Altered Osmoregulation of *ompF* in Integration Host Factor Mutants of *Escherichia coli*

PING TSUI, VICTOR HELU, AND MARTIN FREUNDLICH*

Department of Biochemistry, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794-5215

Received 20 May 1988/Accepted 19 July 1988

Osmoregulation of the porin protein OmpF was strongly altered in integration host factor (IHF) mutants. These mutants produced approximately 15-fold more OmpF than did the parent strain when grown in media of intermediate osmolarity. At high osmolarity IHF mutants continued to produce considerable amounts of OmpF, although this protein was undetectable in the parent grown under these conditions. Experiments with an *ompF-lacZ* chromosomal fusion strain suggested that these changes in osmoregulation in large part involve alterations in transcriptional activity of the *ompF* promoter. These results add to the growing list of genes whose expression is modified in IHF mutants.

Integration host factor (IHF) is a protein composed of two dissimilar subunits encoded by the himA and hip (himD) genes of Escherichia coli (31). IHF is a DNA-binding protein that is involved in a variety of site-specific recombination events, including integration of bacteriophages λ (23, 30) and ϕ 80 (21), transposition by IS1 (10) and Tn10 (28), and phase variation in E. coli (3, 5). Other studies have shown that IHF also participates in the expression of a number of phage (6, 12, 15, 20, 33) and bacterial genes (3, 7, 8, 9, 24). In many of these cases IHF function has been correlated with a 13-basepair DNA binding consensus sequence (2, 21) located in proximity to the proposed site of IHF action (10, 11, 20-22). We have recently identified this consensus sequence in many operons in E. coli K-12, including the promoter regions of ompB, ompC, ompF, and micF (P. Tsui and M. Freundlich, unpublished observations; see Table 3). These operons are necessary for the expression and osmoregulation of the major outer membrane proteins OmpF and OmpC (13, 14, 19, 26, 37). The location of these sequences suggested to us that IHF might play a role in the expression of these proteins. In the present study we examined the effect of mutations in IHF on the formation of the major outer membrane proteins. The results show that osmoregulation of OmpF is strongly altered in the mutants and that the alteration, at least in part, involves changes in transcription at the ompF promoter.

The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. We compared the levels of the major outer membrane proteins in three different IHF mutants and their isogenic parent. The bacteria were grown in nutrient broth (low osmolarity) supplemented with various concentrations of sucrose, and outer membranes were prepared and analyzed as described by Ramakrishnan et al. (36). Very little differences were found between the mutants and parent in the levels of the major outer membrane proteins when the strains were grown in medium of low osmolarity (Fig. 1, lanes a to d). However, a marked difference in OmpF levels was observed in the mutants when they were grown in nutrient broth supplemented with 5 and 10% sucrose. Under these conditions the amount of OmpF in the parent, as has been shown previously (13, 19, 37), was sharply reduced (Fig. 1, lanes a, e, and i). This reduction was much less severe in the mutants. There was considerably more OmpF made by the mutants

TABLE 1. Strains used

Strain	Relevant genotype	Source or reference		
N99	Wild type	7		
K634	N99 himA42	7		
K5185	N99 $\Delta himA82$	7		
K5248	N99 himD157	7		
MH513	$\Delta lac \phi(ompF-lacZ^+)$	13		
MF2750 MH513 ΔhimA82		This study		

during growth with 5 and 10% sucrose (Fig. 1). This difference in OmpF synthesis was even more apparent when the cells were grown in nutrient broth supplemented with 15 or 20% sucrose. Under these conditions, OmpF was essentially undetectable in wild-type E. coli K-12 (Fig. 2; 19, 35), but it was still made in appreciable amounts in the mutant. The data shown in Fig. 2 was quantified with a Shimadzu densitometer. The results (Table 2) clearly demonstrate that the IHF mutant is strongly altered in osmoregulation of OmpF. The addition of 15% sucrose to nutrient broth reduced OmpF production 115-fold in the parent and only 4-fold in the mutant. No OmpF was detectable in the parent during growth in medium with 20% sucrose. Under these conditions the mutant produced approximately as much OmpF as was found in the parent grown with 5% sucrose (Table 2). The levels of the other major outer membrane proteins, OmpC and OmpA, were altered to a small extent in



FIG. 1. Osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins in IHF mutants. One milliliter of a 16-h nutrient broth culture was added to 25 ml of fresh medium, and growth was continued for 5 h at 37° C. The cells were centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ and suspended in 4 ml of sodium phosphate (10 mM, pH 7.0). The outer membranes were prepared as described by Ramakrishnan et al. (36) and analyzed by 8 M urea-sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (27). Strains N99 (lanes a, e, and i), K5185 (lanes b, f, and j), K634 (lanes c, g, and k), and K5248 (lanes d, h, and l) were grown in nutrient broth supplemented with the following amounts of sucrose: 0%, lanes a to d; 5%, lanes e to h; 10%, lanes i to l.

^{*} Corresponding author.



FIG. 2. Level of outer membrane proteins in an IHF mutant grown in medium of high osmolarity. Strains N99 (lanes a to e) and K634 (lanes f to j) were grown in nutrient broth supplemented with the following amounts of sucrose: 0%, lanes a and f; 5%, lanes b and g; 10%, lanes c and h; 15%, lanes d and i; 20%, lanes e and j. For other conditions see the legend to Fig. 1.

the mutant. At high osmolarity the amount of OmpC in the mutant was somewhat increased compared with that in the parent, whereas the amount of OmpA was slightly reduced (Table 2).

Osmoregulation of OmpF and OmpC is thought to occur primarily at the level of transcription (13, 14). We used strain MH513, which has a chromosomal fusion between the lacZstructural gene and the ompF promoter, to investigate whether changes in osmoregulation of OmpF in IHF mutants involves changes in transcription. We transduced a himA mutation into this strain, and β -galactosidase was measured after growth of the cells in nutrient broth supplemented with various concentrations of sucrose. The data shown in Fig. 3 show a strong reduction in β -galactosidase activity in the parent strain grown in media with increasing amounts of sucrose. In contrast, under these conditions there was only a small decrease in β -galactosidase activity in the IHF mutant. In addition, β-galactosidase levels under all conditions were higher in the mutant. This ranged from about 1.6-fold in cells grown without sucrose to about 6-fold when the cultures were grown in medium supplemented with 20% sucrose (Fig. 3). These results suggest that altered osmoregulation of OmpF in IHF mutants is due, at least in part, to a strong reduction in the negative osmoregulation of ompF transcription normally seen in wild-type cells (Fig. 3; 13, 14).

Osmoregulation of ompF is a complex process that is thought to mainly involve transcriptional control mediated by OmpR and EnvZ, the protein products of the ompBoperon (13, 14, 29). OmpR, in addition to its role in osmoregulation, is a positive activator of ompF (14, 19). Recent evidence indicates that OmpR binds to the ompF promoter (18) in a region between -105 and -60 (32). This region, as well as other upstream and downstream sequences, have been reported to be necessary for ompF osmoregulation mediated by OmpR (16, 34). In addition, evidence suggests that this regulation also involves translational control by micF RNA (1, 26) and OmpR-independent osmoregulation (16, 36). Our results suggest that IHF mutants are deficient in



FIG. 3. Effect of an IHF mutation on β -galactosidase activity in an *ompF-lacZ* fusion strain. Cells were grown in nutrient broth containing various amounts of sucrose. Samples were removed during mid-exponential growth, and β -galactosidase activity was measured as described by Miller (25). Symbols: \bullet , strain MF2750 (IHF⁻); O, strain MH513 (IHF⁺). The data are averages of three separate experiments.

a major transcriptional component of ompF osmoregulation. One explanation for these results is that IHF mutants may have quantitative or qualitative alterations in OmpR or changes in the ability of OmpR to interact with the ompFpromoter. In this regard, there is an IHF-binding consensus sequence within the ompB promoter region and within the proposed OmpR-binding site (32) in ompF (Table 3). Therefore, binding of IHF to these sites could directly effect ompRexpression and/or the interaction of OmpR with the ompFpromoter. Alternatively, IHF may affect osmoregulation indirectly by influencing the expression of a factor that in turn affects a component of this regulation.

Although osmoregulation of OmpF was strongly reduced in the IHF mutants, there was still an appreciable decrease in the amount of this protein when these strains were grown in media of high osmolarity (Fig. 1 and 2). Since *ompF* transcription does not appear to have been greatly reduced under these conditions in the mutants (Fig. 3), this decrease may have been due to a mechanism occurring after transcription initiation. This may reflect the normal functioning in the mutants of additional mechanisms that participate in *ompF* osmoregulation at the level of transcription, translation, secretion, or membrane assembly. For example, IHF mutants may be deficient in the major mechanism of osmoreg-

TABLE 2. Effect of osmolarity on formation of outer membrane proteins in a himA mutant

Level (%)" of protein in % sucrose:										
	0		5		10		15		20	
N99	K634	N99	K634	N99	K634	N99	K634	N99	K634	
12.5	17.6	33.6	37.1	33.2	47.5	26.7	51.0	27.7	54.8	
47.5	53.8	5.3	33.4	1.1	18.9	0.4	12.9	0	6.9	
40.0	28.6	61.1	29.5	65.7	33.6	72.9	36.1	72.3	38.3	
	N99 12.5 47.5 40.0 3.8	0 N99 K634 12.5 17.6 47.5 53.8 40.0 28.6 3.8 3.1	0 5 N99 K634 N99 12.5 17.6 33.6 47.5 53.8 5.3 40.0 28.6 61.1 38 3.1 0.16	0 5 N99 K634 N99 K634 12.5 17.6 33.6 37.1 47.5 53.8 5.3 33.4 40.0 28.6 61.1 29.5 38 3.1 0.16 0.9	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & $Level(\%)^{a}$ of protein in \% sucrose: \\ \hline \hline 0 & 5 & 10 & 15 \\ \hline \hline N99 & K634 & N99 & K634 & N99 & K634 \\ \hline 12.5 & 17.6 & 33.6 & 37.1 & 33.2 & 47.5 & 26.7 & 51.0 \\ 47.5 & 53.8 & 5.3 & 33.4 & 1.1 & 18.9 & 0.4 & 12.9 \\ 40.0 & 28.6 & 61.1 & 29.5 & 65.7 & 33.6 & 72.9 & 36.1 \\ 3.8 & 3.1 & 0.16 & 0.9 & 0.03 & 0.4 & 0.02 & 0.25 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

^a The levels of the outer membrane proteins on the polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 2) were quantified with a Shimadzu densitometer. The values shown are the percentages of the total outer membrane proteins obtained by adding the values for OmpA, OmpC, and OmpF for each sample. This was done to reduce fluctuations in the levels of these proteins due to differences in the amounts of sample loaded on the gel.

 TABLE 3. IHF consensus sequences in the ompF promoter and related regulatory gene promoters

Promoter ^a	Sequence ^b	Location	Reference	
ompF	TAAtctaTTTATA	-177	17	
ompF	TAAagatTTG <u>G</u> TT	-68	17	
ompB	CATetegTTGATT	-227	38	
micF	TAAgttaTTGATT	+4	26	
micF	TAAgtatTTGACA	+21	27	
Consensus	C/TAAnnnnTTGATA/T		21	

^a The *ompB* promoter controls the expression of the *ompR* and *envZ* genes. ^b The sequences are aligned with the IHF consensus sequence taken from Leong et al. (21). Bases that differ from the consensus are underlined.

^c Except for *ompB*, the sequences are located at their 5' ends in relation to the transcription initiation site at +1. This site is not known in *ompB*. Therefore, the location of the IHF consensus is given in relation to the start of *ompB* translation at +1.

ulation mediated by the OmpR protein (13, 14, 29) but other reported components of this system, such as OmpR-independent osmoregulation (16, 36) or control by *micF* (1, 26), may operate normally. It should be noted that the *OmpFlacZ* fusion strain used in the present study may not have contained all of the determinants reported to be necessary for OmpR-mediated transcriptional osmoregulation of *ompF* (16, 34). Therefore, some aspects of this transcriptional mechanism may still be functional in IHF mutants.

The preliminary results in this report show that, directly or indirectly, IHF has an important role in the osmoregulation of *ompF*. While the physiological role and mechanism of action of IHF in *E. coli* have not been established, the present report adds to the growing volume of data (4) that suggests that this protein may have a major function in gene expression in this organism.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM 17152 from the National Institutes of Health.

We thank T. Silhavy for strain MH513 and L. Huang for β -galactosidase determinations.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Aiba, H., S. Matsuyama, T. Mizuno, and S. Mizushima. 1987. Function of *micF* as an antisense RNA in osmoregulatory expression of the *ompF* gene in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 169:3007-3012.
- 2. Craig, N., and H. A. Nash. 1984. E. coli integration host factor binds to specific sites in DNA. Cell 39:707-716.
- Dorman, C. J., and C. F. Higgins. 1987. Fimbrial phase variation in *Escherichia coli*: dependence on integration host factor and homologies with other site-specific recombinases. J. Bacteriol. 169:3840-3843.
- Drlica, K., and J. Rouviere-Yaniv. 1987. Histonelike proteins of bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 51:301-319.
- Eisenstein, B. I., D. S. Sweet, V. Vaughn, and D. I. Friedman. 1987. Integration host factor is required for the DNA inversion that controls phase variation in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:6506–6510.
- 6. Feiss, M., S. Frackman, and J. Sippy. 1985. Essential interaction between lambdoid phage 21 terminase and the *Escherichia coli* integration host factor. J. Mol. Biol. 183:239–249.
- Friden, P., K. Voelkel, R. Sternglanz, and M. Freundlich. 1984. Reduced expression of the isoleucine and valine enzymes in integration host factor mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 172:573-579.
- 8. Friedman, D. I., E. J. Olson, D. Carver, and M. Gellert. 1984. Synergistic effect of *himA* and *gyrB* mutations: evidence that Him functions control expression of *ilv* and *xyl* genes. J. Bacteriol. 157:484–489.
- 9. Gamas, P., L. Caro, D. Galas, and M. Chandler. 1987. Expres-

sion of F transfer functions depends on the *Escherichia coli* integration host factor. Mol. Gen. Genet. **207**:302–305

- Gamas, P., and M. G. Chandler. 1987. Escherichia coli integration host factor binds specifically to the ends of the insertion sequence IS1 and to its major insertion hot-spot in pBR322. J. Mol. Biol. 195:261-272.
- 11. Gardner, J. F., and H. A. Nash. 1986. Role of *Escherichia coli* IHF protein in lambda site-specific recombination. A mutational analysis of binding sites. J. Mol. Biol. 191:181–189.
- 12. Goosen, N., and P. van de Putte. 1984. Localization of the presumed recognition sites for *himD* and *ner* functions controlling bacteriophage Mu transcription. Gene 30:41–46.
- Hall, M. N., and T. J. Silhavy. 1981. The ompB locus and the regulation of the major outer membrane proteins of *Escherichia* coli K-12. J. Mol. Biol. 146:23–43.
- Hall, M. N., and T. J. Silhavy. 1981. Genetic analysis of the ompB locus in Escherichia coli K-12. J. Mol. Biol. 151:1-15.
- Hoyt, M., A. Knight, A. Das, H. I. Miller, and H. Echols. 1982. Control of phage development by stability and synthesis of cII protein: role of the viral cIII and host hfl, himA, and himI genes. Cell 31:565-573.
- Inokuchi, K., M. Itoh, and S. Mizushima. 1985. Domains involved in osmoregulation of the *ompF* gene in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 164:585–590.
- Inokuchi, K., N. Mutoh, S. Matsuyama, and S. Mizushima. 1982. Primary structure of the *ompF* gene that codes for a major outer membrane protein of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:6957–6968.
- Jo, Y.-L., F. Nara, S. Ichihara, T. Mizuno, and S. Mizushima. 1986. Purification and characterization of the OmpR protein, a positive regulator involved in osmoregulatory expression of the ompF and ompC genes in Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 261: 15252–15256.
- Kawaji, H., T. Mizuno, and S. Mizushima. 1979. Influence of molecular size and osmolarity of sugars and dextrans on the synthesis of outer membrane proteins O-8 and O-9 of *Esche*richia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 140:843–847.
- Krause, H. M., and N. P. Higgins. 1986. Positive and negative regulation of the Mu operator by Mu repressor and *Escherichia coli* integration host factor. J. Biol. Chem. 261:3744–3752.
- Leong, J., S. Nunes-Duby, C. Lesser, P. Youderian, M. Suskind, and A. Landy. 1985. The φ80 and P22 attachment sites. Primary structure and interaction with *Escherichia coli* integration host factor. J. Biol. Chem. 260:4468-4477.
- 22. Mahajna, J., A. B. Oppenheim, A. Rattray, and M. Gottesman. 1986. Translation initiation of bacteriophage lambda gene cII requires integration host factor. J. Bacteriol. 165:167-174.
- Miller, H., A. Kikuchi, H. A. Nash, R. A. Weisberg, and D. I. Friedman. 1979. Site-specific recombination of bacteriophage λ: the role of host gene products. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 43:1121-1126.
- Miller, H. I., M. Kirk, and H. Echols. 1981. SOS induction and autoregulation of the *himA* gene for site-specific recombination in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:6754–6758.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 26. Mizuno, T., M.-Y. Chou, and M. Inouye. 1984. A unique mechanism regulating gene expression: translational inhibition by a complementary RNA transcript (micRNA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:1966–1970.
- Mizuno, T., and M. Kageyama. 1978. Separation and characterization of the outer membrane of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Biochem. 84:179-191.
- Morisato, D., and N. Kleckner. 1987. Tn10 transposition and circle formation in vitro. Cell 51:101–111.
- Nara, F., K. Inokuchi, S. Matsuyama, and S. Mizushima. 1984. Mutation causing reverse osmoregulation of synthesis of OmpF, a major outer membrane protein of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 159:688–692.
- Nash, H., K. Mizuuchi, R. Weisberg, Y. Kikuchi, and M. Gellert. 1977. Integrative recombination of bacteriophage lambda—the biochemical approach to DNA insertions, p. 363–373. *In A. I.* Bukhari, J. A. Shapiro, and S. L. Adhya (ed.), Insertion

elements, plasmids and episomes. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

- Nash, H., and C. Robertson. 1981. Purification and properties of the *E. coli* protein factor required for lambda integrative recombinations. J. Biol. Chem. 256:9246-9253.
- 32. Norioka, S., G. Ramakrishnan, K. Ikenaka, and M. Inouye. 1987. Interaction of a transcriptional activator, OmpR, with reciprocally osmoregulated genes, *ompF* and *ompC*, of *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 261:17113–17119.
- Oppenheim, A. B., S. Gottesman, and M. Gottesman. 1982. Regulation of bacteriophage λ int gene expression. J. Mol. Biol. 158:327-346.
- 34. Ostrow, K. S., T. J. Silhavy, and S. Garrett. 1986. cis-acting sites required for osmoregulation of *ompF* expression in *Esch*-

erichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 168:1165-1171.

- 35. Ozowa, Y., and S. Mizushima. 1983. Regulation of outer membrane porin protein synthesis in *Escherichia coli* K-12: *ompF* regulates the expression of *ompC*. J. Bacteriol. **154**:669-675.
- Ramakrishnan, G., K. Ikenaka, and M. Inouye. 1985. Uncoupling of osmoregulation of the *Escherichia coli* K-12 ompF gene from ompB-dependent transcription. J. Bacteriol. 163:82–87.
- van Alphen, W., and B. Lugtenberg. 1977. Influence of osmolarity of the growth medium on the outer membrane protein pattern of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 131:623–630.
- Wurtzel, E. T., M.-Y. Chou, and M. Inouye. 1982. Osmoregulation of gene expression. I. DNA sequence of the *ompR* gene of the *ompB* operon of *Escherichia coli* and characterization of its gene product. J. Biol. Chem. 257:13685–13691.