

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cell. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 2.

Published in final edited form as: *Cell*. 2007 November 2; 131(3): 584–595.

SUMO-specific Protease 1 is Essential for Stabilization of Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α During Hypoxia

Jinke Cheng1, **Xunlei Kang**2,3, **Sui Zhang**1, and **Edward T.H. Yeh**1,2,*

1 *Department of Cardiology, the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center*

2 *Center for Cardiovascular Research, Brown Foundation Institute of Molecular Medicine, The University of Texas, Houston Health Science Center, Houston, Texas 77030, USA*

3 *Department of Cell Biology, Shanghai Jiao-Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, P. R. China*

SUMMARY

SUMOylation is a dynamic process, catalyzed by SUMO-specific E1, E2, and E3s and reversed by Sentrin/SUMO-specific proteases (SENPs). However, the physiologic consequence of SUMOylation and de-SUMOylation is not fully understood. Embryos with inactivated mouse *SENP1* locus showed severe fetal anemia stemming from deficient erythropoietin (Epo) production and died in midgestation. SENP1 controls Epo production by regulating the stability of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1 α during hypoxia. Hypoxia induces SUMOylation of HIF1 α which promotes its binding to an ubiquitin ligase, von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) protein, through a proline hydroxylation-independent mechanism, leading to ubiquitination and degradation. In the *SENP1* −/− MEF cells, hypoxia-induced transcription of HIF1 α -dependent genes, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and glucose transporter 1 (Glut-1) are markedly reduced. These results show that SENP1 plays a key role in the regulation of the hypoxic response through regulation of $HIF1\alpha$ stability and SUMOylation can serve as a direct signal for ubiquitin-dependent degradation.

INTRODUCTION

Conjugation of small ubiquitin-related modifier protein (SUMO) to a large number of substrates has been suggested to regulate numerous cellular processes from yeast to mammal (Hay, 2005; Yeh et al., 2000). Most of SUMO targets are in the nucleus; they include transcription factors, transcriptional co-regulators, and chromosome remodeling regulators (Gill, 2004). SUMOylation of these proteins can alter their cellular localization and biological activity.

SUMO conjugation is a dynamic process, in that it can be readily reversed by a family of Sentrin/SUMO-specific proteases SENPs (Hay, 2005; Yeh et al., 2000). Six SENPs have been identified in human, each with different cellular location and substrate specificity (Yeh et al., 2000). They can be divided into three subfamilies on the basis of their sequence homology, cellular location, and substrate specificity. The first subfamily consists of SENP1 and SENP2, which have broad substrate specificity. The second subfamily consists of SENP3 and SENP5, both of which are nucleolar proteins with preferences for SUMO-2/3 (Di Bacco et al., 2006; Gong and Yeh, 2006). The third subfamily consists of SENP6 and SENP7, which have an extra

^{*} Correspondence: etyeh@mdanderson.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

loop in their catalytic domain. Although SENPs are known to reverse SUMOylation in many different systems, their physiological role has not been precisely defined.

SENP1, a nuclear SUMO protease, has been shown to regulate androgen receptor transactivation by targeting histone deacetylase 1 and to induce c-Jun activity through de-SUMOylation of p300 (Cheng et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2004). Yamaguchi et al., studied mice derived from an ES cell line with a retroviral vector that had been randomly inserted into the enhancer region on the *SENP1* gene. This random insertion reduced expression of the SENP1 transcript, causing the mice to die between E12.5 and E14.5 (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). Although no specific histological abnormalities were found in the E13.5 embryos, there was a hint of an abnormality in the development of blood vessels in the placenta. Thus, it remains unclear how SENP1 contributes to normal development.

Therefore, we generated SENP1 knockout mice to delineate the contribution of SENP1 in development. Inactivation of the *SENP1* gene causes severe fetal anemia in mid-gestation as a result of deficient Epo production. Epo is essential for growth and survival of erythroid progenitors during differentiation into red cells (Wu et al., 1995). We found that SENP1 controls Epo production by regulating the stability of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1 α). Hypoxia induces HIF1 α SUMOylation, which promote HIF1 α degradation through a VHL and proteasome-dependent mechanism. SENP1 de-conjugates SUMOylated HIF1 α , and allows HIF1α to escape degradation during hypoxia. These results reveal an important physiological role of SENP1 in the hypoxic response through regulation of $HIF1\alpha$ stability, and that SUMOylation can also target a protein for ubiquitination and degradation.

RESULTS

Generation of SENP1 knockout mice

To generate SENP1 knockout mice, a gene-trapped vector was inserted into the mouse SENP1 open reading frame at codon 310 (Figure S1A). Specifically, the inserted β geo (βgalactosidase/neomycin-resistance fusion protein) was fused into the N-terminus of SENP1 at codon 310 to generate SENP1 (1–309)-β-geo fusion protein, which lacks the C-terminal catalytic domain of SENP1 (Figure S1A). This disruption was confirmed by the absence of transcripts that encode catalytic domain of SENP1 in *SENP1*−/− embryos (Figure S1B). The overall SUMOylation pattern in lysates of embryos revealed an increase in high-molecularweight SUMO-1 and SUMO-2/3 conjugates in *SENP1*−/− embryos in comparison with wildtype or *SENP1*+/− embryos (Figure S1C). In addition, processing of the C-terminus of SUMO-1 but not SUMO-2/3 appeared to be decreased in *SENP1*−/− embryos (Figure S1C). These results indicated that disruption of the SENP1 locus reduced de-SUMOylation in *SENP1* −/− embryos.

Severe anemia in SENP1−/− embryos

Heterozygous mice carrying the inactivated *SENP1* gene appeared normal and fertile. However, no live *SENP1*−/− mice were found among offsprings of *SENP1*+/− intercrosses, indicating that the *SENP1*−/− mutation leads to embryonic lethality. Examination of the *SENP1* −/− embryos at different stages of development revealed that the majority died between day 13 and 15 of gestation (Figure 1A). The *SENP1*−/−embryos appeared paler and smaller than their wild-type or *SENP1*+/− littermates (Figure 1B). The most dramatic morphological abnormality of these *SENP1*−/− embryos was severe fetal anemia. In particular, *SENP1*−/− embryos had more than 75% fewer erythrocytes than their wild-type or *SENP1*+/− littermates at E15.5 (Figure 1C).

Defective definitive erythropoiesis in the SENP1−/− embryos

During normal murine development, erythropoiesis is initiated in the yolk sac at around E8 (primitive erythropoiesis), followed by the aorta-gonadmesonephros (AGM) region. At midgestation, fetal liver becomes the major hematopoietic organ with hematopoietic activity starting around E11.0 to E12.5 until 1 week postnatal (definitive erythropoiesis) (Godin and Cumano, 2002). Because anemia was observed after E13.5 in the *SENP1*−/− embryos, we reasoned that these embryos likely had a defect in definitive erythropoiesis. Indeed, the number of nucleated cells in the fetal livers of the *SENP1*−/−embryos was markedly decreased compared to their wild-type littermates (Figure 1D). Histological examination of fetal liver sections also revealed a marked decrease in the number of erythropoietic foci in *SENP1*−/− embryos compared with their wild-type littermates (Figure 1E).

Increased apoptosis in erythroid precursors of SENP1−/− embryos

We next examined hematopoiesis in the fetal livers of both wild-type and *SENP1*−/− embryos at E13.5 by flow cytometry using markers characteristic of different types of hematopoietic cells and developmental stages, including CD34, CD44, c-kit, and Ter-119 (Kondo et al., 2003; Neubauer et al., 1998). There was no significant difference between SENP1−/− embryo and wildtype littermate, except that the population of Ter-119⁺, which denotes committed erythropoietic precursors, was markedly reduced in *SENP1*−/− fetal livers (Figure S2A). These data suggested that erythropoiesis was abnormal in *SENP1*−/−embryos as a result of a defect in erythroid differentiation.

To determine at which stage of erythroid differentiation SENP1 plays a crucial role, we compared the ability of cells derived from wild-type and *SENP1*−/− E13.5 fetal livers to form erythroid colony-forming units (CFU-e) and more immature erythroid burst-forming units (BFU-e) (Wu et al., 1995). Although fetal livers from *SENP1*−/−embryo contained erythroid progenitors, the relative number of CFU-e arising from *SENP1*−/− fetal liver was over 40% fewer than that from wild-type fetal liver (Figure 2A). However, there was no significant difference in the numbers of the BFU-e between *SENP1*−/− and wild-type fetal livers (Figure 2A). Additionally, we observed no significant difference in the number of colony forming unit granulocyte-macrophage (CFU-GM) and pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells (CFU-GEMM) between *SENP1*−/− and wild-type fetal livers (Figure S2B). These results indicated that a developmental defect in CFU-e was a prominent feature in the *SENP1*−/− embryo.

The reduced ratio of CFU-e to BFU-e progenitors and the decrease in the number of Ter-119+ erythroid cell suggested a reduction in the net growth of *SENP1*−/− erythroid progenitors resulting from either decreased cell proliferation or increased apoptosis. No differences were observed in the proliferation of fetal liver cells between *SENP1*−/−embryos and their wild-type littermates, as shown by Ki-67 staining (upper panel, Figure S2C). However, TUNEL staining showed that the number of TUNEL-positive cells was much greater in the liver sections from *SENP1*−/− embryos than their wild-type littermates (lower panel, Figure S2C). To validate further, we stained fetal liver cells with the anti-Ter-119 antibody and then analyzed cells by flow cytometry. This showed that the percentage of Ter-119-positive cells was decreased in *SENP*−/− embryos, from 81% in E13.5 wild-type fetal livers to 37% in their *SENP1*−/− littermates (data not shown). Among the Ter-119-positive cells, about 34.5% *SENP1−* − cells were stained by TUNEL; in contrast, few TUNEL-positive cells (1.9%) were observed from the wild-type liver (Figure 2B). These results suggested that the erythroid cells in fetal liver were more apoptotic in *SENP1*−/− embryos than their wild-type counterparts, which account for the decrease in CFU-e progenitors, smaller fetal liver size, and anemia in the *SENP1*−/− embryos.

Epo production is reduced in SENP1−/− fetal liver

The hematopoietic defect in *SENP1*^{−/−} embryos described above was similar to that observed in *Epo*−/− embryos or in embryos with mutation of the genes critical to the Epo signaling pathway (Neubauer et al., 1998; Parganas et al., 1998; Socolovsky et al., 1999; Wu et al., 1995). Since fetal liver erythroid progenitors depend on Epo for growth and survival during terminal differentiation into red blood cells (Wu et al., 1995), we reasoned that the increased apoptosis of erythroid cells in *SENP1*−/− fetal liver could be due to either a defect in Epo production or blockage in the Epo signaling pathway. To assess these possibilities, we first examined the expression of genes involved in the Epo signaling pathway (specifically, EpoR, Jak2, and STAT5) and other growth factors associated with erythroid progenitors in fetal livers. A dramatic reduction in the level of Epo mRNA expression was shown in *SENP1*−/− fetal livers (Figure 2C). In contrast, the expression of EpoR, Jak2, STAT5, and growth factors c-kit and SCF was not significantly different between *SENP1*−/− and wild-type fetal livers (Figure 2C). The reduced Epo expression in E12.5 fetal liver cells of *SENP1*−/− embryos was further confirmed by immunohistochemistry (Figure 2D). These results demonstrated that Epo production was significantly reduced in *SENP1*−/− fetal livers.

Epo prevents apoptosis of SENP1−/− fetal liver cells

To determine whether apoptosis of cells in the fetal liver of *SENP1* −/− embryo was caused by a deficiency in Epo production, we examined the ability of Epo to prevent apoptosis of the erythroid progenitors from *SENP1*−/− fetal liver. As shown by rates of apoptosis *in vitro*, E13.5 wild-type fetal liver cells, which were grown in a medium lacking Epo, showed a reduction in cell number and increase in apoptosis similar to those observed in fetal liver cells of their *SENP1−/*− littermates. Addition of Epo to the culture medium prevented reduction in cell number and apoptosis in *SENP1*−/− fetal liver cells similar to their wild-type littermates (Figure 2E and 2F). These results demonstrated that the increased apoptosis of erythroid progenitors was due to deficiency in Epo production in *SENP1*−/− fetal liver.

SENP1 regulates Epo transcription through HIF1α

The *Epo* gene is expressed primarily in fetal liver and adult kidney and is regulated in response to oxygen availability (Ebert and Bunn, 1999). Since SENP1 is expressed in mouse fetal liver at midgestation stage (Figure S3), we reasoned that SENP1 might directly regulate Epo expression in fetal liver. A hepatoma cell line Hep 3B was used to determine whether SENP1 regulated Epo production. Two SENP1-specific siRNAs were able to efficiently knock down SENP1 expression (Figure S4) and significantly reduced Epo expression in Hep 3B cells in response to treatment with hypoxia (Figure 3A), indicating that SENP1 played an important role in Epo production under hypoxia condition.

Since SENP1 regulates the transcription of numerous genes by targeting transcription factors or co-regulators (Cheng et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2004), we next examined whether Epo transcription was also regulated by SENP1. In this study, the luciferase reporter gene driven by the Epo promoter plus enhancer (Epo-Luc) was transfected into Hep 3B cells with SENP1 or SENP1 catalytic inactive mutant (SENP1m), in which a conserved amino acid Cysteine₆₀₃ in catalytic domain of SENP1 was substituted by Alanine. In the presence of hypoxia, SENP1, but not the SENP1 mutant, significantly induced Epo reporter gene transcription (Figure 3B), suggesting that SENP1 regulated Epo transcription and the regulation required the de-SUMOylation activity of SENP1. Interestingly, without hypoxia treatment, SENP1 had only marginal effect on Epo transcription.

Since $HIF1\alpha$ is a major transcription factor for hypoxia-regulated Epo expression (Giaccia et al., 2004), we asked whether HIF1 α was required for SENP1-regulated Epo transcription. Coexpression of SENP1 markedly enhanced $HIF1\alpha$ -dependent Epo transcription (Figure 3C).

However, mutation of HIF1 α binding sites on the Epo enhancer (mEpo-Luc) completely abolished SENP1 activity (Figure 3C). Furthermore, silencing of endogenous SENP1 expression in Hep 3B cells using SENP1 siRNA (SENP1-si1 and SENP1-si2) also reduced Epo transcription in response to HIF1 α by 80%(Figure 3D). These results strongly suggested that Epo expression was regulated by SENP1 through its regulation of HIF1α activity.

SENP1 is essential for ensuring HIF1α stability during hypoxia

It is well-known that regulation of HIF1α occurs mostly at the protein level. In *SENP1*−/− mice, HIF1 α protein level was significantly decreased in section of *SENP1*−/−fetal liver compared with *SENP1+/+* littermate (Figure 4A). However, HIF1 α mRNA level in fetal livers of *SENP1*−/− or wild-type embryo was similar, suggesting that the decrease in HIF1α protein level resulted from protein degradation but not a reduction of HIF1α transcription in *SENP1* −/− embryo (Figure S5).

HIF1 α is degraded by a proteasome-dependent mechanism under normoxia (Huang et al., 1998; Maxwell et al., 1999; Wang et al., 1995). Hypoxia was believed to stabilize HIF1 α protein and therefore increased its activity (Giaccia et al., 2004; Huang et al., 1998; Maxwell et al., 1999). We hypothesized that regulation of HIF1α protein to hypoxia was defective in *SENP1* −/− cells. To test this possibility, we determined changes in HIF1α protein level in *SENP1*−/ − and wild type MEF cells when exposed to hypoxia. As shown in Figure 4B, hypoxia markedly increased protein level of HIF1 α in the wild type MEF cells, but much less in the *SENP1* $-/-$ MEF cells. Furthermore, the half-life of HIF1α protein was markedly reduced in the *SENP1*−/ − MEF cells under hypoxia condition in a pulse-chase experiment (Figure 4C). Normoxiainduced HIF1 α degradation is dependent on its internal oxygen-depend-degradation (ODD) domain (Huang et al., 1998). We then determined whether the ODD domain was a direct target of SENP1 regulation of HIF1α stability under hypoxia condition. We used HIF1α ODD domain (344–698) fused Gal4 (pG4-ODD-VP16), which was previously demonstrated to have normoxic- and VHL-dependent degradation and activity (Huang et al., 1998; Maxwell et al., 1999). Indeed, co-expression of SENP1 markedly enhanced hypoxia-induced ODD activity. However, hypoxia-induced activity of ODD domain was completely abolished by SENP1 siRNA (Figure 4D). These results strongly indicated that SENP1 was essential for HIF1 α stabilization under hypoxia condition.

Consistent with the decrease in HIF1 α expression during hypoxia, HIF1 α activity was also significantly reduced in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells as measured by the response of HRE-luciferase reporter gene to hypoxia in *SENP1*−/− and wild type MEF cells (Figure 4E). As HIF1α is a major transcription factor in the regulation of a large number of hypoxia-inducible genes, we also showed that hypoxia-induced transcription of *VEGF* and *Glut-1* genes were dramatically decreased in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells (Figure 4F). This defect was similar to the response of *HIF1α* −/− ES upon hypoxia exposure (Iyer et al., 1998; Ryan et al., 1998). We further confirmed that this defect in *SENP1^{−/−}* MEF cells was mediated by HIF1 α , as transfected HIF1α SM (SUMOylation mutant) could restore hypoxia-induced expression of *VEGF* gene in the transfected cells (Figure 4G). These results indicated that SENP1 played a critical role in regulating the stability and activity of $HIF1\alpha$ protein during hypoxia.

SUMOylated HIF1α is degraded in a proteasome-dependent manner

Since SENP1 could de-SUMOylate HIF1α SENP1 might be directly responsible for the decrease in HIF1α activity and stability in the *SENP1* −/− embryo (Figure 5A and S6). The effect of de-SUMOylation on HIF1 α activity was directly confirmed by using HIF1 α SUMOylated site mutants: K391R, K477R, and K391/477R (SM) (Bae et al., 2004). As shown in Figure 5B, mutation of the two SUMOylation sites of HIF1 α (SM) significantly increased the transcriptional activity of HIF1 α and also reduced the ability of SENP1 to enhance

HIF1α-dependent Epo transcription. Furthermore, hypoxia-induced accumulation of SUMOylated HIF1 α only occurred in SENP1−/− MEF cells, but not in wildtype cells (Figure 5C). Interestingly, this accumulation did not appear in SENP2−/−MEF cells (Figure S7), suggesting that SENP1 specifically targeted HIF1α for de-SUMOylation (Figure 5C).

The simultaneous accumulation of SUMOylated HIF1 α and the decrease in HIF1 α stability in *SENP1−*/− cells during hypoxia prompted us to study whether SUMOylation might lead to HIF1α degradation. Indeed, SUMOylated bands of HIF1α resulted from co-expression of $HIF1\alpha$ and HA-SUMO-1 were difficult to detect in the absence of a proteasome inhibitor MG132, but was readily detectable when both SENP1 siRNA and MG132 were used (Figure 5D). These results were further confirmed by examining endogenous HIF1α in *SENP1* −/− and wild type MEF cells with exposure to both hypoxia and MG132. As expected, hypoxia-induced SUMOylated HIF1 α was readily detected in *SENP1*−/− MEF, but not in wild-type MEF cells. Furthermore, MG132 treatment markedly increased hypoxia-induced SUMOylated bands of HIF1 α in *SENP1-/*−MEF cells, suggesting that the level of SUMOylated HIF1 α was controlled by a proteasome-dependent mechanism (Figure 5E, upper panel). Meanwhile, $HIF1\alpha$ protein level was significantly increased in *SENP1* wild type MEF cells, but not in the *SENP1* −/−MEF cells with exposure to hypoxia (Figure 5E, lower panel). Taken together, these results suggested that SUMOylated HIF1α was degraded in a proteasome dependent manner during hypoxia in the absence of SENP1.

Degradation of SUMOylated HIF1α is dependent on VHL

The above results suggested that SUMO and SENP1 might regulate ubiquitination of HIF1 α . As shown in Figure 6A, ubiquitination of HIF1 α was significantly increased when SUMO-1 was over-expressed (lane 3 vs 2 in Figure 6A). In contrast, co-transfection of SENP1, but not SENP1 mutant, significantly decreased ubiquitination of HIF1 α (lane 4 and 5 vs. 2 in Figure 6A). We asked whether VHL, a well-known E3 ligase for HIF1 α ubiquitination (Ivan et al., 2001; Jaakkola et al., 2001; Maxwell et al., 1999; Ohh et al., 2000), could also serve as an E3 ligase for SUMOylated HIF1α. To address this possibility, we first examined hypoxia-induced SUMOylation of HIF1α in RCC4 (renal cancer cells with inactivated VHL) and RCC4/VHL (VHL-restored RCC4 cells) (Maxwell et al., 1999). SUMOylated HIF1α was easily detected in VHL-null RCC4 cells even without MG132 treatment (lane 2 vs. 3 in top panel, Figure 6B). In contrast, this band was only weakly detectable in RCC4/VHL cells exposed to hypoxia and MG132 treatment. Consistent with other reports, hypoxia-induced increase in HIF1 α protein was only observed in VHL-restored RCC4 cells (bottom panel, Figure 6B). These results suggested that SUMOylated HIF1 α was degraded through a VHL-dependent mechanism.

SUMO provides an alternative signal for HIF1α to bind to VHL

We further speculated that SUMOylation served as an alternative signal for $HIF1\alpha$ to bind to VHL during hypoxic condition. The interaction of SUMOylated HIF1 α and VHL in vivo was examined first by using a HIF1 α proline residues 402/564 mutant (PM), which would decrease background binding resulted from hydroxylation of HIF1α. As shown in Figure 6C, SUMOylation of $HIF1\alpha PM$ was greatly enhanced by expression of SENP1siRNA plus MG132 treatment (lane 4, bottom panel, Figure 6C). SUMOylated HIF1 α from the nuclear fraction was efficiently co-precipitated by VHL (land 4 in top and second panels, Figure 6C). To directly confirm the binding of VHL and SUMOylated HIF1α we performed an *in vitro* binding assay. As shown in Figure 6D, VHL pull-downed SUMOylated GST-ODD (344–698) PM, detected by anti-HIF1α antibody (Figure 6D, middle panel). These bands were confirmed as SUMOylated HIF1 α by anti-SUMO-1 antibody (Figure 6D, right panel). Importantly, unmodified GST-ODD (344–698) PM, even though presented in a much larger quantity than SUMOylated GST-ODD (344–698) PM, could not be co-precipitated by VHL. We further mapped the SUMO binding domain on VHL protein by using recombinant SUMO-fused GST- ODD (344–698) PM to pull down in vitro translated VHL deletion mutants. As shown in Figure 6E, SUMO-fused GST-ODDPM protein could pull down VHL deletion mutants that contain the β-domain. However, SUMO-fused GST-ODDPM protein could not pull down the VHL deletion mutant that only contained the α-domain. This was dependent on SUMO-1 because GST-ODDPM could not pull down any VHL deletion mutants. Interestingly, β-domain is well documented as a substrate binding region when VHL acts as a component of E3 ligase complex (Ohh et al., 2000; Stebbins et al., 1999). Taken together, these results clearly suggested that SUMOylation of HIF1 α could serve as an alternate signal for binding to VHL in the absence of proline hydroxylation.

To directly demonstrate the role of SENP1 in VHL-dependent degradation of SUMOylated HIF1α, we generated SENP1 siRNA stably transfected RCC4 and RCC4/VHL cell lines. The expression of endogenous SENP1 was significantly decreased in these two cell lines (Figure S8). Silencing of SENP1 markedly decreased HIF1α expression in RCC4/VHL, but not in RCC4 cells (Figure 6F), confirming that HIF1 α turnover promoted by inactivation of SENP1 was VHL-dependent.

DISCUSSION

Biological consequence of SUMOylation and de-SUMOylation

SUMOylation and de-SUMOylation have been shown to regulate a large number of biological processes, including transcription, cell signaling, cell cycle progression, and cancer pathogenesis(Cheng et al., 2006; Yeh et al., 2000). However, many of these studies were shown in cell culture systems and by over-expression of enzymes involved in SUMOylation and de-SUMOylation. There were a limited number of studies that using knock-out mouse demonstrating the importance of this emerging pathway of biological regulation. For example, knock-out of the SUMO conjugation enzyme Ubc9, in mice results in embryonic lethality at the early post-implantation stage (Nacerddine et al., 2005). Ubc9-deficient cells showed severe defects in nuclear organization including chromosome condensation and segregation. This study demonstrates that global disruption of the SUMOylation pathway is incompatible with life in the mammals. Only a single study on the role of de-SUMOylation in mouse has been reported. Yamaguchi et al demonstrated that mouse with an incomplete SENP1 knock-out died between E12.5 and E14.5 (Yamaguchi et al., 2005).

In the present study, we show that SENP1 plays an essential role in the de-conjugation of SUMOylated HIF1α. This de-conjugation has important biological consequence because the *SENP1* −/− embryos are severely anemic, which is most likely the cause of fetal death. This is surprising because in the over-expression system, both SENP1 and SENP2 have identical substrate specificity. Here, SUMOylated HIF1α is only regulated by SENP1, but not SENP2 in vivo. Thus, SENPs clearly perform non-redundant biological function in both MEF cells and in animals.

Regulation of HIF1α stability during hypoxia

HIF1 α is mainly regulated at the level of protein stability (Huang et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 1996). During normoxia, HIF1 α is hydroxylated at two critical proline residues by a family of oxygen-sensitive enzymes prolyl 4-hydroxylases (PHD) (Bruick and McKnight, 2001; Epstein et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2001). Proline hydoxylated HIF1α then binds to VHL, a component of the ubiquitin E3 ligase complex consists of Cul-2, VHL, elongin B, and elongin C (Bruick and McKnight, 2001; Ivan et al., 2001; Jaakkola et al., 2001; Maxwell et al., 1999). Subsequently, HIF1 α is ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome. It was assumed that during hypoxia, proline hydroxylation occurs inefficiently, thus allowing $HIF1\alpha$ to escape binding to VHL and proteasomal degradation. Most of studies about $HIF1\alpha$ stability primarily focus on the

mechanism involving the regulation of PHD enzymatic activities (Epstein et al., 2001; Gerald et al., 2004; Ivan et al., 2001; Jaakkola et al., 2001; Nakayama et al., 2004). However, a few reports have shown that there are other factors that can regulate $HIF1\alpha$ stability in VHLindependent manner. For example, Liu et al. recently showed that RACK1 could replace HSP90's binding of HIF1 α and target the unmodified HIF1 α to the elongin C complex for ubiquitination and degradation (Liu et al., 2007). There are also modifications other than hydroxylation that regulate HIF1α stability and activity (Brahimi-Horn et al., 2005).

Our SENP1 $-/-$ animal model reveals a more complex picture for the regulation of HIF1 α stability during hypoxia. As shown in Figure 7, hypoxia induces nuclear translocation and SUMOylation of HIF1 α , which binds to VHL in a hydroxyl proline-independent manner, leading to ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. It should be noted that SUMOylation is not required for hypoxia-induced nuclear translocation of $HIF1\alpha$ (Kang and Yeh, unpublished results). However, $HIF1\alpha$ is quickly SUMOylated once it enters the nucleus. Thus, SENP1, which is predominately a nuclear protein, is well-positioned to regulate the activity and stability of HIF1 α in the nucleus by removing SUMO (Gong et al., 2000). Un-modified HIF1α would escape VHL/proteasome-dependent degradation to participate in the regulation of hypoxia-responsive genes. When SENP1 is absent, as in the case of our *SENP1* −/− embryo and MEF cells, SUMOylated HIF1 α will be subjected to degradation through a VHL/ proteasome-dependent mechanism. Thus, SENP1 plays a critical role to control HIF1 α stability during hypoxia.

Bae et al. showed that over-expression of SUMO-1 increased HIF1 α protein level and its activity not only under hypoxia but also in normoxia condition (Bae et al., 2004). This report, unlike the present study, was conducted entirely with over-expression system without the benefit of the SENP1 knock out mice. In the presence of SENP1, SUMOylated HIF1 α will be de-conjugated and indeed stabilized.

We currently do not know how hypoxia induces SUMOylation of HIF1 α . This may be due to an increase in specific SUMO E3 ligase activity (Kang and Yeh, unpublished results). Moreover, the level of SUMOylated $HIF1\alpha$ is also regulated by VHL-dependent degradation and SENP1-mediated stabilization. So, the absolute level of SUMOylated HIF1 α is regulated at multiple levels. Groulx et al. have proposed a model of VHL shuttling between the nucleus and cytosol and HIF1 α degradation mainly in the cytosol (Groulx and Lee, 2002). However, we do not know whether SUMOylated and ubiquitinated $HIF1\alpha$ is also degraded in the cytosol. Further studies are required to resolve this issue.

SUMOylation can also target a protein for proteasomal degradation

Our results provide direct evidence that SUMOylation can induce degradation of a target protein in a proteasome-dependent manner. It is well-accepted that SUMOylation can stabilize target protein as SUMO can conjugate to the same lysine sites on target protein as ubiquitination (Hay, 2005). Although ubiquitin-conjugated sites on the HIF1 α protein have not been defined precisely, the reported ubiquitin-conjugated region of $HIF1\alpha$ indicates that at least lysine 391 and 477, two SUMO ylation sites on the HIF1 α protein, are not the major sites for ubiquitination (Ivan et al., 2001; Masson et al., 2001; Ohh et al., 2000). We also found that level of HIF1 α SUMOylation correlated with the level of ubiquitination, suggesting that SUMO conjugation of HIF1 α is not on the same sites as ubiquitination. More importantly, we identified an E3 ubiquitin ligase VHL for SUMOylated HIF1 α degradation. It was previously shown that PML could be SUMOylated and ubiquitinated when exposed to arsenic trioxide (Lallemand-Breitenbach et al., 2001). However, it is not know whether SUMOylated PML is required for binding to an ubiquitin-ligase to allow for ubiquitination and degradation. Currently, we also do not know whether SUMO-mediated binding of substrates to ubiquitin E3 can be generalized to more SUMOylated substrates.

In conclusion, our results support a model in which SUMOylated HIF1 α isunstable but can be stabilized when SUMO is removed by SENP1 (Figure 7). When SENP1 is deleted, SUMOylated HIF1 α is degraded, in a VHL- and ubiquitin/proteasome-dependent manner, resulting in decreased Epo production and severe fetal anemia. To our knowledge, this study provides the first evidence for the physiological role of de-SUMOylation, as demonstrated in an animal model; our study also revealed for the first time that SUMOylation could also target a protein for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation.

EXPERIMENTIAL PROCEDURES

Generation of SENP1−/− mice

The SENP1+/− ES cell line XG001 was obtained from BayGenomics. XG001 cells were generated by using a gene trap protocol with the trapping construct pGT1Lxf containing the intron from the *engrailed-2* gene upstream of the gene encoding the β-galactosidase/neomycinresistance fusion protein (see http://baygenomics.ucsf.edu). The vector was inserted into intron 8 of the SENP1 locus. A male chimeric mouse was generated from the ES cell line. C57 BL6 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory.

Plasmids and antibodies

The Epo enhancer (Epo-Luc) and the HIF1 α binding site mutant (mEpo-Luc) were provided by Dr. H.M. Sucov. HRE-Luc was provided by Dr. J. Yi. pGal4-VP16 and pGal4-ODD(344– 698)-VP16 were provided by Dr. P.J. Ratcliffe. Flag-VHL was provided by Dr. T. Kamitani. VHL $(1–213)$, VHL $(54–213)$, VHL $(63–155)$, and VHL $(156–213)$ were provided by Dr. M. Ohh. HA-SUMO-1, Flag-SENP1, and Flag-SENP1 catalytic mutant were previously described (*1, 2*). RGS-HIF1α, RGS-HIF1αK391R, RGS-HIF1αK477R, RGS-HIF1αSM (K391R, K477R), RGS-HIF1α PM (P402A, P564A), pET-ODD (344–698)PM(p402A, P564A), and pET-ODD (344–698)PM-SUMO1 were generated using standard cloning procedures and PCR-based mutagenesis. We used antibodies against Flag (M2, Sigma), HA (HA-7, Sigma), Myc (Santa Cruz), SUMO-1 (Zymed), SUMO-2/3 (gifted from Dr. Mike Matunis), mouse HIF1α (Novus), and human HIF1α (BD).

Histopathologic and immunohistochemistry analysis

Embryos were fixed in 10% buffered formalin (Sigma) and embedded in paraffin. Fivemicrometer-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For proliferation studies, the sections were stained with Ki67-specific antibodies (Dako). Apoptotic cells were detected in sections using the TUNEL stain (TACS TdT DAB kit, R&D).

Colony-formation assays

Cells were prepared from the livers of E13.5 embryos in α-MEM (GIBCO–BRL) and counted in the presence of 3% acetic acid, which lysed erythrocytes. Cell suspensions were mixed with MethoCult M3334 to detect BFU-e or MethoCult M3434 to detect CFU-e (StemCell Technologies). Cells were plated in 35-mm dishes and cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. For the CFU-e assay, benzidine-positive CFU-e colonies were scored on day 3. For the BFU-E assay, benzidine-positive BFU-e colonies were scored on day 8.

Flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions were obtained from E13.5 wild-type and mutant fetal livers. Cell suspensions were first incubated on ice with rat anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (PharMingen) to block non-specific binding to Fc receptors. Subsequently, cells were incubated with rat anti-mouse PE-conjugated anti-c-kit and anti-CD44 and FITC–conjugated anti-CD34 and anti-Ter-119 (all from PharMingen). Appropriate isotype control antibodies were used. Cell surface

expression of different markers was analyzed in a Becton Dickinson FACScan using CellQuest software. For the TUNEL assay, cells were stained using a TUNEL kit from Roche and then analyzed by flow cytometry.

RNA interference

Two 21-nucleotide SENP1 siRNAs (si-1: AACTACATCTTCGTGTACCTC; si-2: CTAAACCATCTGAATTGGCTC) were synthesized (Dharmacon). The same sequence of the si-1-inverted orientation was used as a non-specific siRNA control. The SENP1 and nonspecific siRNA oligos were inserted into a pSuppressorNeo vector (IMGENEX Corporation) according to manufacturer's instructions. Hep 3B cells were transfected with the siRNA plasmid using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). RCC4 and RCC4/VHL (purchased from ECACC) were infected by retrovirus based SENP1si-1 virus particles produced from pSuppressorNeo-SENP1si-1 and selected by G418. Silencing efficiency of the siRNA was confirmed by performing real-time PCR (for Hep 3B) or RT-PCR (for RCC4 and RCC4/VHL) to examine SENP1 expression. TaqMan Master Mix Reagents (Applied Biosystems) were utilized for quantitative real-time PCR (QRT-PCR) reaction. The TaqMan ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detector System (PE Applied Biosystems) was used for the analysis. Primers for SENP1 (forward: 5′-TTGGCCA GAGTGCAAATGG-3′; reverse: 5′-TCGGCTGTTTCTTGA TTTTTGTAA-3′) and the housekeeping 18S rRNA (ABI) were utilized.

In vitro SUMOylation assay

In vitro SUMOylation kit was purchased from LAE Biotech International (Rockville, MD). The reaction was carried out at 37° C, for 1 hr with the mixture including E1 (150 ng), E2 (5) μg), SUMO-1 (5 μg), ATP (2 mM), and GST-ODD (344–698)PM (300 ng).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank J. Ni, J. Ren, and L. Zhao for expert technical assistance. Supported in part by NIH R01 CA 80089 (E.T.H.Y), Department of Defense-CDMRP PC040121 (E.T.H.Y.), and CA-16672 (MDACC).

References

- Bae SH, Jeong JW, Park JA, Kim SH, Bae MK, Choi SJ, Kim KW. Sumoylation increases HIF-1alpha stability and its transcriptional activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004;324:394–400. [PubMed: 15465032]
- Brahimi-Horn C, Mazure N, Pouyssegur J. Signalling via the hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha requires multiple posttranslational modifications. Cell Signal 2005;17:1–9. [PubMed: 15451019]
- Bruick RK, McKnight SL. A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modify HIF. Science 2001;294:1337–1340. [PubMed: 11598268]
- Cheng J, Bawa T, Lee P, Gong L, Yeh ET. Role of desumoylation in the development of prostate cancer. Neoplasia 2006;8:667–676. [PubMed: 16925949]
- Cheng J, Perkins ND, Yeh ET. Differential Regulation of c-Jun-dependent Transcription by SUMOspecific Proteases. J Biol Chem 2005;280:14492–14498. [PubMed: 15701643]
- Cheng J, Wang D, Wang Z, Yeh ET. SENP1 enhances androgen receptor-dependent transcription through desumoylation of histone deacetylase 1. Mol Cell Biol 2004;24:6021–6028. [PubMed: 15199155]
- Di Bacco A, Ouyang J, Lee HY, Catic A, Ploegh H, Gill G. The SUMO-specific protease SENP5 is required for cell division. Mol Cell Biol 2006;26:4489–4498. [PubMed: 16738315]
- Ebert BL, Bunn HF. Regulation of the erythropoietin gene. Blood 1999;94:1864–1877. [PubMed: 10477715]

- Epstein AC, Gleadle JM, McNeill LA, Hewitson KS, O'Rourke J, Mole DR, Mukherji M, Metzen E, Wilson MI, Dhanda A, et al. C. elegans EGL-9 and mammalian homologs define a family of dioxygenases that regulate HIF by prolyl hydroxylation. Cell 2001;107:43–54. [PubMed: 11595184]
- Gerald D, Berra E, Frapart YM, Chan DA, Giaccia AJ, Mansuy D, Pouyssegur J, Yaniv M, Mechta-Grigoriou F. JunD reduces tumor angiogenesis by protecting cells from oxidative stress. Cell 2004;118:781–794. [PubMed: 15369676]
- Giaccia AJ, Simon MC, Johnson R. The biology of hypoxia: the role of oxygen sensing in development, normal function, and disease. Genes Dev 2004;18:2183–2194. [PubMed: 15371333]
- Gill G. SUMO and ubiquitin in the nucleus: different functions, similar mechanisms? Genes Dev 2004;18:2046–2059. [PubMed: 15342487]
- Godin I, Cumano A. The hare and the tortoise: an embryonic haematopoietic race. Nat Rev Immunol 2002;2:593–604. [PubMed: 12154378]
- Gong L, Millas S, Maul GG, Yeh ET. Differential regulation of sentrinized proteins by a novel sentrinspecific protease. J Biol Chem 2000;275:3355–3359. [PubMed: 10652325]
- Gong L, Yeh ET. Characterization of a family of nucleolar SUMO-specific proteases with preference for SUMO-2 or SUMO-3. J Biol Chem 2006;281:15869–15877. [PubMed: 16608850]
- Groulx I, Lee S. Oxygen-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of hypoxia-inducible factor requires nuclear-cytoplasmic trfficking of von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein. Mol Cell Biol 2002;22:519–5336.
- Hay RT. SUMO: a history of modification. Mol Cell 2005;18:1–12. [PubMed: 15808504]
- Huang LE, Gu J, Schau M, Bunn HF. Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha is mediated by an O2-dependent degradation domain via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:7987–7992. [PubMed: 9653127]
- Ivan M, Kondo K, Yang H, Kim W, Valiando J, Ohh M, Salic A, Asara JM, Lane WS, Kaelin WG Jr. HIFalpha targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: implications for O2 sensing. Science 2001;292:464–468. [PubMed: 11292862]
- Iyer NV, Kotch LE, Agani F, Leung SW, Laughner E, Wenger RH, Gassmann M, Gearhart JD, Lawler AM, Yu AY, Semenza GL. Cellular and developmental control of O2 homeostasis by hypoxiainducible factor 1 alpha. Genes Dev 1998;12:149–162. [PubMed: 9436976]
- Jaakkola P, Mole DR, Tian YM, Wilson MI, Gielbert J, Gaskell SJ, Kriegsheim A, Hebestreit HF, Mukherji M, Schofield CJ, et al. Targeting of HIF-alpha to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O2-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. Science 2001;292:468–472. [PubMed: 11292861]
- Jiang BH, Semenza GL, Bauer C, Marti HH. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 levels vary exponentially over a physiologically relevant range of O2 tension. Am J Physiol 1996;271:C1172–1180. [PubMed: 8897823]
- Kondo M, Wagers AJ, Manz MG, Prohaska SS, Scherer DC, Beilhack GF, Shizuru JA, Weissman IL. Biology of hematopoietic stem cells and progenitors: implications for clinical application. Annu Rev Immunol 2003;21:759–806. [PubMed: 12615892]
- Lallemand-Breitenbach V, Zhu J, Puvion F, Koken M, Honore N, Doubeikovsky A, Duprez E, Pandolfi PP, Puvion E, Freemont P, de The H. Role of promyelocytic leukemia (PML) sumolation in nuclear body formation, 11S proteasome recruitment, and As2O3-induced PML or PML/retinoic acid receptor alpha degradation. J Exp Med 2001;193:1361–1371. [PubMed: 11413191]
- Liu YV, Baek JH, Zhang H, Diez R, Cole RN, Semenza GL. RACK1 competes with HSP90 for binding to HIF-1alpha and is required for O(2)-independent and HSP90 inhibitor-induced degradation of HIF-1alpha. Mol Cell 2007;25:207–217. [PubMed: 17244529]
- Masson N, Willam C, Maxwell PH, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ. Independent function of two destruction domains in hypoxia-inducible factor-alpha chains activated by prolyl hydroxylation. Embo J 2001;20:5197–5206. [PubMed: 11566883]
- Maxwell PH, Wiesener MS, Chang GW, Clifford SC, Vaux EC, Cockman ME, Wykoff CC, Pugh CW, Maher ER, Ratcliffe PJ. The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. Nature 1999;399:271–275. [PubMed: 10353251]
- Nacerddine K, Lehembre F, Bhaumik M, Artus J, Cohen-Tannoudji M, Babinet C, Pandolfi PP, Dejean A. The SUMO pathway is essential for nuclear integrity and chromosome segregation in mice. Dev Cell 2005;9:769–779. [PubMed: 16326389]

- Nakayama K, Frew IJ, Hagensen M, Skals M, Habelhah H, Bhoumik A, Kadoya T, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Frappell PB, et al. Siah2 regulates stability of prolyl-hydroxylases, controls HIF1alpha abundance, and modulates physiological responses to hypoxia. Cell 2004;117:941–952. [PubMed: 15210114]
- Neubauer H, Cumano A, Muller M, Wu H, Huffstadt U, Pfeffer K. Jak2 deficiency defines an essential developmental checkpoint in definitive hematopoiesis. Cell 1998;93:397–409. [PubMed: 9590174]
- Ohh M, Park CW, Ivan M, Hoffman MA, Kim TY, Huang LE, Pavletich N, Chau V, Kaelin WG. Ubiquitination of hypoxia-inducible factor requires direct binding to the beta-domain of the von Hippel-Lindau protein. Nat Cell Biol 2000;2:423–427. [PubMed: 10878807]
- Parganas E, Wang D, Stravopodis D, Topham DJ, Marine JC, Teglund S, Vanin EF, Bodner S, Colamonici OR, van Deursen JM, et al. Jak2 is essential for signaling through a variety of cytokine receptors. Cell 1998;93:385–395. [PubMed: 9590173]
- Ryan HE, Lo J, Johnson RS. HIF-1 alpha is required for solid tumor formation and embryonic vascularization. Embo J 1998;17:3005–3015. [PubMed: 9606183]
- Socolovsky M, Fallon AE, Wang S, Brugnara C, Lodish HF. Fetal anemia and apoptosis of red cell progenitors in Stat5a−/− 5b−/− mice: a direct role for Stat5 in Bcl-X(L) induction. Cell 1999;98:181– 191. [PubMed: 10428030]
- Stebbins CE, Kaelin WG Jr, Pavletich NP. Structure of the VHL-ElonginC-ElonginB complex: implications for VHL tumor suppressor function. Science 1999;284:455–461. [PubMed: 10205047]
- Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O2 tension. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995;92:5510–5514. [PubMed: 7539918]
- Wu H, Liu X, Jaenisch R, Lodish HF. Generation of committed erythroid BFU-E and CFU-E progenitors does not require erythropoietin or the erythropoietin receptor. Cell 1995;83:59–67. [PubMed: 7553874]
- Yamaguchi T, Sharma P, Athanasiou M, Kumar A, Yamada S, Kuehn MR. Mutation of SENP1/SuPr-2 reveals an essential role for desumoylation in mouse development. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:5171– 5182. [PubMed: 15923632]
- Yeh ET, Gong L, Kamitani T. Ubiquitin-like proteins: new wines in new bottles. Gene 2000;248:1–14. [PubMed: 10806345]
- Yu F, White SB, Zhao Q, Lee FS. HIF-1alpha binding to VHL is regulated by stimulus-sensitive proline hydroxylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:9630–9635. [PubMed: 11504942]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Severe anemia in the *SENP1***−/− embryos**

(A) The ratios of the observed live and dead (in parentheses) *SENP1*−/− embryos to the total number of embryos analyzed at different stages of embryonic development.

(B) Appearance of *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos at E15.5. The *SENP1*−/− embryo and yolk sac were paler and smaller than those of the wild-type embryo and appeared to contain fewer red blood cells in major blood vessels.

(C) Relative numbers of red blood cells in peripheral blood in E15.5 wild-type $(+, n = 5)$, heterozygote $(+/-, n = 9)$, and *SENP1* $(-/-, n = 4)$ embryos. Results shown are means \pm SD. A significant decrease in the number of red blood cells was found in *SENP1* (−/−) embryos (p < 0.007), when compared with those in wild-type or heterozygote embryos.

(D) Total number of nucleated cells per fetal liver in E13.5 (+/+: n = 4; $-\prime$ -: n = 3), E14.5 (+/ +: $n = 4$; $-\prime$ -: $n = 4$), and E15.5 embryos (+/+: $n = 5$; $-\prime$ -: $n = 3$). Results shown are means \pm SD. Significant differences between wildtype and mutant embryos were found at E14.5 ($p <$ 0.015) and E15.5 ($p < 0.004$).

(E) Hematoxylin and Eosin-stained sections of fetal liver from E12.5 *SENP1*+/+ and −/− embryos. The *SENP1^{−/}*−fetal liver showed a marked decrease in the number of erythropoietic foci and increase in apoptotic cells.

Figure 2. *SENP1***−/− erythroid progenitors undergo apoptosis due to Epo deficiency**

(A) Analysis of CFU-e- and BFU-e-forming ability of fetal liver cells from E13.5 *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos. Results shown are means ± SD determined from three embryos. The number of CFU-e from liver cells of *SENP1*−/− embryos was significantly less than that from *SENP1*+/+ embryos (p<0.05). (B) Liver cells isolated from E13.5 *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos were stained with Ter-119 antibody and analyzed by the TUNEL procedure. Histograms show TUNEL staining profile of the Ter-119-positive population identified by flow cytometry. The percentages in the histograms are the percentages of TUNEL-positive cells.

(C) RT–PCR analysis of a variety of genes that are involved in erythroid differentiation in fetal livers of *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos at E11.5. Samples were amplified for 36, 39, and

42 cycles for Epo; 25, 28, and 32 cycles for EpoR, c-kit, SCF, Jak2, and STAT5. β-Actin mRNA levels (amplified for 18, 21, and 24 cycles) were measured as control. (D) Fetal liver sections from E12.5 *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos were stained with anti-Epo antibody (brown).

(E and F) Fetal liver cells isolated from E13.5 *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos were cultured for 24 hours in the absence or presence of Epo (5 U/ml). Cell numbers were counted under microscope (E). Results shown in (E) are means ± SD determined from three embryos. TUNELpositive cells were quantitated by flow cytometry. Percentages in histograms are the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells (F).

Figure 3. SENP1 regulates Epo production through HIF1α

(A) ELISA analysis of Epo production in Hep 3B cells. Data are presented as means ± SD of the results of three independent experiments for Figure 3A, B, C, and D. (NS = Non-specific siRNA).

(B) SENP1 enhanced Epo transcription induced by hypoxia. The indicated reporter gene, $HIF1\alpha$, and SENP1 expression plasmids were co-transfected into Hep 3B cells. The cells were treated with hypoxia $(1\% O_2)$ for 12 hr before luciferase assay. The Epo reporter gene with mutation of $HIF1\alpha$ binding sites on the Epo enhancer is indicated by mEpo-Luc. SENP1m is the catalytically inactive SENP1.

(C) SENP1 enhanced HIF1α-dependent Epo transcription. The indicated reporter gene, HIF1α, and SENP1 expression plasmids were co-transfected into Hep 3B cells.

(D) SENP1 was essential for $HIF1\alpha$ -dependent Epo transcription. Epo-Luc and the indicated siRNA expression plasmids were co-transfected into Hep 3B cells without or with HIF1 α plasmids.

Figure 4. Defect in hypoxia-induced stabilization of HIF1α in SENP1−/− embryos

(A) HIF1α expression was decreased in *SENP1*−/− fetal liver. Fetal liver sections from E12.5 *SENP1*+/+ and *SENP1*−/− embryos were stained with anti-HIF1α antibody (brown). (B) Hypoxia-induced HIF1α protein expression was decreased in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells. *SENP1*+/+ and $-/-$ MEF cells were treated with hypoxia (1% O₂) for 3 hrs before harvest. The whole cell lysate was analyzed by western blotting with anti-HIF1 α and Actin antibodies. (C) The half-life of HIF1α protein under hypoxia was decrease in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells. (D) SENP1 was essential for hypoxia-induced HIF1 α ODD (344–698) activity. 293 cells were transfected with pGal4-VP16 or pGal4-ODD (344–698)-VP16 plus other plasmids as indicated. Cells were incubated for 12 hrs in normoxia or hypoxia $(1\% O_2)$ before harvest. The data are presented as the corrected (by internal control) pGal4-ODD-VP16 luciferase activity that normalized to the counts of pGal4-VP16.

(E) Hypoxia-induced HIF1α activity was significantly reduced in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells. Data are presented as means \pm SD of three independent experiments

(F) Hypoxia-induced expression of VEGF and Glut-1 was reduced in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells. Expression of VEGF, Glut-1, and Actin-β was determined in *SENP1*+/+ or −/− MEF cells by RT-PCR.

(G) HIF1α restored hypoxia-induced expression of VEGF in SENP1−/− MEF cells. SENP1−/ − MEF cells were transfected with HIF1α SM (SUMOylation mutant), or vector control

plasmids and treated with hypoxia $(1\% O_2)$ for different time as indicated. Expression of VEGF and Actin-β was determined by RT-PCR.

(A) SENP1 de-conjugated SUMOylated HIF1α in vitro. SUMOylated GST-ODD (344–698) PM recombinant protein was produced by *in vitro* SUMOylation. Flag-SENP1 or SENP1m was generated by in vitro translation. SUMOylated GST-ODDPM and SENP1 (or SENP1m) were incubated for 1 hr at 37 °C. The reaction mixtures were detected by western blot with anti-HIF1 α (left panel), anti-SUMO-1 (right panel), and anti-Flag antibodies (bottom panel). (B) Mutation of SUMOylation sites increased HIF1α-dependent Epo transcription and reduced HIF1 α response to SENP1. Epo-Luc and the indicated plasmids were co-transfected into Hep-3B cells. Data are presented as means \pm SD of three independent experiments.

(C) SUMOylated HIF1α accumulated in SENP1−/− MEF cells, but not in wildtype or *SENP2* −/−MEF cells after exposure to hypoxia. Wildtype (+/+), *SENP1*−/−, or *SENP2*−/− MEF cells were treated with or without hypoxia (1% O₂) for 4 hrs as indicated. HIF1 α was immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α antibody from these cell lysates. The precipitates were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-SUMO-1 antibody (top panel). Asterisk indicates IgG band. (D) SUMOylated HIF1α level was controlled by SENP1 and proteasome-dependent degradation. COS-7 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids and then treated without or with MG132 (10 μ M) for 4 hours before harvesting and immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α (IP). Bound proteins were detected by anti-HA and anti-HIF1 α immunoblotting (IB). Wholecell lysates (WCL) were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-HA. Asterisk indicates IgG band. (E) SUMOylated HIF1α accumulated in *SENP1*−/− MEF cells after exposure to hypoxia and undergoes proteasome-dependent degradation. *SENP1*+/+ or −/− MEF cells were treated by hypoxia (1% O₂) and MG132 (10 μM) for 4 hrs as indicated. HIF1 α was immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α antibody from cell lysates. The precipitates were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-SUMO-1 and HIF1 α antibodies. Asterisk indicates IgG band.

(A) HIF1α ubiquitination was regulated by SUMO-1 and SENP1. COS-7 cells were cotransfected with indicated plasmids and treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 9 hours before harvesting. HIF1 α was immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α (IP) and bound proteins were detected by anti-HA (top panel), anti-Myc (second panel), and anti-HIF1 α immunoblotting (third panel) (IB). Whole-cell lysates (WCL) were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-HA (fourth panel) or anti-Flag (bottom panel) antibodies. Asterisk indicates IgG band. (B) RCC4 or RCC4/VHL cells were treated by hypoxia (1% O_2) and/or MG132 (10 μ M) for

4 hrs as indicated. HIF1 α was immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α antibody from cell lysates.

The precipitates were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-SUMO-1 or HIF1 α antibodies. Asterisk indicates IgG band.

(C) VHL bound to SUMOylated HIF1α proline mutant (HIF1α PM) *in vivo*. COS-7 cells were co-transfected with indicated plasmids and treated without or with MG132 (10 μM) for 4 hrs before harvesting. VHL was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag (IP) from the nuclear fraction of the transfected cells and bound proteins were detected by anti-HIF1 α (top panel), anti-HA (second panel), or anti-Flag immunoblotting (third panel) (IB). HIF1 α was also immunoprecipitated with anti-HIF1 α (IP) from the nuclear fraction of transfected cells and bound proteins were detected by anti-HA antibody (IB) (fourth panel). Whole-cell lysates (WCL) were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-HIF1 α (bottom panel). Asterisk indicates IgG band. (D) VHL specifically bound to SUMOylated HIF1α ODD with proline mutation (GST-ODD (344–698) PM) *in vitro*. GST-ODD (344–698) PM recombinant protein and SUMOylated GST-ODD (344–698) PM produced by *in vitro* SUMOylation was incubated with Flag-VHL produced by *in vitro* translation. After washing and eluting with Flag peptide, the precipitates were immunoblotted with ant-HIF1α (middle panel) or anti-SUMO-1(right panel) antibodies. (E) Mapping of VHL domain that bound to SUMO-1-fused ODD. GST-ODD (344–698) PM and SUMO-1-fused GST-ODD (344–698) PM recombinant proteins were incubated with HA-VHL and its mutant produced by *in vitro* translation for two hr. The precipitates with glutathione-agarose beads were detected by immunoblotting with ant-HA (top and right panel) or anti-HIF1α antibodies (bottom panel).

(F) HIF1 α degradation induced by SENP1 silencing was VHL-dependent. RCC4 or RCC4/ VHL cells and SENP1-siRNA stable transfected RCC4 or RCC4/VHL cells were treated by hypoxia $(1\% O_2)$ for 4 hrs as indicated. Cell lysates were detected by immunoblotted with anti-HIF1α or anti-actin antibodies.

Figure 7. SENP1 regulates of HIF1α stability in hypoxia

Hypoxia blocks the activity of PHD, preventing hydroxylation of HIF1α and its subsequent degradation in a VHL- and ubiquitin-dependent manner. On the other hand, hypoxia induces nuclear translocation and SUMOylation of HIF1α, which provides an alternative signal for VHL- and ubiquitin-dependent degradation. SENP1 stabilizes HIF1α by removing the alternative VHL-binding signal.