

ERRATUM

In: Am J Public Health. 2004;94:355.

There was an error in the cover caption. It should read as follows: Mr Borgman's possible allusion to the New England Journal of Medicine is a compliment to that iconic medical journal's recognition and visibility.

ERRATUM

In: van Gool CH, Kempen GIJM, Bosma H, van Boxtel MPJ, Jolles J, van Eijk JTM. Associations between lifestyle and depressed mood: longitudinal results from the Maastricht Aging Study. Am J Public Health. 2007;97:887–894. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2004.053199.

The abstract citation was improperly printed. The citation should read: *Am J Public Health. 2007;97:887–894*

The footer of the article was improperly printed. The footer should be "May 2007, Vol. 97, No. 5".

doi:10.2105/AJPH.2004.053199e

ERRATUM

In: Cunningham JA, Selby PL. Implications of normative fallacy in young adult smokers aged 19–24 years. Am J Public Health. 2007;97:1399–1400. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.101071.

A figure legend contained an incorrect age range. On page 1400, the figure caption for Figure 1 should be **Figure 1—Example of a normative feedback graphic for use with young adult smokers showing actual smoking rates of young adult smokers aged 18–25 years for (a) men and (b) women.**

doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.101071e

ERRATUM

In: Rajakumar K, Greenspan SL, Thomas SB, Holick MF. Solar ultraviolet radiation and vitamin D. Am J Public Health. 2007;97:1746–1754. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.091736.

An incorrect page range was reported in the abstract citation. The citation should read: *Am J Public Health. 2007;97:1746–1754.*

doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.091736e

ERRATUM

In: Temkin E. Contraceptive equity: the birth control center of the International Workers Order. *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97:1737–1745. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.098145.

An incorrect page range was reported in the abstract citation. The citation should read: *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97:1737–1745.

doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.098145e

ERRATUM

In: Elder K, Xirasagar S, Miller N, Bowen SA, Glover S, Piper C. African Americans' decisions not to evacuate New Orleans before Hurricane Katrina: a qualitative study. *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97(suppl 1):S124–S129. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.100867.

Table 1 was printed with incorrect data. Corrected values (in bold) for affected portions of **Table 1—Focus Group Participants Demographics: Evacuees From New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina, October 2005** are as follows:

Variable	Frequency (no.)	% of Participants
Children in House		
0	37	69.81
1	4	7.55
2	7	13.21
3	3	5.66
5	2	3.77
Total	53	100
Home Ownership		
Rent	35	32.69
Own	17	67.31
Total	52	100

The article's conclusions were not affected by these changes.

doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.100867e.