentiation, extracellular matrix production, and proliferation (Smits et al., 2001)
			•	Neural crest development: enhancement of neural crest cell production and development (Perez-Alcala et al., 2004)
			•	Gliogenesis: repression of specification and terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Stolt et al., 2006)
NIH-PA A		Sox6	•	Cardiac conduction: proper function (Hagiwara et al., 2000)
			•	Skeletogenesis: chondrocyte differentiation, extracellular matrix production, and proliferation (Smits et al., 2001)
			•	Gliogenesis: repression of specification and terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Stolt et al., 2006)
			•	Erythropoiesis: erythroid cell survival, proliferation, and maturation (Dumitriu et al., 2006; Yi et al., 2006)
Ithc		Sox13	٠	Lymphopoiesis: regulation of gammadelta versus alphabeta T lymphocyte differentiation (Melichar et al., 2007)
<u>r</u>	Е	Sox8	•	Gliogenesis: oligodendrocyte specification and terminal maturation (Stolt et al., 2004 and 2005)
Mar			•	Testis development: gonadal precursor cell differentiation into Sertoli cells (Chaboissier et al., 2004)
SNL			•	Osteogenesis: inhibition of osteoblast differentiation (Schmidt et al., 2005)
crip			•	Neural crest: initiation of formation and maintenance (Maka et al., 2005; O'Donnell et al., 2006)
)t		Sox9	•	Sex determination: Sertoli cell development, testogenesis, and inhibition of female differentiation (Bishop et al., 2000; Chaboissier et al., 2004; Barrionuevo et al., 2006a; Kobayashi et al., 2005; Koopman, 2005)

Group	Gene	Roles	
		•	Chondrogenesis: chondrocyte specification and early differentiation (Bi et al., 1999; Akiyama et al., 2002)
		•	Neural crest: survival and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Cheung et al., 2005; Taylor and LaBonne, 2005)
		•	Gliogenesis: terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Stolt et al., 2003; Wegner and Stolt, 2005)
		•	Notochord cells: survival (Barrionuevo et al., 2006b)
		•	Cardiogenesis: endocardial cushion formation (Akiyama et al., 2004)
		•	Inner ear formation (Taylor and LaBonne, 2005)
		•	Hair follicle: outer root sheath differentiation and the formation of the hair stem cell compartment (Vidal et al., 2005)
		•	Pancreas: progenitor cell pool maintenance (Seymour et al., 2007)
		•	Gut: specification of the pyloric sphincter epithelium (Moniot et al., 2004)
	Sox10	•	Neural crest: formation, multipotency maintenance, specification and differentiation into enteric ganglionic cells, peripheral glial cells, oligodendrocytes and melanocytes, (Southard-Smith et al., 1998; Britsch et al., 2001; Stolt et al., 2002; Aoki et al., 2003; Cheung et al., 2005; Wegner and Stolt, 2005; Kelsh et al., 2006)
		•	Inner ear formation (Taylor and LaBonne, 2005)
F	Sox7	•	Cardiogenesis (Zhang et al., 2005)
	Sox17	•	Endoderm formation (Hudson et al., 1997; Kanai-Azuma et al., 2002)
		•	Angiogenesis (Matsui et al., 2006)
	Sox18	٠	Cardiogenesis (Pennisi et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2005)
		•	Angiogenesis (Downes & Koopman, 2001; Matsui et al., 2006)
		•	Hair follicle development (Pennisi et al., 2000)
G	Sox15	•	Skeletal muscle regeneration (Lee et al., 2004; Meeson et al., 2007)
Н	Sox30	٠	unknown

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

I able II	Та	bl	е	II
-----------	----	----	---	----

Human diseases due to SOX mutations or auto-antibodies

Group	Gene	Human disease	Gene locus
A	SRY	XY sex reversal and XY female type gonadal dysgenesis: SRY point mutations or deletions; XX male syndrome: Y-autosome or Y-X translocations involving Sry (Goodfellow and Lovell-Badge, 1993)	Yp11.3
	SOX1	None reported	13q34
B1	SOX2	MCOPS3, microphtalmia, syndromic 3: SOX2 heterozygous mutations; anophthalmia or microphthalmia, anterior pituitary hypoplasia; other central nervous system abnormalities, short stature, esophageal atresia, sensorineural hearing loss, and male genital tract abnormalities (Fantes et al., 2003; Kelberman et al., 2006; Williamson et al., 2006)	3q26.3-q27
	SOX3	MRGH: mental retardation, X-linked, with isolated growth hormone deficiency: Mental retardation, infundibular hypoplasia; hypopituitarism; hypoparathyroidism (Laumonnier et al., 2002)	Xq26.3
B2	SOX14	Candidate gene for limb defects associated with BPES, (blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome) and for MBS2, Moebius syndrome (Wilmore et al., 2000)	3q23
	SOX21	None reported	13q31-q32
	SOX4	None reported	6p22.3
С	SOX11	None reported	2p25
	SOX12	None reported	20p13
	SOX5	None reported	12p12.1
	SOX6	None reported	11p15.3-p15.2
D	SOX13	SOX13 protein: insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM)-specific human autoantigen (Kasimiotis et al., 2001)	1q32
	SOX8	ATR-16: Alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome, deletion-type. Deletion in 16p involving SOX8 and alpha globin genes (Pfeifer et al., 2002). SOX8 haploinsufficiency could cause mental retardation.	16pter-p13.3
Е	SOX9	CMPD: Campomelic dysplasia with autosomal sex reversal. Autosomal dominant; bowing and angulation of long bones; other skeletal defects; heart, kidney, hair, pancreas defects; two-thirds of XY individuals with genital defects or developing as females (Foster et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2004).	17q24.3-q25.1
	SOX10	PCWH: peripheral demyelinating neuropathy, central dysmyelinating leukodystrophy, Waardenburg syndrome, and Hirschsprung disease. Autosomal dominant; developmental delay; hypotonia, neurologic abnormalities; hypopigmentation, deafness, and ganglionic colon (Inoue et al., 2002).	22q13
	SOX7	None reported	8p22-23
	SOX17	None reported	8q12-13
Г	SOX18	HLTS: Hypotrichosis-Lymphedema-Teleangiectasia syndrome: sparse scalp and body hair, leg edema and dilation of small blood vessels (Irrthum et al., 2003)	20q13.33
G	SOX15	None reported	17p13
Н	SOX30	None reported	5q33