# Similarity of *Escherichia coli* Propanediol Oxidoreductase (*fucO* Product) and an Unusual Alcohol Dehydrogenase from *Zymomonas mobilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

TYRRELL CONWAY<sup>1\*</sup> AND LONNIE O. INGRAM<sup>2</sup>

School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0118,<sup>1</sup> and Department of Microbiology and Cell Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611<sup>2</sup>

Received 17 January 1989/Accepted 30 March 1989

The gene that encodes 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase (fucO) from *Escherichia coli* was sequenced. The reading frame specified a protein of 383 amino acids (including the N-terminal methionine), with an aggregate molecular weight of 40,642. The induction of *fucO* transcription, which occurred in the presence of fucose, was confirmed by Northern blot analysis. In *E. coli*, the primary *fucO* transcript was approximately 2.1 kilobases in length. The 5' end of the transcript began more than 0.7 kilobase upstream of the *fucO* start codon within or beyond the *fucA* gene. Propanediol oxidoreductase exhibited 41.7% identity with the iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase II from *Zymomonas mobilis* and 39.5% identity with ADH4 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. These three proteins did not share homology with either short-chain or long-chain zinc-containing alcohol dehydrogenase enzymes. We propose that these three unusual alcohol dehydrogenases define a new family of enzymes.

L-Fucose can serve as a sole source of carbon and energy for *Escherichia coli* via an inducible pathway (3). Transport of L-fucose is mediated by L-fucose permease (17). L-Fucose is converted to L-fuculose through the action of L-fucose isomerase (15) and is phosphorylated by L-fuculose kinase to form L-fuculose 1-phosphate (19), the inducer of the fuc regulon (3). L-Fuculose 1-phosphate is enzymatically cleaved to form L-lactaldehyde and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (14). The dihydroxyacetone thus formed enters central metabolism. Under aerobic conditions, L-lactaldehyde is converted to pyruvate through the action of an inducible lactaldehyde dehydrogenase and a sequentially inducible lactate dehydrogenase (10, 35). Under anaerobic conditions, L-lactaldehyde is converted by 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase to the fermentation product 1,2-propanediol, which is excreted into the medium (36).

The enzymes of the fucose pathway are encoded by five genes that are clustered at 62.5 min on the *E. coli* map (1): *fucP* (fucose permease), *fucI* (fucose isomerase), *fucK* (fuculose kinase), *fucA* (fuculose 1-phosphate aldolase), and *fucO* (1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase). An activator protein for the pathway, which is active in the presence of L-fuculose 1-phosphate, is encoded by *fucR* (7). These genes have been cloned and appear to constitute a regulon composed of three operons: *fucPIK*, *fucA*, and *fucO* (7).

Wild-type *E. coli* can give rise to mutant strains that acquire the ability to utilize 1,2-propanediol as a sole carbon and energy source (2, 40). The acquisition of these mutations during repeated selection for growth on 1,2-propanediol appears to occur in two stages (16). The primary mutation responsible for the new phenotype results in increased expression of *fucO*. A secondary mutation causes complex changes in the regulation of the entire *fuc* regulon. Sequence analysis of the genes of the *fuc* regulon should provide information concerning the molecular nature of these changes.

In this report the nucleotide sequence of the *fucO* gene is

presented. Only a few primary structures of procaryotic proteins with significant alcohol dehydrogenase activity are available (18, 20, 25), although a large number of sequences are available for eucaryotic enzymes (21). The deduced amino acid sequence of propanediol oxidoreductase from *E. coli* was found to share significant identity with alcohol dehydrogenase II from *Zymomonas mobilis* (12) and ADH4 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (38) but does not share identity with the alcohol dehydrogenase from *Alcaligenes eutrophus* (20), the methanol dehydrogenases from *Methylobacterium organophilum* (25) and *Paracoccus denitrificans* (18), or any of the eucaryotic alcohol dehydrogenases.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions.** The strains and plasmids used in this study are summarized in Table 1. *E. coli* strains were routinely grown in Luria broth without added carbohydrate (24) at 37°C. Media were solidified by addition of agar (1.5%). Antibiotic-resistant transformants were selected by addition of ampicillin (50 mg/liter) or kanamycin (15 mg/liter). Transcription of *fucO* in *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  was induced by the addition of fucose (2 g/liter) to the minimal medium of Sridhara et al. (36) as described previously (8). Plasmid clones which expressed alcohol dehydrogenase activity were detected by using aldehyde indicator plates (12).

**DNA methods.** Transformation, restriction mapping, and subcloning were carried out by using standard methods (26, 34). Small-scale plasmid isolations were prepared by a modification of the method of Birnboim and Doly (4) as described previously (34). Restriction enzymes and DNA-modifying enzymes were used according to the recommendations of the manufacturers. Isolation of genomic DNA from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  was performed as described previously (6).

**Southern probing.** Agarose gels (0.8%) to be used for Southern blotting were electrophoresed and stained with ethidium bromide by standard methods (34). Capillary transfer of the DNA to Genescreen Plus hybridization transfer

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Strain or plasmid	Description	Source or reference			
Z. mobilis CP4 E. coli	Prototroph	29			
DH5a	fuc+	BRL"			
TC4	fuc <sup>+</sup>	6			
Plasmids					
pUC18	bla lac <b>l'Z</b> ' <sup>b</sup>	39			
pcos2EMBL	Kan	30			
pLOI340	fucO	This study			
pLOI341	fucO	This study			

TABLE 1. Plasmids and strains used

" Bethesda Research Laboratories.

<sup>b</sup> Incomplete *lac1* and incomplete *lac2*.

membranes and hybridization at 42°C in the presence of 50% formamide were carried out according to the protocols of the manufacturer of the membranes (New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.; catalog no. NEF-976). The hybridization probe was prepared by random primer labeling of a 0.4-kilobase (kb) *Eco*RV fragment of DNA (internal to the *fucO* reading frame) using  $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dATP and a kit.

Analysis of RNA. RNA was isolated as described previously (11). Fractionation of RNA through agarose-formaldehyde gels, capillary transfer to Genescreen Plus membranes, and hybridization were carried out according to technical information provided by New England Nuclear. The hybridization probe was identical to that used for Southern probing (described above). Methods for primer extension mapping experiments have been described previously (11). The oligonucleotide used for these experiments was complimentary to *fucO* and spanned base pairs (bp) 371 to 387 (sequence 5'-CCGTTTCGTTCAGAATC-3'). An Autogen 500 (Millipore Corp., Bedford, Mass.) was used for oligonucleotide synthesis.

**DNA sequence analysis.** The *fucO* gene from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  was sequenced in both directions by the dideoxy method (33) with a Sequenase sequencing kit. The 1,690-bp fragment from the *Bam*HI site to the *Pvu*II site of pLOI341 was sequenced by subcloning of various restriction fragments into M13mp18 and M13mp19 (39). Sequence data were analyzed by using the computer programs of Pustell and Kafatos (31). Alignment of homologous proteins was performed by using the algorithm of Wilbur and Lipman (37).

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Electrophoresis and Coomassie blue staining of gels were as described previously (11).

Assay of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase. Cells from 3-ml overnight cultures grown in Luria broth were harvested and washed in phosphate buffer (30 mM, pH 7.0) and then disrupted as described previously (29). Enzyme assays were performed by the methods of Sridhara et al. (36). Activities of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase are expressed as international units per milligram of total cell protein (micromoles  $\cdot$  minute<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  milligrams of protein<sup>-1</sup>). Protein was determined as described by Layne with bovine serum albumin as the standard (23).

**Enzymes and chemicals.** Restriction enzymes and DNAmodifying enzymes were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories, Inc. (Gaithersberg, Md.). The M13 universal sequencing primer was obtained from New England Bio-Labs, Inc. (Beverly, Mass.). Radioactive compounds were purchased from New England Nuclear. The Sequenase kit was obtained from US Biochemical Corp. (Cleveland, Ohio).



FIG. 1. Southern blot analysis to determine origin of gene cloned on pLO1340. Chromosomal DNA from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  (a through c) or *Z. mobilis* (e through g) was digested with *Hin*dIII (a, g), *Sall* (b, f), or *Eco*RI (c, e). As a control, an undigested crude plasmid preparation of pcos2EMBL containing a *Z. mobilis* insert (described in Results) was run in lane d. DNA samples were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred to a nylon filter. The probe was a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled, 0.4-kb *Eco*RV fragment from pLO1341 (from bp 864 to 1259 in Fig. 2). Sizes of fragments are given in kilobases on the left.

The random primer labeling kit was purchased from Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals (Indianapolis, Ind.). Chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo.).

#### RESULTS

Cloning of the gene encoding 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase. The *fucO* gene was isolated while attempting to subclone from a crude, small-scale, plasmid preparation made from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  carrying pcos2EMBL (30), which contained a 35-kb insert of *Z. mobilis* genomic DNA. The subcloning strategy involved partial digestion of the crude plasmid preparation with *Sau*3A, ligation into pUC18 (39), and transformation into *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , with selection for expression of alcohol dehydrogenase activity on an aldehyde indicator plate (12). A single transformant that expressed alcohol dehydrogenase activity was obtained from a pool of approximately 10,000 antibiotic-resistant transformants.

The plasmid carried by the alcohol dehydrogenase-positive clone, pLOI340, contained an E. coli DNA insert of 2.7 kb. Both of the BamHI sites at the junction of the insert DNA to pUC18 were regenerated. The origin of the cloned DNA was established by Southern blot analysis (Fig. 1). Genomic DNAs from E. coli DH5a and from Z. mobilis were digested to completion with three different restriction enzymes for analysis. The DNA probe used for hybridization was made by labeling a 0.4-kb EcoRV fragment from the middle of the DNA insert in pLOI340. Under conditions of high stringency, the fucO probe did not hybridize to genomic DNA from Z. mobilis or to the cosmid containing Z. mobilis DNA but hybridized strongly to DNA from E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ . The labeling of a single band in each of three total genomic digests with the three different restriction enzymes confirmed that fucO is present in strain DH5 $\alpha$  as a single copy on the genome.

A portion of the DNA insert of pLOI340 from an internal SalI site to a BamHI site at the right-hand end of the insert in pUC18 could be deleted, leaving a 2.15-kb fragment of DNA that retained the ability to encode an alcohol dehydrogenase activity, as detected on aldehyde indicator plates. This plasmid was called pLOI341. Further attempts to shorten the DNA insert of pLOI341 with EcoRV or PstI abolished the alcohol dehydrogenase activity in these clones. Comparison of the restriction map of the cloned DNA fragment in pLOI340 with the restriction map of the *fuc* region published by Chen et al. (7) revealed that the two maps matched exactly (data not shown). The restriction map of pLOI340 and the results of the Southern blot of *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  genomic DNA probed with a portion of *fucO* (Fig. 1) matched the published restriction map of the 62.5-min region of the *E. coli* genome (22). Together, these data suggested that the gene cloned from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  into pLOI341 was *fucO*.

Denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used to demonstrate the appearance of a new protein band in strain DH5 $\alpha$  carrying pLOI341, when compared with strain DH5 $\alpha$  carrying pUC18 (data not shown). This protein migrated with an apparent molecular weight of 38,000 to 40,000, which is equivalent to that of alcohol dehydrogenase II from Z. mobilis (12). This is much smaller than the size of the primary alcohol dehydrogenase from E. coli (D. P. Clark, personal communication). This result provided further evidence that the cloned gene was fucO.

Crude extracts of E. coli DH5 $\alpha$  and strain DH5 $\alpha$  carrying pLOI341 were assayed for the ability to oxidize a variety of alcohols. The strain carrying pLOI341 was able to oxidize 1,2-propanediol (specific activity, 0.31  $\mu$ mol  $\cdot$  min<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>), glycerol (specific activity, 0.18  $\mu$ mol  $\cdot$  min<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>), ethylene glycol (specific activity, 0.34  $\mu$ mol  $min^{-1}$  mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>), and ethanol (specific activity, 0.06  $\mu$ mol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>) but not sorbitol, mannitol, or xylitol. The uninduced parent strain, DH5 $\alpha$ , was unable to oxidize any of the alcohols tested. These data confirmed that the alcohol dehydrogenase activity specified by the clone in pLOI341 is truly that of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase, which is specified by fucO. Other alcohols oxidized by strain DH5 $\alpha$  carrying pLOI341 were *n*-butanol (specific activity, 0.08  $\mu$ mol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>), *n*-propanol (specific activity, 0.08  $\mu$ mol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>), and allyl alcohol (specific activity,  $0.10 \ \mu mol$  min<sup>-1</sup> · mg of protein<sup>-1</sup>) but not isopropanol.

Sequence of *fucO*. The sequence of the gene that specifies 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase is presented in Fig. 2. A ribosome-binding site and tandem methionine codons indicate the start of an open reading frame that stretches for 1,149 bp. This corresponds to a protein of 383 amino acids (including the N-terminal methionines) with an aggregate molecular weight of 40,642. Based on comparisons with the published restriction map for the *fuc* region (7) as described above, counterclockwise transcription of the *fucO* gene was confirmed by this sequence. The reading frame terminates with a single stop codon, TAA.

The 353 bp 5' to fucO did not contain any sequences that matched the *E. coli* consensus promoter sequence (sigma 70). However, there was a sequence between bp 189 and 206 that closely matched the consensus sequence for sigma 60 promoters of *E. coli* (28). In addition, the sequence upstream of the fucO reading frame contained a second reading frame that originated 5' to the sequenced region and terminated at a stop codon 24 bp before the start codon of fucO. This reading frame is indicated in Fig. 2. We found no reading frames that originated in the region upstream of fucO and could be transcribed in the opposite direction.

Examination of the sequences 3' to the *fucO* reading frame did not reveal any additional downstream open reading frames. A region with a potential stem-loop structure was found at bp 1633 to 1659, 128 bp downstream from the *fucO* stop codon. This region contained an inverted repeat in which seven of eight bases were complimentary, with a loop J. BACTERIOL.

of 11 bp separating the stem structure. This sequence was immediately followed by a string of six thymidines, giving this structure the appearance of a transcriptional terminator (32). It is not known whether this structure can serve as a terminator of *fucO* transcription. A direct repeat of 7 bp was found beginning at bp 1542, with 5 bp between the repeated sequences.

**Transcription of** *fucO*. Primer extension mapping was used to identify the 5' end of the *fucO* message. The primer that was used for this experiment was complimentary to the noncoding strand (plus strand), spanning the N-terminal codons at bp 371 to 387, and the RNA was obtained from *E*. *coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , which was induced by growth in the presence of L-fucose. The extension products obtained by this procedure were more than 700 bp in length, beyond the length at which a reliable determination of the 5' end of the message could be made (data not shown). This indicated that the promoter for *fucO* is at least 700 bp upstream of the *fucO* reading frame and over 350 bp 5' to the region sequenced. This result placed the *fucO* promoter within—or even beyond—the *fucA* gene on the restriction map of the *fuc* region published by Chen et al. (7).

In an effort to clarify the results of the primer extension experiment, a portion of the *fucO* gene was used to probe a Northern blot of RNA obtained from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  grown in the presence or absence of L-fucose (Fig. 3). No transcripts were found when strain DH5 $\alpha$  was grown under noninducing conditions. RNA from induced strain DH5 $\alpha$  grown in the presence of L-fucose contained three transcripts that hybridized to the *fucO* gene fragment. The major transcript was approximately 2.1 kb in length. Two smaller transcripts with lengths of 1.5 and 1.3 kb were also observed. As a control, RNA from *E. coli* TC4 (grown under noninducing conditions) was included. It is interesting to note that a single transcript of 1.5 kb was observed with strain TC4, although the relative amount of this transcript was significantly lower.

**Comparison of amino acid sequence with other alcohol dehydrogenases.** A comparison of the deduced amino acid sequence of the 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase from *E. coli* with those of alcohol dehydrogenase II from *Z. mobilis* (12) and ADH4 from *S. cerevisiae* (38) is presented in Fig. 4. The *E. coli* enzyme was 41.7% identical to the *Z. mobilis* enzyme and 39.5% identical to the *S. cerevisiae* enzyme. For comparison, the *Z. mobilis* and *S. cerevisiae* enzymes are 54.6% identical. No significant homologies were found when *E. coli* propanediol oxidoreductase was compared with the primary structures of other procaryotic (18, 20, 25) or other eucaryotic (21) alcohol dehydrogenases.

The *E. coli* and *Z. mobilis* enzymes are both 383 amino acids in length, whereas the *S. cerevisiae* enzyme is 382 amino acids long. There are several stretches of amino acids that are conserved in all three sequences. Only a few short gaps were necessary to obtain an excellent fit of the three proteins.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, the gene encoding the *E. coli* 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase was sequenced. The deduced amino acid sequence is remarkably similar to alcohol dehydrogenase II from *Z. mobilis* and ADH4 from *S. cerevisiae*. These three enzymes are dissimilar to any of the 16 previously characterized eucaryotic alcohol dehydrogenases (21). The eucaryotic enzymes fall into two different classes of proteins: short- and long-chain alcohol dehydrogenases. Each of these is a zinc metalloenzyme containing one or two atoms

1			*			*				*			*			*				*			*			*				*	92
GG	ATC Ile	CCC Pro	GCT Ala	ATT Ile	CAC His	TAC Tyr	ATG Met	ATT Ile	GCG Ala	GCG Ala	GCT Ala	GGC Gly	GGT Gly	AAT Asn	TCT Ser	ATT Ile	ССТ Рго	t GC Cys	GCG Ala	ССТ Рго	ТАТ Туг	GCG Ala	ACC Thr	TTT Phe	GGA Gly	ACA Thr	CGC Arg	GAA Glu	CTT Leu	TCT Ser	
93	GAA Glu	CAT His	* GTT Val	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	* GCT Ala	CTC Leu	AAA Lys	AAT Asn	* CGT Arg	AAG Lys	GCA Ala	* ACT Thr	TTG Leu	TTA Leu	* CAA Gln	CAT His	CAT His	GGG Gly	* CTT Leu	ATC Ile	GCT Ala	tGT Cys	GAG Glu	GTG Val	* AAT Asn	CTG Leu	GAA Glu	AAA Lys	* GCG Ala	182
183	TTA Leu	TGG Trp	* CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CAT His	* GAA Glu	GTT Val	GAA Glu	GTG Val	* CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CAA Gln	* CTT Leu	ТАС Туг	CTG Leu	* ACG Thr	ACC Thr	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	* ATT Ile	ACG Thr	GAC Asp	* CCG Pro	GTG Val	CCA Pro	* GTG Val	CTG Leu	AGC Ser	GAT Asp	* GAA Glu	272
273	GAG Glu	ATT Ile	* GCC Ala	GTA Val	GTG Val	* CTG Leu	GAG Glu	AAA Lys	TTC Phe	* AAA Lys	ACC Thr	ТАТ Туг	* GGG Gly	TTA Leu	CGA Arg	* ATT Ile	GAA Glu	GAG Glu	TAA End	* 111	CGT	AAA	* GCA	ACA	<u>AGG</u>	* <u>AG</u> A <u>fu</u> e	AGG <u>c</u> 0	ATG Met	ATG Met	* GCT Ala	362
363	AAC Asn	AGA Arg	* ATG Met	ATT Ile	CTG Leu	* AAC Asn	GAA Glu	ACG Thr	GCA Ala	* TGG Trp	TTT Phe	GGT Gly	* CGG Arg	GGT Gly	GCT Ala	* GTT Val	GGG Gly	GCT Ala	TTA Leu	* ACC Thr	GAT Asp	GAG Glu	* GTG Val	AAA Lys	CGC Arg	* CGT Arg	GGT Gly	TAT Tyr	CAG Gln	* AAG Lys	452
453	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	* ATC Ile	GTC Val	ACC Thr	* GAT Asp	AAA Lys	ACG Thr	CTG Leu	* GTG Val	CAA Gln	TGC Cys	* GGC Gly	GTG Val	GTG Val	* GCG Ala	AAA Lys	GTG Val	ACC Thr	* GAT Asp	AAG Lys	ATG Met	* GAT Asp	GCT Ala	GCA Ala	* GGG Gly	CTG Leu	GCA Ala	TGG Trp	* GCG Ala	542
543	ATT Ile	TAC Tyr	* GAC Asp	GGC Gly	GTA Val	* GTG Val	CCC Pro	AAC Asn	CCA Pro	* ACA Thr	ATT Ile	ACT Thr	* GTC Val	GTC Val	AAA Lys	* GAA Glu	GGG Gly	CTC Leu	GGT Gly	* GTA Val	TTC Phe	CAG Gln	* AAT Asn	AGC Ser	GGC Gly	* GCG Ala	GAT Asp	TAC Tyr	CTG Leu	* ATC Ile	632
633	GCT Ala	ATT Ile	* GGT Gly	GGT Gly	GGT Gly	* TCT Ser	CCA Pro	CAG Gln	GAT Asp	* ACT Thr	tgt Cys	AAA Lys	* GCG Ala	ATT Ile	GGC Gly	* ATT Ile	ATC Ile	АGC Ser	AAC Asn	* AAC Asn	CCG Pro	GAG Glu	* TTT Phe	GCC Ala	GAT Asp	* GTG Val	CGT Arg	AGC Ser	CTG Leu	* GAA Glu	722
723	GGG Gly	CTT Leu	* TCC Ser	CCG Pro	ACC Thr	* AAT Asn	AAA Lys	CCC Pro	AGT Ser	* GTA Val	CCG Pro	ATT Ile	* CTG Leu	GCA Ala	ATT Ile	* CCT Pro	ACC Thr	ACA Thr	GCA Ala	* GGT Gly	ACT Thr	GCG Ala	* GCA Ala	GAA Glu	GTG Val	* ACC Thr	ATT Ile	AAC Asn	TAC Tyr	★ GTG Val	812
813	ATC Ile	ACT Thr	+ GAC Asp	GAA Glu	GAG Glu	* AAA Lys	CGG Arg	CGC Arg	AAG Lys	* TTT Phe	GTT Val	TGC Cys	* GTT Val	GAT Asp	CCG Pro	* CAT His	GAT Asp	ATC Ile	CCG Pro	* CAG Gln	GTG Val	GCG Ala	* TTT Phe	ATT Ile	GAC Asp	* GCT Ala	GAC Asp	ATG Met	ATG Met	* GAT Asp	902
903	GGT Gly	ATG Met	* ССТ Рго	CCA Pro	GCG Ala	* CTG Leu	AAA Lys	GCT Ala	GCG Ala	* ACG Thr	GGT Gly	GTC Val	* GAT Asp	GCG Ala	CTC Leu	* ACT Thr	CAT His	GCT Ala	ATT Ile	* GAG Glu	GGG Gly	TAT Tyr	* ATT Ile	ACC Thr	CGT Arg	* GGC Gly	GCG Ala	TGG Trp	GCG Ala	* CTA Leu	992
993	ACC Thr	GAT Asp	* GCA Ala	CTG Leu	CAC His	* ATT Ile	AAA Lys	GCG Ala	ATT Ile	* GAA Glu	ATC Ile	ATT Ile	+ GCT Ala	GGG Gly	GCG Ala	* CTG Leu	CGA Arg	GGA Gly	TCG Ser	* GTT Val	GCT Ala	GGT Gly	* GAT Asp	AAG Lys	GAT Asp	* GCC Ala	GGA Gly	GAA Glu	GAA Glu	* ATG Met	1082
1083	GCG Ala	CTC Leu	* GGG Gly	CAG Gln	TAT Tyr	* GTT Val	GCG Ala	GGT Gly	ATG Met	★ GGC Gly	TTC Phe	TCG Ser	* AAT Asn	GTT Val	GGG Gly	* TTA Leu	GGG Gly	TTG Leu	GTG Val	* CAT His	GGT Gly	ATG Met	* GCG Ala	CAT His	CCA Pro	* CTG Leu	GGC Gly	GCG Ala	TTT Phe	* TAT Tyr	1172
1173	AAC Asn	ACT Thr	* CCA Pro	CAC His	GGT Gly	* GTT Val	GCG Ala	AAC Asn	GCC Ala	* ATC Ile	CTG Leu	TTA Leu	* CCG Pro	CAT His	GTC Val	* ATG Met	CGT Arg	TAT Tyr	AAC Asn	★ GCT Ala	GAC Asp	TTT Phe	* ACC Thr	GGT Gly	GAG Glu	* AAG Lys	TAC Tyr	CGC Arg	GAT Asp	* ATC Ile	1262
1263	GCG Ala	CGC Arg	* GTT Val	ATG Met	GGC Gly	* GTG Val	AAA Lys	GTG Val	GAA Glu	* GGT Gly	ATG Met	AGC Ser	* CTG Leu	GAA Glu	GAG Glu	* GCG Ala	CGT Arg	AAT Asn	GCC Ala	★ GCT Ala	GTT Val	GAA Glu	* GCG Ala	GTG Val	TTT Phe	* GCT Ala	CTC Leu	AAC Asn	CGT Arg	* GAT Asp	1352
1353	GTC Val	GGT Gly	* ATT Ile	CCG Pro	CCA Pro	* CAT His	TTG Leu	CGT Arg	GAT Asp	* GTT Val	GGT Gly	GTA Val	* CGC Arg	AAG Lys	GAA Glu	* GAC Asp	ATT Ile	CCG Pro	GCA Ala	* CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CAG Gln	* GCG Ala	GCA Ala	CTG Leu	* GAT Asp	GAT Asp	GTT Val	tgt Cys	* ACC Thr	1442
1443	GGT Gly	GGC Gly	* AAC Asn	CCG Pro	CGT Arg	* GAA Glu	GCA Ala	ACG Thr	CTT Leu	* GAG Glu	GAT Asp	ATT Ile	* GTA Val	GAG Glu	CTT Leu	* TAC Tyr	CAT His	ACC Thr	GCC Ala	* TGG Trp	TAA End	ATG	* CGC	TGA	TGT	* Gat	AAT	GCC	GGA	* TAC	1532
1533	ACG	TTT	* GCG	GCC	GTT	* Agt	CGC	GCC	GTT	* ACC	GTA	CCA	* ACC	GCA	ATT	* GCT	GTA	AAT	TGC	* CGT	CGA	TAT	* GCA	AAT	CGG	* 111	GTA	AGC	GGG	* CAA	1622
1623	TAT	CGC	* GCA	CAG	AAA	* CGC	CAT	стс	TTT	* Atg	GGT	TTC	* TAA	TTT	TTT	* GTC	GCC	ACT	TTT	* CGG	CAA	CCG	* CA								1690

FIG. 2. Nucleotide sequence and translation of fucO from E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ . The ribosome-binding site is underlined. The beginning of the fucO reading frame is indicated. Translation of a reading frame that begins upstream of the sequenced region is also given.

of zinc per protein subunit. Alcohol dehydrogenase II from Z. mobilis is unusual, requiring iron rather than zinc for activity (27). The data of Sridhara et al. (36) indicated that 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase from E. coli is iron activated. S. cerevisiae ADH4 has recently been purified and shown to contain zinc ions, not ferrous ions (13). However, based on sequence data, Williamson and Paquin (38) have suggested that ADH4 and alcohol dehydrogenase II from Z. mobilis define a new family of alcohol dehydrogenases. The finding that 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase from E. coli shares approximately 40% amino acid identity with these two enzymes indicates that the E. coli enzyme also belongs in this family.

The primary alcohol dehydrogenase of E. coli K-12 con-

tains 891 amino acids, with a molecular weight of 96,000 (D. P. Clark, personal communication). The 2.15-kb DNA insert in pLOI341 is not large enough to code for a protein of this size. Another *E. coli* enzyme with significant ability to oxidize ethanol is 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase, which is specified by the *fucO* gene. The fit of the restriction map of the *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  genomic DNA inserted in pLOI340 to the published maps of the *fuc* region (7) and the 62.5-min region of the genome (22) suggested that the cloned gene on pLOI340 might be *fucO*. Comparison of the substrate range published for 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase (36) with the enzyme activity expressed by strains carrying pLOI340 confirmed that the gene cloned on pLOI340 is indeed *fucO*.

Analysis of transcription of the fucO gene provided some



FIG. 3. Northern blot analysis of fucO transcription. RNA was prepared from cultures of *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  grown in minimal medium in the absence (a) or presence (b) of L-fucose and a culture of *E. coli* TC4 grown in Luria broth (c). RNA samples were separated on a formaldehyde-1.0% agarose gel and transferred to a nylon filter membrane. The probe was a fragment of fucO (described in the legend to Fig. 1 and in the text). Each lane contains 5 µg of RNA.

unexpected results. If termination of fucO transcription occurred at the stem-loop structure 128 bp downstream from the *fucO* reading frame, a transcript of under 1.3 kb would be sufficient to encode the gene. However, the length of the major transcript from *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  was 2.1 kb (Fig. 3). This is in keeping with the primer extension result, which suggested that the *fucO* promoter lies over 700 bp upstream of the *fucO* reading frame. This places the *fucO* promoter within or beyond the *fucA* gene. In fact, construction of *lacZ* fusions to the *fucO* promoter resulted in decreased expression of the neighboring *fucA* gene (9). The *fucO-lacZ* fusion joints were subsequently shown to map within the *fucA* 

	1	10	20	3Ø	40	50	69
Adh2	MASST-F	YIPFVNEMG	EGSLEKAIK	DLNGSGFKNA	LIVSDAFMNK	SGVVKQVADI	LLKAQG
Fuc0	MMANR-M	ILNETAWFG	RGAVGALTDI	EVKRRGYQKA	LIVTOKTLVQ	CGVVAKVTDI	KMDAAG
<b>V 3 4</b> 5	:	::		: : ::		: :	
IAGN	MSSVTGP	TIPPISFFG	EGALEETAD	LINNKUIKKA	LIVIDPGIAA	IGLSGRVQK	ILLERG
	61	70	8Ø	90	100	110	120
Adh2	INSAVYD	GVMPNPTVT	AVLEGLKIL	KDNNSDFVIS	LGGGSPHDCA	KAIALVATNO	3GEV
Fuc0	LAWAIYD	GVVPNPTIT	VVKEGLGVF	NSGADYLIA	IGGGSPQDTC	KAIGIISNNI	PEFADV
	: ::::	:::::	: ::::		::::: :	::: :	CRI
IAGN	LNVAIID	KTQPNPNIA	NVTAGEKVEI	LENSEIVVS	IGGGSAHDNA	KAIALLAIN	J==GE1
1	21	130	140	150	160	170	180
Adh2	KDYEGID	KSKKPALPL	MSINTTAGT/	ASEMTRFCII	TDEVRHVKMA	IVDRHVTPM	SVNDP
Fuc0	RSLEGLS	PTNKPSVPI	LAIPTTAGT	AEVTINYVI	TDEEKRRKFV	CVDPHDIPO	VAFIDA
~ ~ ~	::	: :					
YAdn	GDYEGVN	USKKAALPL	FAINTTAGT/	ASEMTRETII	SNEEKKIKMA	TIDNNVTPA	AVNDP
1	81	190	200	210	220	230	240
Adh 2	LLMVGMP	KGLTAATGM	DALTHAFEA)	SSTAATPIT	DACALKAASI	MIKNLKTACI	III
Fuc0	DMMDGMP	PALKAATGV	DALTHAIEG	ITRGAWALT	DALHIKAIEI	IAGALRGSV	AGDKD-
V 3 3 1	: : :					: :	
IAGN	STAFGLP	PALTAATGL	DALINCIEA	VSIASNPII	DACALKGIDL	INESLVAAT	UGRDR
2	41	250	260	270	280	290	300
Adh 2	PAREAMA	YAQFLAGMA	FNNASLGYVH : : :: ::	AMAHQLGGY	YNLPHGVCNA	VLLPHVLAY	NASVVA
Fuc0	-AGEEMA	LGQYVAGMG	FSNVGLGLV	IGMAHPLGAF	YNTPHGVANA	ILLPHVMRY	ADFTG
vadh	KARTOMC	: ::: VAEVLAGNA	FNNASLGVVI		I IIII II	11111 VI.I.PHV01	: RANMOC
				2000			
3	01 CRIKDVC	310 Vanci di ani	320 CONFORTATION	330 100 VPDI 00	340 SIGIDANI TE	350 CAKKEDVE	360
Aunz	:	::	: :		::: :	1 111 1	:: :
Fuc0	EKYRDIA	RVMGVKVEG	MSLEEARNAA	VEAVFALNE	DVGIPPHLRD	VGVRKEDIP	LAQAA
YAdh	PKAKK	RLGEIALHC	: GASQEDPEET	IKALHVLNR	TMNIPRNLKD	LGVKTEDFD	LAEHA
3	51	370	380				

Adh2 LKDACALTNPRQGDQKEVEELFLSAF--

Fuco LDDVCTGGNPREATLEDIVELYHTAW--

YAdh MHDACHLTNPVQFTKEQVVAIIKKAYEY

FIG. 4. Comparison of amino acid identity of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase (FucO) with alcohol dehydrogenase II from Z. *mobilis* (Adh2) and ADH4 from S. *cerevisiae* (YAdh).

gene, on the basis of Southern blot experiments (7). A hypothesis that takes these facts into account is presented below. The 1.5- and 1.3-kb *fucO* transcripts in Fig. 3 may be specific products of mRNA processing or independent transcripts that were initiated from promoters not revealed by primer extension mapping.

Placement of the *fucO* sequence on the restriction map of the fuc region confirmed the finding that the fucO gene is transcribed counterclockwise on the E. coli genome (7). Previous data suggested that the fucO and fucA genes are transcribed in different directions and constitute different operons (7). However, examination of the 353 bases 5' to the fucO gene did not reveal a reading frame that would originate in this region and be transcribed in the clockwise direction. Instead, there is a reading frame that originates upstream of the sequenced fragment and terminates just 24 bp 5' to fucO. An alternative hypothesis based on the Northern blot analysis, which indicated a transcript that is long enough to encode both genes, is that the two genes form an operon and are transcribed counterclockwise in the order fucAO. Further sequence data for the remainder of the fucA region and characterization of its promoter are necessary to clarify this point.

Wild-type *E. coli* can give rise to mutants that have acquired the ability to utilize 1,2-propanediol as a sole carbon and energy source. It is interesting to speculate that the nature of the primary mutation resulting in increased levels of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase could be mediated by a change of adenine-205 to a cystosine (Fig. 2). The region between bp 189 and 206 contains a near-consensus sigma 60 promoter, which would be brought to perfect identity if the aforementioned change were to occur. This hypothesis can be tested by using site-directed mutagenesis.

Acquisition of the ability to grow on 1,2-propanediol by E. coli serves as a model system for the study of evolution of metabolic pathways (40). Comparison of wild-type and mutant 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase revealed that not only is increased fucO expression acquired during mutation to 1,2propanediol utilization, but also the mutant enzyme itself differs with respect to  $K_m$ , maximum rate of catalysis, energy of activation, thermal stability, pH optimum, and substrate specificity (5). The difference in substrate range between the enzyme from E. coli and those from Z. mobilis (12) and S. cerevisiae (13) indicates that the E. coli enzyme evolved in a manner somewhat different than that of the other two enzymes. A study of the differences at the primary amino acid sequence level that resulted in such altered substrate specificity is warranted. This presents a molecular approach from which the evolution of metabolic pathways can be studied. The examination of 1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase and the other members of this new alcohol dehydrogenase family will be of particular interest from the standpoint of convergent evolution of this family of enzymes to catalysis of the same reaction that is carried out by the other two families of alcohol dehydrogenases.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Special thanks are extended to D. P. Clark for suggesting that our cloned E. *coli* alcohol dehydrogenase might in fact be propanediol oxidoreductase. We also thank K. T. Shanmugam for helpful discussions during the course of this study.

This work was supported by grants from the Office of Basic Energy Science, U.S. Department of Energy (DE-FG05-86 ER13575), and the Alcohol Fuels Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture (86-CRCR-1-2134).

## **ADDENDUM IN PROOF**

A more extensive portion of the *fucAO* region of the *E*. *coli* genome was recently sequenced by Y.-M. Chen, Z. Lu, and E. C. C. Lin (submitted for publication). Our sequences and conclusions regarding gene expression are in substantial agreement.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Bachmann, B. 1983. Linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K-12, edition 7. Microbiol. Rev. 47:180–230.
- Backman, K., Y.-M. Chen, and B. Magasanik. 1981. Physical and genetic characterization of the *glnA-glnG* region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:3743–3747.
- 3. Bartkus, J. M., and R. P. Mortlock. 1986. Isolation of a mutation resulting in constitutive synthesis of L-fucose catabolic enzymes. J. Bacteriol. 140:710–714.
- 4. Birnboim, H. C., and J. Doly. 1979. A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmid DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 7:1513–1520.
- 5. Boronat, A., and J. Aguilar. 1981. Experimental evolution of propanediol oxidoreductase in *Escherichia coli*: comparative analysis of the wild-type and mutant enzymes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 672:98–107.
- 6. Byun, M. O.-K., J. B. Kaper, and L. O. Ingram. 1986. Construction of a new vector for the expression of foreign genes in *Zymomonas mobilis*. J. Ind. Microbiol. 1:9–15.
- 7. Chen, Y.-M., Y. Ahu, and E. C. C. Lin. 1987. The organization of the *fuc* regulon specifying L-fucose dissimilation in *Escherichia coli* K12 as determined by gene cloning. Mol. Gen. Genet. 210:331-337.
- Chen, Y.-M., and E. C. C. Lin. 1984. Post-transcriptional control of L-1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase in the L-fucose pathway of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 157:341–344.
- Chen, Y.-M., E. C. C. Lin, J. Ros, and J. Aguilar. 1983. Use of operon fusions to examine the regulation of the L-1,2-propanediol oxidoreductase gene of the fucose system in *Escherichia coli* K12. J. Gen. Microbiol. 129:3355–3362.
- Cocks, G. T., J. Aguilar, and E. C. C. Lin. 1974. Evolution of L-1,2-propanediol catabolism in *Escherichia coli* by recruitment of enzymes for L-fucose and L-lactate metabolism. J. Bacteriol. 118:83–88.
- Conway, T., Y. A. Osman, J. I. Konnan, E. M. Hoffman, and L. O. Ingram. 1987. Promoter and nucleotide sequences of the *Zymomonas mobilis* pyruvate decarboxylase. J. Bacteriol. 169: 949–954.
- Conway, T., G. W. Sewell, Y. A. Osman, and L. O. Ingram. 1987. Cloning and sequencing of the alcohol dehydrogenase II gene from *Zymomonas mobilis*. J. Bacteriol. 169:2591–2597.
- 13. Drewke, C., and M. Ciriacy. 1988. Overexpression, purification and properties of alcohol dehydrogenase IV from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **950**:54–60.
- Ghalambor, M. A., and E. C. Heath. 1962. The metabolism of L-fucose. II. The enzymatic cleavage of L-fuculose-1-phosphate. J. Biol. Chem. 237:2427-2433.
- Green, M., and S. S. Cohen. 1956. The enzymatic conversion of L-fucose to L-fuculose. J. Biol. Chem. 219:557–568.
- Hacking, A. J., J. Aguilar, and E. C. C. Lin. 1978. Evolution of propanediol utilization in *Escherichia coli*: mutant with improved substrate-scavenging power. J. Bacteriol. 136:522–530.
- 17. Hacking, A. J., and E. C. C. Lin. 1976. Disruption of the fucose pathway as a consequence of genetic adaptation to propanediol as a carbon source in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 126: 1166-1172.
- Harms, N., G. E. DeVries, K. Maurer, J. Hoogendjik, and A. H. Stouthamer. 1987. Isolation and nucleotide sequence of the methanol dehydrogenase structural gene from *Paracoccus denitrificans*. J. Bacteriol. 169:3969–3975.
- Heath, C. C., and M. A. Ghalambor. 1962. The metabolism of L-fucose. I. The purification and properties of L-fuculose kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 237:2423-2426.

- Jendrossek, D., A. Steinbuchel, and H. G. Schlegel. 1988. Alcohol dehydrogenase gene from *Alcaligenes eutrophus*: subcloning, heterologous expression in *Escherichia coli*, sequencing, and location of Tn5 insertions. J. Bacteriol. 170:5248–5256.
- 21. Jornvall, H., B. Persson, and J. Jeffrey. 1987. Characteristics of alcohol/polyol dehydrogenases: the zinc-containing long-chain alcohol dehydrogenases. Eur. J. Biochem. 167:195–201.
- 22. Kohara, Y., K. Akiyama, and K. Isono. 1987. The physical map of the whole *E. coli* chromosome: application of a new strategy for rapid analysis and sorting of a large genomic library. Cell **50**:495–508.
- 23. Layne, E. 1957. Spectrophotometric and turbidometric methods for measuring proteins. Methods Enzymol. 3:447-454.
- 24. Luria, S. E., and M. Delbruck. 1943. Mutations of bacteria from virus sensitivity to virus resistance. Genetics 28:491-511.
- Machlin, S. M., and R. S. Hanson. 1988. Nucleotide sequence and transcriptional start site of the *Methylobacterium organophilum* XX methanol dehydrogenase structural gene. J. Bacteriol. 170:4739–4747.
- 26. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 27. Neale, A. D., R. K. Scopes, J. M. Kelly, and R. E. H. Wettenhall. 1986. The two alcohol dehydrogenases of *Zymomonas mobilis*: purification by differential dye ligand chromatography, molecular characterization and physiological role. Eur. J. Biochem. 154:119–124.
- Neidhardt, F. C., J. L. Ingraham, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.). 1987. *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*: cellular and molecular biology. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Osman, Y. A., T. Conway, S. J. Bonetti, and L. O. Ingram. 1987. Glycolytic flux in *Zymomonas mobilis*: enzyme and metabolite levels during batch fermentation. J. Bacteriol. 169:3726–3736.
- Poustka, A., H.-R. Rackwitz, A.-M. Frischauf, B. Hohn, and H. Lehrach. 1984. Selective isolation of cosmid clones by homologous recombination in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:4129–4133.
- 31. Pustell, J., and F. C. Kafatos. 1984. A convenient and adaptable package of computer programs for DNA and protein sequence management, analysis, and homology determination. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:643–655.
- 32. Rosenberg, M., and D. Court. 1979. Regulatory sequences involved in the promotion and termination of RNA transcription. Annu. Rev. Genet. 13:319–353.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 34. Silhavy, T. J., M. L. Berman, and L. W. Enquist. 1984. Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sridhara, S., and T. T. Wu. 1969. Purification and properties of lactaldehyde dehydrogenase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 244:5233-5238.
- 36. Sridhara, S., T. T. Wu, T. M. Chused, and E. C. C. Lin. 1969. Ferrous-activated nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-linked dehydrogenase from a mutant of *Escherichia coli* capable of growth on 1,2-propanediol. J. Bacteriol. 98:87–95.
- Wilbur, W. J., and D. J. Lipman. 1983. Rapid similarity searches of nucleic acid and protein data banks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:726-730.
- Williamson, V. M., and C. E. Paquin. 1987. Homology of Saccharomyces cerevisiae ADH4 to an iron-activated alcohol dehydrogenase from Zymomonas mobilis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 209:374–381.
- Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequence of the M13mp18 and M13mp19 vectors. Gene 33: 103-119.
- Zhu, Y., and E. C. C. Lin. 1986. An evolvant of *Escherichia coli* that employs the L-fucose pathway also for growth on Lgalactose and D-arabinose. J. Mol. Evol. 23:259–266.