# sfrA and sfrB Products of Escherichia coli K-12 Are Transcriptional Control Factors

LOTHAR BEUTIN,<sup>1</sup><sup>†</sup> PAUL A. MANNING,<sup>1</sup><sup>‡</sup> MARK ACHTMAN,<sup>1\*</sup> AND NEIL WILLETTS<sup>2</sup>

Max-Planck-Institut für molekulare Genetik, D-1000 Berlin 33, Germany,<sup>1</sup> and Department of Molecular Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, Scotland<sup>2</sup>

The mechanisms whereby mutations in *Escherichia coli* K-12 genes sfrA and sfrB reduce expression of the transfer functions of sex factor F have been examined by assaying the levels of tra messenger ribonucleic acid and of tra proteins. The sfrA product was necessary for efficient transcription of the control gene traJ and, directly or indirectly, for transcription of the  $traY \rightarrow Z$  operon. In the absence of sfrA, reduced levels of the traJ and traT proteins were observed in the outer membrane. The sfrB product was needed to prevent premature transcription at one or more rho-dependent termination sites. sfrB mutations also reduced synthesis of full-length lipopolysaccharide molecules, of several chromosomally determined outer membrane proteins, and of functional flagella. Thus, the sfrB product may act as an antiterminator in transcription of several operons encoding cell envelope components.

The transfer of plasmid DNA by conjugation has been intensively investigated for the Escherichia coli K-12 sex factor F (12, 17). The series of stages required before DNA transfer can occur include recognition by the donor cell's F pilus of recipient cells, formation of stable mating aggregates, and triggering of specific DNA replication in the donor cells. DNA transfer occurs unidirectionally from donor to recipient because donor cells are prevented from acting as recipients by the surface exclusion proteins (3). Most of the various proteins responsible for these events are encoded by a series of transfer (tra) cistrons on the F sex factor and are located in the cell envelope (2). With a few exceptions, the tracistrons are all included in a single 33-kilobase operon (8, 9, 14), now called the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon (17; Fig. 1). The traJ cistron lies outside the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon, and the tra J protein is somehow involved in the positive control of transcription of this operon (15). Consequently, traJ mutations are pleiotropic and result in the lack of synthesis of the various tra proteins (3). However, the traJ protein is located in the outer membrane of the cell envelope (2, 3), an unexpected location for a regulatory protein. Also, synthesis of tra operon proteins by chimeric plasmid pRS27 (pSC101 traMJYALEKBV) was apparently traJ independent in minicells or in vitro (10). This might reflect traJ-independent transcription from a nearby vector promoter, or it might indicate that transcription is only

regulated by the traJ protein when other cell components, absent from minicells or the in vitro protein-synthesizing system, are present. It was therefore suggested (12) that the traJ protein may act only indirectly in cells to allow transcription of the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon and that regulation depends on a currently unidentified, cytoplasmic regulatory protein. It is most unlikely that the F factor itself encodes any such regulatory protein (3), but two E. coli chromosomal genes (sfrA and sfrB) have recently been identified, whose products are also needed for maximal expression of the different tra proteins involved in F pilus synthesis and surface exclusion (7). sfrB mutants were also defective in certain other cell envelope-associated properties, namely, the synthesis of functional flagella and adsorption of the lipopolysaccharide-specific bacteriophages U3 and C21. All 12 sfrA or sfrB mutations examined were leaky, suggesting that more stringent mutations might be deleterious to cell growth or viability. The sfrA and sfrBproducts might directly affect interactions within the cell envelope or might regulate transcription of operons encoding tra and other cell envelope components. We have therefore analyzed the effects of sfrA and sfrB mutations in more detail. The results presented here show that the sfrA and sfrB products are novel transcriptional control factors.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains.** The *E. coli* K-12 strains used have been described previously (7), with the exception of those carrying a *rho* mutation. Strain AD1704 carries a *rho* mutation called *rho-15*(Ts) and a closely

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Bundesgesundheitsamt, Max von Pettenkofer Institut, D-1000 Berlin 33, Germany.

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Adelaide, Australia 5000.



FIG. 1. The F transfer region. The tra sizes are shown below the genetic map (12, 17), which is drawn to scale, and the lengths and locations of the tra segments carried by the  $\lambda$  tra transducing phages and pRS31 are shown above the map.

linked mutation leading to valine resistance (6). This strain is temperature sensitive for growth, a property which was ascribed to the rho mutation. When a bacteriophage P1 lysate grown on AD1704 was used to transduce strain JC3272 to valine resistance, only half of the transductants were temperature sensitive, and most of the remainder carried a rho mutation but remained temperature insensitive for growth. Two mutants of Flac were separately introduced by conjugation into the latter transductants to demonstrate rho relief of transcriptional polarity: (i) Flac carrying the polar IS1 insertion mutation MS319 in lacZ (11) expressed the lacY cistron, as manifested by growth on melibiose at 42°C; and (ii) the Flac mutant JCFL4 carrying the strongly polar traK4 mutation (3, 5) expressed the traS and traT cistrons, as manifested by regained surface exclusion. We conclude therefore that strain AD1704 carries two closely linked mutations. one resulting in temperature sensitivity, and the other. rho-15, accounting for relief of polarity. Phage P1 grown on a temperature-insensitive rho-15 derivative of strain JC3272 was used to transduce rho-15 to other strains, selecting for valine resistance.

Bacterial plasmids and phages. Plasmid pRS31 is pSC101, carrying EcoRI fragments f17, f19, and f2 of F (4; Fig. 1). Phage ED $\lambda$ 4 ( $\lambda$  cl857 Sam7 b515 b519) and the  $\lambda$  tra phages derived from it have been described previously (13, 15, 16; D. Johnson and N. S. Willetts, manuscript in preparation; Fig. 1). Bacteriophages U3 and C21 were from the Berlin laboratory collection.

Hybridization analysis. The preparation of  $\lambda$  phages and phage DNA and the hybridization methodology were as described previously (15). Hybridizations with RNA isolated from cells pulse-labeled with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine were to 0-, 5-, 7.5-, and 10-µg amounts of  $\lambda$  tra DNA immobilized on membrane filters. The plateau values were read from the resultant curves drawn after subtracting the background level of radioactivity on the filters without DNA.

Visualization of cell envelope proteins. Bacterial cell envelopes were isolated by centrifugation after disruption by sonication (1). Triton X-100-insoluble and -soluble fractions of the cell envelope were prepared and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, using 11 to 20% gradients of acrylamide (1). Gels were fixed in 50% (wt/vol) trichloroacetic acid for 30 min, stained overnight in 0.06% (wt/vol) Coomassie brilliant blue G250 in 3.5% (wt/vol) perchloric acid, and destained in 5% (vol/vol) acetic acid. To demonstrate lipopolysaccharide trapping of the dye, the gels were photographed within the first 2 h after destaining was begun.

## RESULTS

Transcriptional analysis of sfrA mutants. mRNA from sfr<sup>+</sup>, sfrA, or sfrB cells carrying an F prime plasmid was pulse-labeled with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine and hybridized to DNA prepared from a set of  $\lambda$  tra transducing phages covering the transfer region from traJ to traD (Fig. 1). The levels of mRNA corresponding to these tra segments were determined as percentages of the total amounts of pulse-labeled RNA in the cells (Table 1).

Hybridization of mRNA from sfrA4 or sfrA5 bacteria was reduced approximately sixfold relative to  $sfr^+$  bacteria for all three  $\lambda$  tra phages tested (except for sfrA5 versus ED $\lambda$ 98 which gave even lower values). Thus, sfrA mutations on the bacterial chromosome resulted in lessened transcription of the F factor tra operon. The results with phage ED $\lambda$ 87 [ $\lambda$  tra(Y)(A)J(Y); Table 1] allowed us to estimate how efficiently traJ was transcribed. Phage ED $\lambda$ 87 contains the 0.7-kilobase traJ cistron plus 0.9 kilobases of  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon DNA (Johnson and Willetts, manuscript in preparation). We assumed that the transcriptional rate of this 0.9-kilobase segment is the same as that for the 11 kilobases of  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon DNA present in phage ED $\lambda$ 98. Thus, the contribution of  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  DNA in phage ED $\lambda$ 87 could be subtracted from the total hybridization observed. These calculations revealed that traJ is transcribed in  $sfr^+$  cells about fourfold more efficiently (per unit length) than the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon. We noted that the translational rate of traJ protein in minicells was

DNA probe	tra Cistrons <sup>b</sup>	Plateau values <sup>a</sup>						
		sfr+c	sfrA4	sfrB11	%	sfrA5	sfrB13	%
ΕDλ4	None	0.010	0.007			0.010		
<b>EDλ87</b>	tra(Y)(A)J(Y)	0.107	0.024		18	0.038		29
EDA98	tra(Y)ALEKBVWCU	0.303	0.049		14	0.025		5
EDλ97	tra(B)VWCUNFHGSTD	0.382	0.072		18	0.073		17
ΕDλ4	None	0.010		0.008			0.011	
<b>EDλ87</b>	tra(Y)(A)J(Y)	0.093		0.109	122		0.079	82
EDX98	tra(Y)ALEKBVWCU	0.312		0.229	73		0.243	77
EDλ97	tra(B)VWCUNFHGSTD	0.405		0.165	40		0.188	45
EDλ110	tra(G)STD	0.098		$ND^{d}$	ND		0.03	22

TABLE 1. Hybridization of tra mRNA to  $\lambda$  tra DNA

<sup>a</sup> The figures give the plateau values read from hybridization curves constructed by using different amounts of  $\lambda$  tra DNA, expressed as percentages of the total labeled RNA. The amounts of tra mRNA in the sfr mutants are also given as percentages of the amounts in sfr<sup>+</sup> cells after subtracting the ED $\lambda$ 4 background values. Labeled RNA (0.4 × 10<sup>6</sup> to 1.4 × 10<sup>6</sup> cpm) was added to each hybridization vial. Control filters with no DNA bound an average of 0.007% of the radioactivity added.

<sup>b</sup> Parentheses indicate that only a part of the tra gene is carried, i.e., ED $\lambda$ 98 carries only part of tra Y, ED $\lambda$ 97 carries only part of tra B, etc. ED $\lambda$ 87 was derived from a lambda insertion into tra Y by an illegitimate recombination event between sites immediately to the left of tra J and within tra A. Thus, tra Y is split into two segments within ED $\lambda$ 87.

<sup>c</sup> Labeled RNA was prepared from derivatives of the lambda-free strains M1986 (for *sfrA* mutations carrying *Flac*) or M3960 (for *sfrB* mutations carrying *Ftrp*).

<sup>d</sup> ND, Not done.

higher than that of most tra cistrons (10). Furthermore, the transcription of traJ was reduced on the average about threefold in the sfrA mutants relative to the sfr<sup>+</sup> cells. We concluded that the sfrA product is required for efficient transcription of the traJ gene. Since  $traY \rightarrow Z$ operon transcription is traJ dependent (11), the sixfold-reduced level of operon transcription observed might result directly from the expected reduced level of the traJ protein. Alternatively or in addition, the sfrA product might be directly required for transcriptic f the traY  $\rightarrow Z$  operon.

In either event, it would be expected that transcription of  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon genes from a different promoter which is independent of tra J should be insensitive to sfrA mutations. This was tested by using the chimeric plasmid pRS31 which carries the tra cistrons S, T, D, I, and Z cloned in plasmid pSC101 (Fig. 1) and transcribed (presumably) from a promoter in pSC101 (4, 10). The traS and traT cistrons together encode surface exclusion, a function which is dependent upon the gene copy number (1, 3). As predicted, plasmid pRS31 (unlike Flac) expressed surface exclusion as efficiently in sfrA mutants as in  $sfr^+$  cells (Table 2).

Transcriptional analysis of sfrB mutations. In contrast to sfrA mutants, the level of mRNA hybridizing to phage ED $\lambda$ 87 in sfrB11 and sfrB13 mutants was not reduced, showing that traJ is transcribed at normal levels. However, hybridization to DNA from  $\lambda$  tra phages carrying various segments of the tra  $Y \rightarrow Z$  operon

**TABLE** 2. Dependence of the SfrA<sup>-</sup> and SfrB<sup>-</sup> phenotypes on the tra  $Y \rightarrow Z$  operon promoter

Mutation	Surface exclusion index of cells carrying":			
	Flac	pRS31		
sfr <sup>+</sup>	390	5,500		
sfrA5	20	9,500		
sfrA8	12	4,200		
sfrB11	13	≥13,000		
sfrB13	3.5	13,000		

<sup>a</sup> Derivatives of JC3272 were tested in crosses with Hfr M2311 (7) to determine the surface exclusion indices (the reduction in the number of recombinants relative to the number obtained with JC3272 itself).

was reduced, and the extent of the reduction increased as the distance from the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon promoter increased. Thus, transcription of the tra(G)STD region carried by phage ED $\lambda$ 110 was reduced most, to about one-fifth of the level observed in  $sfr^+$  cells. We propose, therefore, that the  $srfB^+$  product forms a part of an antitermination mechanism which normally ensures complete transcription of the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon. In its absence, transcription is terminated prematurely at one or more relatively inefficient termination sites within the operon. Since surface exclusion encoded by plasmid pRS31 was unaffected in sfrB cells (Table 2), we concluded that either there are no termination sites promoter proximal to the traS and traT cistrons in the cloned tra DNA segment, or the putative

sfrB antitermination product is similar to the  $\lambda$  bacteriophage N protein in that its effect is promoter dependent.

If our explanation is correct, then transcriptional termination in the absence of the sfrBproduct might or might not be rho dependent (6). However, if the effects of sfrB mutations were reversed by rho mutations, this would supply strong evidence for the putative antitermination. Therefore, the rho-15 mutation (see above) was introduced into all six sfrB mutants. Presence of the rho-15 mutation was confirmed by demonstrating relief of polarity of the *lacZ*:: IS1 mutation MS319 and continued presence of the sfrB mutations by the characteristic resistance to U3 and sensitivity to C21 bacteriophages that they determine. Although sfrB and rho are both separately cotransducible with metE, they were not cotransducible with each other because none ( $\leq 1\%$ ) of the *rho-15* transductants had become  $sfrB^+$ . In confirmation, sfrB mutations from selected rho-15 transductants could be cotransduced with  $metE^+$  at the usual frequency of 20% into a MetE<sup>-</sup> strain. The tests could now be performed to determine whether rho-15 suppressed the effects of sfrB mutations on transfer functions by introducing Flac plasmids into the rho-15 sfr<sup>+</sup> or rho-15 sfrB cells. In all cases, these transconjugants synthesized F pili (as measured by sensitivity to F-specific bacteriophages). They were as efficient donors of Flac as an  $sfr^+$   $rho^+$  strain and had regained intermediate levels of surface exclusion (data not shown). Based on the hybridization data and on these results, it does indeed seem that the sfrBproduct causes antitermination. In the absence of the sfrB product, termination within the tra operon is at least partly rho dependent. In contrast, the effects of sfrB mutations on cell envelope lipopolysaccharides (as tested with the phages U3 and C21) were not rho dependent (see above). Finally, sfrA mutations were not suppressed by the rho-15 mutation.

Analysis of cell envelope components. Based on the above results, sfrA cells carrying Flac were expected to possess less traJ and traTprotein, whereas srfB cells carrying Flac were expected to possess less traT protein in  $rho^+$ , but not in rho, cells. For the analysis, cells were broken by sonication, and the cell envelope was separated from the cytoplasm by centrifugation. The envelope was separated into Triton X-100soluble inner membrane proteins and Triton X-100-insoluble outer membrane proteins. All three fractions were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide slab gel electrophoresis, but the only differences noted were in the outer membrane fraction. Of the numerous tra proteins encoded by F DNA, those encoded by traJ and traT (both outer membrane proteins) can be readily detected by these techniques (1, 3).

As predicted. there were undetectable amounts of the traJ protein and reduced amounts of the traT protein in the outer membranes of sfrA mutants (Fig. 2). No other significant differences were noted. sfrB mutants possessed normal levels of the traJ protein but greatly reduced levels of the traT protein (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the rho-15 mutation restored (at least in part) the level of the traT protein in sfrB (but not in sfrA) cells (Fig. 2). Minor changes in the concentration of a few chromosomally encoded outer membrane proteins were also produced by sfrB mutations. A dramatic change was seen in the region where lipopolysaccharide migrates (bracketed area in Fig. 2). Lipopolysaccharide traps Coomassie brilliant blue G250 and can be detected in polyacrylamide gels before destaining is complete. The lipopolysaccharide in sfrB mutants was probably shorter than normal because it migrated more quickly. This effect was not prevented by the rho-15 mutation, in agreement with the unchanged abilities of bacteriophages C21 and U3 to plate (or not) on rho-15 sfrB hosts (see above).

## DISCUSSION

These results allow the recognition of the sfrAand sfrB products as novel transcriptional con-



FIG. 2. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of Triton X-100-insoluble outer membranes isolated from JC3272 (Flac) derivatives that were his<sup>+</sup> and carried the sfr and rho mutations indicated.

trol factors. The *sfrA* product is needed for efficient transcription of *traJ*. Because *traJ* mutations prevent transcription of the *traY* $\rightarrow$ *Z* operon (15), the reduced synthesis of *traJ* mRNA in *sfrA* cells can account for reduced transcription of the *traY* $\rightarrow$ *Z* operon. However, the possibility has not been excluded that the *sfrA* product is also directly needed for transcription of the main operon and forms a part of the control mechanism which starts its transcription when *traJ* protein is present. It is not clear how or why control of the plasmid *tra* gene by a chromosomal gene may have arisen or what other roles the *sfrA* product may play in the bacterial cell.

The sfrB product was needed to prevent premature rho-dependent transcription termination at one or more inefficient sites within the  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon. sfrB mutations affected the efficient synthesis of full-length lipopolysaccharide molecules, of several outer membrane proteins, and of functional flagella (7; Fig. 2). The latter effects could not be suppressed by the rho-15 mutation. These observations suggest that if the sfrB product is a normal antiterminator for operons encoding cell envelope components. then in most cases the termination is rho independent. Cells carrying sfrB mutations grew more slowly than did  $sfr^+$  cells, and all the sfrBmutations available were leaky (7). The sfrBproduct might therefore be required for transcription of cell envelope operons essential for cell growth in addition to its role in transcription of the F  $tra Y \rightarrow Z$  operon.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by MRC program grant G978/88 to N.S.W. P.A.M. was supported by grant Ac 36/2 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

We are grateful to Richard Hayward for his comments on the manuscript, to Sankhar Adhya for supplying AD1704, and to Michael Malamy for supplying FOS-3 carrying the FlacIS1insertion MS319.

#### LITERATURE CITED

 Achtman, M., N. Kennedy, and R. Skurray. 1977. Cellcell interactions in conjugating *Escherichia coli*: role of *traT* protein in surface exclusion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74:5104-5108.

- Achtman, M., P. A. Manning, C. Edelbluth, and P. Herrlich. 1979. Export without proteolytic processing of inner and outer membrane proteins encoded by F sex factor tra cistrons in *Escherichia coli* minicells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76:4837-4841.
- Achtman, M., P. A. Manning, B. Kusecek, S. Schwuchow, and N. Willetts. 1980. A genetic analysis of F sex factor cistrons needed for surface exclusion in *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 138:779-795.
- Achtman, M., R. A. Skurray, R. Thompson, R. Helmuth, S. Hall, L. Beutin, and A. J. Clark. 1978. Assignment of *tra* cistrons to *Eco*RI fragments of F sex factor DNA. J. Bacteriol. 133:1383-1392.
- Achtman, M., N. Willetts, and A. J. Clark. 1972. Conjugational complementation analysis of transfer-deficient mutants of *Flac* in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 110:831-842.
- Adhya, S., and M. Gottesmann. 1978. Control of transcription termination. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 47:967-996.
- Beutin, L., and M. Achtman. 1979. Two Escherichia coli chromosomal cistrons, sfrA and sfrB, which are needed for expression of F factor tra functions. J. Bacteriol. 139:730-737.
- Everett, R., and N. Willetts. 1980. Characterisation of an *in vivo* system for nicking at the origin of conjugational DNA transfer of the sex factor F. J. Mol. Biol. 136:129-150.
- Helmuth, R., and M. Achtman. 1975. Operon structure of DNA transfer cistrons on the F sex factor. Nature (London) 257:652-656.
- Kennedy, N., L. Beutin, M. Achtman, R. Skurray, U. Rahmsdorf, and P. Herrlich. 1977. Conjugation proteins encoded by the F sex factor. Nature (London) 270:580-585.
- Malamy, M. H. 1970. Some properties of insertion mutations in the *lac* operon, p. 359-373. *In J. R. Beckwith* and D. Zipser (ed.), The lactose operon. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Manning, P. A., and M. Achtman. 1979. Cell-to-cell interactions in conjugating *Escherichia coli*: the involvement of the cell envelope, p. 409-447. In M. Inouye (ed.), Bacterial outer membranes: biogenesis and functions. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- McIntire, S., and N. Willetts. 1978. Plasmid cointegrates of Flac and lambda prophage. J. Bacteriol. 134:184– 192.
- McIntire, S., and N. Willetts. 1980. Transfer-deficient cointegrates of *Flac* and lambda prophage. Mol. Gen. Genet. 178:165-172.
- Willetts, N. 1977. The transcriptional control of fertility in F-like plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. 112:141-148.
- Willetts, N. S., and S. McIntire. 1978. Isolation and characterization of λtra transducing phages from EDFL223 (Flac traB::EDλ4). J. Mol. Biol. 126:525-549.
- Willetts, N., and R. Skurray. 1980. The conjugation system of F-like plasmids. Annu. Rev. Genet. 14:41-76.