Suppression of the *Escherichia coli rpoH* Opal Mutation by Ribosomes Lacking S15 Protein

RYOJI YANO AND TAKASHI YURA*

Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

Received 29 September 1988/Accepted 29 December 1988

Several suppressors (suhD) that can specifically suppress the temperature-sensitive opal rpoH11 mutation of Escherichia coli K-12 have been isolated and characterized. Unlike the parental rpoH11 mutant deficient in the heat shock response, the temperature-resistant pseudorevertants carrying suhD were capable of synthesizing σ^{32} and exhibiting partial induction of heat shock proteins. These strains were also cold sensitive and unable to grow at 25° C. Genetic mapping and complementation studies permitted us to localize suhD near rpsO (69 min), the structural gene for ribosomal protein S15. Ribosomes and polyribosomes prepared from suhD cells contained a reduced level (ca. 10%) of S15 relative to that of the wild type. Cloning and sequencing of suhD revealed that an IS10-like element had been inserted at the attenuator-terminator region immediately downstream of the rpsO coding region. The rpsO mRNA level in the suhD strain was also reduced to about 10% that of wild type. Apparently, ribosomes lacking S15 can actively participate in protein synthesis and suppress the rpoH11 opal (UGA) mutation at high temperature but cannot sustain cell growth at low temperature.

Escherichia coli cells exhibit transient induction of a set of heat shock proteins in response to upshift of temperature (12, 33). A number of heat shock proteins and their genes have been identified and characterized, and the mechanisms regulating the heat shock response have been studied extensively (20). The heat shock induction occurs at the level of transcription (34), and the regulatory gene $rpoH$ ($htpR$) and its product σ^{32} play a central role in the induction of heat shock genes (5, 19, 25, 35).

To further study the function and regulation of the heat shock response, we have isolated and characterized temperature-resistant pseudorevertants from various rpoH mutants. Extragenic suppressors that are involved in these reversions have been classified into several groups according to their map locations. We have previously reported on two of these suppressors obtained from $rpoH$ amber mutants (30) or from the $rpoH$ deletion mutant (11).

This paper deals with another class of suppressors, designated suhD, that can suppress the temperature-sensitive growth of an opal rpoH mutation and simultaneously render the cells cold sensitive. It will be shown that suhD drastically reduces the synthesis of a ribosomal protein S15 due to the insertion of an insertion element at the transcription attenuator-terminator region of the S15 gene $(rpsO)$. Under these conditions, marked synthesis of the rpoH gene product σ^{32} was observed at the permissive temperature (40°C).

Mutations affecting ribosomal proteins, rRNA, or a polypeptide chain elongation factor as well as tRNAs have previously been shown to cause suppression or readthrough translation of opal codons (for an example, see reference 16). The present finding with suhD strains that produce ribosomes lacking S15 may provide a new clue to the mechanism of opal suppression, translational readthrough, and fidelity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. All bacterial strains used were derivatives of E. coli K-12. Wild-type strain MC4100 (1) and isogenic temperature-sensitive heat shock-defective $rpoH$ mutants carrying a well-defined opal ($rpoH11$), amber

 $(rpoH6, rpoH16, rpoH18, rpoH165)$, or missense $(rpoH15)$ mutation have been described (29, 36, 37). The suhD revertants, KY1446 (rpoH11 suhD2) and KY1447 (rpoH11 suhD3), were isolated as temperature-resistant pseudorevertants of the $rpoH11$ mutant (KY1430) in this study. F' plasmids used were originally supplied by B. Bachmann. pBR322-based plasmids carrying the rpsO region (pYN81, $pYN81\Delta2$, and $pYN115$) have been described by Y. Nakamura (17). pKV41 and pKV42 were constructed by subcloning the HpaI-HpaI fragment (843 base pairs [bp]) or DraI-EcoRI fragment (3.8 kilobases [kb]) from pYN81 (see Fig. ³ and 5A) into appropriate sites on pBR322. Opal (UGA) mutants of bacteriophage ϕ 80 (Z. Chang, thesis, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, 1988) and the lacZ (UGA) mutant (LS653) were obtained from H. Ozeki.

Media and chemicals. Medium E (32) with 0.5% glucose and thiamine $(2 \mu g/ml)$ was used as minimal medium. L broth has been described (29). L-[³⁵S]methionine (1,000 Ci/mmol), $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dCTP (800 Ci/mmol), and $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol) were purchased from Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, England.

P1 transduction. Transduction was carried out by using P1 vir essentially as described (24).

Radioactive labeling and gel electrophoresis of proteins. Pulse labeling and gel electrophoresis of proteins were done as described previously (30). Cells growing exponentially in minimal medium with amino acids (except methionine and cysteine) were labeled with $[^{35}S]$ methionine (2 µCi) for 1 min at 37°C (or 30°C) or after shift to 42°C. Labeled cells were treated and washed with 5% trichloroacetic acid and rinsed with acetone. Whole cell proteins were dissolved in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer and loaded onto an SDS-polyacrylamide gel (10%) for electrophoresis.

Immunoblotting of σ^{32} protein. Cells were lysed by boiling in 2% SDS, and proteins (50 μ g) were separated by 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane. σ^{32} protein was detected by using antiserum against σ^{32} (gift of D. Straus and C. Gross) and anti-rabbit immunoglobulin biotinylated antibody (Amersham International plc, Amersham, United Kingdom) (23). Staining was done with streptavidin-colloidal gold conjugate

^{*} Corresponding author.

(Bethesda Research Laboratories, Gaithersburg, Md.) and a silver enhancement kit (E-Y Laboratories).

Determination of mRNA by S1 nuclease protection. S1 nuclease protection experiments were carried out essentially as described (15). RNA was extracted from cells grown in L broth at appropriate temperature, hybridized with probe DNA, digested with S1 nuclease, and analyzed by ureapolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Preparation of ribosome and polyribosome fractions. Logphase cells grown in L broth were collected and disrupted by sonication, and total ribosome fractions were prepared by the published procedure (8). Polyribosomes were prepared essentially as described (2). Cells were collected from 100 ml of L broth culture after mixing with ice and NaN_3 in the cold, and disrupted by repeated $(4 \times)$ freezing and thawing in a dry ice-ethanol bath. Crude ribosomes obtained were then subjected to sucrose gradient (15 to 30%) centrifugation, and polyribosome fractions were pooled and collected by centrifugation.

Nucleotide sequence determination. The DNA fragment containing the $rpsO$ region was inserted into an M13mp10 vector, and nucleotide sequence was determined by the dideoxy-chain termination method using 7-deaza-2-dGTP (14).

RESULTS

Isolation of suhD suppressor mutations. The rpoHJJ mutant (KY1430) is defective in the heat shock response and cannot grow at or above 37°C (29) due to the opal mutation at the residue 25 (tryptophan) of the σ^{32} protein (36, 37). Spontaneous temperature-resistant revertants of this mutant were obtained at a frequency of about 10^{-6} when selected at 42°C, and some (1 to 2%) exhibited very slow growth at low temperature (25 to 30°C). Such cold-sensitive revertants could be divided into several groups on the basis of complementation studies by using ^a set of ^F' strains. A group of mutants whose cold-sensitive phenotype could be complemented by F' plasmids (F120, F140, or F141) was designated suhD and examined in this study.

A series of P1 transduction experiments employing the revertant ($rpoH11$ suhD), the parental $rpoH11$ mutant, and the wild type was carried out to see whether a suhD mutation is responsible for both the suppression of temperaturesensitive growth of rpoHII mutant and the cold-sensitive growth of revertants. That this is indeed the case was verified by the finding that the two phenotypes were always associated with each other among the transductants obtained. Table ¹ summarizes growth characteristics of the representative transductants obtained in these experiments.

Partial recovery of heat shock induction and σ^{32} synthesis. To determine if suhD can suppress the defective heat shock response of the rpoH11 mutant, proteins pulse-labeled with [³⁵S]methionine at various times after temperature shift from 37 to 42°C were examined by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Little or no induction of heat shock proteins occurred in the $rpoH11$ mutant (29), whereas significant induction was observed in the revertants though it was delayed and less marked (for DnaK) than in the wild type (Fig. 1). Thus, suhD suppresses not only the defective cell growth at high temperature but also the defective heat shock response of the *rpoHII* mutant.

The above results suggested that some σ^{32} protein is produced in the revertants at high temperature. Immunoblotting of cell extracts by specific antiserum revealed that appreciable amounts of σ^{32} are synthesized at the permissive

TABLE 1. Growth of cells on L agar plates"

Strain and genotype ^b	Growth at indicated temp (°C)°				
	30	34	37	40	42
MC4100 (wild type)					
KY1430 (rpoH11)					
$KY1446$ (rpo $H11 \text{ subD2}$)					
$KY1447$ (rpo $H11 \text{ subD3}$)					
Cs^+ td (rpoH11 suhD2 \times wild type)					
$(rpoH11 \, suhD3 \times wild \, type)$					
Ts^+ td (rpoH11 \times rpoH11 suhD2)					
$(ropoH11 \times repoH11 subD3)$					

" Cell suspensions were streaked on L agar plates and incubated for ¹⁸ h at the temperatures indicated.

 The upper four strains are described in Materials and Methods. Coldresistant transductants $(Cs⁺$ td) were obtained from crosses between a suhD strain (recipient) and wild type (donor) selecting for transductants at 25°C, whereas temperature-resistant transductants $(Ts⁺ t_d)$ were obtained from crosses between the rpoH11 mutant (recipient) and a suhD strain (donor) selecting for transductants at 40°C on L agar. All the transductants tested, eight from each cross, exhibited similar growth characteristics as reported here.

 ϵ Growth is indicated as present (+) or absent (-).

temperature in the *suhD* revertants but not in the parental $rpoH11$ mutant (Fig. 2). In separate experiments, the $rpoH$ mRNA level in suhD cells as determined by S1 nuclease protection was shown to be comparable to that in the wild type (data not shown). These results suggested that suhD acted at the level of translation as an informational suppressor, permitting the synthesis of partially active σ^{32} protein from the $rpoH11$ (opal) mutant allele.

suhD maps at the $rpsO$ region. The observation that the cold-sensitive phenotype of suhD revertants can be complemented by F120, F140, or F141 suggested that suhD may be located between 66 and 75 min on the E. coli chromosome. P1 transduction experiments permitted us to localize suhD between $argG$ (69 min) and $zgi-132::Tn10$ (68.5 min), the

FIG. 1. Gel electrophoresis of proteins synthesized after temperature upshift. Cells of (A) wild type $(MC4100)$, (B) the $rpoH11$ mutant (KY1430), and (C) a suhD revertant (KY1447) were pulselabeled with $[^{35}S]$ methionine at 37°C (30°C for KY1430) or after shift to 42°C, and proteins $(5.8 \times 10^5 \text{ cm})$ were analyzed by SDSpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography. Lanes: 1, cells pulse-labeled at 37'C (30'C for KY1430); 2, 5 min at 42'C; 3, 20 min at 42°C; 4, 40 min at 42'C; 5, 60 min at 42'C.

FIG. 2. Immunoblotting of σ^{32} protein. Proteins from log-phase cells grown at 30 or 40°C were separated by gel electrophoresis and blotted onto membrane, and σ^2 protein was detected by specific antiserum as described in Materials and Methods. Arrowhead indicates σ^{32} protein. Lanes: 1, MC4100 at 30°C; 2, MC4100 at 40°C; 3, KY1430 (rpoHIl) at 30°C; 4, KY1447 (rpoHIl suhD3) at 40°C.

cotransduction frequencies with suhD being 64 and 50%, respectively (data not shown). Further experiments with plasmids that carry part of this chromosomal region revealed that the cold sensitivity of the revertants can be complemented by pYN115 carrying the entire rpsO-pnp operon or by pKV41 carrying only rpsO but not by plasmids lacking the intact $rpsO$ (Fig. 3). These results indicated that suhD is located at or around rpsO, the gene for ribosomal protein S15. It should be noted that the suhD strains harboring pYN115 or pKV41 are unable to grow at 40°C (Fig. 3). All these results indicate that suhD is recessive to suhD⁺, namely suhD somehow inactivates the $rpsO$ function, and that the inactivation of $rpsO$ function in turn suppresses the rpoH₁₁ (opal) mutation.

suhD may represent an opal suppressor. When suhD was transduced into several rooH mutants, it failed to suppress any of the amber (rpoH6, rpoH16, rpoH18, rpoH165) or missense (rpoH15) mutants tested (data not shown), indicating that suhD specifically suppresses the opal $rpoH11$ mutation. In addition, no significant suppression could be demonstrated with several opal mutants of phase ϕ 80 or the lacZ

FIG. 3. Complementation of cold-sensitive growth phenotype of suhD strains by recombinant plasmids. Symbols: \Box , genes of the rpsO region of the E. coli chromosome, drawn approximately to scale; \Box , chromosomal regions carried by the plasmids. P, Promoters. Growth of derivatives of suhD revertants (KY1446 and KY1447) harboring each plasmid at 30 or 40°C is indicated to the right.

(opal) mutant (LS653). While available evidence suggests that suhD acts as an opal (UGA) suppressor by affecting certain ribosomal function, the question of suppressor specificity remains to be determined by further study.

Reduced level of ribosomal protein S15. To examine whether suhD affects the level or property of S15, ribosomes were prepared from $subD$ cells and analyzed by two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (4) (Fig. 4A through C). In contrast to the wild-type ribosome that contains unit amounts of S15 relative to other proteins, the ribosomal fractions from suhD cells contain markedly reduced amounts (ca. 10%) of S15. The position of the S15 spot on the gel seemed unaffected, and no new spot was detected. This suggested that the majority of ribosome produced in suhD cells do not contain S15.

Polyribosomes that are actively engaged in protein synthesis were prepared from one of the suhD strains (KY1447) and similarly examined for S15 contents. The polyribosome fraction of suhD cells also contained reduced amounts (ca. 10%) of S15, compared with that of the wild type (Fig. 4, D through E). Apparently, ribosomes lacking S15 can actively participate in protein synthesis. Consistent with this finding is the observation that the rate of bulk protein synthesis in suhD cells is not appreciably different from that of the wild type at the permissive temperature. As will be shown below, the reduced level of S15 in the suhD ribosome is primarily due to reduced synthesis of S15.

Cloning and sequencing of suhD. To determine the site of suhD mutation more precisely, the $argG$ -rpsO-pnp region of DNA from the suhD3 strain (KY1447) was cut out by restriction endonucleases EcoRI and HindIII and ligated with pBR322 digested with the same enzymes, and recombinant plasmids that can complement argG were selected. Restriction analysis of the cloned fragment revealed that a 1.4-kb fragment had been inserted into the *HpaI* fragment $(843 bp)$ containing rpsO. The nucleotide sequence analysis of this region (from the upstream HpaI site to a part of the insert, including the entire rpsO gene) revealed that the insertion occurred immediately downstream of rpsO, within the inverted repeat sequence presumably involved in transcription termination or attenuation (Fig. 5). No sequence alteration was detected in the promoter or the $rpsO$ coding region when compared with the published results for wildtype $rpsO(21, 28)$. Comparison of the proximal part (206 bp) of the inserted sequence with the known insertion element sequences indicated a very high homology (97%) with iS10 (6). We conclude that the suhD3 suppressor represents insertion of an IS10-like element at the rpsO downstream region.

Reduced level of rpsO mRNA. Since the level of S15 in the ribosome of suhD3 strain was specifically reduced and the insertion was found at the putative transcription attenuatorterminator region for $rpsO$, it seemed likely that $subD$ affected the synthesis or the stability of rpsO mRNA. Quantitative S1 nuclease protection experiments with two kinds of DNA probe revealed that $rpsO$ mRNA in the suhD strain was reduced to about 10% that of wild type or of the parental $rpoH11$ mutant at 40°C (Fig. 6). The reduced level of rpsO mRNA may be explained either by instability of mRNA due to the insertion at the ³' end of RNA or by interference with the $rpsO$ transcription caused by transcription in the opposite direction initiated from within the insertion. In any event, the insertion appears to reduce the amount of functional rpsO mRNA which, in turn, reduces the synthesis of S15 protein.

FIG. 4. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of ribosomal proteins. Total ribosome fractions (A, B, and C) were prepared from log-phase cells grown in L broth at 30 or 40°C. (A) MC4100 at 40°C; (B) KY1430 (rpoH11) at 30°C; (C) KY1447 (rpoH11 suhD3) at 40°C. Polyribosome fractions (D and E) were prepared by sedimenting total ribosome fractions through sucrose gradients (15 to 30%). The fractions were pooled, collected by centrifugation, and analyzed as described above. (D) MC4100 at 40° C; (E) KY1447 at 40° C. Arrowheads, S15 protein.

DISCUSSION

The suhD suppressors examined in this study can suppress both temperature-sensitive growth of the rpoH11 mutant and the induction of heat shock proteins due to the resumed synthesis of σ^{32} protein. The suppressors do not seem to suppress any of the amber or missense rpoH or other opal mutations tested, suggesting codon or context specificity in suppression. However, the rpoH mutant could be a very sensitive test for suppression because the mutant σ^{32} produced by suppression could be greatly stabilized. A rigorous test of suppressor specificity would require

FIG. 5. (A) Structure of the rpsO region of the chromosome in the suhD strains. Insert represents an IS10-like element, and open boxes (LII) indicate structural parts of genes. Arrowheads, Restriction enzyme cleavage sites. P, Promoters. (B) Nucleotide sequence around the insertion site. The insert was found within the inverted repeat sequences.

FIG. 6. Quantitation of rpsO mRNA by S1 nuclease protection analyses. RNA (10 μ g) was hybridized with 5' end-labeled DNA of the $rpsO$ region, digested with S1 nuclease at 45 \degree C, and subjected to 5% polyacrylamide-8 M urea gel electrophoresis. (A) The HindllI- $Eco0109$ fragment containing the $rpsO$ upstream and the whole coding region was used as probe (the restriction sites are indicated in Fig. 5A). Lanes: 1, MC4100 at 30°C; 2, MC4100 at 40°C; 3, KY1430 (rpoH11) at 30°C; 4, KY1447 (rpoH11 suhD3) at 40°C. (B) The HindIII-PstI fragment containing the rpsO upstream and half of the coding region was used as probe. Lanes: 1 , MC4100 at 30°C; 2 , MC4100 at 40°C; 3, KY1430 (rpoH11) at 30°C; 4, KY1447 (rpoH11 suhD3) at 37°C; 5, KY1447 at 40°C.

more systematic analysis of additional opal and other mutations.

The results of transduction mapping and complementation tests indicated that suhD affected the ribosomal protein gene rpsO. Cloning and sequencing of one of the suhD suppressors revealed that an I510-like element had been inserted at the attenuator-terminator site located downstream of rpsO. No other alteration was detected in the promoter or coding region, strongly suggesting that the 1510-like insert is solely responsible for the observed reduction in rpsO mRNA and protein, as well as for cold-sensitive growth.

Either or both of the following mechanisms may explain the reduced level of rpsO mRNA observed. First, the insertion at the transcriptional attenuator-terminator region may cause mRNA instability by affecting its 3'-terminal structure. Deletion of the 3' end of a ribosomal protein (S20) operon has previously been shown to cause faster mRNA degradation, suggesting the importance of the mRNA 3' end for stability (13). Second, $rpsO$ transcription of opposite direction per se or the anti-mRNA initiated from a promoter(s) located within the insert may interfere with the normal $rpsO$ transcription. Indeed, one of the promoters on IS10 is known to be located near its terminal and promotes outward transcription (toward rpsO). Such an 1510-mediated transcription of adjacent genes has been reported for a number of genes in $E.$ coli (10).

Since both the rpsO mRNA level and the S15 protein level of isolated ribosomes of suhD suppressor strains were reduced to similar extents (ca. 10% of wild type), and since no sequence alteration was found in the $rpsO$ coding region, the reduced synthesis of 515 protein may be a direct consequence of the reduced level of rpsO transcripts brought about by the insertion. However, the situation might be more complex, since $rpsO$ expression may be actively controlled.. The lack of gene dosage effect on 515 synthesis suggests the involvement of autogenous regulation (27). The fact that the attenuator-terminator region shows a significant sequence homology with the 515 binding site on 165 rRNA suggests its possible involvement in regulating rpsO expression (28). In addition, rpsO mRNA could be processed at this same region (22). Thus, the IS10 insertion in the $subD$ strain may affect the putative regulatory function of this region on rpsO mRNA (or DNA).

The present finding that polyribosome fractions of suhD cells contain ribosomes mostly (ca. 90%) lacking 515 implies that ribosomes lacking 515 can actively participate in protein synthesis at the permissive temperature $(37 \text{ to } 42^{\circ}\text{C})$. However, the question of whether the remaining 10% of 515 protein is essential for cell growth remains to be determined. A number of mutants lacking ^a specific ribosomal protein but exhibiting apparently normal growth have previously been described, suggesting that some ribosomal proteins are dispensable at least under certain conditions (3).

Ribosomes lacking S15 must permit readthrough translation of the UGA (opal) codon (of $rpoH11$) albeit infrequently, because σ^{32} protein is actually produced. A number of mutations affecting ribosomal protein (S4, 55, 512, and L7/L12) have been shown to increase translational ambiguity by affecting the proofreading step during peptide chain elongation (for an example, see reference' 9). An alteration in elongation factor EF-Tu affects reading frame maintenance or tRNA selection, causing frameshift translation, readthrough of nonsense codons, and missense errors (31). A mutated ¹⁶⁵ rRNA (16) and polypeptide releasing factor RF-II (Chang, thesis) were recently found to suppress UGA codons specifically. Although the precise role of 515 is not known, the ribosome lacking 515 would cause UGA-specific suppression if it were involved in releasing polypeptides in concert with RF-II.

In reconstitution experiments of 305 ribosome in vitro, binding of 515 to 165 rRNA appears to be essential for binding of 56 and 518, suggesting that 515 elicits certain conformational change of 165 rRNA by binding to it (7, 26). However, the results here indicate that ribosomes lacking 515 but containing 56 and 518 can be formed in vivo at high temperature and are active in protein synthesis. Many of the cold-sensitive mutants have been shown to be defective in ribosome assembly and accumulate intermediate particles (18). The cold sensitivity of the $subD$ strains suggests the possibility that the requirement for 515 in ribosome assembly is more stringent at low temperature than at high temperature. Although ribosomes lacking 515 remain active in polypeptide chain growth, their function must be sufficiently altered so as to exhibit reduced fidelity, possibly by affecting tRNA selection. Further analysis is needed to understand the detailed mechanism of suppression in this system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to H. Ozeki for discussion and critical reading of the manuscript and for supplying strains and phages. We also thank K. Isono for advice on ribosome analysis, D. B. Straus and C. A. Gross for antiserum, and Y. Nakamura and K. Shiba for plasmids and strains.

This work was supported in part by grants from The Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, Tokyo, Japan.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Casadaban, M. 1976. Transposition and fusion of the lac gene to selected promoters in E . *coli* using bacteriophage lambda and mu. J. Mol. Biol. 104:541-555.
- 2. Cole, J. R., C. L. Olsson, J. W. B. Hershey, M. Grunberg-Manago, and M. Nomura. 1987. Feedback regulation of rRNA synthesis in Escherichia coli. Requirement for initiation factor IF2. J. Mol. Biol. 198:383-392.
- 3. Dabbs, E. R., R. Hasenbank, B. Kastner, K.-H. Rak, B. Wartusch, and G. Stöffler. 1983. Immunological studies of Escherichia coli mutants lacking one or two ribosomal proteins. Mol. Gen. Genet. 192:301-308.
- 4. Geyl, D., A. Böck, and K. Isono. 1981. An improved method for two-dimensional gel-electrophoresis: analysis of mutationally altered ribosomal proteins of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 181:309-312.
- 5. Grossman, A. D., J. W. Erickson, and C. A. Gross. 1984. The $htpR$ gene product of E. coli is a sigma factor for heat-shock promoters. Cell 38:383-390.
- 6. Halling, S. M., R. W. Simons, J. C. Way, R. B. Walsh, and N. Kleckner. 1982. DNA sequence organization of IS10-right of Tn10 and comparison with IS10-left. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:2608-2612.
- 7. Held, W. A., B. Ballou, S. Mizushima, and M. Nomura. 1974. Assembly mapping of 30S ribosomal proteins from Escherichia coli. Further studies. J. Biol. Chem. 249:3103-3111.
- 8. Ito, K., D. P. Cerretti, H. Nashimoto, and M. Nomura. 1984. Characterization of an amber mutation in the structural gene for ribosomal protein L15, which impairs the expression of the protein export gene, secY, in Escherichia coli. EMBO J. 3: 2319-2324.
- 9. Kirsebom, L. A., and L. A. Isaksson. 1985. Involvement of ribosomal protein L7/L12 in control of translational accuracy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:717-721.
- 10. Kleckner, N. 1983. Transposon Tn10, p. 261-298. In J. A. Shapiro (ed.), Mobile genetic elements. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 11. Kusukawa, N., and T. Yura. 1988. Heat shock protein GroE of Escherichia coli: key protective roles against thermal stress. Genes Dev. 2:874-882.
- 12. Lemaux, P. G., S. L. Herendeen, P. L. Bloch, and F. C. Neidhardt. 1978. Transient rates of synthesis of individual polypeptides in E. coli following temperature shifts. Cell 13: 427-434.
- 13. Mackie, G. A. 1987. Posttranscriptional regulation of ribosomal protein S20 and stability of the S20 mRNA species. J. Bacteriol. 169:2697-2701.
- 14. Mizusawa, S., S. Nishimura, and F. Seela. 1986. Improvement of the dideoxy chain termination method of DNA sequencing by use of deoxy-7-deazaguanosine triphosphate in place of dGTP. Nucleic Acids Res. 14:1319-1324.
- 15. Mori, K., and H. Aiba. 1985. Evidence for negative control of cya transcription by cAMP and cAMP receptor protein in intact Escherichia coli cells. J. Biol. Chem. 260:14838-14843.
- 16. Murgola, E. J., K. A. Hijazi, H. U. Göringer, and A. E. Dahlberg. 1988. Mutant 16S ribosomal RNA: a codon-specific translational suppressor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:4162- 4165.
- 17. Nakamura, Y., and S. Mizusawa. 1985. In vivo evidence that the $nusA$ and $infB$ genes of E. coli are part of the same multi-gene operon which encodes at least four proteins. EMBO J. 4: 527-532.
- 18. Nashimoto, H., and M. Nomura. 1970. Structure and function of bacterial ribosomes. XI. Dependence of 50S ribosomal assembly on simultaneous assembly of 30S subunits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 67:1440-1447.
- 19. Neidhardt, F. C., and R. A. VanBogelen. 1981. Positive regula-

tory gene for temperature-controlled proteins in Escherichia coli. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 100:894-900.

- 20. Neidhardt, F. C., and R. A. VanBogelen. 1987. Heat shock response, p. 1334-1345. In F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: cellular and molecular biology, vol. 1. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 21. Portier, C., and P. Regnier. 1984. Expression of the rpsO and pnp genes: structural analysis of a DNA fragment carrying their control regions. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:6091-6102.
- 22. Regnier, P., and C. Portier. 1986. Initiation, attenuation and RNase III processing of transcripts from the Escherichia coli operon encoding ribosomal protein S15 and polynucleotide phosphorylase. J. Mol. Biol. 187:23-32.
- 23. Shiba, K., K. Ito, and T. Yura. 1986. Suppressors of the secY24 mutation: identification and characterization of additional ssy genes in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 166:849-856.
- 24. Shilhavy, T. J., M. L. Berman, and L. W. Enquist. 1984. Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 25. Straus, D. B., W. A. Walter, and C. A. Gross. 1987. The heat shock response of E. coli is regulated by changes in the concentration of σ^{32} . Nature (London) 329:348-351.
- 26. Svensson, P., L.-M. Changchien, G. R. Craven, and H. F. Noller. 1988. Interaction of ribosomal proteins, S6, S8, S15 and S18 with the central domain of 16S ribosomal RNA. J. Mol. Biol. 200:301-308.
- 27. Takata, R. 1978. Genetic studies of the ribosomal proteins in Escherichia coli. XI. Mapping of the genes for L21, L27, S15 and S21 by using hybrid bacteria and over-production of these proteins in the merodiploid strains. Mol. Gen. Genet. 160: 151-155.
- 28. Takata, R., T. Mukai, M. Aoyagi, and K. Hori. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of the gene for Escherichia coli ribosomal protein S15 (rpsO). Mol. Gen. Genet. 197:225-229.
- 29. Tobe, T., K. Ito, and T. Yura. 1984. Isolation and physical mapping of temperature-sensitive mutants defective in heatshock induction of proteins in Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195:10-16.
- 30. Tobe, T., N. Kusukawa, and T. Yura. 1987. Suppression of rpoH (htpR) mutations of Escherichia coli: heat shock response in suhA revertants. J. Bacteriol. 169:4128-4134.
- 31. Vijgenboom, E., T. Vink, B. Kraal, and L. Bosch. 1985. Mutants of the elongation factor EF-Tu, a new class of nonsense suppressors. EMBO J. 4:1049-1052.
- 32. Vogel, H. J., and D. M. Bonner. 1956. Acetylornithinase of Escherichia coli K-12: partial purification and some properties. J. Biol. Chem. 218:97-106.
- 33. Yamamori, T., K. Ito, Y. Nakamura, and T. Yura. 1978. Transient regulation of protein synthesis in Escherichia coli upon shift-up of growth temperature. J. Bacteriol. 134:1133- 1140.
- 34. Yamamori, T., and T. Yura. 1980. Temperature-induced synthesis of specific proteins in Escherichia coli: evidence for transcriptional control. J. Bacteriol. 142:843-851.
- 35. Yamamori, T., and T. Yura. 1982. Genetic control of heat-shock protein synthesis and its bearing on growth and thermal resistance in Escherichia coli K-12. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:860-864.
- 36. Yano, R., M. Imai, and T. Yura. 1987. The use of operon fusions in studies of the heat-shock response: effects of altered sigma 32 on heat-shock promoter function in Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 207:24-28.
- 37. Yura, T., T. Tobe, K. Ito, and T. Osawa. 1984. Heat shock regulatory gene (htpR) of Escherichia coli is required for growth at high temperature but is dispensable at low temperature. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:6803-6807.