# A recB recC sbcB recJ Host Prevents recA-Independent Deletions in Recombinant Cosmid DNA Propagated in Escherichia coli

MASAHIRO ISHIURA,<sup>1</sup>\* NOBUYOSHI HAZUMI,<sup>1</sup> TSUYOSHI KOIDE,<sup>1</sup> TSUYOSHI UCHIDA,<sup>1,2</sup> AND YOSHIO OKADA<sup>2</sup>

Division of Cell Fusion, Department of Cell Biology, National Institute for Basic Biology, Nishigo-naka 38, Myodaiji-cho, Okazaki 444,<sup>1</sup> and Institute for Molecular and Cellular Biology, Osaka University, Yamada-oka, Suita 565,<sup>2</sup> Japan

Received 11 July 1988/Accepted 22 October 1988

Segments of DNA are deleted from recombinant cosmid DNAs with high frequency during propagation in standard *recA Escherichia coli* hosts. An attempt has been made to derive an appropriate strain of *E. coli*, suitable for cosmid cloning, in which such deletions do not occur. We examined the effects of a series of host recombinational mutations on the deletion process, using six independent recombinant cosmids that carry inserts of mouse, Chinese hamster, or human DNA. Various *E. coli* host cells carrying the recombinant cosmids were cultured serially in liquid medium, and the recombinant cosmid DNAs were extracted from the host cells and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and by gene transfer of the DNAs into cultured mammalian cells. Of the mutations examined, only a *recB recC sbcB recJ* (or *recN*) quadruple combination of host mutations prevented the deletion of DNA segments. The recombinant cosmid DNAs propagated in *E. coli* hosts that carried this combination of mutations were functionally as well as structurally intact. We propose that the *recJ* (and/or *recN*) gene is involved in some aspect of the events that lead to deletions of cosmid DNA in a *recB recC sbcB* genetic background.

Construction of DNA libraries in which the total genomes of specified organisms are stably maintained and represented is a prerequisite for studies of gene structure and function. Although most of the total sequence of various genomes has been found in libraries constructed with lambda bacteriophage vectors or plasmid vectors, some specific sequences have been found to be lost during the construction of the libraries and their propagation in *Escherichia coli* hosts, while other sequences have been found to have undergone rearrangement (for reviews, see references 32 and 40). These phenomena are probably due to deletion events in recombinant DNA which occur during propagation in *E. coli* hosts.

During cosmid cloning, we have encountered the serious problem that deletions in recombinant cosmid DNAs occur at very high frequency during propagation in standard *recA E. coli* hosts in liquid culture. Several other groups have also reported such deletion events (8, 38, 41). In the present study, we examined the effects of a series of host recombinational mutations on cosmid deletion events, using six independent cosmids that carry insert DNAs derived from mouse, Chinese hamster, or human genomic DNAs. We found that a *recB recC sbcB recJ* (or *recN*) quadruple combination of mutations in *E. coli* hosts prevented the cosmid deletion events and that the recombinant cosmid DNAs propagated in an *E. coli* host that carries such a combination of mutations were structurally and functionally intact.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Media. Luria-Bertani broth (LB) was used routinely for growth of bacterial cultures. Cells were plated on LB that contained 1.2% Bacto-Agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) (LB agar plates). Mouse L cells deficient in thymidine kinase activity (Ltk<sup>-</sup> cells) (18) were cultured in Falcon plastic dishes (Becton Dickinson Labware, Oxnard, Calif.) in modified (37) Eagle minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% calf serum.

**Enzymes.** Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were obtained from Takara Shuzo Co., Kyoto, Japan.

**Plasmid and cosmid DNAs.** Plasmid DNA and deletion derivatives of recombinant cosmid DNA were introduced into *E. coli* cells by the modified calcium chloride procedure (30). Plasmid and cosmid DNAs were prepared by the boiling (15) or the alkaline lysis (2) method. A 2.0-kilobase (kb) *Eco*RI-*Sal*I segment (pJ765) or a 3.7-kb *Hind*III-*Sal*I segment (pJ763) carrying the wild-type *recJ* gene (28) (gifts from A. J. Clark) and a 19-kb *Bam*HI segment carrying the wild-type *recB* and *recC* genes (35) (a gift from G. R. Smith) were recloned with the pACYC184 plasmid vector (7) (a gift from H. Shinagawa) by standard methods (29).

Recombinant cosmid clones. We constructed a cosmid library by using complete BamHI digests of mouse L-cell genomic DNA and vector pDC1 by the standard method (29), with modifications, and isolated three recombinant cosmids, cMB7, cMB15, and cMB30, each of which carries single BamHI fragments of 40 to 50 kb (M. Ishiura, H. Ohashi, T. Uchida, and Y. Okada, Anal. Biochem., in press). Three recombinant cosmids, cCEF2-7, cCEF2-9, and cHEF2-12, were constructed with the pDC104 vector and carry toxin resistance genomic EF2 genes isolated from Chinese hamster ovary cells (cCEF2-7 and cCEF2-9) and human fibroblasts (cHEF2-12), respectively (Ishiura, et al., unpublished data). cCEF2-7 and cCEF2-9 probably contain the same allelic as well as 10- and 15-kb nonoverlapping regions, respectively. These six recombinant cosmids were designated as "test cosmids" for convenience. The test cosmids were propagated in E. coli recA strain DH1. Cosmid vectors pDC1 and pDC104 carry a unique BamHI site as a

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. E. coli strains

Strain"	Relevant genotype	Source (reference)				
AB1157	rec <sup>+</sup>	B. J. Bachmann (12)				
AB2463	recA13	B. J. Bachmann (17)				
JC5547	recA13 recB21 recC22	A. J. Clark				
JC5519	recB21 recC22	A. J. Clark (39)				
IC3881	recB21 recC22 recF143	A I Clark				
IC9239	recF143	A I Clark B I				
		Bachmann (16)				
JC13031	rec1153	A I Clark (27)				
JC13032	recJ154	A I Clark				
RDK1541	recO1504…Tn5	R Kolodner (20)				
IC11445	shcA23	A I Clark				
IC11451	sbcB15	A I Clark				
IC7623 <sup>b</sup>	recB11 rec22 shcB15	A I Clark $(16)$				
IC15329	recB21 recC22 socb15	A I Clark				
3013327	sr/R)306Tn10	A. J. Clark				
IC8111	recB21 recC22 shcB15 recF143	A I Clark (16)				
IC10967	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recF144	A I Clark				
107004	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 rec-1	A. J. Clark $(16)$				
IC12182	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 rec177	A. J. Clark $(10)$				
IC8141	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ77	A. J. Clark $(27)$				
IC8151	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 rec-140	A. J. Clark $(16)$				
JC8161	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ147	A. J. Clark $(16)$				
IC12190	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ146	A. J. Clark $(16)$				
IC12186	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ155	A. J. Clark $(16)$				
IC12166	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ154	A. J. Clark $(10)$				
JC12100	Tn10	A. J. Clark $(27)$				
JC7912	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recK149	A. J. Clark (16)				
SP226	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN261	R. G. Llovd (34)				
SP231	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN262	R. G. Llovd (34)				
RDK1531	recB21 recC22 sbcB15	R. Kolodner (20)				
	<i>recO1504</i> ::Tn5					
RDK1563	recB21 recC22 sbcB15	R. Kolodner (20)				
WDAIGO	rec01504::1n5					
KD21/9	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recQ1801	H. Nakayama (33)				
FB154	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 ruv-52	R. G. Lloyd (25)				
FBISS	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 ruv-54	R. G. Lloyd (25)				
FB156	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 ruv-59	R. G. Lloyd (25)				
JC9388	recB21 recC22 sbcA23	A. J. Clark				
JC13021	recB21 recC22 sbcA23 recJ153	A. J. Clark (27)				
JC13024	recB21 recC22 sbcA23 recJ154	A. J. Clark (27)				
CES200	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 hsdR	C. Shurvinton and E. Stahl (32)				
CES201	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 A(recA-	C Shurvinton and				
020201	<i>sr/R</i> )306::Tn10 <i>hsdR</i>	F. Stahl				
DB1161	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recA56	A R Wyman and				
221101	hsdR hsdM	D Botstein				
HB101	recA13 hsdS20	Our stock $(4, 5)$				
490A	recA hsdR hsdM	T Nishimoto (38)				
DH1	recAl hsdR17	D Hanahan (14)				
ED8767	recA56 hsdS3	B I Bachmann (31)				
MII	ArecA hsdR hsdM	Our stock				
MI2	$\Delta$ (recA-srlR)306::Tn10 hsdR514	Our stock				

<sup>a</sup> With the exception of strains HB101, 490A, DH1, ED8767, MI1, and MI2, all strains used were derivatives of *E. coli* K-12 AB1157, which has the following markers: *thr-1 ara-14 leuB6*  $\Delta(gpt-proA)62$  *lacY1 tsx-33 supE44 galK2 hisG4 rpsL31 kdgK51 xyl-5 mtl-1 argE3 thi-1*. AB1157 and most of its derivatives lack the Rac prophage that carries the *sbcA* and *recE* genes and so have no potential for expressing the *recE* gene. The *sbcA23* strains listed are Rac<sup>+</sup> derivatives of AB1157 and express the wild-type *recE* gene. The additional mutations in each strain are as follows: JC11451, Su<sup>-</sup>; JC11445, *his-60*; FB154, FB155, and FB156, *eda-51*::Tn10; JC9388, Su<sup>-</sup> *his-60*; CES200, Su<sup>-</sup> *thr*::Tn10; CES201, Su<sup>-</sup> *thr*<sup>+</sup> *leu*<sup>+</sup>; DB1161, *end srl*::Tn10. <sup>b</sup> JC7623 and its derivatives (probably) have the additional mutation *sbcC201* (26).

cloning site, and pDC104 also carries a 2.0-kb *Pvu*II segment which contains the thymidine kinase (TK) gene from herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) (Ishiura et al., in press).

Assay of deletions in recombinant cosmids. DNAs of the six test cosmids (cMB7, cMB15, cMB30, cCEF2-7, cCEF2-9,

and cHEF2-12) were packaged into phage particles by a packaging reaction in vitro (29), using an Amersham packaging kit (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, Ill.), and were introduced into various strains of E. coli. Transductant cells carrying the recombinant cosmids were selected on LB agar plates that contained 7.5 to 30 µg of ampicillin per ml. Twenty independent ampicillin-resistant colonies for each test cosmid were inoculated into 2 ml of LB that contained 7.5 to 30 µg of ampicillin (LB-Ap medium) per ml and incubated at 37°C for 24 h with shaking at 175 rpm. We designated these cultures "cultures 0" for convenience. Recombinant cosmid DNAs were prepared from cultures 0 and analyzed by electrophoresis through a 0.8% agarose gel run in buffer that contained 40 mM Tris-acetate buffer (pH 8.0) and 2 mM EDTA (29). Ten intact clones without any apparent deletions were selected for each test cosmid; a mixture of 0.1-ml samples of cultures 0 of the 10 clones was inoculated into 1 liter of LB-Ap medium in a 3-liter flask and incubated at 37°C with shaking for 24 h, as described above, to obtain culture 1. A 1-ml sample of each first culture was inoculated into 1 liter of fresh LB-Ap medium and incubated as above. Serial cultures were continued in this way until the cultures 15 were obtained. To detect possible deletions, recombinant cosmid DNA was prepared from 1-ml samples of each culture from 0 to 15 and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, as described above. The number (0 to 15) of the culture in which a deletion was first detected was taken as the "stability index" of the text cosmid propagated in the specified strain of E. coli.

Gene transfer. Recombinant cosmid DNA was introduced into Ltk<sup>-</sup> cells by the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method (13), with modifications (18), and transformant cells expressing the HSV-1 TK gene were selected in modified Eagle minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% calf serum and a mixture of hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine (HAT medium [23]), as described previously (18). Transformant cells expressing both the HSV-1 TK gene and the toxin resistance human EF2 gene were selected in HAT medium that contained 50 ng of exotoxin A from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* per ml. The colonies were stained with crystal violet as described previously (18) and then counted.

**Biohazards.** Experiments were carried out according to the guidelines established by the Japanese Committee for Recombinant DNA Research.

## RESULTS

Effects of host recombinational mutations on deletions in recombinant cosmids. Experiment 1 in Table 2 summarizes the effects of host recombinational mutations on deletions in recombinant cosmids propagated in E. coli. We examined the effects of recF, rec-151, recJ, recO, sbcA, and sbcB mutants, a recB recC mutant, a recA recB recC mutant, and a recB recC recF mutant of E. coli. None of these mutants increased the stability index over that in the  $rec^+$  strain. We also examined various standard recA mutant strains such as HB101, 490A, ED8767, and DH1, which have been widely used as hosts for cosmid cloning, and two strains with a recA deletion mutation, MI1 and MI2. None of these recA mutants had any effect on the stability index. AB1157 and its derivatives lack the Rac prophage that carries the sbcA and recE genes and so have no potential for expressing the recE gene. sbcA mutations result in expression of the recE gene product (exonuclease VIII) (for reviews, see reference 36). Neither the expression nor the absence of the recE gene affected the stability index.

TABLE	2.	Deletions in cMB15 cosmid DNA propagated	
		in various E. coli strains <sup>a</sup>	

Expt	Strain	Relevant genotype	Stability index <sup>b</sup>
1	AB1157	rec <sup>+</sup>	3
	AB2463	recA13	3
	JC5547	recA13 recB21 recC22	3
	JC5519	recB21 recC22	2
	JC3881	recB21 recC22 recF143	2
	JC9234	recF143	2
	JC7994	rec-151	2
	IC13031	recII53	$\overline{2}$
	IC13032	rec 1154	$\overline{2}$
	RDK1541	recO1504···Tn5	$\overline{2}$
	IC11445	shc A 23	$\frac{1}{2}$
	JC11451	sbcA25	1
	JC11451 LID101	sochis	5
	400 4	recAls had had M	2
	490A	recA nsuk nsuM	2
		recAl nsuki/	4
		recaso nsaszu	2
	MII	$\Delta recA$ hsak hsak	1
•	MI2	$\Delta recA hsdR314$	2
2	JC7623	recB21 recC22 sbcB15	1
	DB1161	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recA56	1
	JC15329	$recB21 \ recC22 \ sbcB15 \ \Delta recA$	1
	JC8111	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recF143	1
	JC10967	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 rec-144	2
	JC7994	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 rec-151	2
	JC8141	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ146	1,1
	JC8151	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ147	2,4
	JC12190	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ153	>15
	JC12186	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ154	>15
	JC7912	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recK149	1
	SP226	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN261	2
	SP231	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN262	>15
	<b>RDK1531</b>	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recO1504::Tn5	1
	RDK1563	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recO1504::Tn5	2
	KD2179	recB21 recC22 shcB15 recO1	2
	FB154	recB21 recC22 shcB15 ruv-52	ī
	FB155	recB21 recC22 shcB15 ruy-54	- 2
	FB156	recB21 recC22 socB15 ruv-59	$\frac{1}{2}$
	1 0150		2
3	JC12190	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ153	>15
	JC12186	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ154	>15
	JC7623	recB21 recC22 sbcB15	1
	JC5519	recB21 recC22	2
	JC11451	sbcB15	1
	JC13031	recJ153	2
	JC13032	rec.1154	3
	JC13021	recB21 recC22 sbcA23 recJ153	1.1
	IC13015	recB21 recC22 sbcA23 recI154	1,-
	1C9388	recB21 recC22 sbcA23	1
	00000		-

<sup>a</sup> DNA of test cosmid cMB15 was introduced into various strains of *E. coli* by phage infection. Each set of transductant cells was cultured, with daily serial passages, in LB-Ap medium at  $37^{\circ}$ C with shaking. To detect possible deletions, recombinant cosmid DNA was prepared from each culture from 0 to 15 and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

<sup>b</sup> The numerical designation of the culture in which a deletion was first detected. Data from one or two independent experiments are shown.

**Deletions in test cosmid cMB15 propagated in** *recB recC sbcB recJ* (or *recN*) *strains.* A *recB sbcB* mutant, a *recB recC sbcB* mutant, and a *recB recC sbcB recF* mutant of the *E. coli* host have been reported to prevent deletions in some kinds of recombinant DNA (3, 11, 21, 32, 40). Therefore, we examined the effects of additional single mutations in a *recB recC sbcB* genetic background on cosmid deletion events (experiment 2 in Table 2).

The additional single mutations examined were mutations in the recA, recF, rec-151, recJ, recK, recN, recO, recQ,

TABLE 3. Effects of introduction of the cloned *recJ* gene into strain JC12186 on deletions in cMB15 cosmid DNA<sup>a</sup>

Plasmid introduced	Stability index <sup>b</sup>
None	
pACYC184	
pJ765	
pJ763	

<sup>*a*</sup> Strain JC12186 and its derivatives carrying only pACYC184, the 2.0-kb EcoRI-SaII segment that carries the wild-type recJ gene cloned in pACYC184 (pJ765), or the 3.7-kb *Hind*III-SaII segment carrying the wild-type recJ gene cloned in pACYC184 (pJ763) were used as hosts. JC12186 cells carrying both test cosmid cMB15 and the indicated plasmids were cultured in LB that contained 20  $\mu$ g of ampicillin and 5  $\mu$ g of chloramphenicol per ml. Other conditions were as described in Table 2, footnote a.

<sup>b</sup> Two independent experiments.

and ruv genes which have been reported to be involved in the RecF recombinational pathways in E. coli (36). Among the additional single mutations examined, only the recJ (JC12186 and JC12190) and the recN (SP231) mutations prevented deletions, at least as far as culture 15, whereas the other additional mutations tested, recA, recF, rec-151, recK, recO, recQ, and ruv, did not affect the stability index. The ability of single recJ or recN mutations to prevent the cosmid deletion events in the recB recC sbcB genetic background differed for various recJ or recN mutations (experiment 2 in Table 2): three additional single mutations, recJ153, recJ154, and recN262, prevented the deletion, whereas four analogous mutations, recJ146, recJ147, recJ148, and recN261, did not, indicating that only very specific recJ or recN mutations are effective in preventing the deletion events.

*recJ* mutations prevent deletions only in the *recB recC sbcB* genetic background. For further characterization of the role in cosmid deletion events of each gene in the quadruple combination of host mutations, we examined the effects of a series of mutations related to the quadruple combination of mutations described above. Experiment 3 in Table 2 summarizes the results.

recJ mutants (JC13031 and JC13032), a sbcB mutant (JC11451), and a recB recC mutant (JC5519) did not affect the stability index, while a recB recC sbcB mutant (JC7623) slightly decreased the stability index. The recJ mutations were able to prevent deletion events only in a  $recB \ recC$ sbcB genetic background (JC12186 and JC12190). In the quadruple combination of mutations, replacement of the sbcB mutation by an sbcA mutation (JC13015 and JC13021) resulted in the loss of inhibition of deletions. The involvement of the recJ gene in deletion events was also demonstrated by the observation that introduction of the wild-type recJ gene into the recB recC sbcB recJ strain JC12186 restored the occurrence of deletion events (Table 3). In spite of extensive efforts, we have been unable to introduce a stable derivative of plasmid pACYC184, which carries the wild-type recB and recC genes, into JC12186 cells; in all transformants examined, large deletions resulting in loss of most of the recB and recC genes were observed in the plasmid.

recB recC sbcB recJ strains prevent deletions events in all recombinant cosmids examined. To determine whether the protective effect of the quadruple combination of host mutations is general for all recombinant cosmids, we examined other test cosmids (Table 4).

The recB recC sbcB recJ strains JC12186 and JC12190 inhibited deletion events in all cosmids tested, although the extent of the inhibition differed from cosmid to cosmid

Strain	Delevent construct	Stability index <sup>b</sup>							
	Relevant genotype	cMB7	cMB15	cMB30	cHEF2-12	cCEF2-7	cCEF2-9		
AB1157	rec <sup>+</sup>	1, 1	3, 3	1, 1	3, 3	13, 13	8, 10		
HB101	recA13	1, 1	3, 3	3, 3	4, 4	>15, >15	>15, >15		
AB2463	recA13	2, 2	2, 2	1, 1	4, 5		_		
JC12190	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ153	>15	>15, >15	12, >15	<i>c</i>		_		
JC12186	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ154	10, 10	>15, >15	8	>15, >15	>15	>15		
SP231	recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN262	>15, >15	>15, >15	12, >15	4, 5	>15, >15	>15		

TABLE 4. Inhibition of deletions in various recombinant cosmids by the quadruple combination of mutations in the host<sup>a</sup>

" Other conditions were as described in Table 2, footnote a.

<sup>b</sup> One or two independent experiments.

<sup>c</sup> —, Not determined.

(stability indices varied from 8 to >15). The *recB recC sbcB recN* strain SP231 prevented deletions in five test cosmids, cMB7, cMB15, cMB30, cCEF2-7, and cCEF2-9, but not in cHEF2-12. *recA13* mutants AB2463 and HB101 did not prevent deletion events in four test cosmids, namely, cMB7, cMB15, cMB30, and cHEF2-12, which occurred in *rec*<sup>+</sup> strain AB1157. Two test cosmids carrying the Chinese hamster EF2 gene, cCEF2-7 and cCEF2-9, were stably propagated even in *rec*<sup>+</sup> (AB1157) and *recA13* (AB2463 and HB101) strains.

**Recombinant cosmid DNAs propagated in the** *recB recC sbcB recJ* strain. Figure 1A shows the electrophoretic patterns on agarose gel of the DNA from test cosmid cMB15 which had been propagated in JC12186, JC12190, or SP231. The cMB15 cosmid DNAs propagated in the *recB recC sbcB recJ* strains JC12186 and JC12190 or in *recB recC sbcB recN* strain SP231 were apparently intact. After digestion of these DNAs with *Bam*HI, two clear bands which corresponded to the intact vector and insert DNA, respectively, were detected. On the other hand, various bands that corresponded to deletions were detected in the cMB15 cosmid DNAs propagated in  $rec^+$  (AB1157) or recA13 (AB2463) control strains.

Figure 1B shows the bands of DNA from the other test cosmids after agarose gel electrophoresis. The cMB7 and cMB30 cosmid DNAs were also apparently propagated intact in the recB recC sbcB recJ strain JC12186 until cultures 9 and 7, respectively (Fig. 1B; see also Table 4). The cHEF2-12 test cosmid is composed of seven BamHI fragments of 2.0, 2.3, 3.3, 4.5, 5.9, 6.2 (vector portions), and 17 kb, and the 17-kb fragment carries the toxin resistance human EF2 gene. The cHEF2-12 cosmid was stably maintained in JC12186 up to culture 15 without any apparent structural rearrangement: DNA bands separated by agarose gel electrophoresis before and after digestion with BamHI were the same at the cultures 1 and 15. In contrast, the cHEF2-12 test cosmid showed deletions at culture 4 during propagation in recA13 strain HB101 (Table 4 and Fig. 1B). Mapping experiments with restriction enzymes showed that most deletions in the cHEF2-12 cosmid occurred at several



FIG. 1. Electrophoretic analyses on agarose gels of cosmid DNAs propagated in various strains of *E. coli*. Four test cosmids, cMB7, cMB15, cMB30, and cHEF2-12, were propagated in various strains of *E. coli* as described in Table 4, footnote *a*. After the serial cultures indicated, recombinant cosmid DNA was prepared and analyzed, directly or after digestion with *Bam*H1, by electrophoresis on agarose gels. M, Size markers from *Hin*dIII digests of lambda phage DNA. Arrowheads indicate the positions of the intact vector and intact insert DNAs, respectively; numbers indicate at which cultures cosmid DNAs were extracted. (A) cMB15. *E. coli* strains: *rec*<sup>+</sup>, AB1157; *recA13*, AB2463; *recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ153*, JC12190; *recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recJ154*, JC12186; *recB21 recC22 sbcB15 recN262*, SP231. (B) cMB7, cMB30, and cHEF2-12. *E. coli* strain: a, AB1157; b, AB2463; c, HB101(*recA13*); d, JC12186.

of the DNAs into cultured manimalian cens										
Strain	DNA prepn from cells at culture:	No. of transformant colonies per dish <sup>b</sup>								
		TK <sup>+</sup>				TK <sup>+</sup> + PA <sup>r</sup>				PA'/TK <sup>4</sup> (%) <sup>c</sup>
		Dish 1	Dish 2	Avg	% <sup>d</sup>	Dish 1	Dish 2	Avg	%	
HB101	1	868	1,024	946	100	421	519	470	100	50
HB101	15	972	1,160	1,066	113	1	1	1	0	0.1
JC12186	1	696	980	838	89	479	518	498	106	59
JC12186	15	836	896	866	92	376	384	380	81	44

TABLE 5. Functional assay of cHEF2-12 cosmid DNAs propagated in HB101 nad JC12186 by gene transfer of the DNAs into cultured mammalian cells<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The cHEF2-12 cosmid was propagated in HB101 or JC12186 as described in Table 2, footnote a, and cHEF2-12 DNA was prepared from the indicated culture by the alkaline lysis method (2) and transferred to L cells deficient in thymidine kinase activity, at a dose of 100 ng per dish, by the modified calcium phosphate coprecipitation method. Transformant cells expressing the HSV-1 TK gene were selected in HAT medium, and transformant cells expressing both the HSV-1 TK gene and the toxin resistance human EF2 gene were selected in HAT medium that contained 50 ng of *P. aeruginosa* (PA) toxin.

<sup>b</sup> TK<sup>+</sup>, HAT-resistant colonies; TK<sup>+</sup> + PA<sup>r</sup>, simultaneously HAT- and PA toxin-resistant colonies.

<sup>c</sup> Ratio of TK<sup>+</sup> + PA<sup>r</sup> to TK<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>d</sup> Percentage of value for culture 1 of HB101.

hot spots in the insert portions and resulted in the complete elimination of the EF2 gene (data not shown).

To determine whether the cHEF2-12 cosmid propagated in recB recC sbcB recJ strain JC12186, which seemed to be structurally intact, was also functionally intact, we assayed the activities of selective marker genes, the HSV-1 TK gene and the toxin resistance human EF2 gene, which are carried on the vector arm and on the insert, respectively, by gene transfer into Ltk<sup>-</sup> cells. We used the cHEF2-12 cosmid propagated in HB101 showing severe deletions as a control (Table 5). With a preparation of DNA from HB101 cells at culture 15, we were unable to obtain any transformants that expressed the EF2 gene, although we obtained many transformants that expressed the TK gene. In contrast, with a preparation of DNA from JC12186 cells at the 15th culture, we obtained transformants that expressed the EF2 gene, and the numbers of transformants that expressed the TK gene and those that expressed both the TK and EF2 genes did not differ much from those obtained with preparations of DNA from JC12186 and HB101 cells at culture 1 (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that E. coli hosts which carry the recB recC sbcB recJ (or recN) genetic background can be used for the stable propagation of recombinant cosmids without structural or functional alterations in those cosmids. This finding provides a clue for the construction of more suitable host strains for cosmid cloning, although these hosts suffer from the disadvantage of nonhomogeneous growth, low yields of cosmid DNA, and low transduction efficiency (Ishiura et al., unpublished data).

We propose that the recJ (and/or recN) gene function(s) is involved in some aspect of the events that lead to deletions of cosmid DNA in the recB recC sbcB genetic background. These genes are involved in the RecF recombination pathway in *E. coli*. The ability of recJ mutations to prevent the cosmid deletion events in the recB recC sbcB genetic background differed for different recJ mutations. Lovett and Clark have identified two classes of mutations in the recJgene (27): class 1 alleles (recJ153 and recJ154), which produce a strong mutant phenotype; and class 2 alleles (recJ146, recJ147, and recJ148), which produce a weak or temperature-sensitive mutant phenotype. Consistent with the phenotypes of these recJ mutations, all class 1 alleles examined here prevented the cosmid deletion events, while all class 2 alleles did not. This relationship also suggests the direct involvement of the recJ gene in cosmid deletion events in the  $recB \ recC \ sbcB$  genetic background. More direct evidence for the involvement of the recJ gene was obtained by the introduction of the wild-type recJ gene into a  $recB \ recC \ sbcB \ recJ$  host. The introduction of the recJgene completely restored the occurrence of deletion events in a  $recB \ recC \ sbcB \ recJ$  host.

Among the additional single mutations examined in the recB recC sbcB genetic background, including recF, rec-151, recJ, recK, recN, recO, recQ, and ruv mutations, all of which have been reported to be involved in the RecF recombination pathway (36), only recJ and recN mutations prevented the cosmid deletion events. recJ mutations in an otherwise wild-type genetic background or in a recB recC sbcA genetic background had no effect on the deletion events. Because of these unique characteristics of the cosmid deletion events, it is not easy to correlate such events directly with other recombinational events in E. coli, such as general conjugational recombination and general recombination in plasmids and lambda phages (36).

A palindromic structure (10), inverted repeat sequences (22), and tandemly repeated sequences (6) cloned in plasmid vectors are unstable in recA hosts, whereas interspersed repeat sequences (24) are unstable in a  $recE^+$  host. However, some of these features are maintained stably in recB sbcB (11),  $recB \ recC \ sbcB \ recF$  (3), or recE (24) hosts. Palindromic structures or inverted repeat sequences or both cloned in lambda phage vectors, which are unstable in  $rec^+$  hosts, are maintained in  $recB \ recC \ sbcB$  hosts (21, 32, 40). However, hosts with these genetic backgrounds did not prevent the cosmid deletion events. Therefore, the cosmid deletion events described here must depend primarily on a recombination system(s) different from those reported previously.

Recently, we analyzed the DNA sequences that surround deletion junctions in cosmids, using cHEF2-12 and cMB15 cosmids propagated in *recA* host HB101. Unexpectedly, we found that cosmid deletion events were mediated by homologous recombination between two short direct repeat sequences (Ishiura et al., unpublished data). In the cHEF2-12 cosmid, most deletions were found to be mediated by homologous recombination between two human *Alu* repeat sequences arrayed in tandem. Therefore, it appears that a *recB recC sbcB recJ* quadruple combination of host mutations prevents homologous recombination between short direct repeat sequences which are separated from each other by more than 20 kb. This combination of mutations may also

inhibit the *recA*-independent deletion events in plasmids which involve a short direct repeat sequence (1, 9, 19).

Recently, Lovett and Clark cloned the recJ gene and identified the RecJ protein (28). However, its function(s) in recombination in *E. coli* remains to be resolved. We expect that, by specifying a sequence(s) or structure(s) that is supposedly involved in the high-frequency deletion events in cosmids, we shall be able to shed light on the function of the RecJ protein in recombination.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to A. J. Clark for generous gifts of plasmids and most of the *E. coli* strains used here. We are also grateful to B. J. Bachmann, C. Churvinton, F. Stahl, R. Kolodner, R. G. Lloyd, H. Nakayama, T. Nishimoto, A. R. Wyman, and D. Botstein for *E. coli* strains and to H. Shinagawa and G. R. Smith for plasmids. We thank H. Shinagawa for critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by a grant (60771985) from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Albertini, A. M., M. Hofer, M. P. Calos, and J. H. Miller. 1982. On the formation of spontaneous deletions: the importance of short sequence homologies in the generation of large deletions. Cell 29:319–328.
- 2. Birmboim, H. C. 1983. A rapid alkaline extraction method for isolation of plasmid. Methods Enzymol. 100:243-255.
- 3. Boissy, R., and C. R. Astell. 1985. An *Escherichia coli recBCs* bcBrecF host permits the deletion-resistant propagation of plasmid clones containing the 5'-terminal palindrome of minute virus of mice. Gene 35:179–185.
- 4. Bolivar, F., and K. Backman. 1979. Plasmids of *Escherichia coli* as cloning vectors. Methods Enzymol. **68**:245–267.
- 5. Boyer, H. W., and D. Roulland-Dussoix. 1969. A complementation analysis of the restriction and modification of DNA in *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 41:459–472.
- 6. Brutlag, D., K. Fry, T. Nelson, and P. Hung. 1977. Synthesis of hybrid bacterial plasmids containing highly repeated satellite DNA. Cell 10:509–519.
- 7. Chang, A. C. Y., and A. N. Cohen. 1978. Construction and characterization of amplifiable multicopy DNA cloning vehicles derived from the P15A cryptic miniplasmid. J. Bacteriol. 134: 1141-1156.
- Chia, W., M. R. D. Scott, and W. J. Rigby. 1982. The construction of cosmid libraries of eukaryotic DNA using the Homer series of vectors. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:2503-2520.
- 9. Cohen, A., D. Ram, H. Halvorson, and P. C. Wensink. 1978. Deletions within *E. coli* plasmids carrying yeast rDNA. Gene 3:135–147.
- 10. Collins, J. 1980. The instability of palindromic DNA. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 45:409–416.
- 11. Collins, J., G. Volckaert, and P. Nevers. 1982. Precise and nearly-precise excision of the symmetrical inverted repeats of *Tn5*; common features of *recA*-independent deletion events in *Escherichia coli*. Gene 19:139–146.
- 12. Dewitt, S. K., and E. A. Adelberg. 1962. The occurrence of a genetic transposition in a strain of *Escherichia coli*. Genetics 47:577–585.
- Graham, F. L., and A. J. van der Eb. 1973. A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus 5 DNA. Virology 52:456–461.
- 14. Hanahan, D. 1983. Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. 166:557–580.
- Holmes, D. S., and M. Quigley. 1981. A rapid boiling method for the preparation of bacterial plasmids. Anal. Biochem. 114: 193–197.

- 16. Horii, Z., and A. J. Clark. 1973. Genetic analysis of the RecF pathway to genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli* K12: isolation and characterization of mutants. J. Mol. Biol. 80: 327-344.
- Howard-Flanders, P., and L. Theriot. 1966. Mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12 defective in DNA repair and in genetic recombination. Genetics 53:1137–1150.
- Ishiura, M., S. Hirose, T. Uchida, H. Hamada, Y. Suzuki, and Y. Okada. 1982. Phage particle-mediated gene transfer to cultured mammalian cells. Mol. Cell Biol. 2:607–616.
- Jones, I. M., S. Primrose, and S. D. Ehrlich. 1982. Recombination between short direct repeats in a *recA* host. Mol. Gen. Genet. 188:486–489.
- Kolodner, R., R. A. Fishel, and M. Howard. 1985. Genetic recombination of bacterial plasmid DNA: effect of *recF* pathway mutations on plasmid recombination in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 163:1060–1066.
- 21. Leach, D. R. F., and F. W. Stahl. 1983. Viability of lambda phages carrying a perfect palindrome in the absence of recombination nucleases. Nature (London) 305:448-451.
- 22. Lilley, D. M. J. 1981. *In vivo* consequences of plasmid topology. Nature (London) 292:380–382.
- 23. Littlefield, J. W. 1965. Studies on thymidine kinase in cultured mouse fibroblasts. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 95:14-22.
- Liu, L.-S., and K. G. Lark. 1982. The Red function of phage lambda mediates the alteration of an interspersed repeated DNA sequence from the kangaroo rat *Dipodomys ordii*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 188:27-36.
- 25. Lloyd, R. G., F. E. Benson, and C. E. Shurvinton. 1984. Effect of *ruv* mutations on recombination and DNA repair in *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol. Gen. Genet. 194:303–309.
- Lloyd, R. G., and C. Buckman. 1985. Identification and genetic analysis of *sbcC* mutations in commonly used *recBC sbcB* strains of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 164:836–844.
- Lovett, S. T., and A. J. Clark. 1984. Genetic analysis of the recJ gene of Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 157:190–196.
- Lovett, S. T., and A. J. Clark. 1985. Cloning of the Escherichia coli recJ chromosomal region and identification of its encoded proteins. J. Bacteriol. 162:280-285.
- 29. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Morrison, D. A. 1979. Transformation and preservation of competent bacterial cells by freezing. Methods Enzymol. 68: 326-331.
- Murray, N. E., W. J. Brammar, and K. Murray. 1977. Lambdoid phages that simplify the recovery of *in vitro* recombinants. Mol. Gen. Genet. 150:33–61.
- Nader, W. F., T. D. Edlind, A. Huetterman, and H. W. Sauer. 1985. Cloning of *Physarum* actin sequences in an exonucleasedeficient bacterial host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:2698– 2702.
- 33. Nakayama, H., K. Nakayama, R. Nakayama, N. Irino, Y. Nakayama, and P. C. Hanawalt. 1984. Isolation and genetic characterization of a thymineless-resistant mutant of *Escherichia coli* K12: identification of a new mutation (*recQ1*) that blocks the RecF recombination pathway. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195:474–480.
- 34. Picksley, S. M., P. V. Attfield, and R. G. Lloyd. 1984. Repair of DNA double-strand breaks in *Eschrichia coli* K12 requires a functional *recN* product. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195:267–274.
- 35. Ponticelli, A., D. W. Schultz, A. F. Taylor, and G. R. Smith. 1985. Chi-dependent DNA strand cleavage by *recBC* enzyme. Cell 41:145–151.
- Smith, G. R. 1983. General recombination, p. 175–209. In R. W. Hendrix, J. W. Roberts, F. W. Stahl, and R. A. Weisberg (ed.), Lambda II. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Stanners, C. P., G. Eliceiri, and H. Green. 1971. Two types of ribosome in mouse-hamster hybrid cells. Nature (London) New Biol. 230:52-53.
- 38. Steinmetz, M., A. Winoto, K. Minard, and L. Hood. 1982. Clusters of genes encoding mouse transplantation antigens. Cell

**28:**489–498.

- Willetts, N. S., and A. J. Clark. 1969. Characteristics of some multiply recombination-deficient strains of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 100:231–239.
- 40. Wyman, A. R., L. B. Wolfe, and D. Botstein. 1985. Propagation of some human DNA sequences in bacteriophage  $\lambda$  vectors

requires mutant Escherichia coli hosts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:2880-2884.

41. Yelton, M. M., W. E. Timberlakem, and C.A.M.J.J. Hondel. 1985. A cosmid for selecting genes by complementation in *Aspergillus nidulans*: selection of the developmentally regulated yA locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:834–838.