## *PRT1* **of** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **encodes a component of the plant N-end rule pathway**

THOMAS POTUSCHAK, SUSANNE STARY, PETER SCHLÖGELHOFER, FRANK BECKER\*, VICTORIA NEJINSKAIA, AND ANDREAS BACHMAIR†

Institute of Botany, University of Vienna, Rennweg 14, A-1030 Vienna, Austria

*Communicated by Alexander Varshavsky, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, May 5, 1998 (received for review April 2, 1998)*

**ABSTRACT Mutants in the** *PRT1* **gene of** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **are impaired in the degradation of a normally shortlived intracellular protein that contains a destabilizing Nterminal residue. Proteins bearing such residues are the substrates of an ubiquitin-dependent proteolytic system called the N-end rule pathway. The chromosomal position of** *PRT1* **was determined, and the** *PRT1* **gene was isolated by map-based cloning. The 45-kDa** *PRT1* **protein contains two RING finger domains and one ZZ domain. No other proteins in databases match these characteristics of** *PRT1***. There is, however, a weak similarity to Rad18p of** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae***. The RING finger domains have been found in a number of other proteins that are involved in ubiquitin conjugation, consistent with the proposed role of** *PRT1* **in the plant N-end rule pathway.**

The N-end rule pathway of protein degradation has been studied in *Escherichia coli*, yeast, mammalian cells, and plants (1, 2). The name of this pathway emphasizes the importance of the N-terminal amino acid residue for the metabolic stability of a cytoplasmic protein. Specifically, large or charge-carrying N-terminal residues function as degradation signals recognized by the N-end rule pathway. A remarkable aspect of this pathway is that protein features recognized as degradation signals are conserved in evolution, whereas components instrumental in its implementation are much less so. For instance, in eukaryotes the N-end rule is a part of the ubiquitin/ proteasome system (3, 4), but prokaryotes, which lack ubiquitin, also have a distinct version of the N-end rule pathway (1).

Some of the components of the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway have been characterized in plants (5). It is therefore reasonable to assume that proteolysis by the N-end rule degradation in plants also is mediated by ubiquitin conjugation to substrate proteins, followed by degradation by the proteasome. We have been studying the N-end rule pathway in the model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mutants were generated with the help of a reporter transgene (2). One locus, *PRT1* (proteolysis 1), was found to be essential for the N-end rule pathway, because mutant plants do not degrade the model substrate, a transgenic dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) protein bearing a destabilizing N-terminal residue and other components of the complete degradation signal, called Ndegron (1, 6). We have cloned the *PRT1* gene through a strategy based on chromosomal mapping and found that it does not resemble any of the components of the well-characterized *S. cerevisiae* N-end rule pathway.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Plant Lines, Material.** *E. coli*strain DH5<sup>a</sup> was used for cloning experiments. *Agrobacterium* strain C58C1

pCV2260 was obtained from D. Staiger (Eidgenossische Technische Hochschule, Zurich, Switzerland). *prt1* mutants are in Columbia (G. Rédei via C. Koncz, Max-Planck-Institut f. Züchtungsforschung, Cologne, Germany) genetic background. Marker line W7 in the Ler background was obtained from M. Koornneef (via C. Koncz). Transgenic lines used in Fig. 1 (lanes 5–8) have been described (2). Transgenic line of Fig. 1 (lanes 3 and 4) expresses DHFR with an extension bearing an N-terminal phenylalanine residue (F-DHFR) under control of the CaMV 35S promoter, transformed into the plants as an insert in vector  $p\Delta HV$  (7). The line used for Fig. 1 (lanes 1 and 2) contains a transgene identical to that of lanes 3 and 4, except that TTT (Phe), the first codon of mature F-DHFR, is replaced by ATG (Met). A genomic  $\lambda$  library of Col-0 DNA was a gift from C. Koncz. A binary plasmid library of Ler DNA was a gift of E. Grill (Technische Universität, Munich, Germany). The  $\lambda$  gt10 cDNA library has been described (8). Cosmids from the vicinity of *ABI3* have been described (9) and were obtained from J. Giraudat and J. Leung (Institut de Sciences Végétales, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) clones were obtained from the Cologne stock center and from J. Leung. Contrary to published data (10), YAC clone EW 23C4 does not map to the *PRT1*/*ABI3* region. Other restriction fragment length polymorphism marker clones were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University, Columbus). Enzymes were purchased from Amersham, New England Biolabs, or Boehringer Mannheim and used according to the manufacturers' recommendations. *clasto*-Lactacystin  $\beta$ -lactone was purchased from Boston Biochem (Cambridge). Analysis of DNA and protein sequences was carried out by using the GCG software package (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, WI). Database searches were made by using programs of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (11, 12).

**Genetic and Molecular Biology Techniques.** Genetic analysis was carried out according to refs. 13 and 14. For calculation of genetic distances, the formulae of Allard (15) were used. Standard procedures were used for chromosome walking, recombinant library screening, and cloning experiments (16–18). Sequencing was carried out by a commercial supplier (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). Both the cDNA and the genomic *PRT1* region were sequenced on both strands. To identify mutations in *prt1–1* and *prt1–4*, reverse transcription– PCR (RT-PCR) products derived from the respective mRNAs were sequenced directly by MWG. To confirm the identified

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked ''*advertisement*'' in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

<sup>© 1998</sup> by The National Academy of Sciences 0027-8424/98/957904-5\$2.00/0 PNAS is available online at http://www.pnas.org.

Abbreviations: DHFR, dihydrofolate reductase; F-DHFR, DHFR with an extension bearing an N-terminal phenylalanine residue; MTX, methotrexate; YAC, yeast artificial chromosome.

Data deposition: The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank database (accession nos. AJ224306 and AJ224307)

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: GPC AG Genome Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Lochhamer Str. 29, D-82152 Martinsried Germany.

<sup>†</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed. e-mail: bachmair@s1.botanik.univie.ac.at.



FIG. 1. F-DHFR is an N-end rule substrate that accumulates in *prt1* mutant cells. Leaf material of transgenic plants was incubated with radioactive methionine in the presence (even lanes) or the absence (odd lanes) of *clasto*-lactacystin  $\beta$ -lactone (lactacystin), a specific inhibitor of the proteasome. Proteins were extracted, and DHFR was isolated by immunoprecipitation and detected by SDS/PAGE and fluorography. The level of M-DHFR (DHFR with an extension bearing an N-terminal methionine residue) is not increased by lactacystin (lanes 1 vs. 2), whereas F-DHFR increases considerably (lanes 3 vs. 4). Lanes 5 and 6 are the same as lanes 3 and 4, but transgene is expressed from the weaker nopaline synthase promoter. Lanes 7 and 8 are the same as lanes 5 and 6, but plant has a mutation in the *PRT1* gene, which results in metabolic stabilization of F-DHFR. The arrowhead marks the origin of the separation gel, two dots indicate DHFR protein bands, the lower one being either a conformer or a cleavage product. Positions of molecular weight marker bands are indicated in the middle.

mutations, the *prt1–4* RT-PCR product was subcloned and the relevant region was sequenced manually (Pharmacia sequencing kit). For *prt1–1*, PCR primers TCCAAAACAAGAT-CAATCT**G/A**, respectively, and TCTCTTGCGATAACAAT-GGCC, were used for allele-specific PCR (primer annealing temperature 62°C).

**Protein Labeling and Detection.** Protein labeling and detection was carried out as described (2), with the following modifications: 200  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>35</sup>S-Met were used per lane. During extract preparation,  $20 \mu g/ml$  of pepstatin and Complete Mini protease inhibitor mix (Boehringer Mannheim; 1 pill per 7-ml buffer) was added. After extraction, soluble proteins were precipitated by addition of trichloroacetic acid to 15% (30 min at 0°C) and subsequent centrifugation, washed three times with



FIG. 2. F-DHFR is metabolically stable in *prt1* mutant cells. Leaf material was labeled for 2 hr, washed, and further incubated in medium containing nonradioactive methionine and translation inhibitor. Samples were withdrawn at the indicated times and processed as in Fig. 1. Lanes 1–4, leaf material was taken from wild-type plants. Lanes 5–8, leaf material from *prt1* mutant plants was used. Dots indicate DHFR bands. The arrowhead indicates the origin of the separation gel.

Table 1. Mapping of PRT1

Parent genotype	Segregant genotype	Number of segregants	Genetic distance, map units
$g11$ prt1 GL1 PRT1	$gl1$ prt1 gl1 prt1	27	$21.5 \pm 7$
	GL1 prt1 $GL1/g11$ prt1	47	
$hy2$ prt1 HY <sub>2</sub> PRT <sub>1</sub>	$hy2$ prt1 $hy2$ prt1	98	$40 \pm 5$
	HY2 prt1 $HY2/hy2$ prt1	122	
abi3 PRT1 $ABI3$ prt1	ABI3 prt $1^*$ ABI3 prt1	371	$0.14 \pm 0.14$
	abi3 $prt1*$ ABI3 prt1	1	

\*The abi3yABI3 genotype of these segregants was determined by analysis of F3 families.

acetone, and resolubilized in extraction buffer. To isolate antibody-antigen complexes, Dyna-beads (sheep anti-rabbit IgG; Dynal, Hamburg, Germany) were used. In cases indicated, lactacystin was included at  $1 \mu g/ml$  during incubation of leaf material. For pulse–chase experiments, leaf pieces were labeled for 2 hr, washed, and further incubated in medium containing 20 mM methionine and 50 mM cycloheximide during the chase period.

**Complementation Assays.** Binary cosmid clones spanning the region of interest were transferred into *Agrobacterium* and used for root transformation essentially as described (19) by using roots from *prt1* plants. A part of the callus material was transferred to root-inducing medium [agar medium (2) supplemented with 0.1 mg/liter of benzyl-aminopurine, 1 mg/liter of 1-naphthyl-acetic acid, and 0.5 mg/liter of indole butyric acid] containing 0.1 mg/liter of methotrexate (MTX). Wildtype calli do not make roots and die, whereas *prt1* mutant callus material grows and forms roots. Seeds from regenerated plants were germinated on agar medium containing 0.1 mg/liter of MTX to confirm the callus phenotype.

## **RESULTS**

**F-DHFR, a Substrate of the N-end Rule Pathway in** *Arabidopsis***, Is Stabilized in the** *prt1* **Mutant.** We previously have shown that mutants in the *PRT1* gene accumulate F-DHFR, a transgenic DHFR fusion protein carrying the destabilizing N-terminal phenylalanine (F, or Phe) residue and other elements of the N-degron, a degradation signal recognized by the N-end rule pathway (2). In the presence of a specific inhibitor of the proteasome, *clasto*-lactacystin  $\beta$ -lactone, F-DHFR accumulates in wild-type *Arabidopsis* cells at least 4-fold over a control incubation lacking the inhibitor (Fig. 1, lane 3 vs. 4). In contrast, M-DHFR, a protein identical to F-DHFR except for the first amino acid (which is Met instead of Phe), does not accumulate to a higher extent in the presence of the inhibitor

Table 2. YAC clones that map to the region of PRT1

Designation	Hybridizing markers	Approximate size, kb	Reference
<b>EW 14B2</b>	7C of 4711 <sup>*</sup> ; 17287	120	This study
CIC XII 6	4711; 17287	420	J. Leung; 33
CIC XI 9	4711; 17287	650	J. Leung; 33

kb, kilobases.

\*Cosmid clone 4711 contains two restriction fragment length polymorphism markers (9), one of which is contained in EW 14B2.





kb, kilobases.

\*The restriction enzyme indicated detects a fragment length polymorphism between La-er and Col-0 DNA.

(Fig. 1, lanes 1 vs. 2). Thus, lactacystin specifically affects the accumulation of F-DHFR. Although we cannot rule out an indirect effect, a straightforward interpretation of the data is that F-DHFR is *in planta* rapidly degraded by a proteasomedependent pathway. The degradation of F-DHFR depends on the nature of its N-terminal residue, thus defining the presence of the N-end rule pathway in plants. In contrast, in the *prt1* mutant, F-DHFR can easily be detected, and lactacystin does not cause any further enrichment of F-DHFR (Fig. 1, lanes 7 and 8). Pulse–chase experiments were carried out to directly demonstrate that F-DHFR is metabolically stable in *prt1* mutants. Fig. 2 shows such an experiment using either wildtype (*PRT1*) leaf material (lanes 1–4) or mutant (*prt1*) leaves (lanes 5–8). Although F-DHFR is below the level of detection in the wild type, its presence, as well as its metabolic stability during a 2-hr chase period, are demonstrated in *prt1* cells.

**Positional Cloning of** *PRT1***.** We have mapped the *PRT1* gene by crosses to marker lines in the Landsberg background. We found genetic linkage to the *gl1*, and, more weakly, to the *hy2* locus on chromosome III of *A. thaliana*. A *gl1 prt1* double mutant and a *hy2 prt1* mutant were crossed to wild-type plants transgenic for the F-DHFR transgene. The results placed *PRT1* in proximity to *ABI3*. A cross of *gl1 prt1* plants to *abi3 hy2* plants indicated a genetic distance of 0.14 cM between *abi3* and *prt1* and showed that the order of markers is *HY2-ABI3- PRT1-GL1*. Table 1 shows the segregation data and the derived genetic distances. Together with previous work on ABI3 in other laboratories, this result defined the direction of a potential genomic walk (9). YAC clones were isolated that potentially span the region of interest. Table 2 summarizes the YAC clones used. To facilitate analysis of crossover points between *gl1* and *prt1*, published and novel markers were converted into cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers. Table 3 lists oligonucleotides, fragment size, and enzymes used. Among 60 plants with a recombination event between *gl1* and *prt1*, one was found by CAPS analysis to have a crossover point between markers VI and 17287. These data identified the end point of the walk. Thus, based on genetic data, YAC clone EW 14B2 contains the *PRT1* gene. Cosmid clones that span YAC EW 14B2 were isolated from a



FIG. 3. Isolation of *A. thaliana PRT1* by positional cloning. YAC clone EW 14B2 was isolated and shown to contain PRT1 by analysis of recombination points (see Table 1 and text). The left end of EW 14B2 is close to *ABI3* of *A. thaliana* chromosome 3. For restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers, see also Table 3. All cosmid clones were tested in a callus assay. Clones A-D also were analyzed in transgenic plants (see Fig. 4).

library of binary vectors. Fig. 3 shows the clones obtained, together with additional information.

**Complementation of the** *prt1* **Mutation.** The overlapping cosmid clones that span YAC EW 14B2 were used for transformation of *prt1* mutant plants. Three overlapping clones reverted the MTX-resistant state of *prt1* mutants to the MTX-sensitive state of the wild type (Fig. 3). Fig. 4 shows complementation of regenerated plants by clones B and C, restoring the sensitivity to MTX observed in wild-type plants. Neighboring clones A and D, on the other hand, did not complement.

**Sequence Analysis of the** *PRT1* **Gene.** Because the complementing cosmid clones were made from Ler DNA, we isolated  $\lambda$  clones of the complementing region derived from Col-0 DNA. This additional step facilitated subsequent comparison of wild-type and mutant sequences (which were generated in the Col-0 background; see below). An approximate 9-kilobase region was sequenced (GenBank accession no. AJ224306). It contains two distinct ORFs, one of which however, is contained in its entirety in a noncomplementing cosmid clone (clone D of Figs. 3 and 4). The other one therefore was a candidate for *PRT1*. An apparently full-length cDNA clone to this ORF was isolated and sequenced (GenBank accession no. AJ224307). *PRT1* has seven introns and codes for 410 amino acids. DNA gel blot experiments suggest that *PRT1* is a single-copy gene in *Arabidopsis* (data not shown). Fig. 5*A* shows the conceptual translation product. A search for known amino acid motifs indicates that *PRT1* contains three easily identifiable domains. All of them have the potential to bind two  $Zn^{2+}$  ions via Cys and His residues. Two are so-called RING fingers (20), the third is a ZZ domain (21). The simultaneous presence of these domains in one protein is apparently unique.

**Mutant Alleles of** *PRT1***.** To confirm the correct identification of the *PRT1* gene, nucleotide changes in *prt1* mutants were analyzed. RNA gel blot experiments indicated that all four



FIG. 4. Cosmid clones carrying *PRT1* restore the MTX-sensitive phenotype of wild-type plants. *prt1* mutant plants were transformed with binary cosmid clones A-D (see Fig. 2). Transgenic seeds were sown in files on plates either containing (*Right*) or lacking (*Left*) 0.1 mg/ml of MTX. Like wild-type seedlings (2), *prt1* seedlings with transgenes B or C do not grow in presence of MTX.





FIG. 5. (*A*) Sequence of the PRT1 protein. Underlined in black, two RING finger domains; underlined in gray, ZZ domain. (*B*) Alignment of RING finger domains of several proteins with potential function in ubiquitin conjugation. RING 1, 2: RING finger domains of PRT1. RAD18, HRD1, APC11: RING finger domains of yeast proteins Rad18p, Hrd1p, and Apc11p, respectively. To optimize alignment, a maximum of three gaps were allowed per sequence (indicated by dots).

alleles available did produce an mRNA of normal size and abundance (data not shown). Two alleles, *prt1–1* and *prt1–4*, were chosen for further analysis. Reverse transcription–PCR and sequencing of PCR products indicated that *prt1–1* has a C to T transition at position 452 of the cDNA, converting Gln-111 (CAG) into a stop codon. Likewise, a 1-bp deletion in *prt1–4* (position 212 of the cDNA) causes a frameshift.

**Comparison of PRT1 to Other Proteins.** A BLAST search (12) for similar sequences yielded several animal and plant cDNA fragments (expressed sequence tag clones; data not shown). Similarity is most pronounced to the RING finger and ZZ domains (Fig. 5*A*). The most similar protein in the yeast genome is Rad18p, a DNA repair enzyme. This was surprising, because Rad18p is not a component of the N-end rule pathway. It remains to be seen whether this similarity is of functional relevance. Interestingly, Rad18p is capable of forming a complex with the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Rad6p/Ubc2p, which is an essential component of the N-end rule pathway (22, 23). RING finger domains of Rad18p, as well as of Apc11p and Hrd1p, two other proteins potentially involved in ubiquitin conjugation (24, 25), are listed in Fig. 5*B*. The similarity to PRT1, however, is more limited in these latter two cases. In particular, one of the Cys residues of the RING finger motif is replaced by His, indicating that they belong to a different subclass of RING finger proteins.

## **DISCUSSION**

We have shown previously that mutations in the *PRT1* gene of *A. thaliana* lead to metabolic stabilization of a transgenic protein bearing the N-degron, a degradation signal recognized by the N-end rule pathway (2). In this work, we demonstrate that the transgenic model substrate, F-DHFR, is indeed degraded by the N-end rule pathway of plants (Figs. 1 and 2) and characterize the *PRT1* gene after its isolation by map-based cloning (Figs. 3 and 4). *prt1* mutants do not show increased sensitivity toward higher temperatures, amino acid analogs, or heavy metals (T.P. and A.B., unpublished data). This finding suggests that mutations in *PRT1* do not interfere with the known function of the ubiquitin system in the proteolysis of aberrant proteins. The *prt1* mutation therefore may specifically affect the N-end rule pathway. At the same time, *PRT1* has no obvious sequence similarity to any component of the wellcharacterized yeast N-end rule pathway.

The PRT1 protein has two so-called RING fingers (20) and one ZZ domain (21). Both structures are believed to contain  $Zn^{2+}$  ions and probably are involved in protein–protein interactions. The similarity of the RING fingers of *PRT1* to each other (Fig. 5*B*) suggests that they may have arisen by a duplication event. A protein of *S. cerevisiae* with some similarity to PRT1 is the DNA repair enzyme Rad18p. It may be noteworthy that one part of Rad18p, the CCHC motif (26, 27), which has been implicated in binding of single-strand DNA, is not conserved between Rad18p and PRT1. It is therefore unlikely that PRT1 is a direct functional homolog of the DNA

repair component Rad18p. However, Rad18p can form a stable complex with *S. cerevisiae* Ubc2p (Rad6p), a ubiquitinconjugating enzyme that is essential for both DNA repair, and the N-end rule pathway (22, 23, 26). Therefore, experiments currently under way shall determine whether PRT1 protein interacts with one of the Ubc2p homologs of *A. thaliana* (8, 28). Interestingly, a number of additional proteins with potential or proven roles in ubiquitin conjugation do contain a RING finger domain: Apc11p and Hrd1p of *S. cerevisiae* (24, 25) and mUBR1, the mouse  $E3\alpha$  (Y. T. Kwon and A. Varshavsky, personal communication). Thus, RING finger domains may interact with other subunits of an E3 complex, or they may bind directly to substrate proteins.

Although the available data do not rule out the possibility that *PRT1* functions in regulation of the N-end rule pathway, the results are consistent with the hypothesis that PRT1 is a component of a ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3). If this is true, the plant N-end rule pathway uses a ligase that is distinct from its counterparts in yeast (29) and mammalian cells (Y.T. Kwon and A. Varshavsky, personal communication). An E3 function for PRT1 would be consistent with the notion that ubiquitinprotein ligases are the most diverse components of the ubiquitin system. For instance, there is at best limited sequence similarity between four types of the previously characterized E3 enzymes, UBR1, E6AP, Skp1p/Cullin/F-box ubiquitin ligase complex (SCF), and Anaphase-promoting complex (APC) (24, 29–32). Thus, plant PRT1 may be a novel subunit of a known E3 ligase, or it may belong to yet another class of ubiquitin-protein ligases.

We are indebted to Dr. E. Grill (Technische Universität, Munich, Germany) for the binary cosmid library used in this study, to Drs. J. Giraudat and J. Leung (Institut de Sciences Végétales, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Grif-sur-Yvette, France) for YAC and cosmid clones from the vicinity of *ABI3* and for *abi3* mutant lines, to Drs. A. Varshavsky and Y.T. Kwon (California Institute of Technology) for providing unpublished data, and to Drs. D. Schweizer, C. Grimm and A. Varshavsky for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (Grants S 6010 and P 11616).

- 1. Varshavsky, A. (1997) *Genes Cells* **2,** 13–28.
- 2. Bachmair, A., Becker, F. & Schell, J. (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90,** 418–421.
- 3. Hochstrasser, M. (1996) *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **30,** 405–439.
- 4. Haas, A. L. & Siepman, T. J. (1997) *FASEB J.* **11,** 1257–1268.
- 5. Vierstra, R. D. (1996) *Plant Mol. Biol.* **32,** 275–302.
- 6. Bachmair, A. & Varshavsky, A. (1989) *Cell* **56,** 1019–1032.
- 7. Bachmair, A., Becker, F., Masterson, R. V. & Schell, J. (1990) *EMBO J.* **9,** 4543–4549.
- 8. Zwirn, P., Stary, S., Luschnig, C. & Bachmair, A. (1997) *Curr. Genet.* **32,** 309–314.
- 9. Giraudat, J., Hauge, B. M., Valon, C., Smalle, J., Parcy, F. & Goodman, H. (1992) *Plant Cell* **4,** 1251–1261.
- Hwang, I., Kohchi, T., Hauge, B. M., Goodman, H. M., Schmidt, R., Cnops, G., Dean, C., Gibson, S., Iba, K., Lemieux, B., *et al.* (1991) *Plant J.* **1,** 367–374.
- 11. Benson, D. A., Boguski, M. S., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J. & Ouellette, B. F. F. (1998) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26,** 1–7.
- 12. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.* **215,** 403–410.
- 13. Re´dei, G. P. & Koncz, C. (1992) in *Methods in Arabidopsis Research*, eds. Koncz, C., Chua, N.-H. & Schell, J. (World Scientific, Singapore), pp. 16–82.
- 14. Koornneef, M. & Stam, P. (1992) in *Methods in Arabidopsis Research*, eds. Koncz, C., Chua, N.-H. & Schell, J. (World Scientific, Singapore), pp. 83–99.
- 15. Allard, R. W. (1956) *Hilgardia* **24,** 235–278.
- 16. Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R., Kingston, R. F., Moore, D. O., Seidman, J. G., Smith, J. A. & Struhl, K., eds. (1987) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* (Wiley, New York).
- 17. Koncz, C., Chua, N.-H. & Schell, J. (1992) *Methods in Arabidopsis Research* (World Scientific, Singapore).
- 18. Leung, J. & Giraudat, J. (1998) in *Arabidopsis Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology*, eds. Martinez-Zapater, J. M. & Salinas, J. (Humana, Totowa, NJ), Vol. 82, pp. 277–303.
- 19. Valvekens, D., van Montagu, M. & van Lijsebettens, M. (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85,** 5536–5540.
- 20. Saurin, A. J., Borden, K. L. B., Boddy, M. N. & Freemont, P. S. (1996) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **21,** 208–214.
- 21. Ponting, C. P., Blake, D. J., Davies, K. E., Kendrick-Jones, J. & Winder, S. J. (1996) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **21,** 11–13.
- 
- 22. Dohmen, R. J., Madura, K., Bartel, B. & Varshavsky, A. (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **88,** 7351–7355.
- 23. Sung, P., Berleth, E., Pickart, C., Prakash, S. & Prakash, L. (1991) *EMBO J.* **10,** 2187–2193.
- 24. Zachariae, W., Shevchenko, A., Andrews, P. D., Ciosk, R., Galova, M., Stark, M. J. R., Mann, M. & Nasmyth, K. (1998) *Science* **279,** 1216–1219.
- 25. Hampton, R. Y., Gardner, R. G. & Rine, J. (1996) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **7,** 2029–2044.
- 26. Bailly, V., Prakash, S. & Prakash, L. (1997) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17,** 4536–4543.
- 27. Bailly, V., Lauder, S., Prakash, S. & Prakash, L. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* **272,** 23360–23365.
- 28. Sullivan, M. L., Carpenter, T. B. & Vierstra, R. D. (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* **24,** 651–661.
- 29. Bartel, B., Wünning, I. & Varshavsky, A. (1990) *EMBO J.* 9, 3179–3189.
- 30. Scheffner, M., Huibregtse, J. M., Vierstra R. D. & Howley, P. M. (1993) *Cell* **75,** 495–505.
- 31. Feldman, R. M. R., Correll, C. C., Kaplan, K. B. & Deshaies, R. J. (1997) *Cell* **91,** 221–230.
- 32. Yu, H., Peters, J.-M., King, R. W., Page, A. M., Hieter, P. & Kirschner, M. W. (1998) *Science* **279,** 1219–1222.
- 33. Creusot, F., Fouilloux, E., Dron, M., Lafleuriel, J., Picard, G., Billaut, A., Le Paslier, D., Cohen, D., Chabouté, M.-E., Durr, A., *et al.* (1996) *Plant J.* **8,** 763–770.