Trial registration, the ICMJE statement, and paediatric journals

The risk of wide unnecessary duplication (as opposed to necessary replication) in research, including paediatric research,¹ is high. Furthermore, the risk of research findings disappearing from the public knowledge base is especially high for negative trials. Prospective registration of clinical trials should reduce such inadequacies, promote collaboration, facilitate disclosure of information to the public and those involved in health care, and reduce waste of effort and resources.²

The issue has been widely debated in the biomedical journals and many groups have begun to discuss their role in such a matter. In September 2004, the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), representing 11 different medical journals (plus the British Medical Journal which also joined the initiative), published a statement specifying their intent to require, as a condition of consideration for publication, registration of trials in a public trials registry at onset of patient enrolment.3 Trials starting recruitment after 1 July 2005 will now be considered for publication in the 12 journals only if they have registered by that date. Trials beginning enrolment before then must have registered by 13 September.

It is important that all knowledge gained from trials concerning populations with an evident lack of evidence based knowledge, such as children (who are at a disadvantage compared to adults with respect to optimal drug therapy), be made publicly available. Trial registration should contribute to closing the gap. Patients (and their parents) who volunteer to participate in trials deserve to know that their contributions will inform future healthcare decisions.

In such a context, although the issue for paediatrics was settled without success 15 years ago,⁴ we would like to point out that none of the journals that have participated in the discussion on trial registration are paediatric journals. We feel it is fundamental that children be kept well in mind when discussing such an issue and feel that paediatric journals should therefore participate actively in the matter.

A European register of clinical trials on drug therapy in children (www.dec-net.org) has been running since mid 2004, supported by the European Union under its Fifth Framework Programme, Thematic Programme "Quality of Life" (contract QLG4-CT-2002-01054). At present, DEC-net (in addition to www.clinicaltrials.gov, the US National Library of Medicine's register) is the only one fitting the ICMJE's criteria, which state that a register must be freely accessible to the public; open to all prospective registrants; managed by a non-profit organisation; electronically searchable; contain validated data, and include a set of minimal data (a unique ID number, the study interventions, hypothesis, primary and secondary outcome measures, eligibility criteria, key trial dates, target number of subjects, funding source, and contact information for the principal investigator).

Trial registration has become a public issue: the World Health Organisation supports initiatives devoted to disclosing standard information⁵ on ongoing clinical trials, and governments around the world are beginning to make trial disclosure mandatory.

We would like to ask paediatric journals to make their positions on trial registration known and would like to reiterate the important role that a register such as DECnet can have in the matter.

M Bonati, C Pandolfini

"Mario Negri" Pharmacological Research Institute, Milan, Italy

Correspondence to: Dr M Bonati, Laboratory for Mother and Child Health, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Via Eritrea 62, 20157 Milano, Italy; mother_child@marionegri.it

doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.085530

Competing interests: none declared

References

- Clavenna A, Pandolfini C, Bonati M. Public disclosure of clinical trials in children. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp 2002;63:707–16.
- 2 Hetherington J, Dickersin K, Chalmers I, et al. Retrospective and prospective identification of unpublished controlled trials: lessons from a survey of obstetricians and pediatricians. Pediatrics 1989;84:374–80.
- 3 De Angelis C, Drazen JM, Frizelle FA, et al. Clinical trial registration: a statement from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1250–1.
- 4 Bonati M. Is it time for a registry of clinical trials in pediatrics? J Pediatr 1990;116:667–8.
- 5 Gulmezoglu AM, Pang T, Horton R, et al. WHO facilitates international collaboration in setting standards for clinical trial registration. *Lancet* 2005;365:1829–31.

Gaviscon for gastro-oesophageal reflux in infants: a poorly effective treatment?

We read with interest the recent article by Del Buono et al evaluating the effect of sodium and magnesium alginate (Gaviscon) on gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) in infants.¹ It provides an objective assessment of the effects of a drug widely used in the treatment of paediatric GOR by means of a double blind drug versus placebo trial, in which the effects of each treatment were evaluated by means of the simultaneous application of multichannel intraluminal impedance and pHmetry (MII/pH). The authors show that Gaviscon reduces this height, probably because it increases the viscosity of the gastric content and hence acts in the same way as thickened feeding.2 They also found that fewer acid reflux episodes occurred after Gaviscon, though the difference was not significant. By contrast with the evidence produced in other studies,³ therefore, these results seem to suggest that Gaviscon Infant has little effect on GOR when assessed in objective terms. It is, however, possible that the significance of some of the differences they observed has been weakened by the influence of sleep and wakefulness on GOR episodes.⁴

During 53 MII/pH 24 h monitorings in infants with GOR symptoms at our centre, we noted a significant difference between the number of episodes during wakefulness (535 hours) and sleep (450 hours): 3.2 ± 4.1 episodes per hour versus 1.8 ± 3.3 $(p < 0.001; CI 0.93 \pm 1.87)$. Del Buono *et al* gave six milk meals plus drug or placebo according to a 3+3 schedule. If we suppose that a infant sleeps 12 hours a day, then the probability that "sleep" and "wakefulness" periods were equally distributed between Gaviscon and placebo in each of their 20 patients can be no more than 50%. This probability drops even further if account is taken of the fact that infants with GOR sleep less than normal. The difference in GOR frequency between sleep and wakefulness, coupled with the asymmetrical distribution of these phases, constitutes a "confounding factor" responsible for great variability of all the frequency data (number of episodes per hour, number of acid episodes, number of postprandial episodes per hour, etc), whereas it may have little influence on GOR "quality" (duration, pH, and height).

We thus believe that assessment of efficacy of the treatment of GOR by means of MII/pH requires longer observation periods (for example, 24 h placebo versus 24 h drug), or at all events consideration of the influence of sleep and wakefulness on GOR episodes.

F Cresi, F Savino, C Marinaccio, L Silvestro University of Turin, Piazza Polonia, 94 Torino, 10100, Italy; francesco.cresi@unito.it

doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.086355

Competing interests: none declared

References

- Del Buono R, Wenzl TG, Ball G, et al. Effect of Gaviscon Infant on gastro-oesophageal reflux in infants assessed by combined intraluminal impedance/pH. Arch Dis Child 2005-90:460–3.
- 2 Wenzl TG, Schneider S, Scheele F, et al. Effects of thickened feeding on gastroesophageal reflux in infants: a placebo-controlled crossover study using intraluminal impedance. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:355–9.
- 3 Buts JP, Barudi C, Otte JB. Double-blind controlled study on the efficacy of sodium alginate (Gaviscon) in reducing gastroesophageal reflux assessed by 24 h continuous pH monitoring in infants and children. Eur J Pediatr 1987;146:156–8.
- 4 Schilter B, Le Coultre C, Belli DC. Gastrooesophageal reflux in children: comparison of different durations, positions and sleep-awake periods of pH monitoring in the same patient. Eur J Pediatr 1993;152:880–3.

Pre-published book reviews

Book reviews that have been accepted for publication but have not yet been published in the print journal can be viewed online at http://adc.bmjjournals.com/misc/bookreviews.shtml