

TcPco<sub>2</sub> measurements may help in deciding the timing of arterial sampling and may therefore considerably reduce the frequency of painful invasive arterial sampling.

**M Cox, R Kemp, S Anwar, V Aithey, T Aung, E D Moloney**

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Northern General Hospital, Sheffield, UK

Correspondence to: Dr E D Moloney, Chest Clinic, Northern General Hospital, Sheffield S5 7AU, UK; Edward.Moloney@sth.nhs.uk

doi: 10.1136/thx.2005.051664

Competing interests: none declared.

## References

- National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions.** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. National clinical guideline on management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults in primary and secondary care. *Thorax* 2004;**59**(Suppl 1):1–232.
- British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee.** Non-invasive ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Thorax* 2002;**57**:192–211.
- Dar K, Williams T, Aitken R, et al.** Arterial versus capillary sampling for analysing blood gas pressures. *BMJ* 1995;**310**:24–5.
- Cuvelier A, Grigoriu B, Molano LC, et al.** Limitations of transcutaneous carbon dioxide measurements for assessing long-term mechanical ventilation. *Chest* 2005;**127**:1744–8.
- Rosner V, Hannhart B, Chabot F, et al.** Validity of transcutaneous oxygen/carbon dioxide pressure measurement in the monitoring of mechanical ventilation in stable chronic respiratory failure. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**13**:1044–7.
- Janssens JP, Howarth-Frey C, Chevrolet JC, et al.** Transcutaneous PCO<sub>2</sub> to monitor noninvasive mechanical ventilation in adults. *Chest* 1998;**113**:768–73.
- Bland M, Altman DG.** Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986;**1**:307–10.

## Per lesion analysis is misleading

We read with interest the randomised controlled trial by Häußinger and co-workers<sup>1</sup> which compared autofluorescence bronchoscopy (AFB) plus white light bronchoscopy (WLB) with WLB alone for detecting precancerous lesions. The authors stratified their patients into four different risk groups before randomisation. They also excluded from analysis biopsy samples taken from or next to visible tumours. Their major findings suggested that WLB plus AFB was significantly superior to WLB alone for detecting precancerous lesions.

While we appreciate the clinical significance of their major findings, we found the per lesion analysis adopted in the paper misleading for evaluating the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values of AFB plus WLB. They obtained biopsy tissue from all suspicious areas and at least two areas of non-suspicious appearance in each subject.<sup>1</sup> Thus, each study subject contributed an arbitrary number of biopsy samples which might also be dependent on each other when they were taken from the same subject. Other investigators also adopted a similar approach in a loose manner.<sup>2–4</sup> Apart from causing confusion, a per lesion analysis does not inform clinical decision. It may also partly explain the high variability of sensitivity and specificity in different studies.<sup>1</sup>

Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values are clinically relevant because they inform us how well a test will perform in certain clinical contexts. The preferred approach for ascertaining these parameters is therefore a per subject analysis in which each subject is labelled as either test positive or test negative and the test status is matched against the representative histological result of the subject's biopsy. Study subjects should also be representative of those encountered in a typical clinical scenario.

To illustrate the potential flaw in a per lesion analysis, let us vary the number of biopsy samples taken arbitrarily from non-suspicious sites in both arms (WLB plus AFB arm versus WLB alone) of the quoted study<sup>1</sup> without changing negative predictive values and the number of biopsy samples from suspicious sites (table 1). When the number of non-suspicious biopsy samples is doubled or tripled, the sensitivity, specificity and prevalence in each arm change accordingly. The sensitivity of WLB plus AFB relative to that of WLB alone also changes from 1.42 (95% CI 0.94 to 2.15) to 1.72 (95% CI 1.04 to 2.83) and 1.94 (95% CI 1.13 to 3.33), respectively. Likewise, the prevalence of pre-invasive lesions detected by WLB plus AFB relative to that detected by WLB alone changes from 1.61 (95% CI 0.93 to 2.79) to 1.37 (95% CI 0.84 to 2.22) and 1.23 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.90), respectively. Thus, a per lesion approach could generate different sets of arbitrary values according to an arbitrary change in the number of biopsy samples taken from non-suspicious areas.

**K-C Chang, C-C Leung, C-M Tam**

TB and Chest Service, Centre for Health Protection, Department of Health, Hong Kong

Correspondence to: Dr K-C Chang, Yaumatei Chest Clinic, Yaumatei Jockey Club Polyclinic, 145 Battery Street, Kowloon, Hong Kong; ymtcc@dh.gov.hk

Dr Häußinger was asked to comment but no reply had been received by the time this issue of *Thorax* went to press.

Funding: none.

Competing interests: none.

## References

- Häußinger K, Becker H, Stanzel F, et al.** Autofluorescence bronchoscopy with white light bronchoscopy compared with white light

bronchoscopy alone for the detection of precancerous lesions: a European randomised controlled multicentre trial. *Thorax* 2005;**60**:496–503.

- Lam S, Kennedy T, Unger M, et al.** Localization of bronchial intraepithelial neoplastic lesions by fluorescence bronchoscopy. *Chest* 1998;**113**:696–702.
- Kurie JM, Lee JS, Morice RC, et al.** Autofluorescence bronchoscopy in the detection of squamous metaplasia and dysplasia in current and former smokers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998;**90**:991–5.
- Moro-Sibilot D, Jeanmart M, Lantuejoul S, et al.** Cigarette smoking, preinvasive bronchial lesions, and autofluorescence bronchoscopy. *Chest* 2002;**122**:1902–8.

## A&E department: a missed opportunity for diagnosis of TB?

The World Health Organization declared tuberculosis (TB) to be a global emergency in 1993. Since then there has been a resurgence of TB in England and Wales, particularly in London.<sup>1,2</sup> Early diagnosis, particularly of infectious cases, is a major factor in the success of control programmes.<sup>3</sup> In the UK, TB continues to disproportionately affect vulnerable groups—including the homeless, illicit drug users, alcoholics, and immigrants recently arrived from high prevalence countries. These groups frequently find it difficult to access appropriate health care and often rely on Accident and Emergency (A&E) departments for health-care provision. We examined how frequently patients with TB attended the local A&E department before their diagnosis and whether their A&E attendances led to a diagnosis of TB being made.

From January 2001 to March 2002 there were 130 notifications of TB at University College London Hospitals. For each patient with TB the A&E department records were examined for the 6 month period before the date of diagnosis. Forty one (31%) of the 130 patients attended the A&E department on 51 occasions during the 6 months prior to diagnosis. Thirty six of the 41 (88%) had no access to a general practitioner; of the remainder, the majority self-referred to A&E. The demographic characteristics of patients attending A&E and the 130 patients were similar. Of A&E attenders, 17 were black African, 13 were Asian, and 11 were white. Eighteen had underlying risk factors

**Table 1** Effects of varying the number of samples from non-suspicious areas in a per lesion analysis

Diagnostic test	Biopsy results		Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Prevalence (%)
	Positive	Negative			
WLB+AFB					
Test positive	28*	623*			
Test negative					
Original	6*	874*	82.3*	58.4*	2.2*
2 × Original	6 × 2	874 × 2	70.0	73.7	1.7
3 × Original	6 × 3	874 × 3	60.9	80.8	1.4
WLB alone					
Test positive	11*	514*			
Test negative					
Original	8*	843*	57.9*	62.1*	1.4*
2 × Original	8 × 2	843 × 2	40.7	76.6	1.2
3 × Original	8 × 3	843 × 3	31.4	83.1	1.1

WLB, white light bronchoscopy; AFB, autofluorescence bronchoscopy.

\*Figures as reported in the study by Häußinger et al.[1]