



Published in final edited form as:

*Int J Eat Disord.* 2007 July ; 40(5): 460–467.

## Assessment of binge eating in overweight youth using a questionnaire version of the Child Eating Disorder Examination with instructions

Andrea B. Goldschmidt, M.A.<sup>1</sup>, Angela Celio Doyle, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, and Denise E. Wilfley, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

<sup>3</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

### Abstract

**Objective**—Existing self-report methods for assessing eating disorder symptoms in youth do not adequately measure binge eating and lack sufficient psychometric support. The Youth Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (YEDE-Q), a self-report version of the Child Eating Disorder Examination (ChEDE), was designed to assess the spectrum of eating disorder psychopathology in youth.

**Method**—The YEDE-Q was compared to the ChEDE and the Questionnaire for Eating and Weight Patterns-Adolescent version (QEWPA) in a sample of 35 overweight adolescents aged 12 to 17.

**Results**—The YEDE-Q showed significant agreement ( $p < .001$ ) with the ChEDE on all four subscale scores, the global score, and measurement of objective bulimic episodes. The YEDE-Q and the QEWPA showed significant agreement ( $p < .001$ ) on the measurement of shape and weight concerns.

**Conclusion**—The YEDE-Q appears promising in the assessment of eating-related pathology in overweight adolescents, but remains in need of validation in children and eating disorder populations.

### Keywords

assessment; eating disorder pathology; binge eating; interview; questionnaire; adolescent; overweight

## Assessment of binge eating in overweight youth using a questionnaire version of the Child Eating Disorder Examination with instructions

Early recognition of eating disordered behaviors and attitudes is crucial during childhood and adolescence, when prevention or early intervention has the potential to reduce the likelihood of eating disorder (ED) onset or chronicity [1–4]. Considering the disadvantages of a semi-structured interview (e.g., time constraints, assessor training), a psychometrically-sound self-report questionnaire assessing ED psychopathology in youth could prove useful for the identification of high risk status or as a clinically practical screening instrument.

Disordered eating behaviors and attitudes appear to be quite common in childhood and adolescence [5–9], and are associated with a host of negative health consequences [10–16] as

well as an increased risk for the development of a full-syndrome ED [17–21]. In particular, overweight youth are significantly more likely than their non-overweight peers to report body dissatisfaction [22;23], binge eating [7;24], and the use of unhealthy weight control methods [23;25;26], and overweight in childhood has been identified as a specific risk factor for bulimia nervosa (BN) and binge eating disorder (BED) [27–29]. Overweight youth with high shape and weight concerns appear to exhibit reduced quality of life and greater impairments in psychosocial functioning relative to their lower-risk peers [30], and those with binge eating problems demonstrate increased ED and general psychopathology compared to weight-matched controls (e.g., [31–35]). Furthermore, binge eating in youth has been associated both cross-sectionally and prospectively with increases in body weight and/or adiposity [34;36; 37], potentially exposing youth with binge eating problems to the elevated medical and psychosocial comorbidities associated with obesity [38]. Taken together, these findings suggest the need for a rapid and cost-effective method for early identification of ED symptoms, particularly in overweight youth, in order to provide appropriate prevention or early intervention strategies.

Assessment of self-reported binge eating in youth is especially problematic, given the ambiguity of terms such as “large amount of food” and “loss of control” [39;40]. Indeed, existing instruments have generally failed to adequately assess this behavior, especially in younger children. For example, a comparison of a questionnaire-adapted Dutch version of the Child Eating Disorder Examination (ChEDE) to the full ChEDE in overweight, treatment-seeking youth [41] failed to produce a significant correlation in the measurement of objective bulimic episodes (OBEs; consumption of an unambiguously large amount of food, accompanied by loss of control). Similarly, Tanofsky-Kraff and colleagues [42] found the Questionnaire for Eating and Weight Patterns—Adolescent version (QEWPA) to lack adequate sensitivity for the presence of overeating episodes compared to the ChEDE: specifically, the two measures were in agreement on the classification of OBEs for only 1.1% of participants. These results suggest that additional instructions may be needed in order for children to reliably report on such symptomatology. In adult samples, several studies have shown that including an instruction sheet on the Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q) containing explicit definitions of binge eating led to good agreement between the EDE-Q and the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE) in the measurement of binge eating [43; 44]. Such detailed explanations of ambiguous terms may assist children and adolescents in correctly classifying episodes of binge eating as well.

Given the limitations of questionnaire methods as outlined above, there is a need for a self-report instrument that can reliably assess the range of ED psychopathology, particularly binge eating, in diverse populations of children and adolescents. The Youth Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (YEDE-Q; measure available upon request from the corresponding author) was designed to: 1) assess the full spectrum of ED psychopathology; 2) improve measurement of binge eating by explaining ambiguous terms such as “large” and “loss of control”; and 3) apply to a broad audience by using language appropriate for respondents within the full range of ages and reading abilities. The YEDE-Q was adapted from the EDE-Q for adults, and includes a child-adapted version of Goldfein and colleagues’ [43] binge rating instructions. Furthermore, the YEDE-Q has a literacy level consistent with a third-grade reading ability, potentially expanding its applicability not only to younger children, but also to adolescents with reading or comprehension difficulties.

The primary aim of the current study is to examine the concurrent validity of the YEDE-Q in comparison to the ChEDE, the semi-structured interview upon which it is based, in overweight treatment-seeking adolescents. Secondary aims include comparing the YEDE-Q to another validated questionnaire for ED assessment in adolescents, the QEWPA, as well as examining any potential predictors of agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE. This is the first

study to examine the psychometric properties of a questionnaire version of the ChEDE including child-adapted binge rating instructions [43] in obese treatment-seeking adolescents. This study represents an initial attempt at validating this instrument for use in children, and youth with clinically significant eating disturbances.

## METHOD

### Participants

The present sample was comprised of 35 individuals (71.4% female) participating in a randomized, controlled trial of an Internet-based weight-loss and body image intervention for overweight adolescents [45]. One of the two intervention sites (St. Louis, Missouri) participated in the present sub-study. The sample was recruited in two cohorts (cohort 1,  $n = 15$ ; cohort 2,  $n = 20$ ), which did not differ significantly in age, body mass index (BMI;  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ), sex, or race/ethnicity (all  $ps > .28$ ). Participants ranged from 12 to 17 years of age, with a mean age of 13.8 at baseline ( $SD = 1.6$ ). The sample was 51.4% Caucasian, 40.0% African-American, 2.9% Hispanic, and 5.7% other. The BMI of the sample ranged from 24.3  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$  to 58.2  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$  ( $M = 35.3$ ;  $SD = 7.1$ ). The mean percent overweight for the sample, calculated using Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth charts for age and sex [46], was 98.1 ( $SD = 2.3$ ), while the mean standardized BMI was 2.26 ( $SD = 0.42$ ).

### Procedure

Participants were recruited to the larger treatment study through pediatrician referrals, newspaper ads, and flyers inviting overweight teens (BMI  $> 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile) with Internet access to take part in a 16-week study focused on healthy eating, exercise, and improving body image. The study was approved by the Washington University School of Medicine IRB. Initial phone screens excluded individuals with a current or past diagnosis of an ED; medical conditions resulting in significant weight changes or precluding moderate physical activity; use of medication significantly affecting weight; or reading level below the sixth grade.

Upon meeting initial entry criteria, potential participants were invited to complete an in-person assessment to determine suitability for the larger intervention study. Informed consent was obtained from adolescents and a parent prior to completion of the assessment battery, which included self-report questionnaires, the ChEDE, and height and weight measurement. The YEDE-Q and ChEDE both focused on the last 28 days and were administered on the same day to ensure coinciding time periods. The YEDE-Q was administered first in order to ensure that comprehension of items on the self-report questionnaire could not be attributed to detailed explanation of concepts by the interviewer during the ChEDE. Assessors were thoroughly trained in administration of both the child and adult forms of the EDE prior to initiation of the study. ChEDE assessors were blind to responses on the self-administered questionnaire.

### Measures

**Child Eating Disorder Examination 12.0**—The Child Eating Disorder Examination (ChEDE) [47] is a semi-structured, interviewer-based instrument aimed at assessing the key behavioral and attitudinal correlates of EDs in children aged 8 to 14 years. Like the adult form of the interview [48], the ChEDE generates four subscale scores (Restraint, Eating Concern, Weight Concern, and Shape Concern) and a global score measuring the overall severity of ED psychopathology. The ChEDE also contains diagnostic items that can be used to arrive at a clinical diagnosis of an ED. Further, three forms of overeating are distinguished: objective bulimic episodes (OBEs), in which an unambiguously large amount of food is consumed, accompanied by a sense of loss of control over eating; subjective bulimic episodes (SBEs), in which the amount of food consumed is viewed as excessive by the examinee but is not unambiguously large, and loss of control is present; and objective overeating episodes (OOs),

in which an unambiguously large amount of food is consumed in the absence of loss of control over eating. Modifications of the adult EDE found in the ChEDE include adapting its language to address comprehension concerns in younger children, and the addition of a card-sort task to supplement items addressing over-valuation of shape and weight.

The EDE is considered the “gold standard” for ED assessment, as supported by demonstrations of its construct validity, internal consistency [49], concurrent validity [50], inter-rater reliability, and test-retest reliability [51;52]. Initial studies of the ChEDE in eating disordered youth [47] have produced global and subscale scores comparable to those observed in adult patients with EDs. More recent studies [41] have found good internal consistency for most ChEDE subscales, and adequate inter-rater and test-retest generalizability [41;53]. The ChEDE was also found to discriminate well between eating disordered and non-eating disordered individuals, as well as between individuals with AN and those with other eating disturbances [53].

**Youth Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire**—The Youth Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (YEDE-Q) was adapted from the EDE-Q 5.2 [40] for use with children. Major changes to the EDE-Q were based on those originally made in the development of the ChEDE, and also included simplification of descriptive terms from the EDE-Q’s severity scale (e.g., use of “not at all,” “a little bit,” “a lot”, “very, very much”), and use of words consistent with a third-grade reading level. In addition, instructions developed by Goldfein and colleagues [43] to assist adults with binge ratings were modified for use in the YEDE-Q by including examples and pictures of large episodes, as well as vignettes exemplifying a child experiencing loss of control.

The EDE-Q subscales on which the YEDE-Q is based appear to have adequate internal consistency and test-retest reliability [54;55]. In addition, the EDE-Q has shown good agreement with the EDE in its measurement of attitudes and some behaviors (e.g., compensatory behaviors) associated with EDs, across both eating disordered and non-eating disordered samples [40;56–58]. Of note, the EDE-Q tends to overestimate the severity of psychopathology relative to the EDE, and may be problematic in the measurement of behaviors for which no clear definition is provided, such as overeating and loss of control [59;60].

**Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns—Adolescent version**—The Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns—Adolescent version (QEWP-A) [61] is a 12-item self-report questionnaire designed to assess binge eating and related pathology in adolescents. The QEWP-A has demonstrated sufficient test-retest reliability [62], and appears to converge with the ChEAT in terms of classification of pathology level (e.g., depressive and ED symptomatology) according to BED diagnostic status [61]. However, as mentioned above, it has shown limited convergent validity when compared to the ChEDE in terms of presence and type of eating episode [42].

## Data Analysis

Pearson chi-square and independent samples t-tests were used to evaluate cohort differences. The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was utilized to determine normality of the distributions in the sample. To compare the ChEDE and the YEDE-Q, Pearson correlations were used for normally distributed scores on the Weight Concern subscale, and Spearman’s rho correlations were used to account for the non-normal distributions of the Restraint, Eating Concern, and Shape Concern subscales; the global score; OBE days and episodes; SBE episodes; OO episodes; and driven exercise. Self-induced vomiting, laxative misuse, and diuretic misuse were not endorsed by any participants on any measure, and thus were not included in the analyses. Frequencies of ED behaviors were compared for the 28 days preceding the assessment. Paired-sample t-

tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to determine significant differences in subscale score or frequency ratings. Spearman's rho correlations were used to compare the YEDE-Q to the QEWP-A. In order to determine whether age, weight or sex predicts higher agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in terms of subscale scores, global score or endorsement of OBEs, interaction terms were entered into a regression with ChEDE scores as the dependent variable.

## RESULTS

### Comparisons between the YEDE-Q and ChEDE

Table 1 reports mean subscale and global scores, as well as correlations between and comparisons of means for the YEDE-Q and ChEDE subscale scores. All four subscale scores and the global scores generated by the YEDE-Q and ChEDE were significantly correlated, with correlations ranging from .58 to .84. The YEDE-Q yielded significantly higher scores than the ChEDE on all but the Restraint subscale. There was generally close agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE, with 80% of participants scoring within one scale point on the Restraint subscale; 71.4% doing so on the Eating Concern subscale; 68.6% doing so on the Weight Concern subscale; and 62.9% doing so on the Shape Concern subscale. Regarding the global score, 74.3% of participants showed agreement within one scale point, indicating that individuals were overall classified as exhibiting similar degrees of pathology. In order to examine agreement on classification of risk status, individuals were classified as high risk if their Weight Concern or Shape Concern subscale scores were above the published means for non-eating disordered adolescent females [53;63], or if they endorsed any episodes of loss of control eating (i.e., OBEs or SBEs), which has been shown to be associated with other ED symptoms in overweight youth [7;34]. The difference between YEDE-Q and ChEDE classification of high- and low-risk groups was significant ( $\chi^2(1, n = 35) = 14.29; p < .001$ ), which is not surprising given that the YEDE-Q means for the Shape and Weight Concern subscales and for episodes of loss of control eating (see below) were significantly greater than those generated by the ChEDE.

Cronbach alphas for the YEDE-Q are reported in Table 2. Neither age, BMI z-score, nor sex were found to predict better agreement between any of the YEDE-Q and ChEDE subscale scores or global scores.

In terms of ED behaviors, the YEDE-Q and ChEDE were significantly correlated for OBE days and episodes, but not for SBE episodes, OO episodes, or driven exercise. The YEDE-Q generated significantly greater frequency ratings than the ChEDE for OBE days and episodes, SBE episodes, and driven exercise. These data are presented in Table 1. Results of examining agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in terms of endorsement of any OBEs are reported in Table 3. An analysis of the closeness of agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in measurement of frequency of ED behaviors revealed that 100% of participants showed agreement within two OBE days; 82.9% did so within two OBE episodes; 82.9% did so within two SBE episodes; and 77.1% did so within two OO episodes. Neither age nor BMI z-score were found to predict greater concordance between the YEDE-Q and ChEDE in terms of OBE days or episodes. However, sex did significantly predict agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in measurement of OBE days ( $p = .017$ ), with females exhibiting greater agreement between the two measures. The effects of sex on agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in terms of OBE episodes could not be explored due to lack of variability in OBE episodes among males.

### Comparisons between the YEDE-Q and the QEWP-A

Comparisons of the QEWP-A item assessing importance of shape or weight and both the YEDE-Q and ChEDE Weight and Shape Concern subscales and individual diagnostic items are presented in Table 5. The YEDE-Q and the QEWP-A were not significantly correlated in terms of frequency ratings for OBE days or episodes of driven exercise. In comparisons of the QEWP-A and the ChEDE, the two measures were not significantly correlated in terms of frequency ratings for OBE days; comparisons for episodes of driven exercise were not possible due to the lack of variability in driven exercise ratings on the ChEDE.

## DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to provide initial psychometric validation of a questionnaire version of the ChEDE with instructions to assess binge eating in overweight adolescents. In order to examine its convergent validity, the YEDE-Q was compared to two other measures designed to assess ED symptomatology in youth. The YEDE-Q was found to have significant agreement with the ChEDE in the measurement of most ED attitudes and behaviors. The YEDE-Q also showed significant agreement with the QEWP-A in the measurement of shape and weight concerns, but not in the measurement of ED behaviors.

When comparing the YEDE-Q with the ChEDE, significant correlations were yielded for all four subscale scores and the global score. The YEDE-Q consistently yielded higher mean subscale scores and frequency ratings than the ChEDE, a difference that was significant for all but the Restraint subscale and OO episodes comparisons. This finding converges with previous comparisons between questionnaire and interview forms of the EDE [41;56;59;60;64], and was likely due to the fact that the ChEDE allows for detailed probing of interviewees to ensure complete comprehension of items.

Measures of internal consistency were lower than those reported for both the ChEDE [53] and the EDE-Q [54] on all but the Shape Concern subscale (see Table 3), and two subscales, Restraint and Eating Concern, did not reach the standard for acceptable internal consistency proposed by Nunnally ( $\alpha = .70$ ) [65]. However, all subscales reached the minimum alpha of .60 suggested by Nunnally [65] for pilot measures. Only the Restraint subscale was considerably below the more conservative proposed alpha of .70, possibly because this subscale contains items primarily assessing *attempts* to perform a behavior rather than *actual successful implementation* of that behavior. These items may be conceptually more difficult for younger respondents to understand given that they contain both a cognitive and a behavioral element, with words such as “try” indicating both subjective, mental efforts and objective attempts (e.g., wanting to restrict food intake and making actual overt attempts to do so). Empirically, elimination of the “Empty Stomach” item (“On how many of the past 28 days have you wanted your stomach to be empty—to not have any food in it at all?”) would raise the internal consistency of the Restraint subscale the most (to .71), which is not surprising given that the current sample did not include individuals with AN or BN, the population in whom this item would have the most relevance; however, removing this item from the measure is not indicated since it would appear to have more diagnostic importance in eating disordered individuals, as supported by previous examinations of internal consistency of ChEDE and EDE-Q subscales [53;54]. Future studies should examine the internal consistency of this subscale among youth with EDs, and possibly explore means for improvement.

The YEDE-Q and the ChEDE showed significant agreement for both OBE days and episodes, representing a considerable improvement over other instruments assessing ED symptomatology in youth [41;42]. This may reflect the addition of the binge rating instructions for youth, which offers detailed explanations of ambiguous concepts such as “objectively large” and “loss of control.”

When holding the ChEDE as the “gold standard,” the YEDE-Q appeared to be adequate in its detection of individuals endorsing binge eating, identifying only 3 (8.6%) false-positives and 0 (0%) false negatives. It is encouraging that the YEDE-Q overestimated rather than underestimated cases of binge eating, especially if it is to be used as a screening instrument. Indeed, there is less danger in falsely identifying an individual endorsing binge eating and needlessly providing further assessment than there is in overlooking an adolescent suffering from binge eating problems who could potentially benefit from further assessment and early intervention.

Comparisons between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE yielded non-significant correlations for SBE and OO episodes, as well as driven exercise. This could be attributed to the ChEDE’s investigator-based format, in which the assessor applies objective criteria to information supplied by the respondent in order to determine frequency and severity ratings; thus, episodes considered SBEs, OOs, or driven exercise by the respondent may not have been deemed as such by the ChEDE interviewer’s criteria, and vice versa. Although OBEs are the only eating episodes involved in generating ED diagnoses, future iterations of the YEDE-Q may explore ways to improve agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE on ratings of SBEs and OOs, as these items may be useful for identifying individuals at risk for developing an ED.

Significant correlations were found for the QEWP-A item assessing importance of shape and weight, and the YEDE-Q’s Shape Concern and Weight Concern subscales as well as individual items assessing the importance of shape and weight. In contrast, there was low agreement between the QEWP-A and the YEDE-Q in the measurement of binge eating. The QEWP-A also showed non-significant agreement with the ChEDE in the measurement of OBEs, providing initial evidence that the lack of agreement between the QEWP-A and the YEDE-Q may be due to the low sensitivity of the QEWP-A, corroborating reports by Tanofsky-Kraff and colleagues [42].

Limitations of the current study include the small sample size, as well as the use of an adolescent sample that was not drawn from an ED population. Although age was not found to predict better agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE, the exclusion of participants below a sixth grade reading level likely enhanced comprehension of items. Thus, the absence of an interaction between age and YEDE-Q scores could represent a ceiling effect. Indeed, it would be premature to endorse the YEDE-Q as a measure for youth outside of the current sample’s age range, as children (i.e., below age 12) are those most likely to exhibit difficulties completing a questionnaire version of the EDE. Specifically, children may not be able to understand complex nuances of questionnaire items without assistance, such as the difference between shape and weight; distinctions regarding intending to, attempting to, and actually performing a behavior; and fine gradations in severity levels. Thus, further validation of the YEDE-Q as compared to the ChEDE in children is indicated. In addition, the use of a low-pathology group precluded generalization to ED populations. It is unclear whether significant correlations would be found for greater severity of symptoms, or whether the high correlations found in the current study should be attributed to floor effects. However, given that the current sample of overweight youth represents a group at elevated risk for eating pathology in whom assessment is clearly warranted, study results suggest that the YEDE-Q could be a useful measure for individuals with more severe eating problems. Indeed, the current study represents a crucial first step in paving the way for future YEDE-Q validation work with relevant populations.

In terms of future directions, larger replication studies clearly need to be undertaken in order to determine the generalizability of findings to younger age groups, populations with lower reading abilities or those for whom English is not the primary language, and individuals with EDs. Furthermore, normative data should be established in ED subgroups, as well as obese versus normal-weight youth, in order to facilitate use of the YEDE-Q as a screening

questionnaire. The YEDE-Q should also be compared to the EDE-Q in order to determine whether it confers any benefit beyond the more established measure.

In conclusion, the YEDE-Q appears to be a promising measure for the assessment of ED behaviors and attitudes in overweight adolescents. While the ChEDE remains the measure of choice in ED assessment due to its allowance for detailed probing by the interviewer, the YEDE-Q shows the potential to contribute in important ways as both a screen and a research instrument. Advantages include its relatively rapid administration, and its cost-effectiveness in terms of minimal assessor burden. Further examination will determine its suitability for children and other eating- and weight-disordered populations.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Washington University Longer Life Foundation, NIMH grant K24 MH070446, NHLBI grant T32 HL007456, and NICHD grant R01 HD036904. Many thanks to Drs. Christopher Fairburn and Rachel Bryant-Waugh for their invaluable feedback on the adaptation of the questionnaire measure and on versions of this manuscript, and to Dr. Rick Stein for his assistance with data analysis and interpretation.

#### References

1. Schoemaker C. Does early intervention improve the prognosis in anorexia nervosa? A systematic review of the treatment-outcome literature. *Int J Eat Disord* 1997;21:1. [PubMed: 8986512]
2. Reas DL, Schoemaker C, Zipfel S, Williamson DA. Prognostic value of duration of illness and early intervention in bulimia nervosa: A systematic review of the outcome literature. *Int J Eat Disord* 2001;30:1. [PubMed: 11439404]
3. Pratt BM, Woolfenden SR. Interventions for preventing eating disorders in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002;CD002891. [PubMed: 12076457]
4. Stice E, Shaw H. Eating disorder prevention programs: A meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bull* 2004;130:206. [PubMed: 14979770]
5. Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ. Weight-related behaviors among adolescent girls and boys: Results from a national survey. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2000;154:569. [PubMed: 10850503]
6. Croll J, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Ireland M. Prevalence and risk and protective factors related to disordered eating behaviors among adolescents: Relationship to gender and ethnicity. *J Adolesc Health* 2002;31:166. [PubMed: 12127387]
7. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Marmarosh C, Morgan CM, Yanovski JA. Eating-disordered behaviors, body fat, and psychopathology in overweight and normal-weight children. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004;72:53. [PubMed: 14756614]
8. Maloney MJ, McGuire J, Daniels SR, Specker B. Dieting behavior and eating attitudes in children. *Pediatrics* 1989;84:482. [PubMed: 2788865]
9. Ricciardelli LA, McCabe MP. Children's body image concerns and eating disturbance: A review of the literature. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2001;21:325. [PubMed: 11288604]
10. Button EJ, Loan P, Davies J, Sonuga-Barke EJ. Self-esteem, eating problems, and psychological well-being in a cohort of schoolgirls aged 15–16: A questionnaire and interview study. *Int J Eat Disord* 1997;21:39. [PubMed: 8986516]
11. Steinhausen HC, Gavez S, Winkler Metzke C. Psychosocial correlates, outcome, and stability of abnormal adolescent eating behavior in community samples of young people. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;37:119. [PubMed: 15732078]
12. Lock J, Reisel B, Steiner H. Associated health risks of adolescents with disordered eating: How different are they from their peers? Results from a high school survey. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2001;31:249. [PubMed: 11196014]
13. Stice E, Bearman SK. Body-image and eating disturbances prospectively predict increases in depressive symptoms in adolescent girls: A growth curve analysis. *Dev Psychol* 2001;37:597. [PubMed: 11552756]
14. Ohring R, Graber JA, Brooks-Gunn J. Girls' recurrent and concurrent body dissatisfaction: Correlates and consequences over 8 years. *Int J Eat Disord* 2002;31:404. [PubMed: 11948645]



15. Johnson F, Wardle J. Dietary restraint, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress: a prospective analysis. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2005;114:119. [PubMed: 15709818]
16. Voorhees CC, Schreiber GB, Schumann BC, Biro F, Crawford PB. Early predictors of daily smoking in young women: The national heart, lung, and blood institute growth and health study. *Prev Med* 2002;34:616. [PubMed: 12052022]
17. Patton GC, Johnson-Sabine E, Wood K, Mann AH, Wakeling A. Abnormal eating attitudes in London schoolgirls--a prospective epidemiological study: outcome at twelve month follow-up. *Psychol Med* 1990;20:383. [PubMed: 2356264]
18. Marchi M, Cohen P. Early childhood eating behaviors and adolescent eating disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1990;29:112. [PubMed: 2295562]
19. Jacobi C, Hayward C, de Zwaan M, Kraemer HC, Agras WS. Coming to terms with risk factors for eating disorders: Application of risk terminology and suggestions for a general taxonomy. *Psychol Bull* 2004;130:19. [PubMed: 14717649]
20. Stice E, Shaw HE. Role of body dissatisfaction in the onset and maintenance of eating pathology: A synthesis of research findings. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;53:985. [PubMed: 12445588]
21. McKnight Investigators. Risk factors for the onset of eating disorders in adolescent girls: Results of the McKnight longitudinal risk factor study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:248. [PubMed: 12562570]
22. Vander Wal JS, Thelen MH. Eating and body image concerns among obese and average-weight children. *Addict Behav* 2000;25:775. [PubMed: 11023018]
23. Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Hannan PJ, Perry CL, Irving LM. Weight-related concerns and behaviors among overweight and nonoverweight adolescents: Implications for preventing weight-related disorders. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002;156:171. [PubMed: 11814380]
24. Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, French SA, Resnick MD. Psychosocial correlates of health compromising behaviors among adolescents. *Health Educ Res* 1997;12:37. [PubMed: 10172963]
25. Boutelle K, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Resnick M. Weight control behaviors among obese, overweight, and nonoverweight adolescents. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2002;27:531. [PubMed: 12177253]
26. Shisslak CM, Crago M, McKnight KM, Estes LS, Gray N, Parnaby OG. Potential risk factors associated with weight control behaviors in elementary and middle school girls. *J Psychosom Res Special Issue: Current issues in eating disorder research* 1998;44:301.
27. Fairburn CG, Doll HA, Welch SL, Hay PJ, Davies BA, O'Connor ME. Risk factors for binge eating disorder: A community-based, case-control study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998;55:425. [PubMed: 9596045]
28. Striegel-Moore RH, Fairburn CG, Wilfley DE, Pike KM, Dohm FA, Kraemer HC. Toward an understanding of risk factors for binge-eating disorder in black and white women: a community-based case-control study. *Psychol Med* 2005;35:907. [PubMed: 15997611]
29. Fairburn CG, Welch SL, Doll HA, Davies BA, O'Connor ME. Risk factors for bulimia nervosa. A community-based, case-control study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997;54:509. [PubMed: 9193191]
30. Celio Doyle A, leGrange D, Goldschmidt A, Wilfley D. Psychosocial and physical impairment in overweight adolescents at high-risk for eating disorders. *Obesity*. in press
31. Decaluwe V, Braet C, Fairburn CG. Binge eating in obese children and adolescents. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;33:78. [PubMed: 12474202]
32. Goossens L, Braet C, Decaluwe V. Loss of control over eating in obese youngsters. *Behav Res Ther*. 2006
33. Morgan CM, Yanovski SZ, Nguyen TT, McDuffie J, Sebring NG, Jorge MR, Keil M, Yanovski JA. Loss of control over eating, adiposity, and psychopathology in overweight children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2002;31:430. [PubMed: 11948648]
34. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Faden D, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Yanovski JA. The perceived onset of dieting and loss of control eating behaviors in overweight children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;38:112. [PubMed: 16134103]
35. Ackard DM, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Perry C. Overeating among adolescents: Prevalence and associations with weight-related characteristics and psychological health. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:67. [PubMed: 12509556]

36. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Cohen ML, Yanovski SZ, Cox C, Theim KR, Keil M, Reynolds JC, Yanovski JA. A prospective study of psychological predictors of body fat gain among children at high risk for adult obesity. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:1203. [PubMed: 16585316]
37. Field AE, Austin SB, Taylor CB, Malspeis S, Rosner B, Rockett HR, Gillman MW, Colditz GA. Relation between dieting and weight change among preadolescents and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2003;112:900. [PubMed: 14523184]
38. Dietz WH. Health consequences of obesity in youth: Childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics* 1998;101:518. [PubMed: 12224658]
39. Cooper Z, Fairburn CG. The Eating Disorder Examination: A semi-structured interview for the assessment of the specific psychopathology of eating disorders. *Int J Eat Disord* 1987;6:1.
40. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report questionnaire? *Int J Eat Disord* 1994;16:363. [PubMed: 7866415]
41. Decaluwe V, Braet C. Assessment of eating disorder psychopathology in obese children and adolescents: Interview versus self-report questionnaire. *Behav Res Ther* 2004;42:799. [PubMed: 15149900]
42. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Morgan CM, Yanovski SZ, Marmarosh C, Wilfley DE, Yanovski JA. Comparison of assessments of children's eating-disordered behaviors by interview and questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;33:213. [PubMed: 12616588]
43. Goldfein JA, Devlin MJ, Kamenetz C. Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire with and without instruction to assess binge eating in patients with binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;37:107. [PubMed: 15732081]
44. Celio AA, Wilfley DE, Crow SJ, Mitchell J, Walsh BT. A comparison of the Binge Eating Scale, Questionnaire for Eating and Weight Patterns-Revised, and Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire with instructions with the Eating Disorder Examination in the assessment of binge eating disorder and its symptoms. *Int J Eat Disord* 2004;36:434. [PubMed: 15558644]
45. Celio AA. Early intervention of eating- and weight-related problems via the Internet in overweight adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. Manuscript in preparation
46. Kuczumski, RJ.; Ogden, CL.; Grummer-Strawn, LM.; Flegal, KM.; Guo, SS.; Wei, R.; Mei, Z.; Curtin, LR.; Roche, AF.; Johnson, CL. *Adv Data*. 1. 2000. CDC growth charts: United States.
47. Bryant-Waugh RJ, Cooper PJ, Taylor CL, Lask BD. The use of the Eating Disorder Examination with children: A pilot study. *Int J Eat Disord* 1996;19:391. [PubMed: 8859397]
48. Fairburn, CG.; Cooper, Z. The Eating Disorder Examination. In: Fairburn, CG.; Wilson, GT., editors. *Binge eating: Nature, assessment, and treatment*. 12. New York: Guilford Press; 1993. p. 17
49. Cooper Z, Cooper PJ, Fairburn CG. The validity of the Eating Disorder Examination and its subscales. *Br J Psychiatry* 1989;154:807. [PubMed: 2597887]
50. Rosen JC, Vara L, Wendt S, Leitenberg H. Validity studies of the Eating Disorder Examination. *Int J Eat Disord* 1990;9:519.
51. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Lozano-Blanco C, Barry DT. Reliability of the Eating Disorder Examination in patients with binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord* 2004;35:80. [PubMed: 14705160]
52. Rizvi SL, Peterson CB, Crow SJ, Agras WS. Test-retest reliability of the Eating Disorder Examination. *Int J Eat Disord* 2000;28:311. [PubMed: 10942917]
53. Watkins B, Frampton I, Lask B, Bryant-Waugh R. Reliability and validity of the child version of the Eating Disorder Examination: A preliminary investigation. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;38:183. [PubMed: 16134106]
54. Luce KH, Crowther JH. The reliability of the Eating Disorder Examination-Self-Report Questionnaire Version (EDE-Q). *Int J Eat Disord* 1999;25:349. [PubMed: 10192002]
55. Mond JM, Hay PJ, Rodgers B, Owen C, Beumont PJ. Temporal stability of the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord* 2004;36:195. [PubMed: 15282689]
56. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT. A comparison of different methods for assessing the features of eating disorders in patients with binge eating disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001;69:317. [PubMed: 11393608]
57. Black CM, Wilson GT. Assessment of eating disorders: Interview versus questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord* 1996;20:43. [PubMed: 8807351]

58. Carter JC, Aime AA, Mills JS. Assessment of bulimia nervosa: a comparison of interview and self-report questionnaire methods. *Int J Eat Disord* 2001;30:187. [PubMed: 11449452]
59. Mond JM, Hay PJ, Rodgers B, Owen C, Beumont PJ. Validity of the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) in screening for eating disorders in community samples. *Behav Res Ther* 2004;42:551. [PubMed: 15033501]
60. Wilfley DE, Schwartz MB, Spurrell EB, Fairburn CG. Assessing the specific psychopathology of binge eating disorder patients: Interview or self-report? *Behav Res Ther* 1997;35:1151. [PubMed: 9465449]
61. Johnson WG, Grieve FG, Adams CD, Sandy J. Measuring binge eating in adolescents: Adolescent and parent versions of the Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns. *Int J Eat Disord* 1999;26:301. [PubMed: 10441246]
62. Johnson WG, Kirk AA, Reed AE. Adolescent version of the Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns: Reliability and gender differences. *Int J Eat Disord* 2001;29:94. [PubMed: 11135341]
63. Carter JC, Stewart DA, Fairburn CG. Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire: Norms for young adolescent girls. *Behav Res Ther* 2001;39:625. [PubMed: 11341255]
64. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT. Different methods for assessing the features of eating disorders in patients with binge eating disorder: A replication. *Obes Res* 2001;9:418. [PubMed: 11445665]
65. Nunnally, JC. *Psychometric theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1978.

**Table 1**  
Eating disorder psychopathology and behaviors in the past month

	ChEDE	YEDE-Q	Correlation	Compare means test statistic <sup>c</sup>
Restraint subscale ( <i>n</i> = 35)	.90 ± 1.12	1.15 ± 1.09	.58 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -1.90, <i>p</i> = .058
Eating Concern subscale ( <i>n</i> = 35)	.21 ± .38	.94 ± .97	.58 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -4.48, <i>p</i> < .001
Weight Concern subscale ( <i>n</i> = 35)	1.20 ± 1.08	1.92 ± 1.35	.70 <sup>*</sup>	<i>t</i> (34) = 4.33, <i>p</i> < .001
Shape Concern subscale ( <i>n</i> = 35)	1.23 ± 1.29	2.19 ± 1.65	.84 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -4.75, <i>p</i> < .001
Global Score ( <i>n</i> = 35)	.89 ± .74	1.55 ± 1.03	.75 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -4.46, <i>p</i> < .001
OBE days ( <i>n</i> = 33) <sup>a</sup>	.17 ± .51	.57 ± .74 <sup>b</sup>	.53 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -3.28, <i>p</i> = .001
OBE episodes ( <i>n</i> = 35)	.17 ± .51	1.36 ± 3.33	.73 <sup>*</sup>	<i>z</i> = -2.21, <i>p</i> = .027
SBE episodes ( <i>n</i> = 35)	.34 ± .87	1.7 ± 3.67	.25	<i>z</i> = -2.63, <i>p</i> = .009
OO episodes ( <i>n</i> = 33) <sup>a</sup>	.69 ± 1.81	.56 ± 4.19	.20	<i>z</i> = -.401, <i>p</i> = .688
Driven exercise ( <i>n</i> = 34) <sup>a</sup>	.23 ± 1.35	3.35 ± 7.02	.16	<i>z</i> = -2.59, <i>p</i> = .01

Note: ChEDE = Child Eating Disorder Examination; YEDE-Q = Youth Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; OBE = Objective bulimic episode; SBE = Subjective bulimic episode; OO = Objective overeating

<sup>a</sup>Participants were excluded from these analyses due to invalid data.

<sup>b</sup>OBE days are scaled on the YEDE-Q such that no days = 0; 1–5 days = 1; 6–12 days = 2; 13–15 days = 3; 16 to 22 days = 4; 23–27 days = 5; and every day = 6. Thus, a mean OBE days score of .57 indicates a sample average of less than one OBE day in the last month.

<sup>c</sup>Paired sample *t*-tests were used for normally distributed subscale and frequency scores. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used for non-normally distributed subscale and frequency scores.

\* *p* < .001

**Table 2**

## Subscale internal consistency

Subscale	Cronbach alpha		
	YEDE-Q	ChEDE[53]	EDE-Q[54]
Restraint	.63	.80	.84
Eating Concern	.69	.91	.93
Weight Concern	.78	.90	.89
Shape Concern	.89	.88	.78

Note: YEDE-Q = Youth Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; ChEDE = Child Eating Disorder Examination; EDE-Q = Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire

**Table 3**  
Agreement between the YEDE-Q and the ChEDE in identifying presence of OBEs

		YEDE-Q		$\chi^2$
		Binge eating <i>n</i> (%) <sup>a</sup>	No binge eating <i>n</i> (%) <sup>a</sup>	
ChEDE	Binge eating	4 (11.4)	3 (8.6)	16.91*
	No binge eating	0 (0.0)	26 (74.3) <sup>b</sup>	

Note: YEDE-Q = Youth Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; ChEDE = Child Eating Disorder Examination; OBE = Objective bulimic episode

<sup>a</sup>Indicates % of the full sample (*n* = 35)

<sup>b</sup>Percentages do not add up to 100% because of exclusion of participants with invalid data

\* *p* < .001

**Table 4**  
Rates of participants endorsing presence of eating episodes

Episode Type	ChEDE <i>n</i> (%) <sup>a</sup>	YEDE-Q <i>n</i> (%) <sup>a</sup>
OBE days	4 (11.4%)	15 (42.9%)
OBE episodes	4 (11.4%)	7 (20.0%)
SBE episodes	6 (17.1%)	12 (34.3%)
OO episodes	10 (28.6%)	7 (20.0%)

Note: ChEDE = Child Eating Disorder Examination; YEDE-Q = Youth Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; OBE = Objective bulimic episode; SBE = Subjective bulimic episode; OO = Objective overeating

<sup>a</sup> Indicates % of the full sample (*n* = 35)

**Table 5**  
YEDE-Q and ChEDE shape and weight concerns comparisons with the QEWP-A

		QEWP-A Correlations
Weight Concern Subscale	YEDE-Q	.61 <sup>**</sup>
	ChEDE	.55 <sup>**</sup>
Shape Concern Subscale	YEDE-Q	.58 <sup>**</sup>
	ChEDE	.60 <sup>**</sup>
Importance of Weight item	YEDE-Q	.55 <sup>**</sup>
	ChEDE	.45 <sup>**</sup>
Importance of Shape item	YEDE-Q	.60 <sup>**</sup>
	ChEDE	.39 <sup>*</sup>

Note: YEDE-Q = Youth Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; ChEDE = Child Eating Disorder Examination; QEWP-A = Questionnaire for Eating and Weight Patterns—Adolescent version

\*  
 $p < .05$

\*\*  
 $p < .01$