BREAST PATHOLOGY

The histological diagnosis of metastases to the breast from extramammary malignancies

Andrew H S Lee

J Clin Pathol 2007;60:1333-1341. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2006.046078

This study aims to review histological and immunohistochemical features that are useful in the diagnosis of metastases to the breast. Histological features were compared between nonhaematological metastases to the breast and 100 consecutive core biopsy specimens of primary invasive carcinomas of the breast. 18 non-haematological metastases to the breast were diagnosed over a 10-year period (0.3% of malignant mammary tumours). Elastosis and carcinoma in situ were seen only in primary mammary cancers. Two-thirds of tumours had features raising the possibility of metastasis, such as clear cell carcinoma suggestive of renal origin and small cell carcinoma suggestive of pulmonary origin. The features observed in haematological metastases are also described. Immunohistochemical panels to distinguish mammary carcinoma (oestrogen receptor, gross cystic fluid protein-15) from common metastases to the breast, including carcinoma of the lung (thyroid transcription factor-1), malignant melanoma (S100, HMB45, melan-A) and ovarian serous papillary carcinoma (Wilms' tumour 1), are discussed. The pathologist has a key role in considering the diagnosis of metastasis to the breast if the histological features are unusual for a primary mammary tumour. The clinical history is vital in some cases. Immunohistochemistry plays a useful supplementary role.

The diagnosis of metastases to the breast from extramammary malignancies, and distinction from primary mammary malignancy, is important for patient management. The prognosis is generally poor as most patients have widely disseminated disease.¹⁻³ Most patients die within a year of diagnosis,^{2 4-7} although longer survival is well recognised if there is effective systemic treatment.^{2 6} In many patients, systemic treatment or palliative care is more appropriate than extensive surgery. Accurate diagnosis can therefore prevent unnecessary surgery.

The aim of this paper is to describe histological and immunohistochemical features that are useful in the diagnosis of the common tumour types that metastasise to the breast. There is increasing use of needlecore biopsy rather than fine-needle aspiration cytology in non-operative diagnosis of breast disease. The emphasis in this article is on the diagnosis in needle-core biopsy: if a diagnosis can be made at this stage then appropriate management can be planned.

The most common metastatic tumours in the breast are from mammary primaries,⁸ ⁹ but these

are excluded in most series and will not be discussed here. A wide range of extramammary tumours have been described as metastasising to the breast, the largest group being haematological malignancies. Other common types are carcinoma of the lung, malignant melanoma, serous papillary carcinoma of the ovary, carcinoma of the prostate, kidney and stomach, and carcinoid tumours.¹⁰ Malignant tumours of the breast are rare in people aged <20 years. In this small group, metastases to the breast outnumber primary tumours, and the most common tumours metastasising to the breast are rhabdomyosarcomas and lymphomas.^{11 12} Metastases to the breast are much more common in women.⁹

The frequency of metastastic tumour in the breast from extramammary malignancy compared with primary mammary carcinoma, based on histological diagnosis in clinical studies, varies between 0.2% and 1.3%.^{4 5 7} Higher frequencies of 2–7% are seen in postmortem studies.^{8 13} In approximately 30% of patients, the metastasis to the breast is the first sign of malignancy.^{1 4-7 9} In those with a history of malignancy, the time from initial diagnosis to metastasis to the breast varies between 1 month and 15 years, with averages between 1 and 5 years.^{1 2 5 14-16} A long interval is well recognised for some tumour types such as malignant melanoma and ovarian carcinoma (table 1).⁵

CLINICAL FEATURES

Patients typically present with a rapidly growing painless firm palpable breast mass.^{1 2 7 14–17} Some reports emphasise that the masses are often superficial,^{4 18} but usually they are not tethered to the skin.^{14 15 17} Diffuse skin involvement is rare.

The most common mammographic appearance is of a rounded mass with well-defined or slightly irregular margins.^{7 15 18 19} Multiple or bilateral tumours are seen in a minority. Calcification is rare, apart from metastases from ovarian serous papillary carcinomas.^{1 6 20} Spiculation is uncommon in contrast with primary mammary carcinomas.^{15 20} Ultrasound scan typically shows a hypoechoic mass, which is sometimes heterogeneous or poorly defined.¹⁸ Axillary lymphadenopathy is sometimes apparent.

USEFUL HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES

The histological features in 18 non-haematological metastases to the breast seen over a 10-year period

Abbreviations: H&E, haematoxylin and eosin; GCDFP-15, gross cystic disease fluid protein-15; TTF-1, thyroid transcription factor-1

Correspondence to: A H S Lee, Histopathology Department, Nottingham University Hospitals, City Hospital Campus, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK; andrew.lee@nuh.nhs.uk

Accepted 4 February 2007

Ovary

Ovary

Ovary

Ovary

Skin

Skin

Kidney

Prostate

Thyroid

Ovary

Small bowel

Oesophagogastric

F. female: M. male *Information not obtainable

Nottingham, U	cal and histological teature: K, 1996–2005	s ot 18 patie	ents with i	netastases to the breast	seen in Notting	ham City Hospital,
Primary site	Histological pattern	Age (years)	Sex	Time from diagnosis to br metastasis (months)	reast Calcification	Distinctive pathology
Lung	Small cell carcinoma	49	F	13	No	Yes, small cell
Lung	Squamous carcinoma	83	F	10	No	No
Lung	Large cell carcinoma	58	м	3	No	No
Lung	Small cell carcinoma	49	F	9	No	Yes, small cell
Lung	Adenocarcinoma	64	F	3	No	Yes, unusual pattern

9

93

94

16

9

2

68

13

12

118

New diagnosis

New diagnosis

F

F

F

F

M

F

F

F

Μ

F

F

(1996–2005) were compared with a nearly consecutive series of
100 core biopsy specimens in 2006, showing invasive carcinoma
with later surgical excision (table 2), and larger series of core
biopsy ²¹ and excision specimens. ²²

Serous papillary carcinoma

Serous papillary carcinoma

Serous papillary carcinoma

Serous papillary carcinoma

Melanoma

Melanoma

Melanoma

Melanoma

Diffuse carcinoma

Adenocarcinoma Hurtle cell carcinoma

Leiomyosarcoma

Clear cell carcinoma

58

71

70 72

29

67

73

12

60

58

75

53

61

Often metastases to the breast show histological features, such as clear cell carcinoma suggestive of renal origin, which are not typical of primary carcinoma of the breast (table 1). The clues can sometimes be subtle such as pigment and intranuclear inclusions in malignant melanoma. In our experience, about a third of lesions do not show specific histological features (table 1). For example, large cell carcinoma of the lung may resemble grade 3 invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. A history is often essential to make a correct diagnosis in such patients.

Elastosis is common in primary mammary carcinomas, but rare in extramammary tumours (table 2). The presence of carcinoma in situ strongly supports the diagnosis of primary carcinoma (table 2), but can be very rarely seen in association with metastasis from an extramammary primary carcinoma.²³ Calcification is common in mammary carcinomas, but is rarely seen in metastases to the breast with the exception of serous papillary carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum (table 2).

Four growth patterns of metastases to the breast are described. The most common one is a circumscribed nodule with surrounding normal breast tissue.57 Infiltration around ducts and lobules is particularly associated with lymphomas, leukaemias and malignant melanoma.^{1 2 13 16} This pattern has been suggested to be a clue to the diagnosis of metastasis to the breast, but it can be seen in primary breast tumours. It was only apparent in haematological malignancies in the tumours from Nottingham City Hospital. Lymphangitis and diffuse infiltration are less-common patterns. These growth patterns are less easy to appreciate in a core biopsy than in surgical specimens.

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes, papillary

Yes, papillary

Yes, papillary

Yes, spindle cells

No, like lobular

Yes, spindle cells

Yes, clear cell

cytoplasm

Yes, spindle cells, pigment

Yes, intranuclear inclusions

Yes, abundant granular

Immunohistochemistry

The most useful data in making the diagnosis of metastasis to the breast are the clinical history and morphological assessment of haematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections, particularly if sections of the primary tumour are available for comparison. When considering a possible diagnosis of metastasis to the breast from a known malignancy elsewhere, it is important to ask oneself whether the extramammary tumour may in fact be a metastasis from the breast tumour. If there is no history,

	Primary mam	mary carcinoma			Metastases to the breast
Histological feature	Core biopsy specimens ²¹	Core biopsy specimens, NCH 2006	Excision, NCH 2006	Excision ²²	Core biopsy specimens*, NCH 1996–2005
Number of specimens	500	100	100	745	18
Carcinoma in situ (%)	NS	43	80	87	0
DCIS (%)	32	40	78	NS	0
obular neoplasia (%)	NS	3	10	NS	0
Elastosis (%)	NS	51	NS	40	0
Calcification (%)	NS	19	NS	NS	17†
Vascular invasion (%)	3	NS	NS	26	0

DCIS, ductal carcinoma in situ; NCH, Nottingham City Hospital; NS, not studied.

*Includes one excision specimen.

†All ovarian serous papillary carcinomas.


Table 3Predominant patterns of expression of cytokeratin7 and cytokeratin 20 in carcinomas arising in differentorgans

mmunophenotype	Organ of origin/histological type
K7+/CK20-	Breast carcinoma
	Non-mucinous ovarian carcinoma
	Pulmonary adenocarcinoma
	Endometrium
	Pleural mesothelioma
	Thyroid carcinoma
	Oesophageal adenocarcinoma
	Salivary gland
CK7-/CK20+	Colorectal
CK7+/CK20+	Gastric
CK7+/CK20+	Pancreas/biliary
CK7+/CK20+	Mucinous ovary
	Transitional cell
CK7-/CK20-	Prostate
	Renal clear cell carcinoma
	Hepatocellular
	Pulmonary squamous carcinoma

immunohistochemical analysis may be helpful in supporting origin from an extramammary site. An immunohistochemical comparison with a known extramammary primary tumour using a panel of antibodies may be useful in small biopsies with limited tissue for assessment or tumours without distinctive histology, which could be either a primary mammary tumour or metastasis from an extramammary malignancy.

When performing immunohistochemical analysis it is important to remember that no marker is 100% specific or sensitive. Thus, one should use panels of antibodies and not rely too much on any individual result. There is a danger of falsenegative results in small biopsies, particularly if the antigen is only focally present. Also, metastases sometimes show a different immunophenotype from the primary tumour, but usually for just one or two markers. Important contributory factors to the percentage of positive results for each antibody discussed below are technical details (fixation, processing, pretreatment and immunohistochemical method), criteria for a positive result and selection of tumours. The percentage of tumours described as expressing different markers in the sections below must therefore be regarded as approximate. The

> Figure 1 Metastasis from serous papillary carcinoma of the ovary: (A) typical papillary architecture; (B) less typical papillary architecture and (C) calcification. Immunohistochemical analysis shows expression of (D) Wilms' tumour 1 in tumour nuclei and vessels, (E) oestrogen receptor and (F) Ca125. Epithelial membrane antigen expression in (G) metastasis from serous papillary carcinoma of the ovary compared with (H) invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the breast.






Figure 2 Metastases from malignant melanoma with (A) spindle cells and (B) intranuclear inclusions. Immunohistochemical analysis shows expression of (C) \$100 and (D) absence of cytokeratin expression (note the positive internal control).

choice of antibodies used should be based on the history and morphology of the tumour.

Immunophenotype of breast cancer

The combination of cytokeratin 7 and cytokeratin 20 is useful in categorising carcinomas (table 3).^{24 25} Breast cancer, including the common special types, is typically cytokeratin 7+ and cytokeratin $20-.^{26}$ Almost all breast cancers stain with the cytokeratin antibody CAM5.2 and are positive for epithelial

membrane antigen.^{27 28} S100 is expressed in 50%^{29 30} and carcinoembryonic antigen in 30% of mammary carcinomas.³¹ Oestrogen receptor is expressed in 80% and progesterone receptor in 60% of mammary carcinomas,³²⁻³⁴ with most tumours being either clearly positive or completely negative.³⁴ Convincing expression of oestrogen receptor is largely restricted to carcinomas of the breast, endometrium and ovary.³⁵ Occasionally, tumours from other sites express oestrogen receptor, but usually it is weak and focal.³⁵⁻³⁷ Gross cystic





Figure 3 Metastases from pulmonary carcinomas. Small cell carcinoma (A) H&E and (B) CD56. Adenocarcinoma (C) H&E and (D) thyroid transcription factor 1. (E) Squamous carcinoma. (F) Large cell carcinoma.



disease fluid protein-15 (GCDFP-15) is often expressed by carcinomas of the breast (70%), salivary glands and skin appendages and occasionally by other carcinomas.³⁶ ³⁸ ³⁹

OVARIAN CARCINOMA

Serous papillary carcinoma is the most common type of ovarian tumour to the metastasise to the breast and can also involve axillary lymph nodes.⁴⁰ Histologically, it is not possible to distinguish it from serous papillary carcinoma of the peritoneum, which can also spread to the breast. Usually, the papillary architecture is apparent, but sometimes there may be just a solid growth pattern making diagnosis more difficult. Occasionally, the time from initial diagnosis of ovarian primary to mammary metastasis is several years. The histological clue to the diagnosis is that the papillary architecture is not a typical pattern for most histological types of invasive carcinoma of the breast (fig 1A,B). Serous papillary carcinoma can resemble invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the breast and calcification can be seen in both.

Both mammary and non-mucinous ovarian carcinomas are typically cytokeratin 7+, cytokeratin 20– and often positive for oestrogen receptor. The pattern of epithelial membrane antigen expression is useful: invasive micropapillary carcinoma has expression on the outside of the papillary clusters, but not around the central spaces, whereas serous papillary carcinoma has expression on both surfaces (fig 1G,H).

Nuclear expression of Wilms' tumour 1 is present in about 70% of ovarian carcinomas and in 95% of serous papillary carcinomas (fig 1D), but is present in <10% of breast cancers (although there do not seem to be any data for invasive micropapillary carcinoma).⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ It is also present in other tumours such as mesothelioma.⁴⁵

GCDFP-15 is present in about 70% of breast cancers, including invasive micropapillary carcinomas,⁴⁶ and rarely seen in ovarian carcinoma.^{35 36 38 39 44} Thus, expression of this marker favours breast cancer and the absence of staining is not helpful.

Staining for Ca125 is seen in about 60% of ovarian carcinomas and in 90% of serous papillary carcinomas (fig 1F). It is also commonly seen in endometrial, endocervical, biliary and pancreatic carcinomas, but infrequently in breast cancer (10–20%).^{35 39 44 47}

Figure 4 Metastasis from prostatic carcinoma (A) H&E (B) prostate-specific antigen.

Mesothelin is often expressed in carcinomas of the ovary (over 90% of serous papillary carcinomas), prostate and mesotheliomas and weakly expressed in 3–14% of breast cancers.³⁵ ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ Intermediate levels of staining are seen in adenocarcinomas of the lung, stomach and colorectum.

MALIGNANT MELANOMA

The histological appearance of malignant melanoma can be varied, including epithelioid, spindle and plasmacytoid cells, and may overlap with mammary carcinoma (table 1).⁵⁰ Useful clues to the diagnosis are cytoplasmic pigment, intranuclear inclusions and spindle cells (fig 2A,B).

S100 is the most sensitive immunohistochemical marker of melanoma (expressed in about 95%, fig 2C). However, it is not specific, being present in many other tumours, including about 50% of breast cancers,^{29 30} and must therefore be used in combination with other markers. A panel of cytokeratins is useful to exclude carcinoma (fig 2D). HMB45, melan-A, microphthalmia transcription factor and tyrosinase are all less sensitive, being present in about 70% of melanomas, and more specific than S100.⁵¹⁻⁵³ Melanoma can show aberrant expression of cytokeratins, particularly with CAM5.2, epithelial membrane antigen, CD38 and CD68.⁵⁰

PULMONARY CARCINOMA

The major clue to the diagnosis of oat cell carcinoma is the appearance on H&E-stained sections. It is typically composed of sheets of cells with speckled chromatin without prominent nucleoli, scant cytoplasm, necrosis, frequent mitoses and crush artefact (fig 3A). Immunohistochemistry is useful to confirm the diagnosis. Membranous staining for CD56 is present in 95% (fig 3B) and other neuroendocrine markers, such as synaptophysin and chromogranin A, are less frequently seen.⁵⁴ There is typically dot positivity with CAM5.2. Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) is present in about 80% of both pulmonary and non-pulmonary small cell carcinomas (and can be seen in primary mammary small cell carcinoma).55 56 The possibility of metastasis, particularly from the lung, should be considered if small cell carcinoma is diagnosed in the breast, as primary mammary small cell carcinoma is very rare.57 The presence of ductal carcinoma in situ or in oestrogen receptor favours the diagnosis of primary mammary small cell carcinoma.^{56 57}




Figure 5 (A) Metastasis from gastrooesophageal carcinoma of diffuse pattern. (B) The primary carcinoma also had areas of intestinal carcinoma. The diagnosis was reinforced by the absence of expression of oestrogen receptor.




Figure 6 (A) Primary conventional renal cell carcinoma and (B) mammary metastasis. The similarity in morphology means that no immunohistochemical analysis is necessary.

Pulmonary adenocarcinomas may have morphological clues such as an acinar growth pattern or mucin-secreting columnar cells (fig 3C). TTF-1 is expressed by about 75% of pulmonary adenocarcinomas (fig 3D) and, apart from carcinomas of the lung and thyroid, it is rarely seen in other carcinomas.^{35 45 55 58} TTF-1-positive conventional mammary carcinoma can rarely be seen (Colin Purdie, personal communication). Expression of oestrogen receptor and GCDFP-15 favour a primary breast carcinoma although convincing expression is seen occasionally in pulmonary adenocarcinomas.³⁵⁻³⁷

Primary keratinising squamous carcinoma of the breast is very rare, so metastasis, particularly from the lung, needs to be considered with this histological appearance. The recently recognised basal carcinoma of the breast can show squamoid differentiation without keratinisation^{59 60}—such tumours are typically grade 3, express basal keratins such as cytokeratin 14 and are often negative for oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and HER-2.⁶¹ TTF-1 is rarely, if ever, present in pulmonary squamous carcinoma.⁵⁸ Owing to this overlap in morphology and immunophenotype, the clinical history may be essential for making the correct diagnosis of metastasis from extramammary non-keratinising squamous carcinoma (fig 3E).

Metastasis from large cell carcinoma of the lung and poorly differentiated breast cancer are difficult to distinguish on H&E-stained sections (fig 3F). Some large cell carcinomas of the lung express TTF-1.⁶² Expression of oestrogen receptor and GCDFP-15 favours breast cancer. Clinical history and comparison with previous histology may be needed to make an accurate diagnosis.

PROSTATE

The morphology of prostatic carcinoma overlaps with mammary carcinoma (fig 4, table 1). Prostatic carcinoma may have columnar cells and even if the nuclei are relatively bland they typically contain a nucleolus. Prostate-specific antigen and prostatic acid phosphatase are excellent markers of prostatic carcinoma as both are expressed in nearly 100% of tumours (fig 4).^{35 63-65} Apart from tumours of the salivary gland,^{66 67} few other tumours express these markers. Recent reports suggest that male breast cancers can express prostate-specific antigen




Figure 7 Metastasis from leiomyosarcoma. The diagnosis was made by comparison with the ovarian primary.

(15%) but not prostatic acid phosphatase.^{68–70} Oestrogen receptor, GCDFP-15 and cytokeratin 7 are uncommon in prostatic carcinoma,^{35 65} so expression of these markers favours breast cancer.

STOMACH

The intestinal pattern of gastric carcinoma may resemble invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast, and diffuse gastric carcinoma may resemble invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast (fig 5). Columnar mucin-secreting cells favour gastrointestinal origin. Some earlier reports describe oestrogen-receptor-positive gastric carcinoma, but recent studies suggest that this is rare.³⁵ ^{71–73} Oestrogen receptor is expressed by 95% of invasive lobular carcinomas,^{32 34} so this marker is particularly useful in the distinction from diffuse gastric carcinoma. Several recent studies did not find GCDFP-15-positive stomach cancer.^{35 71 73} CDX2 is present in between 20% and 70% of gastric carcinomas but not in breast cancer.^{35 73–75} Cytokeratin 20 is more often present in gastric carcinoma (50%) than in mammary carcinoma.

RENAL CELL CARCINOMA

Conventional renal cell carcinoma is the most common renal malignancy and the most likely to metastasise to a wide range of sites⁷⁶ including the breast. The abundant clear or granular cytoplasm with prominent fine vessels are useful clues to this diagnosis (fig 6). Clear cell change can be seen in mammary carcinoma, but is often patchy, and in carcinomas from other sites.

Conventional renal cell carcinoma is usually positive for the renal cell carcinoma marker (90%), whereas only about 15% of breast cancers are positive,⁷⁷ and stromal cells could be positive.⁷⁸ CD10 is present in a high proportion of conventional and papillary renal cell carcinomas (90%), commonly seen in other genitourinary and gastrointestinal tumours, but is uncommon in breast cancer (5%).⁷⁹ Oestrogen receptor, GCDFP-15 and cytokeratin 7 are rarely expressed in conventional renal cell carcinoma,^{35 36 80} although cytokeratin 7 is more common in other histological types.⁸¹

CARCINOID TUMOURS

Carcinoid tumours of the small bowel and appendix metastasise to the breast surprisingly commonly.^{10 15 17} Primary endocrine carcinomas of the breast and carcinoid tumours of the lung and gastrointestinal tract can be morphologically similar. Ductal carcinoma in situ is a useful discriminant in breast biopsy specimens.

Immunohistochemical analysis can provide some clues to the primary site of carcinoid tumours. Expression of CDX2 and CK20 favours gastrointestinal origin and TTF-1 favours pulmonary origin.^{55 74 82} There seem to be no data on these three markers in breast neuroendocrine tumours. Oestrogen and progesterone receptor and GCDFP-15 are often expressed by mammary neuroendocrine carcinomas.⁸³ Progesterone receptor is expressed in some pancreatic endocrine tumours, but not in gastrointestinal or pulmonary carcinoid tumour⁸⁴; oestrogen receptor is not expressed in any of these extramammary tumours.




Figure 8 Diffuse large B cell lymphoma of the breast: (A) the cohesive appearance mimics mammary carcinoma; (B) at higher power, the centroblastic morphology is apparent; and (C) CD20 confirms B cell type. (D) Marginal zone lymphoma with dense sheets of lymphocytes infiltrating around lobule and (E) at higher power the monotonous nature of the infiltrate is apparent. (F) Metastasis from mycosis fungoides showing heterogeneous infiltrate, including a small granuloma.

SARCOMAS

Both primary and metastatic sarcomas in the breast are rare. Sarcoma is more commonly seen as a component of metaplastic carcinoma or phyllodes tumour. The limited tissue in a core biopsy specimen makes accurate diagnosis difficult unless there is a history (fig 7). Thorough sampling, looking for areas of conventional carcinoma or small cohesive foci, and cytokeratin immunohistochemistry using a panel of antibodies are useful in diagnosing metaplastic carcinoma.⁸⁵ A search for leaf-like areas of benign epithelium and CD34 immunohistochemical analysis are helpful in diagnosing phyllodes tumour. $^{\rm 85}$

LYMPHOMAS

The distinction between primary and secondary lymphoma of the breast is based on clinical criteria.^{86 87} A wide range of mammary lymphomas have been described, but the most common type, primary or secondary, is diffuse large B cell lymphoma.^{86 87} This is usually readily recognised as malignant




Figure 9 (A) Multiple myeloma showing lobulocentric infiltrate. (B) The tumour cells are CD138+. There is λ light chain restriction (C) λ , (D) κ . There was simultaneous involvement of the bone marrow.

Take-home messages

- Metastases to the breast need to be considered if the histological appearance is unusual for a primary mammary tumour. Two-thirds of metastases to the breast have histological features, raising the possibility of this diagnosis.
- In some cases the histological appearance is similar to a primary mammary tumour and the clinical history is essential to making the diagnosis.
- Elastosis and carcinoma in situ favours primary mammary carcinoma.
- Immunohistochemistry using a panel of antibodies often plays a useful supplementary role to H&E-stained sections.
- No antibody is 100% sensitive or specific for any tumour type.

on histological examination. The major pitfall is not to consider the possibility of lymphoma and misdiagnose the tumour as carcinoma (fig 8A). The clue is the cytology of the cells, which are most commonly centroblastic and less often immunoblastic. Immunohistochemical analysis for lymphoid markers establishes the diagnosis (fig 8C).

Other common types of secondary mammary lymphoma are follicular, marginal zone and small lymphocytic lymphoma/ chronic lymphocytic leukaemia.⁸⁸ In low-grade lymphomas, the differential diagnosis is with inflammatory disorders. A diagnostic clue is the dense monotonous nature of the infiltrate (fig 8D,E). For follicular lymphoma, the important differential diagnosis is reactive germinal centres. Lymphoepithelial lesions marginal restricted zone lymphoma. are not to Immunohistochemistry and PCR for immunoglobulin heavychain clones or translocations are often helpful. T cell lymphomas are uncommon⁸⁹; clear cytoplasm is a useful pointer (fig 8F). If the diagnosis of lymphoma is not straightforward, a specialist opinion is recommended.

LEUKAEMIA

Leukaemia occasionally involves the breast. The morphology of the blasts or more differentiated cells may give a clue to the diagnosis, but a high index of suspicion may be needed to make the correct diagnosis if there is no clinical history.⁸⁷

MYELOMA

Myeloma rarely involves the breast.⁸⁷ The plasmacytic morphology and pattern of infiltration around lobules (fig 9) suggest the diagnosis. Showing light-chain restriction is important in establishing the diagnosis (fig 9). CD38 and CD138 are useful markers of plasma cell differentiation, but neither is specific.^{90–92}

CONCLUSION

Although metastases to the breast are uncommon, accurate diagnosis is important to ensure appropriate management. The diagnosis may be straightforward if there is a clinical history of extramammary malignancy, particularly if sections are available for comparison. The pathologist has a key role in considering the possibility of metastasis if the morphology of the tumour is not typical of a primary mammary tumour. As Jane Austen said "A lucky guess is never merely luck. There is always some talent in it".⁹³

Competing interests: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 Toombs BD, Kalisher L. Metastatic disease to the breast: clinical, pathologic, and radiographic features. Am J Roentgenol 1977;129:673–6.
- 2 Chaignaud B, Hall TJ, Powers C, et al. Diagnosis and natural history of extramammary tumors metastatic to the breast. J Am Coll Surg 1994;179:49–53.
- 3 Vizcaino I, Torregrosa A, Higueras V, et al. Metastasis to the breast from extramammary malignancies: a report of four cases and a review of literature. Eur Radiol 2001;11:1659–65.
- 4 Hajdu SI, Urban JA. Cancers metastatic to the breast. Cancer 1972;29:1691-6.
- 5 McIntosh IH, Hooper AA, Millis RR, et al. Metastatic carcinoma within the breast. Clin Oncol 1976;2:393–401.
- 6 McCrea ES, Johnston C, Haney PJ. Metastases to the breast. Am J Roentgenol 1983;141:685–90.
- 7 Alvarado Cabrero I, Carrera Alvarez M, Perez Montiel D, et al. Metastases to the breast. Eur J Surg Oncol 2003;29:854–5.
- 8 Abrams HL, Spiro R, Goldstein N. Metastases in carcinoma. Cancer 1950;3:74–84.
- 9 Georgiannos SN, Aleong JC, Goode AW, et al. Secondary neoplasms of the breast: a survey of the 20th century. *Cancer* 2001,92:2259–66.
- 10 Alva S, Shetty-Alva N. An update of tumor metastasis to the breast data.[letter]. Arch Surg 1999;134:450.
- 11 Rogers DA, Lobe TE, Rao BN, et al. Breast malignancy in children. J Pediatric Surg 1994;29:48–51.
- 12 Petinato G, Manivel JC, Kelly DR, et al. Lesions of the breast in children exclusive of typical fibroadenoma and gynecomastia. A clinicopathologic study of 113 cases. Pathol Annu 1989;24:295–328.
- 13 Sandison AT. Metastatic tumours in the breast. Br J Surg 1959;47:54-8.
- 14 Amichetti M, Perani B, Boi S. Metastases to the breast from extramammary malignancies. Oncology 1990;47:257–60.
- 15 Vergier B, Trojani M, de Mascarel I, et al. Metastases to the breast: differential diagnosis from primary breast carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 1991;48:112–16.
- 16 Silverman EM, Oberman HA. Metastatic neoplasms in the breast. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1974;138:26–8.
- 17 Nielsen M, Andersen JA, Henriksen FW, et al. Metastases to the breast from extramammary carcinomas. APMIS 1981;89:251–6.
- 18 Lee SH, Park JM, Kook SH, et al. Metastatic tumors to the breast: mammographic and ultrasonographic findings. J Ultrasound Med 2000;19:257–62.
- 19 Sneige N, Zachariah S, Fanning TV, et al. Fine-needle aspiration cytology of metastatic neoplasms in the breast. Am J Clin Pathol 1989;92:27–35.
- 20 Bohman LG, Bassett LW, Gold RH, et al. Breast metastases from extramammary malignancies. Radiology 1982;144:309–12.
- 21 Harris GC, Denley HE, Pinder SE, et al. Correlation of histologic prognostic factors in core biopsies and therapeutic excisions of invasive breast carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2003;27:11–15.
- 22 Lee AHS, Gillett CE, Ryder K, et al. Different patterns of inflammation and prognosis in invasive carcinoma of the breast. *Histopathology* 2006:48:692–701.
- 23 Badve S. Other malignant lesions of the breast. In: O'Malley FP, Pinder SE, eds. Breast pathology. Churchill Livingstone, 2006:283–301.
- 24 Chu PG, Weiss LM. Keratin expression in human tissues and neoplasms. Histopathology 2002;40:403–39.
- 25 Tot T. Cytokeratins 20 and 7 as biomarkers: usefulness in discriminating primary from metastatic adenocarcinoma. Eur J Cancer 2002;38:758–63.
- 26 Tot T. Patterns of distribution of cytokeratins 20 and 7 in special types of invasive breast carcinoma: a study of 123 cases. Ann Diagn Pathol 1999;3:350–6.
- 27 Abd El-Rehim DM, Pinder SE, Paish CE, et al. Expression of luminal and basal cytokeratins in human breast carcinoma. J Pathol 2004;203:661–71.
- 28 Pinkus GS, Kurtin PJ. Epithelial membrane antigen-a diagnostic discriminant in surgical pathology: immunohistochemical profile in epithelial, mesenchymal, and hematopoietic neoplasms using paraffin sections and monoclonal antibodies. *Hum Pathol* 1985;16:929–40.
- Gillett CE, Bobrow LG, Millis RR. S100 protein in human mammary tissueimmunoreactivity in breast carcinoma, including Paget's disease of the nipple, and value as a marker of myoepithelial cells. J Pathol 1990;160:19–24.
 Dwarakanath S, Lee AKC, Delellis RA, et al. S-100 protein positivity in breast
- 30 Dwarakanath S, Lee AKC, Delellis RA, et al. S-100 protein positivity in breast carcinomas: a potential pitfall in diagnostic immunohistochemistry. Hum Pathol 1987;18:1144–8.
- 31 Robertson JFR, Ellis IO, Bell J, et al. Carcinoembryonic antigen immunocytochemistry in primary breast cancer. Cancer 1989;64:1638–45.
- 32 Zafrani B, Aubriot MH, Mouret E, et al. High sensitivity and specificity of immunohistochemistry for the detection of hormone receptors in breast carcinoma: comparison with biochemical determination in a prospective study of 793 cases. Histopathology 2000;37:536–45.
- 33 Rhodes A, Jasani B, Balaton AJ, et al. Frequency of oestrogen and progesterone receptor positivity by immunohistochemical analysis in 7016 breast carcinomas: correlation with patient age, assay sensitivity, threshold value, and mammographic screening. J Clin Pathol 2000;53:688–96.
- 34 Nadji M, Gomez-Fernandez C, Ganjei-Azar P, et al. Immunohistochemistry of estrogen and progesterone receptors reconsidered: experience with 5,993 breast cancers. Am J Clin Pathol 2005;123:21–7.
- 35 Dennis JL, Hvidsten TR, Wit EC, et al. Markers of adenocarcinoma characteristic of the site of origin: development of a diagnostic algorithm. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:3766–72.
- 36 Kaufmann O, Deidesheimer T, Muehlenberg M, et al. Immunohistochemical differentiation of metastatic breast carcinomas from metastatic adenocarcinomas of other common primary sites. *Histopathology* 1996;29:233–40.

Metastases to the breast

- 37 Lau SK, Chu PG, Weiss LM. Immunohistochemical expression of estrogen receptor in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2006 14 83-7
- 38 Wick MR, Lillemoe TJ, Copland GT, et al. Gross cystic disease fluid protein-15 as a marker for breast cancer: immunohistochemical analysis of 690 human neoplasms and comparison with alpha-lactalbumin. Hum Pathol 1989:**20**:281-
- 39 Lagendijk JH, Mullink H, van Diest PJ, et al. Immunohistochemical differentiation between primary adenocarcinomas of the ovary and ovarian metastases of colonic and breast origin. Comparison between a statistical and an intuitive approach. J Clin Pathol 1999;**52**:283–90.
- 40 Recine MA, Deavers MT, Middleton LP, et al. Serous carcinoma of the ovary and peritoneum with metastases to the breast and axillary lymph nodes: a potential 51. bitfall. Am J Surg Pathol 2004;**28**:1646–51
- Goldstein NS, Bassi D, Uzieblo A. WT1 is an integral component of an antibody **4**1 panel to distinguish pancreaticobiliary and some ovarian epithelial neoplasms. Am J Clin Pathol 2001;116:246–52.
- Lee BH, Hecht JL, Pinkus JL, et al. WT1, estrogen receptor, and progesterone 42 receptor as markers for breast or ovarian primary sites in metastatic adenocarcinoma to body fluids. Am J Clin Pathol 2002;117:745–50
- 43 Hwang H, Quenneville L, Yaziji H, et al. Wilms tumor gene product: sensitive and contextually specific marker of serous carcinomas of ovarian surface epithelial origin. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2004;12:122-6
- 44 Tornos C, Soslow R, Chen S, et al. Expression of WT1, CA 125, and GCDFP-15 as useful markers in the differential diagnosis of primary ovarian carcinomas versus metastatic breast cancer to the ovary. Am J Surg Pathol 2005;29:1482–9
- 45 Ordonez NG. Value of thyroid transcription factor-1, E-cadherin, BG8, WT1, and CD44S immunostaining in distinguishing epithelial pleural mesothelioma from pulmonary and nonpulmonary adenocarcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2000;24:598-606.
- Pettinato G, Manivel CJ, Panico L, et al. Invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the 46 breast: clinicopathologic study of 62 cases of a poorly recognized variant with highly aggressive behavior. Am J Clin Pathol 2004;**121**:857–66.
- Loy TS, Quesenberry JT, Sharp SC. Distribution of CA 125 in adenocarcinomas. 47 An immunohistochemical study of 481 cases. Am J Clin Pathol 1992;98:175-9.
- 48 Frierson HF, Moskaluk CA, Powell SM, et al. Large-scale molecular and tissue microarray analysis of mesothelin expression in common human carcinomas. Hum Pathol 2003;34:605-9.
- Ordonez NG. Application of mesothelin immunostaining in tumor diagnosis. Am J Surg Pathol 2004;**27**:1418–28. 49
- Banerjee SS, Harris M. Morphological and immunophenotypic variations in malignant melanoma. *Histopathology* 2000;36:387–402.
 Miettinen M, Fernandez M, Franssila K, et al. Microphthalmia transcription 50
- 51 factor in the immunohistochemical diagnosis of metastatic melanoma: comparison with four other melanoma markers. Am J Surg Pathol 2001;**25**:205-11
- 2001;25:205-11.
 Granter SR, Weilbaecher KN, Quigley C, et al. Role for microphthalmia transcription factor in the diagnosis of metastatic malignant melanoma. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2002;10:47-51.
 Gajjar NA, Cochran AJ, Binder SW. Is MAGE-1 expression in metastatic malignant melanomas really helpful? Am J Surg Pathol 2004;28:883-8.
 Kaufmann O, Georgi T, Dietel M. Utility of 123C3 monoclonal antibody against CD56 (NCAM) for the diagnosis of small cell carcinomas on paraffin sections. Hum Pathol 1997;28:1373-8.
 Kaufmann O, Dietel M, Evression of thyroid transcription factor-1 in pulmonary.

- 55 Kaufmann O, Dietel M. Expression of thyroid transcription factor-1 in pulmonary Kaumann O, Dietel M. Expression of thyroid transcription tactor-1 in pulmonary and extrapulmonary small cell carcinomas and other neuroendocrine carcinomas of various primary sites. *Histopathology* 2000;**36**:415–20.
 Shin SJ, Detellis RA, Rosen PP. Small cell carcinoma of the breast-additional immunohistochemical studies. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2001;**25**:831–2.
 Shin SJ, Detellis RA, Ying L, *et al.* Small cell carcinoma of the breast: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of nine patients. *Am J Surg etabl* 2000;**24**:132
- 56
- 57 Pathol 2000;24:1231-8.
- Bejarano PA, Baughman RP, Biddinger PW, et al. Surfactant proteins and thyroid 58 transcription factor-1 in pulmonary and breast carcinomas. Mod Pathol 1996;9:445-52.
- Rakha EA, Putti TC, Abd El-Rehim DM, et al. Morphological and 59
- Warte EA, Lotti EC, Aud L'Retini DW, et al. Morphological and immunophenotypic analysis of breast carcinomas with basal and myoepithelial differentiation. J Pathol 2006;208:495–506.
 Fulford LG, Easton DF, Reis-Filhi JS, et al. Specific morphological features predictive for the basal phenotype in grade 3 invasive ductal carcinoma. *Histopathology* 2006;49:22-34.
 Livesy CA, Karaga G, Nanda P, et al. Phenoteneira and et al. The second sec
- Livasy CA, Karaca G, Nanda R, et al. Phenotypic evaluation of the basal-like 61 subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. *Mod Pathol* 2006;**19**:264–71. 62 Kaufmann O, Dietel M. Thyroid transcription factor-1 is the superior
- immunohistochemical marker for pulmonary adenocarcinomas and large cell carcinomas compared to surfactant proteins A and B. Histopathology 2000;**36**:8–16.
- Nadji M, Tabei SZ, Castro A, et al. Prostatic origin of tumors. An 63 immunohistochemical study. Am J Clin Pathol 1980;73:735-9.
- 64 Varma M, Morgan M, Jasani B, et al. Polyclonal anti-PSA is more sensitive but less specific than monoclonal anti-PSA: implications for diagnostic prostatic pathology. Am J Clin Pathol 2002;118:202-7.

- 65 Bassily NH, Vallorosi CJ, Akdas G, et al. Coordinate expression of cytokeratins 7 and 20 in prostate adenocarcinoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma. Am J Clin Pathol 2000;113:383-8.
- van Krieken JHJM. Prostate marker immunoreactivity in salivary gland neoplasms. A rare pitfall in immunohistochemistry. Am J Surg Pathol 1993;**17**:410-14.
- DeYoung BR, Wick MR. Immunohistologic evaluation of metastatic carcinomas of 67 unknown origin: an algorithmic approach. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 2000;**17**:184–93.
- Gatalica Z, Norris BA, Kovatich AJ. Immunohistochemical localization of 68 prostate-specific antigen in ductal epithelium of male breast. Potential diagnostic pitfall in patients with gynecomastia. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2000:8:158-61
- 69 Kidwai N, Gong Y, Sun X, et al. Expression of androgen receptor and prostatespecific antigen in male breast carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res 2004;6:R18–23.
- 70 Carder PJ, Speirs V, Ramsdale J, et al. Expression of prostate specific antigen in male breast cancer. J Clin Pathol 2005;58:69-71
- 71 Goldstein NS, Long A, Kuan SF, et al. Colon signet ring cell adenocarcinoma: immunohistochemical characterization and comparison with gastric and typical colon adenocarcinomas. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2000;8:183–8.
- van Velthuysen MLF, Taal BG, van der Hoeven JJM, *et al.* Expression of oestrogen receptor and loss of E-cadherin are diagnostic for gastric metastasis of 72 breast carcinoma. Histopathology 2005;**46**:153–7.
- O'Connell FP, Wang HH, Odze RD. Utility of immunohistochemistry in distinguishing primary adenocarcinomas from metastatic breast carcinomas in the gastrointestinal tract. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2005;129:338-47
- 74 Moskaluk CA, Zhang H, Powell SM, et al. Cdx2 protein expression in normal and malignant human tissues: an immunohistochemical survey using tissue microarrays. Mod Pathol 2003;16:913-19.
- 75 Werling RW, Yaziji H, Bacchi CE, et al. CDX2, a highly sensitive and specific marker of adenocarcinomas of intestinal origin: an immunohistochemical survey of 476 primary and metastatic carcinomas. Ăm J Surg Pathol 2003;**27**:303–10.
- 76 Renshaw AA, Richie JP. Subtypes of renal cell carcinoma. Different onset and sites of metastatic disease. Am J Clin Pathol 1999;111:539–43.
 77 McGran Different Content of Content o
- McGregor DK, Khurana KK, Cao C, et al. Diagnosing primary and metastatic renal cell carcinoma: the use of the monoclonal antibody 'Renal Cell Carcinoma Marker'. Am J Surg Pathol 2001;25:1485–92.
- Iwaya K, Ogawa H, Izumi M, et al. Stromal expression of CD10 in invasive breast carcinoma: a new predictor of clinical outcome. Virchows Arch 2002;440:589-93.
- Chu P, Arber DA. Paraffin-section detection of CD10 in 505 nonhematopoietic neoplasms. Frequent expression in renal cell carcinoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma. Am J Clin Pathol 2000;113:374-82
- 80 Langner C, Ratschek M, Rehak P, et al. Steroid hormone receptor expression in renal cell carcinoma: an immunohistochemical analysis of 182 tumors. J Urol 2004;171:611-14.
- 81 Kim MK, Kim S. Immunohistochemical profile of common epithelial neoplasms arising in the kidney. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 2002;**10**:332–8. **Cai YC**, Banner B, Glickman J, *et al.* Cytokeratin 7 and 20 and thyroid
- 82 transcription factor 1 can help distinguish pulmonary from gastrointestinal carcinoid and pancreatic endocrine tumors. Hum Pathol 2001;32:1087-93
- Sapino A, Righi L, Cassoni P, et al. Expression of apocrine differentiation markers in neuroendocrine breast carcinomas of aged women. Mod Pathol 2001;14:768-76.
- Viale G, Doglioni C, Gambacorta M, et al. Progesterone receptor 84 immunoreactivity in pancreatic endocrine tumors. An immunocytochemical study of 156 neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas, gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts, and skin. Cancer 1992;**70**:2268–77.
- Dunne B, Lee AHS, Pinder SE, et al. An immunohistochemical study of 85 metaplastic spindle cell carcinoma, phyllodes tumor and fibromatosis of the breast. *Hum Pathol* 2003;**34**:1009–15.
- 86 Hugh JC, Jackson FI, Hanson J, et al. Primary breast lymphoma. An immunohistologic study of 20 new cases. Cancer 1990;66:2602-11.
- Domchek SM, Hecht JL, Fleming MD, et al. Lymphomas of the breast: primary
- and secondary involvement. Cancer 2002;**94**:6–13. **Duncan VE**, Reddy VVB, Jhala NC, *et al.* Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma of the breast: a review of 18 primary and secondary cases. *Ann Diagn Pathol* 88 2006;10:144-8.
- 89 Aguilera NSI, Tavassoli FA, Chu WS, et al. T-cell lymphoma presenting in the breast: a histologic, immunophenotypic and molecular genetic study of four cases. Mod Pathol 2000;13:599-605.
- Shanks JH, Banerjee SS. VS38 immunostaining in melanocytic lesions. J Clin 90 Pathol 1996;49:205-7
- Banerjee SS, Shanks JH, Hasleton PS. VS38 immunostaining in neuroendocrine tumours. Histopathology 1997;30:256-9.
- Kambham N, Kong C, Longacre TA, *et al.* Utility of syndecan-1 (CD138) expression in the diagnosis of undifferentiated malignant neoplasms: a tissue 92 microarray study of 1,754 cases. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2005;**13**:304–10.
- 93 Austen J. Emma. London: Penguin, 1994.