

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 December 14.

Inter-conversion of Neuregulin2 full and partial agonists for ErbB4

Kristy J. Wilson¹, Christopher P. Mill¹, Elizabeth M. Cameron¹, Stuart S. Hobbs¹, Robert P. Hammer^{1,2}, and David J. Riese II¹

1 Purdue University School of Pharmacy and Purdue Cancer Research Center West Lafayette, IN 47907-2064, USA

2 Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1804

Abstract

The EGF family hormone NRG2 β potently stimulates ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation and coupling to IL3 independence. In contrast, the NRG2 α splicing isoform has lower affinity for ErbB4, does not potently stimulate ErbB4 phosphorylation, and fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling. Here we investigate these differences. The NRG2 β Q43L mutant potently stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation but not ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence. This failure to stimulate ErbB4 coupling is not due to differential ligand purity, glycosylation, or stability. The NRG2 α K45F mutant potently stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation but not ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence. Thus, this failure to stimulate ErbB4 coupling is not due to inadequate affinity for ErbB4. In contrast, the NRG2 α L43Q/K45F mutant stimulates ErbB4 coupling, even though it does not have greater affinity for ErbB4 than does NRG2 α /K45F. Collectively, these data indicate that Gln43 of NRG2 β is both necessary and sufficient for NRG2 stimulation of ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence.

Keywords

Differential efficacy; ErbB4; Neuregulin; Signal transduction; Partial and full agonists

Introduction

The neuregulins (NRGs) are a subset of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) family of peptide growth factors and include NRG1, NRG2, NRG3, NRG4, NRG5 (tomoregulin), and NRG6 (neuroglycan C) [1–4]. Multiple isoforms of NRG1 and NRG2 exist as a result of differential transcriptional splicing and these isoforms possess variations in the canonical EGF homology domain [5,6]. EGF family hormones are ligands for members of the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases, including the EGF receptor (EGFR/ErbB1/HER2), ErbB2/HER2/Neu, ErbB3/HER3, and ErbB4/HER4. Ligand binding causes receptor dimerization, phosphorylation of cytoplasmic tyrosine residues, and coupling to downstream signaling proteins via the SH2 and PTB domains of these effector proteins [7–9].

EGF family hormones and ErbB family receptors display complex patterns of interactions and signaling. NRG2 β is a high affinity ligand for ErbB4 and potently stimulates ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation. The splicing isoform NRG2 α is a low affinity ligand for ErbB4 and does not potently stimulate ErbB4 phosphorylation [10]. Phe45 in the carboxyl-terminal portion of the

Correspondence David J. Riese II, HANS 114, 201 S. University St., Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2064, Email: driese@purdue.edu, Tel: (765) 494-6091, Fax: (765) 496-3601.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

EGF homology domain of NRG2 β is responsible for these differences (Figure 1); the NRG2 α K45F mutant (Figure 2A) exhibits increased affinity for ErbB4 and more potently stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation. Similarly, the analogous NRG2 β F45K mutant (Figure 2A) exhibits decreased affinity for ErbB4 and less potently stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation [11,12].

NRG2 α , unlike NRG2 β , fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to interleukin-3 (IL3) independence in BaF3 lymphoid cells [10,11]. Moreover, the NRG2 α K45F mutant fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling and the analogous NRG2 β F45K mutant does stimulate ErbB4 coupling. Thus, it appears that NRG2 β is a full agonist for ErbB4 whereas NRG2 α is a partial agonist for ErbB4. Moreover, the failure of NRG2 α to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence is not due to inadequate affinity for ErbB4 but instead reflects a difference in the intrinsic activity of NRG2 α and NRG2 β .

Here we investigate this difference. We demonstrate that the NRG2 β Q43L mutant fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence, but is still a potent stimulus of ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation. Likewise, we demonstrate that the analogous NRG2 α L43Q/K45F mutant stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence. Thus, our data indicate that Gln43 of NRG2 β is necessary and sufficient for NRG2 stimulation of ErbB4 coupling and regulates the intrinsic activity (efficacy) of NRG2 isoforms.

Material and Methods

Cell lines and cell culture

The S2 Schneider insect cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. The CEM/ErbB4 cells are a generous gift of Dr. Gregory D. Plowman, Exelixis Pharmaceuticals [13]. The BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cell lines have been described previously [14]. All cell lines were maintained according to vendor instructions or published procedures.

Expression and purification of recombinant NRGs from insect cells

The NRG2 α L43Q/K45F mutant was constructed using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and the NRG2 α K45F mutant [11] as template. The NRG2 α K45F/P47M, K45F/R49N, and K45F/L50F mutants were constructed using analogous strategies. Constructs were validated by sequencing. Primer sequences are available upon request. Wild-type NRG2 α , wild-type NRG2 β , and all of the NRG2 β mutants have been described previously [10,11]. All NRG2 constructs are cloned into pMT-BiP-V5-HisB (Invitrogen) and thereby encode a BiP secretion signal amino-terminal to the NRG2 sequences and V5 and hexahistidine epitope tags carboxyl-terminal to the NRG2 sequences [10]. We have previously described the strategies for expressing the NRG2 constructs in S2 insect cells and for purifying and quantifying the recombinant proteins [10–12].

Construction and expression of GST-NRG2 isoforms and mutants

The entire coding sequence of each pMT-NRG clone was amplified using PCR with primers containing either a BgIII site or a NotI site. The resulting fragments were inserted into the BamHI and NotI sites of pGEX-6p-1 (Amersham Biosciences) downstream of and in frame with sequences that encode a glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag. Primer sequences are available upon request. Each resulting GST-NRG clone was expressed in the Origami strain of *E. coli* (Novagen) as a recombinant fusion protein containing an amino-terminal GST epitope tag and carboxyl-terminal V5 and hexahistidine epitope tags. Expression by the bacteria was induced using 1 mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG - VWR International). The bacteria were lysed using 1 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich) in the presence of 200 mM ABSF (VWR International), 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), and benzyonase (Sigma-

Aldrich). The GST fusion proteins were purified by adding the lysates to GST cellulose columns (Bioline) that had been equilibrated with phosphate buffered normal saline (PBS). The column was washed and the GST fusion proteins were eluted using reduced glutathione (Fisher). The eluate was concentrated by ultrafiltration and the retentate was dialyzed against PBS to remove glutathione. The resulting purified protein (~3 mL) was divided into aliquots and stored at -100°C. We have previously described our strategy for quantifying recombinant NRGs and NRG mutants [10–12].

Analysis of ligand stimulation of ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation

We analyzed ligand-induced ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation in CEM/ErbB4 cells by antiphosphotyrosine immunoblotting as previously described [12]. Briefly, the chemilumigrams were digitized and the bands were quantified. Dose-response data were analyzed to determine the concentration of each ligand that yields half-maximal ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation (EC₅₀). As a positive control, CEM/ErbB4 cells were also stimulated with NRG1 β . Varying amounts of the resulting ErbB4 precipitates were used to generate calibration curves of NRG1 β -induced ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation. These calibration curves were used to determine the maximal level of ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation (E_{max}) stimulated by each ligand relative to the amount stimulated by NRG1 β .

Analysis of ligand stimulation of ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence

We have previously demonstrated that ErbB4 ligands stimulate ErbB4 receptor coupling to IL3 independence in the BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cell line [14,15]. Thus, we analyzed the NRG2 isoforms and mutants for ligand-induced coupling to IL3 independence in BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cells using previously published procedures [11]. Briefly, cells were seeded in 24-well dishes at a density of 10^5 cells/mL in medium lacking IL3, or in medium lacking IL3 but supplemented with recombinant NRG. Cells were incubated for 96 hours, after which viable cell density was determined and ligand EC₅₀ and E_{max} values were calculated (Prizm, GraphPad Software, San Diego).

Results

NRG2β/Q43L fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence

The EGF family hormones NRG2 α and NRG2 β are transcriptional splicing isoforms of the same gene. Consequently, the amino-terminal portion of the EGF homology domain of these peptides is identical (Figure 1). However, the carboxyl-terminal portion of the EGF homology domain of these peptides diverges (Figure 1). This difference in amino acid sequence is reflected by functional differences between NRG2 α and NRG2 β . We have demonstrated that NRG2 β stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence, whereas NRG2 α does not [11]. The K45F mutation in the EGF homology domain of NRG2 α results in a ligand that binds with high affinity to ErbB4 and potently stimulates ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation, yet fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence in the BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cell line [11]. In contrast, the NRG2 α Chg5 mutant (L43Q/K45F/P47M/R49N/L50F) binds with high affinity to ErbB4, potently stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation, and stimulates ErbB4 coupling [11]. This suggests that Gln43, Met47, Asn49 and Phe50 may be individually critical for stimulation of ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence by NRG2 β and that the Q43L, M47P, N49R, or F50L mutations in NRG2 β may individually disrupt this activity.

We generated four putative loss of function NRG2 β mutants (Q43L, M47P, N49R, and F50L) in which Gln43, Met47, Asn49 or Phe50 of NRG2 β is replaced by the corresponding residue of NRG2 α (Leu43, Pro47, Arg49, or Leu50). These NRG2 β mutants are depicted in Figure 2A. Wild-type NRG2 β and the F45K, M47P, N49R, and F50L mutants (10 nM) all stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence in the BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cell line (Figure 2B). In

contrast, NRG2 β /Q43L (10 nM) stimulates minimal ErbB4 coupling. Increasing concentrations of NRG2 β /Q43L fail to stimulate ErbB4 coupling even though NRG2 β /Q43L is a potent stimulus of ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation (Figure 2C, Table 1). Indeed, NRG2 β /Q43L is a more potent stimulus of ErbB4 phosphorylation than is NRG2 β /F45K (EC₅₀ = 20 nM for NRG2 β /Q43L and 90 nM for NRG2 β /F45K - Table 1).

We have previously demonstrated that the potency of stimulation of ErbB4 phosphorylation by NRG2 isoforms and mutants correlates with the affinity of these ligands for ErbB4 [12]. Thus, our observation that NRG2 β /Q43L is a more potent stimulus of ErbB4 phosphorylation than is NRG2 β /F45K suggests that NRG2 β /Q43L has a slightly higher affinity for ErbB4 than does NRG2 β /F45K. It should also be noted that NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L display roughly equal potency with respect to stimulation of ErbB4 phosphorylation (EC₅₀ = 8 nM and 20 nM, respectively - Table 1) and are therefore predicted to have roughly equal affinity for ErbB4 [12]. This is in sharp contrast to NRG2 β /F45K, which is a much less potent stimulus of ErbB4 phosphorylation (EC₅₀ = 90 nM - Table 1) than is wild-type NRG2 β and has a much lower affinity for ErbB4 than does wild-type NRG2 β [12]. However, despite its diminished affinity for ErbB4, NRG2 β /F45K stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence, albeit with diminished potency (Figure 2C, Table 1). Thus, the failure of NRG2 β /Q43L to stimulate ErbB4 coupling appears to reflect a loss of efficacy (intrinsic activity) rather than a loss of potency or affinity for ErbB4.

The failure of NRG2 β /Q43L to stimulate ErbB4 coupling may be due to toxic contaminants in the preparation. Indeed, both NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L are resolved as multiple species on silver stained gels (Figure 3A), However, treatment of the purified NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L stocks with peptide N-glycosidase F (PNGase F) reduced each sample to a single silver-stained specie (Figure 3A), suggesting that the multiple species present in the purified protein stocks represent differentially glycosylated isoforms rather than toxic contaminants, truncated products, or degradation products [11]. Furthermore, deglycosylated NRG2 β stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation (data not shown) and ErbB4 coupling (Figure 3B). Deglycosylated NRG2 β / Q43L stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation (data not shown), but does not stimulate ErbB4 coupling (Figure 3B). Incubation of NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L in serum at 37°C for up to 4 days does not reveal any difference in the stability of these two molecules (Figure 3C). Thus, differences in purity, glycosylation, or stability do not account for the failure of the NRG2 β / Q43L mutant to stimulate ErbB4 coupling.

Next, we used GST-NRG2 isoforms and mutants expressed by *E. coli* to explore the possibility that the differential ability of NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L to stimulate ErbB4 coupling may be an artifact of their expression by S2 insect cells. The potency and efficacy of GST-NG2 β , and GST-NRG2 β /Q43L with respect to stimulation of ErbB4 phosphorylation are similar to the potency and efficacy of these ligands expressed by insect cells (Table 1). The potency and efficacy of GST-NRG2 β stimulation of ErbB4 coupling are similar to the potency and efficacy of NRG2 β expressed by insect cells (Figure 2C, Figure 2D, Table 1). Like NRG2 β /Q43L expressed by insect cells, GST-NRG2 β /Q43L also fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling (Figure 2D, Table 1). These data indicate that NRG2 isoforms and mutants expressed by insect cells are functionally equivalent to molecules expressed by *E. coli* and that the failure of NRG2 β / Q43L to stimulate ErbB4 coupling is not an artifact of the insect cell expression system.

NRG2a/K45F/L43Q stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence

NRG2 α /K45F fails to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence (Figure 4A, Figure 4B, Table 1) but markedly stimulates ErbB4 phosphorylation (Table 1). Moreover, competition binding assays indicate that the affinity of NRG2 α /K45F for ErbB4 (K_i = 7 nM) is not markedly less than the affinity of NRG2 β for ErbB4 (K_i = 2 nM) [12]. Thus, we concluded that NRG2 α /K45F displays abundant binding to ErbB4 and that the failure of NRG2 α /K45F to

stimulate ErbB4 coupling is not due to insufficient affinity for ErbB4 or insufficient binding to ErbB4. Furthermore, we hypothesized that one or more specific amino acid residues of NRG2 β that are absent from NRG2 α /K45F are responsible for the ability of NRG2 β to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence and for the inability of NRG2 α /K45F to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence.

One clue into the identity of this residue is the fact that the NRG2 α Chg5 mutant (L43Q/K45F/ P47M/N49R/L50F - Figure 2A) stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence [11]. Yet, competition-binding assays indicate that the affinity of the NRG2 α Chg5 mutant for ErbB4 (K_i = 6 nM) is virtually identical to the affinity of NRG2 α /K45F for ErbB4 (K_i = 7 nM) [12]. These data, like data presented earlier, suggest that the failure of the NRG2 α /K45F mutant to stimulate ErbB4 coupling is not due to inadequate affinity for ErbB4 but rather reflects a lack of efficacy (intrinsic activity) on the part of NRG2 α /K45F. Moreover, these data suggest that Leu43, Pro47, Asn49, or Leu50 may be individually responsible for the failure of NRG2 α / K45F to stimulate ErbB4 coupling. Thus, we have generated NRG2 α mutants in which Leu43, Pro47, Arg49, or Leu50 of NRG2 α has been replaced by the corresponding residue of NRG2 β (Gln43, Met47, Asn49 or Phe50). These mutations were constructed in the context of the K45F mutation rather than wild-type NRG2 α to permit high affinity binding to ErbB4 and potent stimulation of ErbB4 phosphorylation. (Remember that NRG2 α has very low affinity for ErbB4 and does not potently stimulate ErbB4 phosphorylation [12].) Thus, we generated the NRG2 α L43Q/K45F, K45F/P47M, K45F/R49N, and K45F/L50F mutants (Figure 2A).

NRG2a/L43Q/K45F, unlike the other NRG2a mutants, stimulates ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence in the BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cell line (Figure 4A). However, the maximal level of IL3 independence stimulated by NRG2a/L43Q/K45F is roughly half of the maximal level stimulated by NRG2a (Figure 4B, Table 1). Nonetheless, these results indicate that Leu43 is responsible for the failure of NRG2a/K45F to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence, that the L43Q mutation enhances the efficacy (intrinsic activity) of NRG2a with respect to stimulation of ErbB4 coupling, and that Gln43 of NRG2 β is sufficient to allow partial stimulation of ErbB4 coupling by NRG2a. With respect to stimulation of ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation, NRG2a/L43Q/K45F is slightly more potent than is NRG2a/K45F (EC₅₀ = 41 nM for NRG2a/L43Q/K45F and 73 nM for NRG2a/K45F - Table 1). However, this slight increase in potency does not appear to account for the profound difference in the ability of these two ligands to stimulate ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence. Finally, these results are not simply artifacts of ligand expression by S2 cells; GST-NRG2a/K45F and GST-NRG2a/K45F and NRG2a/L43Q/L45F expressed by S2 cells (Table 1, Figure 4C).

Discussion

Here we demonstrate that NRG2 α and NRG2 β splicing isoforms display differential efficacy (intrinsic activity) with respect to stimulation of ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence in a lymphoid model system. Moreover we demonstrate that the Q43L mutation converts the full agonist NRG2 β into a partial agonist and that the analogous L43Q mutation converts the partial agonist NRG2 α /K45F into a full agonist. Thus the differential efficacy displayed by the NRG2 isoforms can be interconverted by a single amino acid substitution.

There are additional similar examples of EGF family hormone signaling specificity [10;16–20]. For example, the EGFR ligands amphiregulin (AR) and EGF differentially stimulate EGFR coupling to cell motility and invasiveness. This difference appears to be due to differential ligand-induced EGFR coupling to NF-κB activity and interleukin-1 (IL1) expression [16,20]. Likewise, various ErbB4 ligands stimulate differential ErbB4 coupling to cell proliferation in the CEM lymphoid cell line. These functional differences appear to reflect

differential ligand-induced ErbB4 coupling to Akt and Erk phosphorylation and differences in the specific sites of ligand-induced ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation [16]. We hypothesize that differences in the conformation of the ligand-induced ErbB4 dimers or differences in the juxtapositioning of ErbB4 monomers within a ligand-induced ErbB4 dimer may account for differences in the specific sites of ligand-induced ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation. Indeed, these two hypotheses have been proposed to account for the differences in efficacy of EGFR agonists [21]. This is a particularly attractive hypothesis in that it would also embrace the possibility that different ErbB4 ligands could induce differential signaling by heterodimers of ErbB4 and another ErbB family receptor. Nonetheless, it is possible that differential binding of ErbB4 ligands to accessory receptors such as heparan-sulfate proteoglycans [22] may account for differences in the efficacy of these ErbB4 ligands.

Inspired by a recent report in the literature [23], a technical advance described in this paper is the use of *E. coli* to express recombinant, epitope-tagged NRGs that retain the biological activity of recombinant NRGs expressed by insect cells. This *E. coli* expression system has enabled us to cut the time required to produce a single batch of NRGs from 90 days to 45 days and has reduced our production costs (excluding labor) from approximately \$50/nanomole to \$10/nanomole. Consequently, this technical advance has enabled us and can enable others to pursue the type of detailed pharmacologic analyses described here.

Finally, the use of single point mutations to interconvert full and partial ErbB4 agonists suggests novel strategies for therapeutic drug design. Our results suggest that it may be possible to generate peptide growth factor point mutants that retain high affinity receptor binding yet fail to stimulate receptor coupling to effectors and biological responses. Such molecules are predicted to behave as antagonists of ligand-induced receptor signaling. Agents that target tyrosine kinase receptors for peptide hormones are under intense investigation as anticancer agents. The data presented here suggest a new paradigm for developing such agents.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Kristy J. Wilson was supported in part by an NIH predoctoral training grant to the Purdue University interdisciplinary graduate program in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (T32GM008737). We acknowledge additional support from the NIH (R21CA080770, R21CA089274 and R01CA114209 to D.J.R.; F33CA085049 to R.P.H.), the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (DAMD17-00-1-0415, DAMD17-00-1-0416, and DAMD17-02-1-0130 to D.J.R.), the Indiana Elks Foundation (to D.J.R.) and the American Cancer Society (IRG-58-006 to the Purdue Cancer Center).

References

- 1. Normanno N, Bianco C, De Luca A, Salomon D. The role of EGF-related peptides in tumor growth. Frontiers in Bioscience 2001;6:685–707.
- Normanno N, Bianco C, Strizzi L, Mancino M, Maiello MR, De Luca A, Caponigro F, Salomon DS. The ErbB receptors and their ligands in cancer: an overview. Curr Drug Targets 2005;6:243–57. [PubMed: 15857286]
- Strachan L, Murison JG, Prestidge RL, Sleeman MA, Watson JD, Kumble KD. Cloning and biological activity of epigen, a novel member of the epidermal growth factor superfamily. J Biol Chem 2001;276:18265–71. [PubMed: 11278323]
- Kinugasa Y, Ishiguro H, Tokita Y, Oohira A, Ohmoto H, Higashiyama S. Neuroglycan C, a novel member of the neuregulin family. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004;321:1045–9. [PubMed: 15358134]

- 5. Falls DL. Neuregulins: functions, forms, and signaling strategies. Exp Cell Res 2003;284:14–30. [PubMed: 12648463]
- Marchionni MA, Goodearl AD, Chen MS, Bermingham-McDonogh O, Kirk C, Hendricks M, Danehy F, Misumi D, Sudhalter J, Kobayashi K, et al. Glial growth factors are alternatively spliced erbB2 ligands expressed in the nervous system. Nature 1993;362:312–8. [PubMed: 8096067]
- 7. Riese DJ 2nd, Stern DF. Specificity within the EGF family/ErbB receptor family signaling network. Bioessays 1998;20:41–8. [PubMed: 9504046]
- Citri A, Yarden Y. EGF-ERBB signalling: towards the systems level. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2006;7:505–16. [PubMed: 16829981]
- Warren CM, Landgraf R. Signaling through ERBB receptors: multiple layers of diversity and control. Cell Signal 2006;18:923–33. [PubMed: 16460914]
- Hobbs SS, Coffing SL, Le AT, Cameron EM, Williams EE, Andrew M, Blommel EN, Hammer RP, Chang H, Riese DJ 2nd. Neuregulin isoforms exhibit distinct patterns of ErbB family receptor activation. Oncogene 2002;21:8442–52. [PubMed: 12466964]
- Hobbs SS, Cameron EM, Hammer RP, Le AT, Gallo RM, Blommel EN, Coffing SL, Chang H, Riese DJ 2nd. Five carboxyl-terminal residues of neuregulin2 are critical for stimulation of signaling by the ErbB4 receptor tyrosine kinase. Oncogene 2004;23:883–93. [PubMed: 14661053]
- Hobbs SS, Gallo RM, Riese DJ 2nd. Phe45 of NRG2beta is critical for the affinity of NRG2beta for ErbB4 and for potent stimulation of ErbB4 signaling by NRG2beta. Growth Factors 2005;23:273– 83. [PubMed: 16338790]
- Plowman GD, Green JM, Culouscou JM, Carlton GW, Rothwell VM, Buckley S. Heregulin induces tyrosine phosphorylation of HER4/p180erbB4. Nature 1993;366:473–5. [PubMed: 7902537]
- Riese DJ 2nd, van Raaij TM, Plowman GD, Andrews GC, Stern DF. The cellular response to neuregulins is governed by complex interactions of the erbB receptor family. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:5770–6. [PubMed: 7565730]
- Riese DJ 2nd, Bermingham Y, van Raaij TM, Buckley S, Plowman GD, Stern DF. Betacellulin activates the epidermal growth factor receptor and erbB-4, and induces cellular response patterns distinct from those stimulated by epidermal growth factor or neuregulin-beta. Oncogene 1996;12:345–53. [PubMed: 8570211]
- Sweeney C, Lai C, Riese DJ 2nd, Diamonti AJ, Cantley LC, Carraway KL 3rd. Ligand discrimination in signaling through an ErbB4 receptor homodimer. J Biol Chem 2000;275:19803–7. [PubMed: 10867024]
- 17. Chung E, Graves-Deal R, Franklin JL, Coffey RJ. Differential effects of amphiregulin and TGF-alpha on the morphology of MDCK cells. Exp Cell Res 2005;309:149–60. [PubMed: 15979068]
- Willmarth NE, Ethier SP. Autocrine and juxtacrine effects of amphiregulin on the proliferative, invasive, and migratory properties of normal and neoplastic human mammary epithelial cells. J Biol Chem 2006;281:37728–37. [PubMed: 17035230]
- Gilmore JL, Gallo RM, Riese DJ 2nd. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-S442F mutant displays increased affinity for neuregulin-2beta and agonist-independent coupling with downstream signaling events. Biochem J 2006;396:79–88. [PubMed: 16445385]
- Streicher KL, Willmarth NE, Garcia J, Boerner JL, Dewey TG, Ethier SP. Activation of a nuclear factor κB/interleukin-1 positive feedback loop by amphiregulin in human breast cancer cells. Mol Cancer Res 2007;5:847–861. [PubMed: 17670913]
- Dawson JP, Berger MB, Lin CC, Schlessinger J, Lemmon MA, Ferguson KM. Epidermal growth factor receptor dimerization and activation require ligand-induced conformational changes in the dimer interface. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:7734–7742. [PubMed: 16107719]
- Bernfield M, Gotte M, Park PW, Reizes O, Fitzzgerald ML, Lincecum J, Zako M. Functions of cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans. Annu Rev Biochem 1999;68:729–777. [PubMed: 10872465]
- Ponomareva ON, Ma H, Dakour R, Raabe TD, Lai C, Rimer M. Stimulation of acetylcholine receptor transcription by neuregulin-2 requires an N-box response element and is regulated by alternative splicing. Neurosci 2005;134:495–503.

NRG2a	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
WSGHARKCNETAKSYCVNGGVCYYIEGINQLSCKCPNGFFGQRC	∫ L	Ε	Κ	\mathbf{L}	Ρ	\mathbf{L}	Ρ	L	Y	М	Ρ
WSGHARK <u>C</u> NETAKSY <u>C</u> VNGGV <u>C</u> YYIEGINQLS <u>C</u> K <u>C</u> PVGYTGDR <u>C</u>	Q	Q	\mathbf{F}	Α	Μ	V	Ν	\mathbf{F}	S	Κ	Η
NRG2β		~									

Figure 1. The NRG2a and NRG2b transcriptional splicing isoforms differ at the carboxyl terminus of the EGF homology domain

The amino acid sequence of the EGF homology domain of NRG2 α and NRG2 β is depicted. Residues in the carboxyl-terminal region of greatest sequence divergence are in depicted in larger type and residues mutated in the work reported here are highlighted in gray. Wilson et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript





(A) The amino acid sequence for a portion of the EGF homology domain of the NRG2 isoforms and mutants used in this study is depicted. (B) BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cells were seeded at a density of 10^5 cells/mL in medium devoid of IL3. Following treatments as described elsewhere, viable cell density was determined and is expressed as an absolute value or as a percentage of the response to NRG2 β . When appropriate, ligand potency (EC₅₀ or IC₅₀) and efficacy (E_{max}) were calculated. Data are averages of at least three independent experiments. Cells were treated with 10 nM of each indicated NRG. (C & D) Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the indicated NRGs.

Wilson et al.



Figure 3. Recombinant NRGs are purified to homogeneity, glycosylation is not necessary for coupling to IL3 independence, and recombinant NRGs are stable

(A) NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L were treated for three hours with peptide N-glycosidase F (PNGase F) and resolved by SDS-PAGE. (B) BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cells were seeded at a density of 10⁵ cells/mL in medium devoid of IL3. Cells were treated for 4 days with NRGs that had been batch purified using nickel ion beads, with NRGs that had been purified by nickel ion column chromatography, or with NRGs that had been purified by column chromatography and were subsequently deglycosylated by PNGase F. Viable cell density is reported. (C) NRG2 β and NRG2 β /Q43L were diluted to 30 nM in 1 mL of RPMI culture medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum. Immediately upon dilution, a 100 µL aliquot

was removed from each of the two samples. The remainder was incubated at 37° C for 4 days and 100 µl samples were taken every twenty-four hours. Serial dilutions of the aliquots were blotted onto nitrocellulose. NRG was detected by immunoblotting using a V5 antibody as described elsewhere.

Wilson et al.



Figure 4. NRG2a/L43Q/K45F stimulation of ErbB4 coupling to IL3 independence BaF3/EGFR+ErbB4 cells were seeded at a density of 10^5 cells/mL in medium devoid of IL3. Following treatments as described below, viable cell density was determined and is expressed as an absolute value or as a percentage of the response to NRG2 β . When appropriate, ligand potency (EC₅₀) and efficacy (E_{max}) were calculated. Data are averages of at least three independent experiments. (A) Cells were treated with 10 nM of each indicated NRG. (B & C) Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the indicated NRGs.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 $m\,m\,\sigma\,\frac{12}{2}\,\mathbf{Z}$ m c c m m E_{max} (% NRG2 β) IL3 independence 86 ± 4 1.4 ± 0.9 5 ± 4 123 ± 17 **NIH-PA** Author Manuscript $\begin{array}{c} 4 \pm 2 \\ 4 \pm 3 \\ 60 \pm 5 \\ 63 \pm 4 \end{array}$ 100 Table 1 1.4 ± 0.1 1.8 ± 0.4 ND ND 36 \pm 12 $\begin{array}{c} ND\\ ND\\ 5\pm1\\ 3.1\pm0.8 \end{array}$ EC₅₀ (nM) $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{ErbB4 Tyrosine Phosphorylation} \\ \mbox{EC}_{60} (nM) & \mbox{E}_{max} \left(\% \ NRG1\beta\right) & \mbox{N} \\ \mbox{8 \pm 1} & \mbox{102 \pm 9} & \mbox{33 \pm 7} & \mbox{76 \pm 6} & \mbox{44 \pm 16} & \mbox{33 \pm 7} & \mbox{77 \pm 7} & \mbox{33 \pm 7} & \mbox{77 \pm 7} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \mbox{33 \pm 9} & \mbox{115 \pm 4} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \mbox{33 \pm 9} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \mbox{33 \pm 9} & \mbox{33 \pm 10} & \$ **NIH-PA** Author Manuscript NRG2β/M47P NRG2β/M47P NRG2β/F50L NRG2a/K45F GST-NRG2a/K45F GST-NRG2a/K45F NRC3a/L43Q/K45F NRC3a/L43Q/K45F NRG2a/L43Q/K45F NRG2β GST-NRG2β NRG2β/Q43L GST-NRG2β/Q43L NRG2β/F45K * Hobbs *et al.*, 2004